

1 Published as: Veraverbeke, S., Verstraeten, W.W., Lhermitte, S. , Van de
2 Kerchove, R., Goossens, R. (2012), Assessment of post-fire changes in land
3 surface temperature and surface albedo, and their relation with fire-burn
4 severity using multitemporal MODIS imagery. *International Journal of Wildland*
5 *Fire*, vol. 21(3): 243-256.

6
7 **Assessment of post-fire changes in land surface temperature and surface albedo, and**
8 **their relation with fire/burn severity using multi-temporal MODIS imagery**

9 Running head: Post-fire changes in land surface temperature and surface albedo, and their
10 relation with fire/burn severity

11 Sander Veraverbeke^{A,B,F}, Willem W. Verstraeten^{C,D}, Stefaan Lhermitte^{C,E}, Ruben Van De
12 Kerchove^A and Rudi Goossens^A

13 A Department of Geography, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281 S8, BE-9000 Ghent, Belgium

14 B Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, US-
15 91109 Pasadena, USA

16 C Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, PO Box 201, NL-3730 AE, De Bilt, the
17 Netherlands

18 D Fluid Dynamics Department, Eindhoven University of Technology, Postbus 513, NL-5600,
19 the Netherlands

20 E Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Zonas Aridas, Universidad de la Serena, Campus A.
21 Bello, Chile

22 F Corresponding author. EMail: Sander.S.Veraverbeke@jpl.nasa.gov

23 **Brief summary.** This paper assesses post-fire changes in land surface temperature (LST) and
24 surface albedo (α) using remotely sensed time series on the extensive 2007 wildfires in
25 Greece. In addition it evaluates the usefulness of these variables for assessing fire/burn

26 severity. The study relies on the control pixel selection procedure to mimic the burned pixels'
27 temporal behavior as there would not have been a fire. The type of fire-affected land cover
28 influenced the changes. Lag, i.e. time since fire, and seasonal timing also affected the
29 magnitude of post-fire changes. Moreover, this seasonality constrains the suitability of
30 remotely sensed LST and α layers as indicators of fire/burn severity.

31 **Abstract.** Wildfires cause local scale changes that importantly impact species richness,
32 habitats and community composition. This study evaluates the effects of the large 2007
33 Peloponnese (Greece) wildfires on changes in broadband surface albedo (α), day-time land
34 surface temperature (LST_d) and night-time LST (LST_n) using a two-year post-fire time series
35 of Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite data. In addition it
36 assesses the potential of remotely sensed α and LST as indicators for fire/burn. Firstly, a
37 pixel-based control plot selection procedure was initiated based on a pre-fire time series
38 similarity of biophysical variables (α , LST_d, LST_n and Normalized Difference Vegetation
39 Index (NDVI)). Then differences in mean NDVI, α , LST_d and LST_n of the control and
40 burned pixels were compared. Fire severity is defined as the magnitude of change caused by a
41 fire as gauged immediately after the fire event, while burn severity also incorporates
42 vegetation regeneration processes. Fire/burn severity was estimated based on the magnitude of
43 the post-fire NDVI drop. Immediately after the fire event mean α dropped up to 0.039
44 (standard deviation = 0.012) ($p < 0.001$), mean LST_d increased up to 8.4 (3.0) K ($p < 0.001$),
45 and mean LST_n decreased up to -1.2 (1.5) K ($p < 0.001$) for high severity plots ($p < 0.001$).
46 After this initial alteration, fire-induced changes become clearly smaller and seasonality starts
47 governing the α and LST time series. Compared to the fire-induced changes in α and LST,
48 the post-fire NDVI drop was more persistent in time. This temporal constraint restricts the
49 utility of remotely sensed α and LST as indicators for fire burn severity. For these moments
50 changes in α and LST were significant, the magnitude of changes was related to fire/burn

51 severity elucidating the importance of vegetation as a regulator of land surface energy fluxes.
52 Changes varied also per land cover type: changes in forests were more profound and
53 persistent than those in shrub land. The characteristic phenology of land covers (e.g.
54 coniferous vs. deciduous forest) also resulted in a clearly different post-fire behavior. This
55 research provides insights in the understanding of short-term fire effects on regional climate.

56 **Additional keywords.** Land Surface Temperature (LST), albedo, NDVI, MODIS, remote
57 sensing, fire, climate, severity, satellite

58 **Introduction**

59 Biomass burning is a major disturbance in almost all terrestrial ecosystems (Dwyer et al.
60 1999; Pausas 2004; Riano et al. 2007) partially or completely removing the vegetation layer
61 and affecting post-fire vegetation composition (Epting and Verbyla 2005; Lentile et al. 2005).
62 The fire-induced vegetation depletion causes abrupt changes in carbon, energy and water
63 fluxes at local scale (Bremer and Ham 1999; Amiro et al. 2006a; Montes-Helu et al. 2009),
64 thereby influencing species richness, habitats and community composition (Moretti et al.
65 2002; Capitaino and Carcaillet 2008). Understanding these local scale changes in fluxes, is
66 therefore essential for management practices as they will have a strong impacts on the water
67 and energy balances (Bremer and Ham 1999; Amiro et al. 2006a), and may cause changes in
68 circulation and regional heating patterns (Beringer et al. 2002; Wendt et al. 2007).

69 A key parameter in post-fire management is fire/burn severity. Fire/burn severity relates to the
70 degree of environmental change caused by a fire (Key and Benson 2005). Although the terms
71 fire and burn severity are often interchangeable used (Boer et al. 2008; Keeley 2009), some
72 authors suggest to clearly differentiate between them (Lentile et al. 2006; Veraverbeke et al.
73 2010a). By doing so, fire severity gauges the fire impact in the pre-recovery phase accounting
74 solely for the direct fire effects. Burn severity, in contrast, combines both the immediate fire

75 impact with ecosystems responses (mainly vegetation regeneration). The main driver for the
76 terminological difference thus relies on the temporal dynamics of the post-fire environment
77 (Key 2006; Veraverbeke et al. 2010a). Remote sensing has proven to be a time-and cost-
78 effective means for mapping wildfire effects (a.o. Viedma et al. 1997; Stroppiana et al. 2002;
79 Lentile et al. 2006; Riano et al. 2007; van Leeuwen 2008). The remote sensing of burned area,
80 fire/burn severity and vegetation regeneration mapping has a long tradition in the use of
81 vegetation indices (VIs) (a.o. Cahoon et al. 1994; Barbosa et al. 1999; Chafer et al. 2004;
82 Chuvieco et al. 2008; French et al. 2008; Clemente et al. 2009). Although the ubiquitous
83 Normalized Difference Vegetation Index relates reasonably well to fire/burn severity (Chafer
84 et al. 2004; Hammill and Bradstock 2006, Veraverbeke et al. 2010b, Lhermitte et al. 2011),
85 the Normalized Burn Ratio (NBR) has become increasingly popular as it consistently
86 outperforms the NDVI for assessing immediate post-fire effects (Epting et al. 2005; French et
87 al. 2008; Veraverbeke et al. 2010b). For monitoring post-fire vegetation recovery, however,
88 the NDVI still is by far the most widely used index (a.o. Viedma et al. 1997, van Leeuwen
89 2008, Clemente et al. 2009, Lhermitte et al. 2010, van Leeuwen et al. 2010). Hitherto, rather
90 few studies have assessed the potential of remotely sensed bioclimatic variables other than
91 VIs with regards to post-fire effects. A suggestion in this direction originates from Lyons et
92 al. (2008). In their study of the post-fire albedo changes in forested ecotypes in Alaska they
93 saw some potential in the use of bi-temporally differenced metric based on surface albedo as a
94 complementary index to the NBR for estimating fire/burn severity. To date, the majority of
95 the post-fire effects studies has been conducted based on Landsat imagery because of its
96 beneficial spatial resolution for regional-scale studies (French et al. 2008). The use of Landsat
97 imagery, however, can be constrained due to cloud cover (Ju and Roy 2008) and image-to-
98 image normalization problems (Verbyla et al. 2008; Veraverbeke et al. 2010c). Due to the
99 limited image availability, Landsat studies cannot fully account for the temporal dynamics of

100 a post-fire environment. At the expense of spatial detail, low resolution imagery with high
101 temporal frequency pose a solution for this issue (Veraverbeke et al. 2011; Lhermitte et al.
102 2011).

