

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Nitric oxide-releasing nanomaterials

Citation for published version:

Seabra, A, Silveira, NM, Ribeiro, RV, Pieretti, JC, Barroso, JB, Corpas, FJ, Palma, JM, Hancock, JT, Petrivalsky, M, Gupta, KJ, Wendehenne, D, Loake, GJ, Durner, J, Lindermayr, C, Molnár, Á, Kolbert, Z & Oliveira, HC 2022, 'Nitric oxide-releasing nanomaterials: From basic research to potential biotechnological applications in agriculture', *New Phytologist*, vol. 234, no. 4, pp. 1119-1125. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18073

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1111/nph.18073

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: New Phytologist

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



- Nitric oxide-releasing nanomaterials: from basic research to potential biotechnological
 applications in agriculture
- 3 Amedea B. Seabra^{*1}, Neidiquele M. Silveira^{2,3} Rafael V. Ribeiro³, Joana C. Pieretti¹, Juan B.
- 4 Barroso⁴, Francisco J. Corpas⁵, José M. Palma⁵, John T. Hancock⁶, Marek Petřivalský⁷, Kapuganti
- 5 J. Gupta⁸, David Wendehenne⁹, Gary J. Loake¹⁰, Jorg Durner¹¹, Christian Lindermayr¹¹, Árpád
- 6 Molnár¹², Zsuzsanna Kolbert¹², Halley C. Oliveira¹³*
- ¹Center of Natural and Human Sciences, Federal University of ABC (UFABC), Santo André, SP,
 09210-580, Brazil
- 9 ²Laboratory of Plant Physiology "Coaracy M. Franco", Center R&D in Ecophysiology and
- 10 Biophysics, Agronomic Institute (IAC), Campinas, SP, 13075-630, Brazil
- ³Laboratory of Crop Physiology, Department of Plant Biology, Institute of Biology, University of
- 12 *Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, SP, 13083-970, Brazil*
- ⁴Group of Biochemistry and Cell Signaling in Nitric Oxide, Department of Experimental Biology,
- 14 Center for Advanced Studies in Olive Grove and Olive Oils, Faculty of Experimental Sciences,
- 15 Campus Universitario 'Las Lagunillas' s/n, 23071, University of Jaén, Jaén, Spain
- ⁵*Group of Antioxidants, Free Radicals and Nitric Oxide in Biotechnology, Food and Agriculture,*
- 17 Department of Biochemistry and Cell and Molecular Biology of Plants, Estación Experimental del
- 18 Zaidín, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Profesor Albareda 1, 18008
- 19 Granada, Spain
- ⁶Department of Applied Sciences, University of the West of England, Bristol BS16 1QY, UK
- ⁷Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, Šlechtitelů 27, CZ-783 71
 Olomouc, Czech Republic
- ⁸National Institute of Plant Genome Research, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, 110067, New Delhi, India
- ⁹Agroécologie, CNRS, INRA, Institut Agro Dijon, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 21000 Dijon,
 France

- ¹⁰Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3JH, UK
- 27 ¹¹Institute of Biochemical Plant Pathology, Helmholtz Zentrum München German Research
- 28 Center for Environmental Health, München/Neuherberg, 85764, Germany
- ¹²Department of Plant Biology, University of Szeged, Szeged, 6726, Hungary
- ¹³Department of Animal and Plant Biology, State University of Londrina (UEL), Londrina, PR,
 86057-970, Brazil
- 32 * Corresponding authors: AB Seabra (amedea.seabra@ufabc.edu.br) and HC Oliveira
- 33 (<u>halley@uel.br</u>)
- 34 **ORCID IDs:** 0000-0003-0591-0380 (ABS), 0000-0003-2663-918X (NMS), 0000-0002-1148-
- 35 6777 (RVR), 0000-0003-2917-7064 (JCP), 0000-0002-9477-9195 (JBB), 0000-0002-1814-9212
- 36 (FJC), 0000-0001-6673-3571 (JMP), 0000-0002-0213-8850 (JTH), 0000-0003-1579-3632 (MP),
- 37 0000-0002-7090-5097 (KJG), 0000-0002-1088-102X (DW), 0000-0002-7989-9180 (GJL),
- 38 0000-0003-4436-4415 (JD), 0000-0002-9343-4996 (CL), 0000-0002-2185-8263 (AM), 0000-
- 39 0002-7819-4672 (ZK), 0000-0002-5487-4964 (HCO)
- 40 Social media accounts: @DrJagadisNIPGR (Twitter), @PpgUel (Twitter),
 41 <u>https://www.facebook.com/rnssignalling</u>
- 42 Total word count of the main body of the text: 2305
- 43 Number of figures (in color): 1
- 44 Number of tables: 2
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51

52 Summary

Nitric oxide (NO) is a multifunctional gaseous signal that modulates the growth, development and 53 stress tolerance of higher plants. NO donors have been used to boost plant endogenous NO levels 54 and to activate NO-related responses, but this strategy is often hindered by the relative instability 55 of donors. Alternatively, nanoscience offers a new, promising way to enhance NO delivery to 56 plants, as NO-releasing nanomaterials (e.g., S-nitrosothiol-containing chitosan nanoparticles) have 57 many beneficial physicochemical and biochemical properties compared to non-encapsulated NO 58 donors. Nano NO donors are effective in increasing tissue NO levels and enhancing NO effects 59 both in animal and human systems. The authors believe, and would like to emphasize, that new 60 trends and technologies are essential for advancing plant NO research and nanotechnology may 61 represent a breakthrough in traditional agriculture and environmental science. Herein, we aim to 62 draw the attention of the scientific community to the potential of NO-releasing nanomaterials in 63 both basic and applied plant research as alternatives to conventional NO donors, providing a brief 64 overview of the current knowledge and identifying future research directions. We also express our 65 opinion about the challenges for the application of nano NO donors, such as the environmental 66 67 footprint and stakeholder's acceptance of these materials.

