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SHIH-YU CHEN

## 1. Introduction and main results

This report is based on a talk given at the RIMS conference "Automorphic form, automorphic L-functions and related topics" which was held online in January, 2022.

In [Del79], Deligne proposed a remarkable conjecture on the algebraicity of critical values of $L$-functions of motives, in terms of the periods obtained by comparing the Betti and de Rham realizations of the motives. As special cases, we consider the conjecture for symmetric power $L$-functions and tensor product $L$-functions of modular forms.

### 1.1. Symmetric power $L$-functions. Let

$$
f(\tau)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{f}(n) q^{n} \in S_{\kappa}(N, \omega), \quad q=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \tau}
$$

be a normalized elliptic modular newform of weight $\kappa \geqslant 2$, level $N$, and nebentypus $\omega$. For each prime $p \nmid N$, denote by $\alpha_{p}, \beta_{p}$ the Satake parameters of $f$ at $p$ and put

$$
A_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{p} & 0 \\
0 & \beta_{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Recall that $\alpha_{p}, \beta_{p}$ are the roots of the Hecke polynomial $X^{2}-a_{f}(p) X+p^{\kappa_{i}-1} \omega(p)$. For $n \geqslant 1$, the symmetric $n$-th power $L$-function $L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(f)\right)$ is defined by an Euler product

$$
L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(f)\right)=\prod_{p} L_{p}\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(f)\right), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s)>1+\frac{n(\kappa-1)}{2} .
$$

Here the Euler factors are defined by

$$
L_{p}\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(f)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{1}_{n+1}-\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(A_{p}\right) \cdot p^{-s}\right)^{-1}
$$

for $p \nmid N$, where $\mathrm{Sym}^{n}: \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ is the symmetric $n$-th power representation. By the result of Barnet-Lamb, Geraghty, Harris, and Taylor [BLGHT11, Theorem B], the symmetric power $L$-functions admit meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfy functional equations relating $L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(f)\right)$ to $L\left(1+n(\kappa-1)-s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(f^{\vee}\right)\right)$, where $f^{\vee} \in S_{\kappa}\left(N, \omega^{-1}\right)$ is the normalized newform dual to $f$. The archimedean local factors are defined by

$$
L_{\infty}\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(f)\right)= \begin{cases}\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\left(s-\frac{n(\kappa-1)}{2}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s-i(\kappa-1)) & \text { if } n=2 r \text { and } r(\kappa-1) \text { is even, } \\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\left(s-\frac{n(\kappa-1)}{2}+1\right) \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s-i(\kappa-1)) & \text { if } n=2 r \text { and } r(\kappa-1) \text { is odd }, \\ \prod_{i=0}^{r} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s-i(\kappa-1)) & \text { if } n=2 r+1\end{cases}
$$

Here

$$
\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s)=\pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right), \quad \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s)=2(2 \pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) .
$$

A critical point for $L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(f)\right)$ is an integer $m$ such that $L_{\infty}\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(f)\right)$ and $L_{\infty}\left(1+n(\kappa-1)-s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(f^{\vee}\right)\right)$ are holomorphic at $s=m$. Associated to $f$, we have a pure motive $M_{f}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ of rank 2 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(f)$, which was constructed by Deligne [Del71] and Scholl [Sch90], such that

$$
L\left(M_{f}, s\right)=\left(L\left(s,{ }^{\sigma} f\right)\right)_{\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}
$$

We have the Hodge decomposition

$$
H_{B}\left(M_{f}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}=H_{B}^{0, \kappa-1}\left(M_{f}\right) \oplus H_{B}^{\kappa-1,0}\left(M_{f}\right)
$$

as well as the Hodge filtration

$$
H_{d R}\left(M_{f}\right)=F^{0}\left(M_{f}\right) \supsetneq F^{\kappa-1}\left(M_{f}\right) \supsetneq 0 .
$$

The comparison isomorphism

$$
I_{\infty}: H_{B}\left(M_{f}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow H_{d R}\left(M_{f}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}
$$

induces

$$
I_{\infty}^{ \pm}: H_{B}^{ \pm}\left(M_{f}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow H_{B}\left(M_{f}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow H_{d R}\left(M_{f}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H_{d R}\left(M_{f}\right) / F^{\kappa-1}\left(M_{f}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} .
$$