103 Several field studies have assessed these effects of fire on bioclimatic variables. In this
104 context, the surface blackening due to charring causes a clear albedo decrease immediately
105 after the fire event (Bremer and Ham 1999; Beringer et al. 2003; Amiro et al. 2006*b*; Wendt et
106 al. 2007; Tsuyuzaki et al. 2009). This decrease is up to half the pre-fire values (Bremer and
107 Ham 1999) and the magnitude of change is dependent on the plot's fire severity (Beringer et
108 al. 2003). This effect, however, is short-lived since albedo quickly recovers to pre-fire values
109 when char materials are removed by weathering and vegetation starts to regenerate (Bremer
110 and Ham 1999; Tsuyuzaki et al. 2009). After the initial short drop, albedo tends to increase
111 during the next post-fire years, especially during the summer season, and the persistency of
112 this increase is function of the rate of vegetation regeneration (Amiro et al. 1999). Albedo
113 values are subject to seasonality and as consequence dissimilarities between evergreen and
114 deciduous ecotypes are evident. Summertime albedo is higher for deciduous ecosystems,
115 while in winter differences are minor (Amiro et al. 2006*b*), although in winter snow cover
116 often importantly impacts the surface albedo (Betts and Ball 1997). Another typical post-fire
117 change is an increase in Bowen ratio, which is defined as the ratio between sensible and latent
118 heat fluxes (Bowen 1926; Beringer et al. 2003; Amiro et al. 2006*b*; Wendt et al. 2007). This is
119 due to the decrease in latent heat flux and the consequent decrease in cooling by
120 evapotranspiration (Wendt et al. 2007). The energy partitioning is, however, also subject to
121 seasonal changes; the evaporative fraction for example will be higher during the subsequent
122 wet season after the fire than immediately post-fire (Montes-Helu et al. 2009). It has also been
123 demonstrated that the evapotranspiration is considerably higher for regenerating deciduous
124 forest stands compared to evergreens (Amiro et al. 2006*a*). Conversely, sensible and ground

125 heat fluxes reveal a sharp increase shortly after the fire event. Consequently soil and air
126 temperatures are markedly higher after fire occurrence (Wendt et al. 2007). The measured
127 temperature differences between burned and unburned control plots are generally up to 2-8 K
128 (Amiro et al. 1999; Bremer and Ham 1999; Wendt et al. 2007; Montes-Helu et al. 2009).
129 Persistency of these fire-induced microclimatic changes depends on fire severity (Beringer et
130 al. 2003) and ecosystem type, ranging from about one year in grasslands (Bremer and Ham
131 1999) to up to several decades in forests (Amiro et al. 2006*b*). From a remote sensing
132 perspective, rather few studies have analyzed spatio-temporal patterns of post-fire albedo and
133 surface temperature. These studies that examined the effect of fire on surface heating all
134 reported the expected temperature increase in the immediate post-fire environment (Lopez and
135 Caselles 1991; Cahoon et al. 1994; Eva and Lambin 1998; Lambin et al. 2003), while albedo
136 values were halved immediately after the fire (Jin and Roy 2005; Lyons et al 2008).

137 Traditional pre-/post-fire image differencing is impeded by temporal constraints (Key 2006,
138 Verbyla et al. 2008, Veraverbeke et al. 2010*ac*). Difficulties arise from both lag timing, i.e.
139 time since fire, and seasonal timing. Even small inter-annual phenological differences can
140 result in the detection of false trends (Key 2006, Verbyla et al. 2008, Lhermitte et al. 2011).
141 To anticipate these false trends Diaz-Delgado and Pons (2001) proposed to compare burned
142 plots with unburned control plots within the same image. As such, external and
143 meteorological variations are minimized among the compared areas. Lhermitte et al. (2010)
144 extended this rationale by making the control plot selection method spatially explicit. In
145 contrast to the reference plot procedure of Diaz-Delgado and Pons (2001), the pixel-based
146 method assigns a unique unburned control plot time series to each burned pixel, and as such
147 account is made for within-burn heterogeneity. This control plot selection is based on the
148 similarity between time series of the burned pixel and the time series of its surrounding
149 unburned pixels for a pre-fire year (Lhermitte et al. 2010). So far, the pixel-based control plot

150 selection procedure has only been used to analyze fire-induced changes in vegetation
151 (Lhermitte et al. 2010; Veraverbeke et al. 2010a).

152 Hence, in this paper post-fire changes in remotely sensed bioclimatic variables are monitored
153 based on the control plot selection procedure. More specifically we aim (i) to analyze post-fire
154 changes in surface albedo and Land Surface Temperature (LST) and (ii) to evaluate the
155 potential of remotely sensed albedo and LST as indicators for fire/burn severity. The first aim
156 wishes to contribute to the understanding of how fire alters the environment whereas the
157 second goal meets the suggestion of Lyons et al. (2008) to test the potential of new metrics for
158 assessing post-fire effects. The case study is conducted on the large 2007 Peloponnese
159 (Greece) wildfires. The study makes use of multi-temporal Moderate Resolution Imaging
160 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) imagery.

161 **Data and study area**

162 *Study area*

163 The study focuses on several large fires situated at the Peloponnese peninsula, in southern
164 Greece (36°30'-38°30' N, 21°-23° E) (Figure 1). All the fires date from the 2007 summer.
165 These fires were the worst natural disaster of the last decades in Greece, both in terms of
166 human losses and the extent of the burned area. Elevations range between 0 and 2404 m above
167 sea level. Limestone sediments cover most of the mountainous inland. Also significant
168 outcrops of sediments occur (Institute of Geology and Mineral Exploration 1983, Higgins et
169 al. 1996). The hilly and mountainous inland is covered with shallow and gravelly soils
170 (European Commission 2005). The climate is typically Mediterranean with hot, dry summers
171 and mild, wet winters. For the Kalamata meteorological station (37°4' N, 22°1' E) the average
172 annual temperature is 17.8 °C and the mean annual precipitation equals 780 mm (Figure 2).
173 The fires consumed more than 175 000 ha, which consisted of 57% shrub land, 21%

174 coniferous forest, 20% olive groves and 2% deciduous forest (Veraverbeke et al. 2010a). A
175 pre-fire land cover map of the burned areas is given in figure 1. Black pine (*Pinus nigra*) is
176 the dominant conifer species. The shrub layer is characterised by e.g. *Quercus coccifera*, *Q.*
177 *frainetto*, *Pistacia lentiscus*, *Cistus salvifolius*, *C. incanus*, *Erica arborea*, *Sarcopoterum*
178 *spinosum*. The olive groves consist of *Olea europaea* trees, whereas oaks are the dominant
179 deciduous species. Mediterranean-type shrub lands are highly resilient to burning due to both
180 obligate seeder and resprouter fire-adapted strategies. They regenerate as a rule in a couple of
181 years (Trabaud 1981, Capitaino and Carcaillet 2008) in a so called autosuccession process
182 (Hanes 1971). Conversely, the recovery of the forests is considerably slower and can take up
183 to several decades (Viedma et al. 1997, van Leeuwen et al. 2010).