68

69 Main body of the text

Nitric oxide (NO) is widely recognized as a signaling molecule with a myriad of functions 70 71 in plant growth, development, stress responses and interaction with beneficial microorganisms (Kolbert et al., 2019, 2021), with an untapped biotechnological potential (Marvasi, 2017; Corpas 72 et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). More than 20 years after the pioneer works in this field (Leshem & 73 Haramaty, 1996; Gouvea et al., 1997; Laxalt et al., 1997; Delledonne et al., 1998; Durner et al., 74 75 1998), the proper delivery of NO to plant cells is still a challenge that hinders its use in natural field conditions. As NO is a gaseous free radical with a short half-life under aerobic conditions, 76 77 the exogenous treatment with molecules that act as NO donors has been used as the main strategy to increase plant endogenous NO content and provoke NO biological effects (Seabra & Oliveira, 78 79 2016; Seabra et al., 2022). Despite several NO donors being available, such molecules usually show properties that compromise the desired signaling action of NO on target plants, because they 80 have rapid degradation and are sensitive to environmental factors, release NO too quickly, and/or 81 generate toxic by-products (Fig. 1a). 82

Nanotechnology is a novel approach that has been successfully used for a wide range of 83 agricultural applications to modulate various processes such as seed germination, seedling 84 development and growth, photosynthesis, hormonal balance, disease resistance and plant nutrition 85 (Ahmad et al., 2022; El-Shetehy et al., 2021; Jiang et al., 2021; and references therein). One of 86 the most promising strategies is the nanoencapsulation of agrochemicals (e.g., pesticides and 87 fertilizers) to improve their delivery to plants (Usman et al., 2020; Fincheira et al., 2021). Briefly, 88 the active ingredient is trapped into a nanomaterial that protects it from degradation and allows a 89 sustained release. Due to the higher specific surface area and ability of nanomaterials to interact 90 with cells compared to bulk materials, the nanoencapsulation enhances ingredient uptake by plant 91 tissues and reduces its environmental losses, yielding higher efficiency and efficacy (Pascoli et al., 92 2018; Jiménez-Arias et al., 2020; Takeshita et al., 2021). Thus, better effects of the agrochemical 93 on the target organisms can be obtained with less frequent applications and lower doses, whereas 94 the negative environmental impacts can be minimized. 95

Polymeric nanoparticles are used to encapsulate NO donors for biomedical purposes 96 (Pieretti et al., 2020). However, the application of nanoencapsulated NO donors in plants is much 97 98 more recent and can bring enormous benefits to this field, including the sustained and localized NO release under varying environmental conditions and improved NO bioavailability in plant 99 tissues (Fig. 1b). The few studies published up to now reporting the treatment of plants with nano 100 NO donors provide exciting findings and many avenues to be further explored (Tables 1 and 2). 101 Briefly, the nanoencapsulation of NO donors increased the NO delivery to plants due to a sustained 102 NO release. As NO might be toxic at higher concentrations, there are more benefits with lower 103 104 and prolonged NO doses, that are more easily attained by the use of nano NO donors.

Most studies have focused on plant protection against abiotic stress, but NO-releasing nanomaterials could also be used for the induction of plant response to biotic stress and for modulating plant growth and development. As potential and practical applications in agriculture, we would suggest the use of nano NO donors in seed and seedling priming for improving germination and early growth (specially under limiting conditions), in saplings for enhancing stress tolerance, in micropropagation for improving plantlet development and hardening, and in floriculture and fruit post-harvest for increasing the shelf life of flowers and fruits.

As there are different ways to supply nano NO donors to plants (*e.g.*, seed treatment, soil amendment, leaf and fruit spraying, and cell culture), the entry route of the nanocarrier into the 114 plant is variable and thus may result in different responses (Fincheira *et al.*, 2020; Pereira *et al.*, 2021). In addition, several NO donors can be used such as S-nitrosothiols (SNOs), NONOates, 115 diazeniumdiolates, sodium nitroprusside (SNP), Roussin's black salt, metal nitrosyl complexes, 116 and nitro fatty acids (Mata-Pérez et al., 2016), as well as molecules that induce endogenous NO 117 synthesis by plants, such as organic nitrate/nitrate, nitrite, polyamines, and L-arginine (Pissolato 118 et al., 2020; Silveira et al., 2021b; Seabra et al., 2022). Another important factor to be explored is 119 120 the nature of nanomaterials, which may vary in size, morphology, chemical composition, surface charge, and presence of functional groups in their surface (Fig. 1c), promoting significant variation 121 in the biological effects of NO-releasing nano formulations. By changing the nanoparticle 122 characteristics, its adhesion and absorption by plants, cell internalization, and short- and long-123 distance translocation can be altered to obtain a more efficient nano formulation to a given purpose 124 (Avellan et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). The development of stimuli-responsive nanocarriers is 125 another possibility as well as nanomaterials with binding motifs/functional groups, allowing a 126 better targeting to specific tissues/cells/organelles (Pieretti et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2021). These 127 strategies are extensively explored in biomedical applications but poorly explored in plant science 128 (Seabra et al., 2014). 129