The Deligne's periods of $M_{f}$ are elements in $(\mathbb{Q}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{C})^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}(f)^{\times}$defined by

$$
\delta\left(M_{f}\right):=\operatorname{det}\left(I_{\infty}\right), \quad c^{ \pm}\left(M_{f}\right):=\operatorname{det}\left(I_{\infty}^{ \pm}\right)
$$

where the determinants are computed with respect to $\mathbb{Q}(f)$-rational bases on both sides. Consider the symmetric power motive $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right)$. We have

$$
L\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right), s\right)=\left(L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left({ }^{\sigma} f\right)\right)\right)_{\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}
$$

In [Del79, Proposition 7.7], Deligne computed the periods of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right)$. More precisely, we have

$$
c^{ \pm}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\delta\left(M_{f}\right)^{r(r \pm 1) / 2}\left(c^{+}\left(M_{f}\right) c^{-}\left(M_{f}\right)\right)^{r(r+1) / 2} & \text { if } n=2 r \\ \delta\left(M_{f}\right)^{r(r+1) / 2} c^{ \pm}\left(M_{f}\right)^{(r+1)(r+2) / 2} c^{\mp}\left(M_{f}\right)^{r(r+1) / 2} & \text { if } n=2 r+1\end{cases}
$$

As a special case of the conjecture in [Del79, Conjecture 2.8], we have the following:
Conjecture 1.1 (Deligne). Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a critical point for $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right)$. We have

$$
\frac{L\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right), m\right)}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{(-1)^{m}}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right)\right) m} \cdot c^{(-1)^{m}}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right)\right) \quad \in \mathbb{Q}(f),
$$

where $d^{+}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right)\right)=r+1, d^{-}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right)\right)=r$ if $n=2 r$, and $d^{ \pm}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(M_{f}\right)\right)=r+1$ if $n=2 r+1$.
The conjecture holds if $f$ is a CM-form. For general $f$, as explained in [Del79, §7], the conjecture is known if $n=1$. It was then considered by various authors when $n=2,3,4,6$ listed as follows:

- $n=2$ : Sturm [Stu80], [Stu89].
- $n=3$ : Garrett-Harris [GH93] and C.- [Che21a].
- $n=4,6$ : Morimoto [Mor21] and C.- [Che21b], [Che21c].

In these cases, the conjecture was proved using the integral representations of automorphic $L$-functions and their algebraic/cohomological interpretations. When $n=2$, we have the integral representation discovered by Shimura [Shi75]. When $n=3$, the symmetric cube $L$-function appears as a factor of the triple product $L$ function $L(s, f \otimes f \otimes f)$ for which we have the integral representation due to Garrett [Gar87]. For $n=2,3$, the ideas for the proof of algebraicity of these integral representations are similar to the ones in the pioneering work of Shimura [Shi76]. The authors consider holomorphic Eisenstein series integrated against complex conjugation of elliptic modular forms. In [Mor21], Morimoto observed that (twisted) symmetric even power $L$-functions are factors of adjoint $L$-functions of unitary groups. In [GL21], Grobner and Lin proved a period relation between the Betti-Whittaker periods of cohomological conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{N}$ over CM-fields and certain special values of adjoint $L$-functions of unitary groups. On the other hand, we have the result of Raghuram [Rag10], [Rag16] which expressed the algebraicity of critical values of Rankin-Selberg $L$-functions for $\mathrm{GL}_{N} \times \mathrm{GL}_{N-1}$ in terms of product of Betti-Whittaker periods. Therefore, Conjecture 1.1 for $n=4,6$ (under some assumptions) then follows from the algebraicity results of Morimoto [Mor14], [Mor18] for $\mathrm{GSp}_{4} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2}$ and Garrett-Harris [GH93] for $\mathrm{GL}_{2} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2}$. In [Che21b], based on the same idea, we show that Conjecture 1.1 holds for $n=4$ when $\kappa \geqslant 3$ by generalizing and refining the results of Grobner-Lin [GL21] to essentially conjugate self-dual representations in the case $\mathrm{GL}_{3} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2}$. In [Che21c], we show that Conjecture 1.1 holds for $n=6$ when $\kappa \geqslant 6$. We extend the result of Morimoto based on a different approach. The observation is that the (twisted) symmetric sixth power $L$-function is a factor of the adjoint $L$-function of the Kim-Ramakrishnan-Shahidi lift of $f$ to GSp ${ }_{4}$. We define the de Rham-Whittaker periods associated to globally generic cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$. In the case of the Kim-Ramakrishnan-Shahidi lift, we establish some periods relations between the de Rham-Whittaker periods and powers of Petersson norms of $f$. The conjecture then follows from our previous results [CI19], [Che22a]. Following is our main result for $n=5$ (see also Remark 1.3 for higher $n$ ):