184 FIGURE 1 HERE

185 FIGURE 2 HERE

186 *Data*

187 MODIS satellite time series were used in this study. The MODIS sensor is onboard the Terra
188 and Aqua satellites and provides daily observations at 1:30 AM (Aqua ascending node), 10:30
189 AM (Terra descending node), 1:30 PM (Aqua descending node) and 10:30 PM (Terra
190 ascending node) local time (Justice et al. 2002). Terra MODIS 16-day vegetation indices (1
191 km) (MOD13A2) (Huete et al. 2002), combined Terra/Aqua MODIS 16-day albedo (1 km)
192 (MCD43B3) (Schaaf et al. 2002), Terra MODIS 8-day LST (1 km) (MOD11A2) and Aqua
193 MODIS 8-day LST (1 km) (MYD11A2) with 1 K accuracy (Wan 2008) tiles covering the
194 study area were acquired from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
195 Warehouse Inventory Search Tool (WIST) (<https://wist.echo.nasa.gov>) for the period
196 01/01/2006 till 31/12/2009. NDVI, broadband (0.3-5.0 μ m) white-sky albedo (α), LST_d,
197 LST_n and associated Quality Assurance (QA) layers were subsequently extracted. We are

198 aware that by using low resolution imagery, spatial heterogeneity is sacrificed to some degree
199 (Key 2006), however recent research has highlighted the importance of the temporal
200 dimension of post-fire effects (Veraverbeke et al. 2010a, 2011; Lhermitte et al., 2). This
201 explains our choice for low resolution MODIS imagery which is characterized by its high
202 temporal frequency. The preprocessing steps included subsetting, reprojecting, compositing
203 and creating continuous time series. The study area was clipped and the NDVI, α , LST and
204 QA layers were reprojected into the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) with the World
205 Geodetic System 84 (WGS 84) as geodetic datum. Subsequently, the 8-day LST layers were
206 composited in 16-day composites using the Maximum Value Composite (MVC) criterion
207 (Holben, 1986). As such, the temporal resolution of the LST composites matches the NDVI
208 and α composites' temporal resolution. By applying the MVC criterion high LST values are
209 favored. This is justified as previous research mainly indicated the importance of the post-fire
210 temperature increase (Lopez and Caselles 1991; Cahoon et al. 1994; Eva and Lambin 1998;
211 Amiro et al. 1999; Bremer and Ham 1999; Lambin et al. 2003; Wendt et al. 2007; Montes-
212 Helu et al. 2009). After compositing a local second-order polynomial function, also known as
213 an adaptive Savitzky-Golay filter (Savitzky and Golay 1964), was applied to the time series as
214 implemented in the TIMESAT software (Jonsson and Eklundh 2004) to replace bad
215 observations. The TIMESAT program allows the inclusion of a preprocessing. These masks
216 are translated into weights, zero and one, that determine the uncertainty of the data values.
217 Disturbed observations were identified using the cloud, aerosol and snow algorithm flags of
218 the QA layers. These flags consist of binary layers which permit to assign a zero weight value
219 to disturbed observations. Consequently these data do not influence the filter procedure. Due
220 to the low altitude (0-1000 m) of the burned areas, these locations do not experience a
221 permanent snow cover in the Mediterranean winter. In our study region, snow cover thus does
222 not heavily impact ecosystem functioning. For this reason we totally excluded snow effects

223 from the analysis. Only the values of the masked observations were replaced to retain as much
 224 as possible the original NDVI, α and LST values.

225 **Methodology**

226 *Control pixel selection*

227 To minimize external and phenological variations a pixel based control plot selection method
 228 (Lhermitte et al. 2010) was implemented. This control pixel selection makes use of time series
 229 similarity and spatial context. The selection is based on the similarity of NDVI, α , and LST
 230 time series between burned pixels and their surrounding unburned pixels during the pre-fire
 231 year 2006 and the averaged Euclidian distance D was used as dissimilarity measure:

$$232 \quad D = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t=1}^N (sNDVI_t^f - sNDVI_t^x)^2 + (s\alpha_t^f - s\alpha_t^x)^2 + (sLSTd_t^f - sLSTd_t^x)^2 + (sLSTn_t^f - sLSTn_t^x)^2}{N}}$$

233 (5)

234 where $sNDVI_t^f$ is the burned focal pixel standardized NDVI time series, $sNDVI_t^x$ is the
 235 unburned candidate control pixel standardized NDVI time series, $s\alpha_t^f$ is the focal pixel
 236 standardized α time series, $s\alpha_t^x$ is the candidate control pixel standardized α time series,
 237 $sLSTd_t^f$ is the focal pixel standardized day-time LST time series, $sLSTd_t^x$ is the candidate
 238 control pixel standardized day-time LST time series, $sLSTn_t^f$ is the focal pixel standardized
 239 night-time LST time series, $sLSTn_t^x$ is the candidate control pixel standardized night-time
 240 LST time series, while N is the number of observations in the pre-fire year ($N=23$). The time
 241 series were standardized to provide equal weight to all data layers during the control pixel
 242 selection procedure. This standardization was accomplished by the following formula:

$$243 \quad sX = \frac{X - X_{mean}}{X_{sd}} \quad (6)$$

244 where sX is the standardized NDVI, α , or LST, X is the original NDVI, α , or LST, X_{mean} is
245 the spatio-temporal mean NDVI, α , or LST of all pixels, while X_{sd} represents the spatio-
246 temporal NDVI, α or LST standard deviation of all pixels.

247 For valid control plot estimates, control pixels must correspond to the focal pixel in case the
248 fire had not occurred. Firstly, this implies identical pre-fire characteristics for both control and
249 focal pixels. Secondly, it means similar post-fire environmental conditions. To determine the
250 appropriate control pixel selection criteria, the method of Lhermitte et al. (2010) was
251 calibrated to our dataset based on post-fire similarity, since we wish to estimate how the
252 NDVI, α and LST would have behaved in case of no fire occurrence. In this context, the
253 accuracy of the control pixel selection is assessed by looking at the pre- and post-fire
254 similarity of fictively burned pixels. This approach allows to effectively assessing how
255 parameters c , the number of control pixels, and $w \times w$, the window size around the focal pixel,
256 affect the post-fire similarity. In this context, 500 unburned pixels were randomly selected and
257 a fictive burning date was set for these pixels at the same composite date the real fire event
258 took place. Subsequently, the sensitivity of dissimilarity criterion D to c and $w \times w$ was
259 assessed for each of these pixels by comparing the outcome for varying number of control
260 pixels ($c = 1, 2, \dots, 15$) and varying window sizes ($3 \times 3, 5 \times 5, \dots, 25 \times 25$). Not only the most
261 similar control pixel was considered because a beneficial averaging that removes random
262 noise in the time series has been perceived in previous research (Lhermitte et al. 2010). As a
263 result the averaged time series of the two (or more) most similar pixels potentially provides
264 better results. Evaluation consisted of measuring the temporal dissimilarity D for the 500
265 fictively burned sample pixels one year pre-fire and one year post-fire. This allows to
266 determine how well pre-fire similarity is maintained after a fictive burning date and how pre-
267 /post-fire changes in similarity are related to the number of control pixels (c) and window size

268 ($w \times w$). More information on the control pixel selection procedure can be found in Lhermitte
269 et al. (2010) and Veraverbeke et al. (2010a).