The few pioneer studies of nano NO donors in plants have explored only chitosan 130 nanoparticles, which is a cost-efficient and eco-friendly biopolymer (Oliveira et al., 2016; Lopes-131 Oliveira et al., 2019; do Carmo et al., 2021; Silveira et al., 2021a). However, there are many other 132 133 biodegradable, biocompatible polymers obtained from renewable sources to be used, such as lignin, alginate, cellulose and zein (Darder et al., 2020; Urzedo et al., 2020; Low et al., 2021). In 134 addition to polymeric nanoparticles, there are different classes of nanomaterials for NO 135 incorporation (via nanoencapsulation or surface functionalization) as liposomes (Suchyta & 136 137 Schoenfisch, 2015), metal/metal oxide nanoparticles coated with organic matrix (Santos et al., 2016; Pieretti et al., 2021), and carbon-based nanomaterials (Tanum et al., 2019, Jin et al., 2021) 138 (Fig. 1b). Another possibility is the application of NO gas allied to nanoporous materials, such as 139 metal organic frameworks (MOFs) and zeolites, that adsorb NO gas and release it upon contact 140 141 with moisture (Seabra & Durán, 2010). These nanomaterials have been used only for biomedical applications. They have a limited amount of NO loading in addition to fast NO release, high cost 142 and difficult storage (McKinlay et al., 2013). 143

The possibility to join NO-releasing nanomaterials with other bioactive compounds used 144 as plant growth regulators or compounds able to increase the endogenous NO production is an 145 approach deserving attention in further studies (Silveira et al., 2021b; Pissolato et al., 2020). In 146 any experiment, it is very important to define the proper controls to differentiate the effects of NO 147 donor from those of the nanocarrier, as many nanomaterials (including chitosan) may induce dose-148 dependent responses that are beneficial to plant growth and defense (Kumaraswamy *et al.*, 2018; 149 Malerba & Cerana, 2018). For instance, it has been recently demonstrated that the application of 150 copper oxide nanoparticles increased the endogenous S-nitrosothiol levels of lettuce (Lactuca 151 sativa L.) seedlings (Pelegrino et al., 2020; Kohatsu et al., 2021). Thus, the nanomaterial per se 152 can potentiate the effect of the NO donor in modulating the plant NO homeostasis. 153

154 Although significant advance has been achieved in the use of NO-releasing nanomaterials 155 in plants, there are key questions that remain unresolved (Fig. 1d):

What is the fate of the nanomaterials and the NO donor in plant tissues? Tracking the nanomaterial 156a) and the NO donor in plant tissues is essential to understand the mechanisms of interaction among 157 them, thus providing information on how we could design smarter nanocarriers and how they could 158 159 be employed to manipulate cellular processes (Avellan et al., 2017, 2021). This approach could also provide information about the best application strategy (including type and frequency/number 160 of treatments) to guarantee an efficient and effective NO delivery. The local and systemic impacts 161 of free and nano NO donors on plant NO homeostasis should also be addressed, as the 162 163 nanomaterials can enhance the translocation and distribution of the delivered compounds inside plants, mediating systemic responses (Avellan et al., 2019; Lowry et al., 2019; Takeshita et al., 164 165 2021). Then, the development of reliable protocols to accurately track NO/NO derivatives and nanomaterials in plant tissues is required. For example, fluorescently-labelled nanomaterials 166 167 (Bombo et al., 2019) can be used together with NOx detection dyes (e.g., diaminofluorescein- and diaminorhodamine-based probes) to localize simultaneously both entities in plant tissues by 168 169 confocal microscopy. The binding of rare metallic elements (such as gadolinium) is another way to track the nanomaterial inside plants (Zhang et al., 2021). In this sense, the use of magnetic 170 171 nanoparticles that allows directing them to a specific plant organ with the use of a magnet could be an alternative such as has been used in medical applications (Sola-Leyva et al., 2020). The 172 knowledge about the fate of nanomaterials *in planta* is also important to verify whether potentially 173 harmful nanomaterials and/or their by-products could accumulate in edible parts of the plants. 174

175 b) What is the extent of NO signaling in plants upon supplying NO-releasing nanomaterials in comparison to conventional NO donors? Given that the NO-triggered cellular effects depend on 176 177 the kinetics release and the chemical nature of the NO donor, as well as on the subcellular localization of NO, it should be evaluated whether the use of NO-releasing nanomaterials would 178 allow a better specificity of NO effects and if the target biomolecules in local and systemic tissues 179 are changed. The subcellular site of NO production and its spatial and temporal diffusion are key 180 181 parameters of NO specificity (Hess et al., 2005; Umbreen et al., 2018); thus, the cellular distribution of NO derived from nanoformulations is an important point to follow. Several omics 182 approaches (e.g., proteomics, metabolomics and transcriptomics) would be very much useful to 183 uncover the underlying processes and signaling induced by NO-releasing nanomaterials. 184