Theorem 1.2 ([Che22c]). If $\kappa \geqslant 6$, then Conjecture 1.1 holds.
Remark 1.3. Recently, we have proved Conjecture 1.1 in [Che22b, Theorem 5.11] when $n$ is odd, $\kappa$ is odd, and $\kappa \geqslant 5$. It's an ongoing project of the author to prove Conjecture 1.1 when $n$ is even under the same assumptions on $\kappa$.
1.2. Quadruple product $L$-functions. As another example of Deligne's conjecture, we consider quadruple product $L$-functions of modular forms. Let $f_{i} \in S_{\kappa_{i}}\left(N_{i}, \omega_{i}\right)$ be normalized elliptic newform for $i=1,2,3,4$. Define the quadruple product $L$-function $L\left(s, f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} \otimes f_{4}\right)$ by an Euler product

$$
L\left(s, f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} \otimes f_{4}\right)=\prod_{p} L_{p}\left(s, f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} \otimes f_{4}\right), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s)>1+\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\kappa_{i}-1}{2}
$$

Here the Euler factors are given by

$$
L_{p}\left(s, f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} \otimes f_{4}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{1}_{16}-A_{1, p} \otimes A_{2, p} \otimes A_{3, p} \otimes A_{4, p} \cdot p^{-s}\right)^{-1}
$$

for $p \nmid N_{1} N_{2} N_{3} N_{4}$. By the results of Jacquet-Shalika [JS81a], [JS81b] and Ramakrishnan [Ram00], the quadruple product $L$-function admits meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfies a functional equation relating $L\left(s, f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} \otimes f_{4}\right)$ to $L\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\kappa_{i}-1\right)-s, f_{1}^{\vee} \otimes f_{2}^{\vee} \otimes f_{3}^{\vee} \otimes f_{4}^{\vee}\right)$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$, let $G\left(\omega_{i}\right)$ be the Gauss sum of $\omega_{i}$ and $\left\|f_{i}\right\|$ the Petersson norm of $f_{i}$ defined by

$$
\left\|f_{i}\right\|=\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(N_{i}\right) \backslash \mathfrak{H}\right)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_{0}\left(N_{i}\right) \backslash \mathfrak{H}}\left|f_{i}(\tau)\right|^{2} y^{\kappa_{i}-2} d \tau
$$

Assume $\kappa_{1} \geqslant \kappa_{2} \geqslant \kappa_{3} \geqslant \kappa_{4}$. We have three types of critical ranges:

$$
\begin{cases}\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}-1>\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{4}+1>\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}-1>\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}+1 & \text { Case 1, } \\ \kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}-1>\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}-1>\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{4}+1>\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}+1 & \text { Case 2, } \\ \kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}-1>\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}-1>\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{4}+1>\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}+1 & \text { Case 3. }\end{cases}
$$

In [Bla87], Blasius explicitly computed Deligne's periods of tensor product motives for $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. In particular, we have the following refinement of Deligne's conjecture for the quadruple product $L$-function:
Conjecture 1.4 (Blasius). Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a critical point for $L\left(s, f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} \otimes f_{4}\right)$. We have

$$
\sigma\left(\frac{L\left(m, f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} \otimes f_{4}\right)}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{8 m} \cdot c\left(f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} \otimes f_{4}\right)}\right)=\frac{L\left(m,{ }^{\sigma} f_{1} \otimes^{\sigma} f_{2} \otimes{ }^{\sigma} f_{3} \otimes^{\sigma} f_{4}\right)}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{8 m} \cdot c\left({ }^{\sigma} f_{1} \otimes^{\sigma} f_{2} \otimes^{\sigma} f_{3} \otimes{ }^{\sigma} f_{4}\right)}, \quad \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Here

$$
c\left(f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} \otimes f_{4}\right)=(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{4 \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(1-\kappa_{i}\right)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{4} G\left(\omega_{i}\right)^{4} \cdot\left(\pi \cdot\left\|f_{i}\right\|\right)^{t_{i}}
$$

with

$$
\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)= \begin{cases}(4,0,0,0) & \text { Case 1 } \\ (3,1,1,1) & \text { Case 2, } \\ (2,2,2,0) & \text { Case 3 }\end{cases}
$$