270 *Analysis method*

271 The control plot selection procedure allowed generating two-year post-fire time series of
272 NDVI, α , and LST (at 1:30 AM, 10:30 AM, 1:30 PM and 10:30 PM local time) as best
273 estimates of how these variables would have behaved without fire occurrence. We aim to
274 quantify the fire-induced changes in α and LST between focal and control pixels and to
275 investigate their relation with the changes in NDVI provoked by fire. The mathematical
276 formulation of these changes is:

$$277 \quad dX_t = X_t^f - X_t^c \quad (7)$$

278 where X_t^f is the NDVI, α or LST value of the focal burned pixels at time t, X_t^c is the
279 NDVI, α or LST of the control pixels and dX_t is the difference in NDVI, α or LST between
280 focal and control pixels. The statistical significance of this difference is assessed by
281 performing a z-test of the null hypothesis that dX_t follow a normal distribution with mean 0.
282 Results are separately analyzed for different land cover and fire/burn severity classes. Land
283 cover types were determined based on the classification of Veraverbeke et al. (2010a)
284 resampled to a 1 km resolution (Figure 1). As a proxy for fire/burn severity we used a three-
285 class equal interval dNDVI-stratification. For assessing immediate post-fire effects the NBR
286 generally results in a stronger correlation with field data than the NDVI (Epting et al. 2005,
287 French et al. 2008), however the NDVI's ability of capturing the severity of fire-induced
288 changes has been proven in a multitude of studies (a.o. Isaev et al. 2002; Diaz-Delgado et al.
289 2003; Chafer et al. 2004; Hammill and Bradstock 2006, Lhermitte et al. 2011). Specifically
290 for the Peloponnese burns Landsat dNDVI data related reasonably well with field data of
291 severity ($R^2=0.46$, Veraverbeke et al. 2010b). To account for both immediate fire effects and

292 vegetation recovery, which is prominent in a Mediterranean ecosystem over a two-year post-
293 fire period, we considered the NDVI appropriate. While we recognize the spatial
294 generalization of low resolution MODIS imagery compared to Landsat, previous research in
295 the study area demonstrated a relatively high correlation between downsampled Landsat and
296 MODIS spectral indices ($R^2=0.45-0.59$, Veraverbeke et al. 2010a, 2011). The choice for
297 MODIS imagery is governed by its repeated temporal sampling, which is beneficial for
298 considering the temporal dynamics of the post-fire environment (Veraverbeke et al. 2010a,
299 2011; Lhermitte et al. 2011). We consider a dynamic dNDVI-stratification with three classes
300 (LS: low severity, MS: moderate severity, HS: high severity) for each composite data
301 separately as reliable means to present and summarize results (White et al. 1996; Chafer et al.
302 2004; Hammill and Bradstock 2006; Escuin et al. 2008). By applying a separate stratification
303 for each time step we take into account the temporal component of post-fire effects (Key
304 2006; Lentile et al. 2006; Veraverbeke et al. 2010a). As we will conduct a distinct analysis for
305 each land cover type, we did not use the relative version of a differenced spectral index as
306 proposed by Miller and Thode (2007) to account for heterogeneity in pre-fire cover when
307 assessing fire effects.

308 **Results**

309 *Control pixel selection*

310 Figure 3A reflects D in function of varying number of control pixels and window size for a
311 pre-fire year. It shows the median temporal similarity of the 500 unburned sample pixels. The
312 median is used instead of the mean as it is more robust in the presence of outlier values. Two
313 main effects are observed in the figure. Firstly, the number of control pixels chosen influenced
314 the dissimilarity measure due to an averaging effect. The strength of this averaging effect was
315 dependent on window size: the averaging effect became more important for larger window
316 sizes. Secondly, there was a consistently decreasing trend in pre-fire D when window size

317 enlarged. This feature appeared regardless of the number of control pixels chosen. This
318 finding contrasts with what is visible in figure 3B, which represents the post-fire D in function
319 of varying number of control pixels and window size. Here, one can see that D obtained an
320 optimum for intermediate window sizes. For the large window sizes D started increasing
321 again. As a result, differences between pre- and post-fire similarity enlarged for large
322 windows. This effect originates from the possible selection of distant pixels that have higher
323 probability of showing different post-fire environmental conditions in larger windows
324 (Lhermitte et al. 2010). As we wish to estimate the post-fire behavior of NDVI, α and LST,
325 post-fire similarity is the decision criterion to determine the control plot selection setting
326 (Veraverbeke et al. 2010a). Based on figures 3A-B the control pixel selection was calibrated
327 by taking the average of the seven most similar pixels out of 120 candidate pixels ($11 \times 11 - 1$),
328 which corroborates findings of Lhermitte et al. (2010) and Veraverbeke et al. (2010a).

329 FIGURE 3 HERE

330 Figure 4 shows the relationship between the pre- and post-fire similarity D for the approach
331 with the seven most similar pixels out of 120 candidate pixels. It reflects how pre-fire D
332 provides an indicator for post-fire D . The majority of the pixels exhibit a linear relationship,
333 however, two types of outliers occur. The first type of outliers represents data with relatively
334 high pre-fire D . For these points the selection results in a suboptimal control pixel. The
335 second type of outliers has relatively elevated post-fire D values. These are pixels for which
336 changes occurred after the fictive burning date. Figure 4 shows that the outliers only represent
337 a small fraction of the data cloud. As such, pre-fire D can be considered as a good indicator
338 for post-fire D for the majority of the pixels.

339 FIGURE 4 HERE

340 *Post-fire NDVI changes*

341 The forthcoming sections summarize results of the post-fire changes in NDVI, α and LST.
342 To minimize the occurrence of numbers in the text, tables 1 and 2 tabulate some absolute
343 values of changes for exemplary moments. In this respect, tables 1-2 are complementary to
344 figures 5-8.

345 Figures 5A-D show the post-fire development of focal and control pixels' mean NDVI per
346 land cover type. One can clearly infer the immediate post-fire drop. This drop was more
347 explicit for forests than for shrub land and olive groves. After this initial decrease, the effects
348 of both vegetation regeneration and seasonality became apparent. Figures 5E-H display the
349 post-fire dNDVI values per land cover type. For all land cover type the magnitude of the
350 dNDVI change decreases when time elapses, however, inter-annual differences remain
351 visible. The crosses in the figure indicate when the mean value significantly deviates from
352 zero ($p < 0.001$). Except from some observations of the deciduous forest class, all post-fire
353 NDVI changes are statistically significant.

354 FIGURE 5 HERE

355 *Post-fire α changes*

356 In figures 6A-D the post-fire trends in α for control and focal pixels are plotted per land
357 cover type. One can see an immediate post-fire α drop for all covers. During the one-year
358 post-fire summer the focal pixels' α of the evergreen covers (shrub land, olive groves and
359 coniferous forest) excelled the control pixels values. This α increase was even more explicit
360 during the second post-fire summer. During winter periods α changes are small. In figure 6E-
361 H one can see the temporal development and significance of d α values per land cover type.
362 In contrast with the majority of the summer observations, winter changes in α are not
363 significant for most of the observations. Post-fire α changes per severity class are presented
364 in figures 6I-L. The magnitude of the post-fire drop was related to fire severity and land cover

365 class. For forested covers the α decrease was more explicit. For deciduous forest for example
366 the α drop was up to 0.039 (0.012) for the HS class ($p < 0.001$). For the evergreen land cover
367 types the α change of the HS class already became positive during the first post-fire winter.
368 In the subsequent post-fire summers this resulted in an increased α of for example 0.016
369 (0.009) for coniferous forest ($p < 0.001$) two summers post-fire. α changes in LS and MS
370 classes were minor. Except for the immediate post-fire drop, differences in α changes
371 between the severity classes are less obvious for deciduous forest.

372 FIGURE 5 HERE

373 *Post-fire LST_d changes*

374 Results of the MODIS Terra and Aqua LST analyses revealed very similar trends. As a
375 consequence only the Aqua LST analysis is presented. Figure 7A-D depict the mean LST_d of
376 the control and focal pixels per land cover class. In all land covers, the fire caused a clear
377 LST_d increase immediately post-fire and during the subsequent summer periods, while in
378 winter changes are minor. The magnitude of the LST_d increase during subsequent summers
379 became less explicit as time elapsed. In figures 7E-H the mean dLST_d is plotted for the two-
380 year post-fire period. Regardless of land cover type, one can see that the post-fire LST_d
381 changes are significant during summer periods, whereas during winter periods many
382 observations did not reveal a significant difference. Figures 7I-L present the dLST_d changes
383 per severity class. It is clear that the magnitude of the dLST_d change depends on land cover
384 and severity class. For the HS class of coniferous forest, for example, the immediate post-fire
385 dLST_d increase equaled 8.4 (3.0) K, while during the first and second post-fire summer dLST_d
386 values of respectively 5.4 (2.3) K and 1.7 (1.2) K were obtained ($p < 0.001$). During winter
387 changes were minor and even sporadically negative, although these observations were not
388 significant.