c) What is the extent of the impacts of NO-releasing nanomaterials to the environment and to 185 organisms that interact with plants, such as insects, microorganisms and humans? 186 Ecotoxicological assays with bioindicator organisms in terrestrial and aquatic systems (including 187 mesocosm approaches) are necessary to verify the safety of nano NO donors to the environment 188 and plant-interacting organisms (Tortella et al., 2020). In addition, microbiome and functional 189 analyses of the soil may indicate the effects of NO-releasing nanomaterials on soil microbiota. As 190 NO is also an important signaling molecule for microorganisms (Astuti et al., 2018), it could be 191 hypothesized whether the effects of NO-releasing nanomaterials on plants could be mediated by 192 changes in microbial activity (particularly for soil treatment). The possible impacts of nano NO 193 194 donors to human beings who will consume fruits and vegetables obtained from plants treated with these nanomaterials should be also investigated. It is noteworthy that, due to the short half-life of 195 196 released NO and its derivatives, the low doses of NO donors applied to plants are likely to have much lower impact on NO metabolism in humans in comparison to nitrate/nitrite present in plant 197 198 food. In addition, the treatment of plants with NO donors allied to nanomaterials has the potential to improve the nutritional attributes of vegetables (Pelegrino et al., 2021). It is noteworthy that, as 199 200 the NO concentration required for signaling in plants is low, a high dilution ratio of the stock nano formulations is usually carried out (do Carmo et al., 2021), thus minimizing the exposition of the 201 202 plant/environment/human to the nanomaterial and NO derivatives. Efforts for augmenting the amount of the NO donor incorporated into the biocompatible nanomaterials (that generally have a 203 safe profile) are welcomed, which would allow even higher dilution rates. 204

205 d) What would be the social acceptance of this approach? Once demonstrated the beneficial vs. negative effects of the application of NO-releasing nanomaterials, we believe that the public and 206 207 societal opinion about the use of this technology is a vital point to be considered, to avoid a rejection similar to that happened with genetically modified organisms and classical chemical-208 209 based pesticides. Proper actions for scientific dissemination and continuous dialogue with stakeholders (companies, farmers, consumers, governmental and non-governmental agencies) 210 should not be put aside by researchers, thus ensuring an effective communication about the 211 significant benefits of this technology in view of its costs and potential issues. A detailed cost-212 benefit analysis would be an important future research area to help establish the potential financial 213 advantage associated with this nascent technology. In addition, for a proper acceptance of NO-214 releasing nanomaterials, the shelf life of the formulation should be taken into account, and this 215 aspect depends on the nature of the NO donor and the nanomaterial. For instance, in the case of S-216 nitrosothiol-loaded chitosan nanoparticles, the non-nitrosated formulation can be transported at 217 room temperature and stored at refrigeration for up to one month, but there are many strategies to 218 be tested in order to improve their durability. Last but not least, it is noteworthy that there is no 219 220 appropriate international regulatory framework of nanomaterials in the agri-food sector, which might pose severe limitations to their application and public acceptance (Sodano, 2018; Allan et 221 al., 2021). 222

In summary, despite of extensive applications in nanomaterials, only minor progress has 223 224 been achieved in plant science by using NO-releasing nanomaterials. Basic and applied research is still required to understand their mode of action in plants and to safely translate this technology 225 226 to field applications. To this end, not only a scientific investigation of the detailed effects of nano NO donors on plants is mandatory, but also a realistic evaluation of (i) the advantages in terms of 227 228 costs and benefits of this approach, (ii) the industrial interests, (iii) the farmers' and consumers' perception and acceptance of this new technology, and (iv) the economic viability of this strategy 229 230 in the whole production chain of agricultural products. To achieve this huge challenge, we believe that collaboration among multidisciplinary teams with skills in chemistry, material sciences, 231 232 biology, agronomy, ecotoxicology, food engineering and socio-economy is fundamental. We expect that this Viewpoint opens new avenues for this exciting and promising approach that would 233 contribute to the development of precision agriculture. 234

235

236 Acknowledgements

- NO research in the Kolbert's lab is financed by NRDI Office (grant no. K135303).
- NO research in the Seabra's lab is financed by Fapesp (2018/08194-2, 2020/03646-2) and CNPq
- 239 (404815/2018-9, 313117/2019-5).
- 240 NO research in the Oliveira's lab is financed by Fundação Araucária de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento
- 241 Científico do Paraná (18.017.997-6), Fapesp (2019/15095-3) and CNPq (311034/2020-9).
- N.M.S. and R.V.R. acknowledge the financial support by FAPESP (2012/19167-0, 2015/21546-
- 243 7, 2017/11279-7, 2018/08194-2, 2020/03646-2), CNPq (302460/2018-7) and National Program of
- 244 Post-Doctorate (PNPD, Capes).
- F.J.C and J.M.P. research is supported by a European Regional Development Fund cofinanced
- grant from the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (PID 2019-103924 GB-I00), the Plan
- Andaluz de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación (PAIDI 2020) (P18-FR-1359), and Junta de
- 248 Andalucía (group BIO192), Spain.
- 249

250 Author contribution

- ABS, ZK and HCO conceived the manuscript. ABS, NMS, RVR, JCP, ZK and HCO wrote the
- 252 manuscript draft. NMS and JCP prepared the figure and tables. ABS, NMS, RVR, JCP, JBB, FJC,
- 253 JMP, JTH, MP, KJG, DW, GJL, JD, CL, AM, ZK and HCO discussed and revised the manuscript.
- ABS and HCO contributed equally.
- 255

256 Data availability

257 Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

- 258
- 259 **References**
- 260
- Ahmad A, Hashmi SS, Palma JM, Corpas FJ. 2022. Influence of metallic, metallic oxide, and
 organic nanoparticles on plant physiology. *Chemosphere* 290: 133329.
- Allan J, Belz S, Hoeveler A, Hugas M, Okuda H, Patri A, Rauscher H, Silva P, Slikker W,
- 264 Sokull-Kluettgen B, Tong W, Anklam E. 2021. Regulatory landscape of nanotechnology and
- nanoplastics from a global perspective. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* **122**: 104885.