When two of the $f_{i}$ 's are CM by the same imaginary quadratic extension, the quadruple product $L$ function decomposes into product of triple product $L$-functions. In this special case, Conjecture 1.4 reduces to Deligne's conjecture for triple product $L$-functions. For the general case, recently we were able to prove the conjecture under certain parity and regularity conditions on the weights. Following theorem is a special case of [Che22b, Theorem 5.8] $(n=4)$ :

Theorem 1.5. Conjecture 1.4 holds under the following conditions:
(1) $\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{4}$ is even.
(2) $\left|\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{i}^{\prime}\right)\left(\kappa_{i}-1\right)\right| \geqslant 6$ for all $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\{ \pm 1\}^{4}$.

## 2. Sketch of proof

2.1. Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\Pi$ be an automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})$, where $\mathbb{A}$ denotes the ring of adeles of $\mathbb{Q}$. We say $\Pi$ is regular algebraic if the infinitesimal character of $\Pi_{\infty}$ is regular and belongs to $\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^{n}$. We say $\Pi$ is tamely isobaric if it is isobaric and the exponents of the summands are the same. First we recall the following theorem which is a consequence of (a variant of) the result of Raghuram [Rag10]. It is an algebraicity result on the ratio of product of critical values of Rankin-Selberg $L$-functions of regular algebraic tamely isobaric automorphic representations.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Sigma, \Sigma^{\prime}$ (resp. $\Pi, \Pi^{\prime}$ ) be regular algebraic tamely isobaric automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathrm{GL}_{n^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})\right)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ are cuspidal.
(2) $n^{\prime}=n-1$ and $\left(\Sigma_{\infty}, \Pi_{\infty}\right)$ is balanced.
(3) $\Sigma_{\infty}=\Sigma_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and $\Pi_{\infty}=\Pi_{\infty}^{\prime}$.

Let $m_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{n+n^{\prime}}{2}$ be a critical point for $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$ such that $L\left(m_{0}, \Sigma \times \Pi^{\prime}\right) \cdot L\left(m_{0}, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi\right) \neq 0$. Then, for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$
\sigma\left(\frac{L\left(m_{0}, \Sigma \times \Pi\right) \cdot L\left(m_{0}, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi^{\prime}\right)}{L\left(m_{0}, \Sigma \times \Pi^{\prime}\right) \cdot L\left(m_{0}, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi\right)}\right)=\frac{L\left(m_{0},{ }^{\sigma} \Sigma \times{ }^{\sigma} \Pi\right) \cdot L\left(m_{0},{ }^{\sigma} \Sigma^{\prime} \times{ }^{\sigma} \Pi^{\prime}\right)}{L\left(m_{0},{ }^{\sigma} \Sigma \times{ }^{\sigma} \Pi^{\prime}\right) \cdot L\left(m_{0},{ }^{\sigma} \Sigma^{\prime} \times{ }^{\sigma} \Pi\right)} .
$$

Remark 2.2. In practice, conditions (1) and (2) are too strong for application. In [Che22b, Theorem 1.2], we remove conditions (1) and (2). Instead, we impose some parity and regularity conditions on $\Sigma_{\infty}$ and $\Pi_{\infty}$.

Back to our normalized newform $f \in S_{\kappa}(N, \omega)$. We may assume that $f$ is not a CM-form. Let $\Pi(f)$ be a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ generated by $f$ (it is unique up to twisting by integral powers of the adelic absolute value $\left|\left.\right|_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$. For $n \geqslant 1$, let $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \Pi(f)$ be the functorial lift of $\Pi(f)$ to $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{A})$ with respect to the symmetric $n$-th power representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. The existence of the lifts was proved by Newton and Thorne [NT21a], [NT21b] (see also [GJ72], [KS02], [Kim03], [CT15], [CT17] for $n \leqslant 8$ ). It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \Pi(f)$ is regular algebraic and tamely isobaric. Since we assumed that $f$ is not a CM-form, $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \Pi(f)$ is cuspidal. To prove Conjecture 1.1 for $n=5$, we apply Theorem 2.1 in the case $\mathrm{GL}_{4} \times \mathrm{GL}_{3}$. More precisely, let $\Sigma$ and $\Pi$ be regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{3}(\mathbb{A})$ respectively defined by

$$
\Sigma=\operatorname{Sym}^{3} \Pi(f), \quad \Pi=\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \Pi(f)
$$