389 FIGURE 7 HERE

390 *Post-fire LST_n changes*

391 Figure 8A-D depict the two-year post-fire temporal evolution of mean LST_n of the control and
392 focal pixels per land cover class. In these plots it is very difficult to discriminate between the
393 control and focal pixels. Thus, changes in LST_n were very small. This is also illustrated in
394 figures 8E-H, which show the mean dLST_n values per land cover type. Results show a
395 tendency of a post-fire LST_n decrease. Except for the persistent significance of the post-fire
396 LST_n decrease over coniferous forest, the majority of changes were insignificant. Figures 8I-L
397 present the mean dLST_n per severity class. The relationship between severity class and dLST_n
398 was also only clear for coniferous forest. For the HS class of coniferous forest for example, a
399 consistent LST_n decrease was observed up to values of -1.4 (1.0) K during the one-year post-
400 fire winter.

401 FIGURE 8 HERE

402 TABLE 1 HERE

403 TABLE 2 HERE

404 **Discussion**

405 *Control pixel selection*

406 The strength of the control pixel selection procedure is its ability to mimic a burned pixel's
407 behavior as there had not been a fire. The method therefore assesses the similarity in the
408 temporal profiles of a burned pixel and its closest unburned neighbor pixels. By doing so, the
409 procedure implicitly tends to select control pixels which exhibit similar vegetation (e.g. type,
410 density, etc.) and environmental (e.g. topography, geology, climatology, etc.) conditions. The
411 actual selection relies on pre-fire similarity as post-fire similarity information is unavailable
412 after the burning date. However, only considering pre-fire time series would not account for

413 inter-annual meteorological variations. For this reason, a calibration was set up based on 500
414 fictively burned pixels. This calibration allows assessing the relationship between pre- and
415 post-fire similarity. As inferred from figure 3B, in contrast with figure 3A, the most optimal
416 setting tends to select control pixels relatively close to the burned pixels. This effect arises
417 from the selection of distant pixels with different post-fire meteorological conditions for
418 larger window sizes (Lhermitte et al. 2010; Veraverbeke et al. 2010). Figure 4 demonstrates
419 that pre-fire similarity is a valid indicator of post-fire similarity for the majority of the pixels.
420 For some pixels, however, the selected control pixel will be suboptimal. This is especially true
421 for control pixels which experienced considerable changes, such as land management
422 practices, after the fire date. A comprehensive discussion on the control pixel selection
423 procedure can be found in Lhermitte et al. (2010) and Veraverbeke et al. (2010).

424 *Post-fire NDVI changes*

425 Figure 5 confirms the utility of the NDVI for monitoring fire-induced changes. The NDVI
426 time series, however, are also subject to seasonal variations. The timing of image acquisition,
427 both in terms of lag and seasonal timing, thus impacts the NDVI response (Key 2006; Verbyla
428 et al. 2008; Veraverbeke et al. 2010a; Lhermitte et al. in press). The seasonality of the NDVI
429 response also depends on land cover type. In our study, deciduous forest shows markedly
430 higher seasonal variations than evergreen species. Despite of these temporal constraints, the
431 fire-induced changes in NDVI were clearly more persistent than changes in α and LST (see
432 figures 6-8). Except for some winter observations over deciduous forest the NDVI appears to
433 be a good discriminator between control and focal pixels. In contrast, seasonality dominates
434 the temporal profiles of α and LST variables. The usefulness of these variables to
435 discriminate fire-affected areas, thus, heavily depends on assessment timing.

436 *Post-fire α changes*

437 The effects of fire on α are multiple. Firstly, an immediate post-fire decrease in α is
438 observed. This decrease was up to 0.039 (0.012) for the HS class in deciduous forest. This
439 outcome is in line with previously published findings that report α drops in the range of 0.01-
440 0.05 (Beringer et al. 2003; Jin and Roy 2005; Amiro et al. 2006a; Lyons et al. 2008). Two of
441 these studies were also based on MODIS imagery (Jin and Roy 2005; Lyons et al. 2008).
442 Lyons et al. (2008) observed a merely slight decrease of 0.012 (0.005), while the average α
443 drop of 0.024 reported by Jin and Roy (2005) more closely approximates our values. The
444 main reason for the immediate post-fire α decrease is the large-scale replacement of living
445 vegetation with black carbon on the surface. Char materials strongly absorb the incoming
446 sunlight and as such they cause a significant reduction of the reflection-to-incoming sunlight
447 ratio. However, this effect had a relatively short duration, as during the first post-fire winter
448 period, which is a period of heavy rainfalls in the Mediterranean, most of the char materials
449 are removed by fluvial and aeolian forces (Pereira et al. 1999). In figure 6 one can see that the
450 control pixels α values reveal a typical seasonality, which is closely connected with moisture
451 conditions. α values are clearly lower during wet winter periods than during dry summer
452 periods. However, as shown in figure 6, α values of undisturbed plots do not significantly
453 differ from those of burned plots during winter. This suggests, different from findings of
454 Tsuyuzaki et al. (2009), that the seasonal variations in surface moisture and the removal of
455 black carbon more importantly drive the α recovery than the early regeneration of vegetation.
456 It is, however, also recognized that leaves and branches of regenerating species have a higher
457 α than mature species (Betts and Ball 1997; Amiro et al. 2006b). The combination of char
458 removal and regenerating species cause an α increase during the post-fire summer periods.
459 This increase was even more explicit for the second post-fire summer than for the first. This
460 can be explained by the fact that after the first winter period the majority of surface's char
461 coating has been removed and early vegetation regeneration has started, but after the second

462 winter period even more of this char material is ablated and vegetation continued
463 regenerating. This implies the exposure of highly reflective soil and rock combined with
464 regenerating species, which results in an α increase (Lyons et al. 2008). These changes in
465 post-fire summer α depend on fire/burn severity. The magnitude of summer α change is
466 proportionally related to the degree of severity (see figure 5). In a long-term study (30 years
467 post-fire), Amiro et al. (2006a) ascertained that the α increase progressively weakens as
468 regenerating vegetation matures. Thus, where the immediate fire effect results in an increased
469 absorption of radiative energy, the long-term effect generally is an increased albedo (Amiro et
470 al. 2006a; Randerson et al. 2006). The quantification of these effects, together with an
471 accurate estimation of the amount of greenhouse gasses emitted by the fire and the subsequent
472 post-fire carbon sequestration of regenerating vegetation, are necessary for a holistic
473 comprehension of the effect of wildfires on regional and global climate. In this context,
474 Randerson et al. (2006) comprehensively demonstrated that, although the first post-fire year
475 resulted in a net warming, the long-term balance was negative. As such they concluded that an
476 increasing fire activity in the boreal region would not necessarily lead to a net climate
477 warming. However, these findings were restricted to the boreal eco-region and a similar net
478 balance has not yet been formulated for more quickly recovering ecosystems, such as in fire-
479 prone (sub)tropical and mediterranean regions.