- Astuti RI, Nasuno R, Takagi H. 2018. Nitric oxide signalling in yeast. *Advances in Microbial Physiology* 72: 29-63.
- 268 Avellan A, Schwab F, Masion A, Chaurand P, Borschneck D, Vidal V, Rose J, Santaella
- 269 C, Levard C. 2017. Nanoparticle uptake in plants: Gold nanomaterial localized in roots of
- 270 Arabidopsis thaliana by X-ray computed nanotomography and hyperspectral imaging.
- 271 Environmental Science and Technology **51**: 8682-8691.
- 272 Avellan A, Yun J, Zhang ES, Spielman-Sun E, Unrine JM, Thieme J, Li J, Lombi E, Bland
- 273 G, Lowry GV. 2019. Nanoparticle size and coating chemistry control foliar uptake pathways,
- translocation, and leaf-to-rhizosphere transport in wheat. *ACS Nano* **13**: 5291-5305.
- 275 Avellan A, Yun J, Morais BP, Clement ET, Rodrigues SM, Lowry GV. 2021. Critical review:
- 276 Role of inorganic nanoparticle properties on their foliar uptake and in planta translocation.
- 277 Environmental Science and Technology 55: 13417-13431.
- 278 Bombo AB, Pereira AES, Lusa MG, Oliveira EM, Oliveira JL, Campos EVR. 2019. A
- 279 mechanistic view of interactions of a nanoherbicide with target organism. *Journal of Agricultural*
- and Food Chemistry **67**: 4453–4462.
- 281 Corpas FJ, González-Gordo S, Palma JM. 2020. Nitric oxide: A radical molecule with potential
- biotechnological applications in fruit ripening. *Journal of Biotechnology* **324**: 211-219.
- 283 Darder M, Karan A, Real G, DeCoster MA. 2020. Cellulose-based biomaterials integrated with
- 284 copper-cystine hybrid structures as catalysts for nitric oxide generation. Materials Science and
- 285 *Engineering C: Materials for Biological Applications* **108**: 110369.
- 286 Delledonne M, Xia Y, Dixon RA, Lamb C. 1998. Nitric oxide functions as a signal in plant
- disease resistance. *Nature* 394: 585–588.
- do Carmo GC, Iastrenski LF, Debiasi TV, da Silva RC, Gomes DG, Pelegrino MT, Bianchini
- 289 E, Stolf-Moreira R, Pimenta JA, Seabra AB, Oliveira HC. 2021. Nanoencapsulation improves
- 290 the protective effects of a nitric oxide donor on drought-stressed Heliocarpus popayanensis
- seedlings. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **225**:112713.
- 292 Durner J, Wendehenne D, Klessig DF. 1998. Defense gene induction in tobacco by nitric oxide,
- 293 cyclic GMP, and cyclic ADP-ribose. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 95:
- 294 10328–10333.

- 295 El-Shetehy M, Moradi A, Maceroni M, Reinhardt D, Petri-Fink A, Rothen-Rutishauser B,
- 296 Mauch F, Schwab F. 2021. Silica nanoparticles enhance disease resistance in *Arabidopsis* plants.
- 297 *Nature Nanotechnology* **16**: 344-353.
- 298 Fincheira P, Tortella G, Duran N, Seabra AB, Rubilar O. 2020. Current applications of
- 299 nanotechnology to develop plant growth inducer agents as an innovation strategy. Critical Reviews
- *in Biotechnology* **40**: 15-30.
- 301 Fincheira P, Tortella G, Seabra AB, Quiroz A, Diez MC, Rubilar O. 2021. Nanotechnology
- 302 advances for sustainable agriculture: current knowledge and prospects in plant growth modulation
- 303 and nutrition. *Planta* **254**: 66.
- 304 Gouvea CMCP, Souza JF, Magalhães CAN, Martins IS. 1997. NO-releasing substances that
- induce growth elongation in maize root segments. *Plant Growth Regulation* **21**: 183-187.
- 306 Hess DT, Matsumoto A, Kim SO, Marshall HE, Stamler JS. 2005. Protein S-nitrosylation:
- 307 purview and parameters. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **6**: 150-166.
- Jiang M, Song Y, Kanwar MK, Ahammed GL, Shao S, Zhou J. 2021. Phytonanotechnology
 applications in modern agriculture. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology* 19: 430.
- 310 Jiménez-Arias D, Morales-Sierra S, Borges AA, Díaz DD. 2020. Biostimulant
- nanoencapsulation: The new keystone to fight hunger. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*68: 7083-7085.
- 313 Jin HB, Feura ES, Schoenfisch MH. 2021. Theranostic activity of nitric oxide-releasing carbon
- quantum dots. *Bioconjugate Chemistry* **32**: 367-375.
- Kolbert Z, Barroso JB, Brouquisse R, Corpas FJ, Gupta KJ, Lindermayr C, Loake GJ,
- 316 Palma JM, Petřivalský M, Wendehenne D, Hancock JT. 2019. A forty year journey: The
- generation and roles of NO in plants. *Nitric Oxide* **93**: 53-70.
- 318 Kohatsu MY, Lange CN, Pelegrino MT, Pieretti JC, Tortella G, Rubilar O, Batista BL,
- 319 Seabra AB, Jesus TA. 2021. Foliar spraying of biogenic CuO nanoparticles protects the defence
- 320 system and photosynthetic pigments of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*). Journal of Cleaner Production
- **321 324**: 129264.
- 322 Kolbert Z, Lindermayr C, Loake GJ. 2021. The role of nitric oxide in plant biology: current
- insights and future perspectives. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **72**: 777-780.