One can verify easily that $\left(\Sigma_{\infty}, \Pi_{\infty}\right)$ is balanced (cf. [Rag10, Theorem 5.3]). For a cuspidal automorphic representation $\tau$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$, let $\Pi(f) \boxtimes \tau$ be the functorial lift of the Rankin-Selberg convolution of $\Pi(f)$ and $\tau$ to $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{A})$. The existence of the lift was proved by Ramakrishnan in [Ram00]. We assume further $\tau$ is chosen so that:

- $\tau$ is regular algebraic and non-CM.
- $\left(\Pi(f)_{\infty} \boxtimes \tau_{\infty}\right) \otimes\left|\left.\right|_{\infty} ^{-1 / 2}=\Sigma_{\infty}\right.$.

We also choose an algebraic Hecke character of $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$such that

$$
\left(\tau_{\infty} \otimes| |_{\infty}^{-1 / 2}\right) \boxplus \chi_{\infty}=\Pi_{\infty}
$$

Let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ be isobaric automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{3}(\mathbb{A})$ respectively defined by

$$
\Sigma^{\prime}=(\Pi(f) \boxtimes \tau) \otimes| |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1 / 2}, \quad \Pi^{\prime}=\left(\tau \otimes| |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1 / 2}\right) \boxplus \chi
$$

By our assumptions on $\tau$ and $\chi$, it is easy to see that $\Sigma^{\prime}$ (resp. $\Pi^{\prime}$ ) is regular algebraic and cuspidal (resp.tamely isobaric), and $\Sigma_{\infty}=\Sigma_{\infty}^{\prime}, \Pi_{\infty}=\Pi_{\infty}^{\prime}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, for all non-central critical points $m+\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$ for $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(m+\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi\right) \sim \frac{L\left(m+\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma \times \Pi^{\prime}\right) \cdot L\left(m+\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi\right)}{L\left(m+\frac{1}{2}, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi^{\prime}\right)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\sim$ means the ratio of left-hand side by right-hand side is equivariant under $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$. On the other hand, we have the following factorizations of $L$-functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi) & =L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{5} \Pi(f)\right) \cdot L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{3} \Pi(f) \otimes \omega_{\Pi(f)}\right) \cdot L\left(s, \Pi(f) \otimes \omega_{\Pi(f)}^{2}\right) \\
L\left(s, \Sigma \times \Pi^{\prime}\right) & =L\left(s-\frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Sym}^{3} \Pi(f) \times \tau\right) \cdot L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{3} \Pi(f) \otimes \chi\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
L\left(s, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi\right) & =L\left(s-\frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Sym}^{3} \Pi(f) \times \tau\right) \cdot L\left(s-\frac{1}{2}, \Pi(f) \times \tau \otimes \omega_{\Pi(f)}\right) \\
L\left(s, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi^{\prime}\right) & =L(s-1, \Pi(f) \times \tau \times \tau) \cdot L\left(s-\frac{1}{2}, \Pi(f) \times \tau \otimes \chi\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\omega_{\Pi(f)}$ is the central character of $\Pi(f)$. By the result of Shimura [Shi76], Deligne's conjecture holds for $L\left(s, \Pi(f) \times \tau \otimes \omega_{\Pi(f)}\right)$ and $L(s, \Pi(f) \times \tau \otimes \chi)$. By the results of Garrett-Harris [GH93] and the author [Che21a], Deligne's conjecture holds for the triple product $L$-function $L(s, \Pi(f) \times \tau \times \tau)$. When $\kappa \geqslant 3$, Deligne's conjecture also holds for $L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{3} \Pi(f) \otimes \omega_{\Pi(f)}\right)$ (cf. [Che21a, Theorem 1.6]). Consider the descent of $\operatorname{Sym}^{3} \Pi(f)$ to $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}(\mathbb{A})$. By the results of Morimoto [Mor14], [Mor18] and the author [Che21b], we see that Deligne's conjecture holds for $L\left(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{3} \Pi(f) \times \tau\right)$ when $\kappa \geqslant 6$. We then conclude from (2.1) that Conjecture 1.1 for $n=5$ holds for non-central critical points. Indeed, it is easy to deduce from (2.1) and Deligne's conjecture for the $L$-functions on the right-hand sides of (2.2) (except for $\operatorname{Sym}^{5} \Pi(f)$ ) that $L^{(\infty)}\left(m+\frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Sym}^{5} \Pi(f)\right)$ is equivalent to some integral powers of $2 \pi \sqrt{-1}, \delta(f)$, and $c^{ \pm}(f)$. A straightforward computation shows that the exponents do coincide with the expected ones. For the central critical point, Conjecture 1.1 follows from the non-central critical points together with the result of Harder-Raghuram [HR20].
2.2. Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.5. The idea of the proof is similar as above. We apply Theorem 2.1 in the case $\mathrm{GL}_{4} \times \mathrm{GL}_{4}$ (cf. Remark 2.2). Let $\Pi_{i}$ be a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ generated by $f_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3,4$. Let $\Sigma$ and $\Pi$ be the regular algebraic tamely isobaric automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{A})$ defined by