480 *Post-fire LST changes*

481 Besides assessing fire-induced changes in LST with respect to lag and seasonal timing,
482 MODIS imagery also permits a study of diurnal differences. Immediately post-fire LST_d
483 increases. The magnitude of this increase depends on land cover and fire/burn severity class.
484 For the HS class of coniferous forest the focal pixels' mean exceeded the control pixels' mean
485 with 8.4 (3.0) K. This is very similar to the 2-8 K immediate post-fire temperature day-time
486 temperature increases reported by other studies (Lopez and Caselles 1991; Cahoon et al. 1994;

487 Eva and Lambin 1998; Amiro et al. 1999; Bremer et al. 1999; Lambin et al. 2003; Wendt et
488 al. 2007; Montes-Helu et al. 2009). This effect has, however, only a very short duration as by
489 the onset of the wet winter, LST_d differences are minor. These findings corroborate with an
490 analogous study that assessed the influence of the deforestation on LST_d (Manoharan et al.
491 2009). These authors reported that LST_d is 4 to 8 K higher during the dry season for
492 deforested regions compared to nearby forests. However, during the wet season LST_d of
493 deforested and forested plots reach similar values. One can infer the same trend from figure 6.
494 During the one-year and subsequent post-fire summer seasons mean LST_d increases strongly
495 attenuate. This attenuation can be contributed to vegetation regeneration processes (see figure
496 4) and char removal. The summer LST_d increase is the driving force of the synchronous
497 increase in sensible and ground heat fluxes (Wendt et al. 2007). Little research has been
498 conducted so far to assess the post-fire changes in LST_n . The range of changes in LST_n is
499 relatively small. This makes it difficult to infer post-fire trends for this variable. The fire-
500 induced changes in LST_n are only persistent over coniferous forest. For this cover type a clear
501 relation between fire/burn severity and $dLST_n$ also exists. During the one-year post-fire winter
502 for example LST_n drops with -1.4 (1.0) K for the HS class over coniferous forest. It is
503 important to mention that the MVC criterion favors the detection of post-fire LST increases,
504 while it diminishes the observation of cold extremes. This potentially results in a slight
505 underestimation of the post-fire LST_n decrease. Generally spoken, fire, thus, creates a more
506 extreme environment with warmer days and colder nights. Another striking result lies within
507 the fact that both $dLST_n$ and $dLST_d$ observations of the last pre-fire composite of the
508 evergreen cover types are significantly higher than zero. This potentially opens perspectives to
509 use remotely sensed LST data as a real-time fire risk indicator (Manzo-Delgado et al. 2009).

510 *Relation between fire-induced changes in α , LST and fire/burn severity*

511 Fire-induced changes in α and LST show a marked seasonality. This results in significant
512 changes immediately post-fire and in summer periods and insignificant differences during
513 winter periods. Changes in NDVI, in contrast, are clearly more persistent. For α and LST the
514 magnitude of fire-induced changes is smaller than seasonal amplitude, while for vegetation
515 indices (VIs) the post-fire drop dominates the temporal profiles. This questions the proposal
516 of Lyons et al. (2008) to use pre-/post-fire differenced satellite-derived albedo data as
517 indicator of fire/burn severity. Fire/burn severity assessment timing already is a serious issue
518 when working with VIs (Key 2006; Verbyla et al. 2008; Veraverbeke et al. 2010*ac*).
519 Introducing biophysical parameters with high seasonal amplitude would only hamper this
520 more. Especially because fire/burn severity is traditionally estimated based on Landsat
521 imagery (French et al. 2008), which is frequently detracted by cloudy observations (Ju and
522 Roy 2008). Only the first post-fire observation of these variables shows some potential to be
523 used a fire severity indicator. Additionally, changes in LST are not only dependent on a plot's
524 fire/burn severity but also on the meteorological conditions of the acquisition period. This
525 feature limits the comparability of LST changes of different fires across space and time. For
526 these moments when changes in α and LST_d are significant, the magnitude of these changes
527 has indeed a very close relation with a plot's fire/burn severity, as estimated by its NDVI
528 change (see figures 6-8). This elucidates the importance of vegetation as an important
529 regulator of surface energy fluxes (Xiao and Weng 2007; Amiri et al. 2009; Manoharan et al.
530 2009; Tsuyuzaki et al. 2009). Fire thus creates a more arid environment with enhanced diurnal
531 and seasonal temperature fluctuations. Vegetation regeneration, however, progressively
532 tempers this effect and facilitates the long-term ecosystem recovery (Amiro et al. 2006*a*;
533 Tsuyuzaki et al. 2009). While the immediate post-fire changes in α and LST_d observed in
534 this study are consistent with previous results obtained in other ecosystems (a.o. Jin and Roy
535 2005; Lyons et al. 2008, Lopez and Caselles 1991; Cahoon et al. 1994; Eva and Lambin 1998;

536 Amiro et al. 1999; Bremer et al. 1999; Lambin et al. 2003; Wendt et al. 2007; Montes-Helu et
537 al. 2009) our analysis also incorporated seasonal changes. To date, rather few studies have
538 assessed the interference between fire-provoked changes and seasonality. It is obviously
539 recognized that this seasonality depends on the regional climate. In regions experiencing a
540 prominent period of snow cover, this feature will heavily influences seasonal cycles of energy
541 fluxes (Betts and Ball 1997).

542 **Conclusions**

543 In this study the pixel-based control plot selection procedure allowed a multi-temporal
544 assessment of the effects of the 2007 Peloponnese (Greece) wildfires on local climate during a
545 two-year post-fire period based on MODIS satellite imagery. Post-fire changes in vegetation,
546 α and LST were dependent on land cover type and fire/burn severity. Post-fire NDVI time
547 series were dominated by their post-fire NDVI drop, while changes in α and LST were
548 highly dependent on seasonality. Therefore VIs are more persistent to detect burns and to
549 discriminate severity levels. Surface α sharply decreased immediately after the fire event,
550 however, during subsequent summer period α increased, while during winter α changes
551 were minimal. LST_d was higher after the fire. This increase was especially obvious during
552 summer periods. The temperature increase attenuated as time elapsed, as a consequence of
553 regenerating vegetation. Changes in LST_n were very small and almost not significant, except
554 over coniferous forest where LST_n slightly decreased. The magnitude of these changes is
555 proportionally related with a plot's fire/burn severity, as assessed by the post-fire NDVI drop.
556 This study provides insights on the multi-temporal changes in energy fluxes in a fire-altered
557 environment, which have important ecological implications.

558 **Acknowledgements**

559 The study was initially financed by the Ghent University special research funds (BOF:
560 Bijzonder Onderzoeksfonds). Part of the work was carried out at the Jet Propulsion
561 Laboratory (JPL), California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National
562 Aeronautics and Space Administration. We are indebted to the reviewers and the editor for
563 their constructive remarks which resulted in an improved manuscript. The JPL author's
564 copyright for this publication is held by the California Institute of Technology.

565 **References**

566 Amiri R, Weng Q, Alimohammadi A, Kazem Alavipanah S (2009) Spatial-temporal dynamics
567 of land surface temperature in relation to fractional cover and land use/cover in the Tabriz
568 urban area, Iran. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **113**: 2606-2617.

569 Amiro B, MacPherson J, Desjardins R (1999) BOREAS flight measurements of forest-fire
570 effects on carbon dioxide and energy fluxes. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **96**: 199-
571 208.

572 Amiro B, Barr A, Black T, Iwashita H, Kljun N, McCaughey J, Morgenstern K, Muruyama S,
573 Nesic Z, Orchansky A, Saigusa N (2006a) Carbon, energy and water fluxes at mature and
574 disturbed forest sites, Saskatchewan, Canada. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **136**: 237-
575 251.

576 Amiro B, Orchansky A, Barr A, Black T, Chambers S, Chapin F, Goulden M, Litvak M, Liu
577 H, McCaughey J, McMillan A, Randerson J (2006b). The effect of post-fire stand age on the
578 boreal forest energy balance. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **140**: 41-50.

579 Barbosa P, Gregoire J, Pereira J (1999) An algorithm for extracting burned areas from time
580 series of AVHRR GAC data applied at a continental scale. *Remote Sensing of Environment*
581 **69**: 253-263.

582 Beringer J, Hutley L, Tapper N, Coutts A, Kerley A, O'Grady A (2003) Fire impacts on
583 surface heat, moisture and carbon fluxes from a tropical savanna in northern Australia.
584 *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **12**: 333-340.

585 Betts A, Ball J (1997) Albedo over the boreal forest. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **102**:
586 901-909.

587 Boer M, MacFarlane C, Norris J, Sadler R, Wallace J, Grierson P (2008) Mapping burned
588 areas and burn severity patterns in SW Australian eucalypt forest using remotely-sensed
589 changes in leaf area index. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **112**: 4358–4369.