- 324 Kumaraswamy RV, Kumari S, Choudhary RC, Pal A, Raliya R, Biswas P, Saharan V. 2018.
- 325 Engineered chitosan based nanomaterials: Bioactivities, mechanisms and perspectives in plant
- protection and growth. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* **113**: 494-506.
- 327 Laxalt AM, Beligni MV, Lamattina L. 1997. Nitric oxide preserves the level of chlorophyll in
- potato leaves infected by *Phytophthora infestans*. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 103: 643–
 651.
- 330 Leshem YY, Haramaty E. 1996. The characterization and contrasting effects of the nitric oxide
- free radical in vegetative stress and senescence of *Pisum sativum* Linn. foliage. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 148: 258–263.
- Liang W, Xie Z, Cheng J, Xiao D, Xiong Q, Wang Q, Zhao J, Gui W. 2021. A light-triggered

pH-responsive metal-organic framework for smart delivery of fungicide to control *Sclerotinia*

- diseases of oilseed rape. *ACS Nano* **15:** 6987-6997.
- Lopes-Oliveira PJ, Gomes DG, Pelegrino MT, Bianchini E, Pimenta JA, Stolf-Moreira R,
- 337 Seabra AB, Oliveira HC. 2019. Effects of nitric oxide-releasing nanoparticles on neotropical tree
- seedlings submitted to acclimation under full sun in the nursery. *Scientific Reports* **9**: 17371.
- Low LE, Teh KC, Siva SP, Chew IML, Mwangi WW, Chew CL, Goh B, Chan ES, Tey BT.
- 340 **2021.** Lignin nanoparticles: The next green nanoreinforcer with wide opportunity. *Environmental*
- 341 *Nanotechnology, Monitoring & Management* **15**: 100398.
- 342 Lowry GV, Avellan A, Gilbertson LM. 2019. Opportunities and challenges for nanotechnology
- in the agri-tech revolution. *Nature Nanotechnology* **14**: 517-522.
- 344 Ma Y, Fu L, Hussain Z, Huang D, Zhu S. 2019. Enhancement of storability and antioxidant
- 345 systems of sweet cherry fruit by nitric oxide-releasing chitosan nanoparticles (GSNO-CS NPs).
- 346 *Food Chemistry* **285**: 10-21.
- 347 McKinlay AC, Eubank JF, Wuttke S, Xiao B, Wheatley PS, Bazin P, Lavalley JC, Daturi M,
- 348 Vimont A, Weireld GD, Horcajada P, Serre C, Morris RE. 2013. Nitric oxide adsorption and
- delivery in flexible MIL-88(Fe) metal-organic frameworks. *Chemistry of Materials* 25:
 1592–1599.
- 351 Malerba M, Cerana R. 2018. Recent advances of chitosan applications in plants. *Polymers* 10:18.
- 352 Marvasi M. 2017. Potential use and perspectives of nitric oxide donors in agriculture. *Journal of*
- the Science of Food and Agriculture **97**: 1065–1072.

- 354 Mata-Pérez C, Sánchez-Calvo B, Begara-Morales JC, Carreras A, Padilla MN, Melguizo M,
- 355 Valderrama R, Corpas FJ, Barroso JB. 2016. Nitro-linolenic acid is a nitric oxide donor. *Nitric*
- **356** *Oxide* **57**: 57-63.
- 357 Oliveira HC, Gomes BC, Pelegrino MT, Seabra AB. 2016. Nitric oxide-releasing chitosan
- nanoparticles alleviate the effects of salt stress in maize plants. *Nitric Oxide* **61**: 10-19.
- 359 Pascoli M, Lopes-Oliveira PJ, Fraceto LF, Seabra AB, Oliveira HC. 2018. State of the art of
- 360 polymeric nanoparticles as carrier systems with agricultural applications: a minireview. *Energy*
- 361 *Ecology and Environment* **3**: 137-148.
- 362 Pelegrino MT, Kohatsu MY, Seabra AB, Monteiro LR, Gomes DG, Oliveira HC, Rolim WR,
- 363 Jesus TA, Batista BL, Lange CN. 2020. Effects of copper oxide nanoparticles on growth of

364 lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) seedlings and possible implications of nitric oxide in their antioxidative