$$
\Sigma=\left(\Pi_{1} \boxtimes \Pi_{2}\right) \otimes| |_{\mathbb{A}}^{1 / 2}, \quad \Pi=\left(\Pi_{3} \boxtimes \Pi_{4}\right) \otimes| |_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1 / 2}
$$

Let $\Pi_{1}^{\prime}, \Pi_{2}^{\prime}, \Pi_{3}^{\prime}, \Pi_{4}^{\prime}$ be auxiliary regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ such that

$$
\Pi_{1, \infty}^{\prime} \boxplus \Pi_{2, \infty}^{\prime}=\Sigma_{\infty}, \quad \Pi_{3, \infty}^{\prime} \boxplus \Pi_{4, \infty}^{\prime}=\Pi_{\infty}
$$

Let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ be the regular algebraic tamely isobaric automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{A})$ defined by

$$
\Sigma^{\prime}=\Pi_{1}^{\prime} \boxplus \Pi_{2}^{\prime}, \quad \Pi^{\prime}=\Pi_{3}^{\prime} \boxplus \Pi_{4}^{\prime} .
$$

The assumptions (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.5 then implies that Theorem 2.1 holds in our case. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(m, \Sigma \times \Pi) \sim \frac{L\left(m, \Sigma \times \Pi^{\prime}\right) \cdot L\left(m, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi\right)}{L\left(m, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi^{\prime}\right)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all critical points $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi)$. On the other hand, we have the following factorizations of $L$-functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
L(s, \Sigma \times \Pi) & =L\left(s, \Pi_{1} \times \Pi_{2} \times \Pi_{3} \times \Pi_{4}\right) \\
L\left(s, \Sigma \times \Pi^{\prime}\right) & =L\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_{1} \times \Pi_{2} \times \Pi_{3}^{\prime}\right) \cdot L\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_{1} \times \Pi_{2} \times \Pi_{4}^{\prime}\right) \\
L\left(s, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi\right) & =L\left(s-\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_{3} \times \Pi_{4} \times \Pi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cdot L\left(s-\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_{3} \times \Pi_{4} \times \Pi_{2}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
L\left(s, \Sigma^{\prime} \times \Pi^{\prime}\right) & =L\left(s, \Pi_{1}^{\prime} \times \Pi_{3}^{\prime}\right) \cdot L\left(s, \Pi_{1}^{\prime} \times \Pi_{4}^{\prime}\right) \cdot L\left(s, \Pi_{2}^{\prime} \times \Pi_{3}^{\prime}\right) \cdot L\left(s, \Pi_{2}^{\prime} \times \Pi_{4}^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By the result of Shimura [Shi76], we known that Deligne's conjecture holds for the Rankin-Selberg $L$-functions for $\mathrm{GL}_{2} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2}$. Therefore, by (2.3), we are reduced to show that Deligne's conjecture holds for the triple product $L$-functions appear on the right-hand sides of (2.4). For these triple product $L$-functions, we can play the same trick as above. This time apply Theorem 2.1 in the case $\mathrm{GL}_{4} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2}$.
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