590 Bowen I (1926) The ratio of heat losses by conduction and by evaporation from any water
591 surface. *Physical review* **27**: 779-787.

592 Bremer D, Ham J (1999) Effect of spring burning on the surface energy balance in a tallgrass
593 prairie. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **97**: 43-54.

594 Cahoon D, Stocks B, Levine J, Cofer W, Pierson J (1994) Satellite analysis of the severe 1987
595 forest fires in northern China and Southeastern Siberia. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **99**:
596 627-638.

597 Capitaino R, Carcaillet C (2008) Post-fire Mediterranean vegetation dynamics and diversity: a
598 discussion of succession models. *Forest Ecology and Management* **255**: 431–439.

599 Chafer C, Noonan M, Macnaught E (2004) The post-fire measurement of fire severity and
600 intensity in the Christmas 2001 Sydney wildfires. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **13**:
601 227-240.

602 Chuvieco E, Englefield P, Trishchenko A, Luo Y (2008) Generation of long time series of
603 burn area maps of the boreal forest from NOAA-AVHRR composite data. *Remote Sensing of*
604 *Environment* **112**: 2381-2396.

605 Clemente R, Navarro Cerrillo R, Gitas I (2009) Monitoring post-fire regeneration in
606 Mediterranean ecosystems by employing multitemporal satellite imagery. *International*
607 *Journal of Wildland Fire* **18**: 648–658.

608 Diaz-Delgado R, Pons X (2001) Spatial patterns of forest fires in Catalonia (NE of Spain)
609 along the period 1975-1995: analysis of vegetation recovery after fire. *Forest Ecology and*
610 *Management* **147**: 67-74.

611 Diaz-Delgado R, Lloret F, Pons X (2003) Influence of fire severity on plant regeneration by
612 means of remote sensing. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* **24**: 1751-1763.

613 Dwyer E, Perreira J, Grégoire J, DaCamara C (1999) Characterization of the spatio-temporal
614 patterns of global fire activity using satellite imagery for the period April 1992 to March
615 1993. *Journal of Biogeography* **27**: 57-69.

616 Epting J, Verbyla D (2005) Landscape-level interactions of prefire vegetation, burn severity,
617 and postfire vegetation over a 16-year period in interior Alaska. *Canadian Journal Forest*
618 *Research* **35**: 1367-1377.

619 Epting J, Verbyla D, Sorbel B (2005) Evaluation of remotely sensed indices for assessing
620 burn severity in interior Alaska using Landsat TM and ETM+. *Remote Sensing of*
621 *Environment* **96**: 328-339.

622 Escuin S, Navarro R, Fernandez P (2008) Fire severity assessment by using NBR
623 (Normalized Burn Ratio) and NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) derived from
624 LANDSAT TM/ETM images. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* **29**: 1053-1073.

625 European Commission (2005) 'Soil atlas of Europe' (Office for Official Publications of the
626 European Communities: Luxembourg)

627 Eva H, Lambin E (1998) Burnt area mapping in Central Africa using ATSR data.
628 *International Journal of Remote Sensing* **19**: 3473-3497.

629 French N, Kasischke E, Hall R, Murphy K, Verbyla D, Hoy E, Allen J (2008) Using Landsat
630 data to assess fire and burn severity in the North American boreal forest region: an overview
631 and summary of results. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **17**: 443-462.

632 Hammill K, Bradstock R (2006) Remote sensing of fire severity in the Blue Mountains:
633 influence of vegetation type and inferring fire intensity. *International Journal of Wildland*
634 *Fire* **15**: 213-226.

635 Hanes T. (1971) Succession after fire in the chaparral of southern California. *Ecological*
636 *Monographs* **41**, 27-52

637 Higgins M, Higgins R (1996) 'A geological companion to Greece and the Aegean.' (Cornell
638 University Press: Cornell)

639 Institute for Geology and Mineral Exploration (1983) Geological map of Greece 1:500 000
640 (Ordnance Survey: Southampton)

641 Isaev A, Korovin G, Bartalev S, Ershov D, Janetos A, Kasischke E, Shugart H, French N,
642 Orlick B, Murphy T (2002) Using remote sensing to assess Russian forest fire carbon
643 emissions. *Climatic Change* **55**: 239-245.

644 Holben B (1986) Characteristics of maximum-value composite images from temporal
645 AVHRR data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* **7**: 1417-1434.

646 Huete A, Didan K, Miura T, Rodriguez E, Gao X, Ferreira L (2002) Overview of the
647 radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices. *Remote Sensing*
648 *of Environment* **83**: 195-213.

649 Jin Y, Roy D. (2005) Fire-induced albedo-change and its radiative forcing at the surface in
650 northern Australia. *Geophysical Research Letters* **32**: L13401.

651 Jonsson P, Eklundh L (2004) TIMESAT-a program for analyzing time-series of satellite
652 sensor data. *Computers & Geosciences* **30**: 833-845.

653 Ju J, Roy D (2008) The availability of cloud-free Landsat ETM+ data over the conterminous
654 United States and globally. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **112**: 1196-1211.

655 Justice C, Townshend J, Vermote E, Masuoka E, Wolfe R, Saleous N, Roy D, Morisette J.
656 (2002) An overview of MODIS land data processing and products status. *Remote Sensing of*
657 *Environment* **83**: 3-15.

658 Keeley J (2009) Fire intensity, fire severity and burn severity: a brief review and suggested
659 usage. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **18**: 116-126.

660 Key C, Benson N (2005) Landscape assessment: ground measure of severity; the Composite
661 Burn Index, and remote sensing of severity, the Normalized Burn Index. In: 'FIREMON: Fire
662 effects monitoring and inventory system'. (Eds D Lutes, R Keane, J Caratti, C Key, N
663 Benson, S Sutherland, L Grangi). USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountains Research Station,
664 General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-164-CD LA, pp. 1-51.

665 Key C (2006) Ecological and sampling constraints on defining landscape fire severity. *Fire*
666 *Ecology* **2**: 34-59.

667 Lambin E, Goyvaerts K, Petit C (2003) Remotely-sensed indicators of burning efficiency of
668 savannah and forest fires. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* **24**: 3105-3118.

669 Lentile L, Smith F, Shepperd W (2005) Patch structure, fire-scar formation, and tree
670 regeneration in a large mixed-severity fire in the South Dakota Black Hills, USA. *Canadian*
671 *Journal Forest Research* **35**: 2875-2885.

672 Lentile L, Holden Z, Smith A, Falkowski M, Hudak A, Morgan P, Lewis S, Gessler P, Benson
673 N (2006) Remote sensing techniques to assess active fire characteristics and post-fire effects.
674 *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **15**: 319-345.

675 Lhermitte S, Verbesselt J, Verstraeten WW, Coppin P (2010) A pixel based regeneration
676 index using time series similarity and spatial context. *Photogrammetric Engineering and*
677 *Remote Sensing* **76**: 673-682.

678 Lhermitte S, Verbesselt J, Verstraeten WW, Veraverbeke S, Coppin P (2011) Assessing intra-
679 annual vegetation regrowth using the pixel based regeneration index. *ISPRS Journal of*
680 *Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* **66**: 17-27.

681 Lopez-Garcia M, Caselles V (1991) Mapping burns and natural reforestation using Thematic
682 Mapper data. *Geocarto International* **6**: 31-37.

683 Lyons E, Jin Y, Randerson J (2008). Changes in surface albedo after fire in boreal forest
684 ecosystems of interior Alaska assessed using MODIS satellite observations. *Journal of*
685 *Geophysical Research* **113**: G02012.

686 Manoharan V, Welch R, Lawton R (2009) Impact of deforestation on regional surface
687 temperature and moisture in the Maya lowlands of Guatemala. *Geophysical Research Letters*
688 **36**: L21701.