- defense. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* **192**: 232.
- ³⁶⁶ Pelegrino MT, Pieretti JC, Lange C, Kohatsu MY, Freire BM, Batista BL, Fincheira P,
- 367 Tortella GR, Rubilar O, Seabra AB. 2021. Foliar spray application of nanoparticles (NPs) and
- 368 S-nitrosoglutathione enhances productivity, physiological and biochemical parameters of lettuce
- 369 plants. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology 96: 2185-2196.
- 370 Pereira AES, Narciso AM, Seabra AB, Fraceto LF. 2015. Evaluation of the effects of nitric
- 371 oxide-releasing nanoparticles on plants. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **617**: 012025.
- 372 Pereira AES, Oliveira HC, Fraceto LF, Santaella C. 2021. Nanotechnology potential in seed
- priming for sustainable agriculture. *Nanomaterials* **11**: 267.
- 374 Pieretti JC, Pelegrino MT, Nascimento MHM, Tortella GR, Rubilar O, Seabra AB. 2020.
- 375 Small molecules for great solutions: Can nitric oxide-releasing nanomaterials overcome drug
- 376 resistance in chemotherapy? *Biochemical Pharmacology* **176**: 113740.
- 377 Pieretti JC, Gonçalves MC, Nakazato G, Santos de Souza AC, Boudier A, Seabra AB. 2021.
- 378 Multifunctional hybrid nanoplatform based on Fe₃O₄@Ag NPs for nitric oxide delivery:
- 379 development, characterization, therapeutic efficacy, and hemocompatibility. *Journal of Materials*
- 380 *Science: Materials in Medicine* **32**: 23.
- 381 Pissolato MD, Silveira NM, Prataviera PJC, Machado EC, Seabra AB, Pelegrino MT, Sodek
- 382 L, Ribeiro RV. 2020. Enhanced nitric oxide synthesis through nitrate supply improves drought
- tolerance of sugarcane plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **11**: 970.

- 384 Santos MC, Seabra AB, Pelegrino MT, Haddad PS. 2016. Synthesis, characterization and
- 385 cytotoxicity of glutathione- and PEG-glutathione-superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for
- nitric oxide delivery. *Applied Surface Science* **367**: 26-35.
- Seabra AB, Oliveira HC. 2016. How nitric oxide donors can protect plants in a changing
 environment: what we know so far and perspectives. *AIMS Molecular Science* 3: 692-718.
- 389 Seabra AB, Rai M, Durán N. 2014. Nano carriers for nitric oxide delivery and its potential
- 390 applications in plant physiological process: A mini review. Journal of Plant Biochemistry and
- 391 *Biotechnology* **23**: 1-10.
- Seabra AB, Durán N. 2010. Nitric oxide-releasing vehicles for biomedical applications. *Journal* of *Materials Chemistry* 20: 1624-1637.
- 394 Seabra AB, Pelegrino MT, Lopes-Oliveira PJ, Gomes DG, Oliveira HC. 2022. Overview of
- nitric oxide homeostasis: strategies for altering the levels of this signaling molecule in plants. In:
- 396 Singh VP, Singh S, Tripathi DK, Romero-Puertas MC, Sandalio LM, eds. Nitric oxide in plant
- *biology: An ancient molecule with emerging roles.* London, UK: Academic Press, 183-203.
- 398 Silveira NM, Seabra AB, Marcos FCC, Pelegrino MT, Machado EC, Ribeiro RV. 2019.
- 399 Encapsulation of *S*-nitrosoglutathione into chitosan nanoparticles improves drought tolerance of
- 400 sugarcane plants. *Nitric Oxide* **84**: 38-44.
- 401 Silveira NM, Prataviera PJC, Pieretti JC, Seabra AB, Almeida RL, Machado EC, Ribeiro
- 402 RV. 2021a. Chitosan-encapsulated nitric oxide donors enhance physiological recovery of
 403 sugarcane plants after water deficit. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 190: 104593.
- 404 Silveira NM, Ribeiro RV, de Morais SF, de Souza SC, da Silva SF, Seabra AB, Hancock JT,
- 405 Machado EC. 2021b. Leaf arginine spraying improves leaf gas exchange under water deficit and
- root antioxidant responses during the recovery period. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 162:
 315-326.
- Sodano V. 2018. Nano-food regulatory issues in the European union. *AIP Conference Proceedings*1990: 020018.
- 410 Sola-Leyva A, Jabalera Y, Chico-Lozano MA, Carrasco-Jiménez MP, Iglesias GR, Jiménez-
- 411 López C. 2020. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in HepG2 cancer cell line through the
- 412 application of localized alternating magnetic field. Journal of Materials Chemistry B 8: 7667-
- 413 7676.

- 414 Suchyta DJ, Schoenfisch MH. 2015. Encapsulation of *N*-diazeniumdiolates within liposomes for
- enhanced nitric oxide donor stability and delivery. *Molecular Pharmaceutics* **12**: 3569-3574.
- Sun C, Zhang Y, Liu L, Liu X, Li B, Jin C, Lin X. 2021. Molecular functions of nitric oxide
 and its potential applications in horticultural crops. *Horticulture Research* 8: 71.
- 418 Takeshita V, Sousa BT, Preisler AC, Carvalho LB, Pereira AES, Tornisielo VL, Dalazen G,
- 419 Oliveira HC, Fraceto LF. 2021. Foliar absorption and field herbicidal studies of atrazine-loaded
- 420 polymeric nanoparticles. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **418**: 126350.
- 421 Tanum J, Jeong H, Heo J, Choi M, Park K, Hong J. 2019. Assembly of graphene oxide
- multilayer film for stable and sustained release of nitric oxide gas. *Applied Surface Science* 486:
 452-459.
- 424 Tortella GR, Rubilar O, Durán N, Diez MC, Martínez M, Parada J, Seabra AB. 2020. Silver
- 425 nanoparticles: Toxicity in model organisms as an overview of its hazard for human health and the
- 426 environment. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **390**: 121974.
- 427 Umbreen S, Lubega J, Cui B, Pan Q, Jiang J, Loake GJ. 2018. Specificity in nitric oxide
- 428 signalling. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 69: 3439-3448.
- 429 Urzedo AL, Gonçalves MC, Nascimento MHM, Lombello CB, Nakazato G, Seabra AB. 2020.
- 430 Multifunctional alginate nanoparticles containing nitric oxide donor and silver nanoparticles for
- 431 biomedical applications. Materials Science and Engineering C Materials for Biological
- 432 *Applications* **112**: 110933.
- 433 Usman M, Farooq M, Wakeel A, Nawaz A, Cheema SA, Rehman HU, Ashraf I, Sanaullah
- 434 M. 2020. Nanotechnology in agriculture: Current status, challenges and future opportunities.
- 435 *Science of the Total Environment* **721**: 137778.
- Zhang Y, Fu L, Li S, Yan J, Sun M, Giraldo JP. 2021. Star polymer size, charge content, and
 hydrophobicity affect their leaf uptake and translocation in plants. *Environmental Science and Technology* 55:10758–10768.
- 439
- Key words: nanomaterial, nanoparticle, nanotechnology, nitric oxide (NO), NO donor, Snitrosothiol
- 442
- 443
- 444



- 446 Figure 1. Schematic representation of (a) major classes of NO donors applied in plants, (b) major
- 447 types of nanomaterials to carry and delivery NO, (c) properties of NO-releasing nanomaterials,
- and (d) major challenges for the use of NO-releasing nanomaterials in plants.

NPs	Species	Conditions	Application/ dosage	Responses*	Reference
SN-MSA CNPs	Maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.)	Salinity	Soil application (50 and 100 μM)	 (+) leaf S-nitrosothiol content (-) photochemical damage (+) chlorophyll content (+) growth 	Oliveira et al., 2016
GSNO CNPs	Sweet cherries fruit (<i>Prunus avium</i> L. cv. Hongdeng)	Fruit storage (postharvest)	Immersed fruits (60 mM)	 (-) fruit weight loss (-) respiration rate (-) ethylene production (+) soluble solids content (-) oxidative stress (+) antioxidant response 	Ma et al., 2019
SN-MSA CNPs	Neotropical tree seedling (<i>Heliocarpus popayanensis</i> Kunth) Neotropical tree seedling [<i>Cariniana estrellensis</i> (Raddi) Kuntze]	Seedlings submitted to acclimation under full sun	Soil application (2 mM)	(+) growth (+) leaf <i>S</i> -nitrosothiol content	Lopes-Oliveira et al., 2019
GSNO CNPs	Sugarcane (<i>Saccharum</i> spp. cv. IACSP94-2094)	Drought	Foliar supply (100 μM)	(+) leaf CO₂ assimilation(+) root:shoot ratio	Silveira et al., 2019

Table 1. NO-releasing nanoparticles and their effects on plants under diverse physiological and environmental conditions.

GSNO	Sugarcane	Drought	Foliar supply	(+) leaf gas exchange during the	Silveira et al., 2021a
CNPs	(Saccharum spp. cv. IACSP95-5000)		(100 µM)	recovery period	
SNAC				(+) S-nitrosothiol content	
CNPs				(+) chlorophyll content	
SN-MSA				(–) growth inhibition	
CNPs				(-) oxidative stress	
SNP CNPs				(+) oxidative stress not effective in mitigating water	
				deficit	
SN-MSA	Neotropical tree seedling	Drought	Soil application	(+) S-nitrosothiol content	do Carmo et al., 2021
CNPs	(Heliocarpus popayanensis Kunth)		(200 µM)	(+) root hair formation	
				(+) leaf gas exchange	
				(+) leaf relative water content	
				(-) oxidative stress	
				(+) antioxidant response	

Abbreviations: CNPs, chitosan nanoparticles; GSNO, S-nitrosoglutathione; SNAC, S-nitroso-N-acetylcysteine; SN-MSA; S-nitroso-mercaptosuccinic acid; SNP, sodium nitroprusside.

*(+) and (-), respectively, mean increases and decreases in a given trait or process.

Nanoparticles	NO release light/dark (% in 8 h)	Estimated cost for 50 mM solution** (US\$ per liter)	Encapsulation efficiency (%)	By-products
SN-MSA-CNPs	94.0±2.3/94.1±0.9	5.9	99.8	Oxidized mercaptosuccinic acid
GSNO-CNPs	64.6±0.7/17.7±0.8	63.7	99.7	Oxidized glutathione
SNAC-CNPs	66.1±3.1/26.3±3.7	8.8	99.5	Oxidized N-acetylcysteine
SNP-CNPs	***	8.0	7.8	Cyanide, ferrocyanide and ferricyanide

Table 2. Description of the different nanoencapsulated NO donors*.

Abbreviations: CNPs, chitosan nanoparticles; GSNO, *S*-nitrosoglutathione; SNAC, *S*-nitroso-*N*-acetylcysteine; SN-MSA; *S*-nitroso-mercaptosuccinic acid; SNP, sodium nitroprusside.

* Based on Silveira et al. (2021a).

**Prices may vary among chemical companies and countries.

***It was not possible to accurately verify the NO release profile from SNP-CNPs.