689 Manzo-Delgado L, Sanchez-Colon S, Alvarez R (2009) Assessment of seasonal forest fire
690 risk using NOAA-AVHRR: a case study in central Mexico. *International Journal of Remote*
691 *Sensing* **30**: 4991-5013.

692 Miller J, Thode A (2007) Quantifying burn severity in a heterogenous landscape with a
693 relative version of the delta Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR). *Remote Sensing of Environment*
694 **109**: 66-80.

695 Montes-Helu M, Kolb T, Dore S, Sullivan B, Hart S, Koch G, Hungate B (2009) Persistent
696 effects of fire-induced vegetation change on energy partitioning in ponderosa pine forests.
697 *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **149**: 491-500.

698 Moretti M, Conedera M, Duelli P, Edwards P (2002) The effect of wildfire on ground-active
699 spiders in deciduous forests on the Swiss southern slope of the Alps. *Journal of Applied*
700 *Ecology* **39**: 321-336.

701 Pausas J (2004) Changes in fire and climate in the eastern Iberian peninsula (Mediterranean
702 Basin). *Climatic Change* **63**: 337-350.

703 Pereira J, Sa A, Sousa A, Silva J, Santos T, Carreiras J (1999). Spectral characterization and
704 discrimination of burnt areas. In: 'Remote sensing of large wildfires in the European
705 Mediterranean Basin'. (Ed E Chuvieco) pp. 123-138. (Springer-Verlag: Berlin)

706 Polunin O (1980) 'Flowers of Greece and the Balkans. A field guide.' (Oxford University
707 Press: Oxford)

708 Randerson J, Liu H, Flanner M, Chamber S, Jin Y, Hess P, Pfister G, Mack M, Treseder K,
709 Welp L, Chapin F, Harden J, Goulden M, Lyons E, Neff J, Schuur E, Zender C (2006) The
710 impact of boreal forest fire on climate warming. *Science* **314**: 1130-1132.

711 Riano D, Moreno-Ruiz J, Isidoros D, Ustin S. (2007) Global spatial patterns and temporal
712 trends of burned area between 1981 and 2000 using NOAA-NASA Pathfinder. *Global*
713 *Change Biology* **13**: 40-50.

714 Schaaf C, Gao F, Strahler A, Lucht W, Li X, Tsang T, Strugnell N, Zhang X, Jin Y, Muller J,
715 Lewis P, Barnsley M, Hobson P, Disney M, Robert G, Dunderdale M, Doll C, d'Entremont R,
716 Hu B, Liang S, Privette J, Roy D (2002) First operational BRDF, albedo nadir reflectance
717 products from MODIS. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **83**: 135-148.

718 Savitzky A, Golay M (1964) Smoothing and differentiation of data by simplified least squares
719 procedures. *Analytical Chemistry* **36**: 1627-1639.

720 Stroppiana D, Pinnock S, Pereira J, Gregoire J (2002) Radiometric analysis of SPOT-
721 VEGETATION images for burnt area detection in Northern Australia. *Remote Sensing of*
722 *Environment* **82**: 21-37.

723 Trabaud L (1981) Man and fire: impacts on Mediterranean vegetation. In: 'Mediterranean-
724 type shrublands'. (Eds F di Castri, D Goodall, R Specht), pp. 523-537. (Elsevier: Amsterdam)

725 Tsuyuzaki S, Kushida K, Kodama Y (2009) Recovery of surface albedo and plant cover after
726 wildfire in a *Picea mariana* forest in interior Alaska. *Climatic Change* **93**: 517-525.

727 van Leeuwen W (2008) Monitoring the effects of forest restoration treatments on post-fire
728 vegetation recovery with MODIS multitemporal data. *Sensors* **8**: 2017-2042.

729 van Leeuwen W, Casady M, Neary D, Bautista S, Alloza, J, Carmel Y, Wittenberg, L.,
730 Malkinson D, Orr B (2010) Monitoring post-wildfire vegetation response with remotely
731 sensed time-series data in Spain, USA and Israel. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **19**:
732 75-93.

733 Veraverbeke S, Lhermitte S, Verstraeten WW, Goossens R (2010a) The temporal dimension
734 of differenced Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR) fire/burn severity studies: the case of the large
735 2007 Peloponnese wildfires in Greece. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **114**: 2548-2563.

736 Veraverbeke S, Verstraeten WW, Lhermitte S, Goossens R (2010b) Evaluation Landsat
737 Thematic Mapper spectral indices for estimating burn severity of the 2007 Peloponnese
738 wildfires in Greece. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **19**: 558-569.

739 Veraverbeke S, Lhermitte S, Verstraeten WW, Goossens R (2010c) Illumination effects on the
740 differenced Normalized Burn Ratio's optimality for assessing fire severity. *International*
741 *Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* **12**: 60-70.

742 Veraverbeke S, Lhermitte S, Verstraeten WW, Goossens R (2011) A time-integrated MODIS
743 burn severity assessment using the multi-temporal differenced Normalized Burn Ratio

744 (dNBR_{MT}). *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* **13**:52-
745 58.

746 Verbyla D, Kasischke E, Hoy E (2008) Seasonal and topographic effects on estimating fire
747 severity from Landsat TM/ETM+ data. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **17**: 527–534.

748 Viedma O, Melia J, Segarra D, Garcia-Haro J (1997) Modeling rates of ecosystem recovery
749 after fires by using Landsat TM data. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **61**: 383-398.

750 Wan Z (2008) New refinements and validation of the MODIS Land-Surface
751 Temperature/emissivity products. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **112**: 59-74.

752 Wendt C, Beringer J, Tapper N, Hutley L (2007) Local boundary-layer development over
753 burnt and unburnt tropical savanna: an observational study. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*
754 **124**: 291-304.

755 White J, Ryan K, Key C, Running S. (1996) Remote sensing of forest fire severity and
756 vegetation recovery. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **6**: 125-136.

757 Xiao H, Weng Q (2007) The impact of land use and land cover changes on land surface
758 temperature in a karst area of China. *Journal of Environmental Management* **85**: 245-257.

759 **Fig. 1.** Pre-fire land cover map of the burned areas (after Veraverbeke et al. 2010a).

760 **Fig. 2.** Ombrothermic diagram of the Kalamata (Peloponnese, Greece) meteorological station
761 (37°4'1" N 22°1'1" E) 1956-1997 (Hellenic National Meteorological Service, www.hnms.gr)

762 **Fig. 3.** Median dissimilarity D of the 500 sample pixels in function of varying number of
763 control pixels and window size for (A) a pre-fire year and for (B) a post-fire year. For the
764 post-fire year, the same control pixels setting as in the pre-fire year is preserved. The
765 grayscale reflects the temporal similarity, while the white areas in the upper-left corner
766 represent impossible combinations (number of control pixels > 8 , for 3×3 window size).

767 **Fig. 4.** Post-fire similarity D in function of pre-fire similarity D for the approach with the
768 seven most similar pixels out of 120 candidate pixels.

769 **Fig. 5.** Two-year post-fire temporal evolution of mean NDVI of control and focal pixels for
770 shrub land (A), olive groves (B), coniferous forest (C) and deciduous forest (D); and two-year
771 post-fire temporal evolution of mean dNDVI for shrub land (E), olive groves (F), coniferous
772 forest (G) and deciduous forest (H). The crosses in E-H indicate that the mean significantly
773 differs from zero ($p < 0.001$). In G-H standard deviations are plotted with vertical bars.

774 **Fig. 6.** Two-year post-fire temporal evolution of mean α of control and focal pixels for shrub
775 land (A), olive groves (B), coniferous forest (C) and deciduous forest (D); two-year post-fire
776 temporal evolution of mean $d\alpha$ for shrub land (E), olive groves (F), coniferous forest (G) and
777 deciduous forest (H); two-year post-fire temporal evolution of mean $d\alpha$ per fire/burn severity
778 classes for shrub land (I), olive groves (J), coniferous forest (K) and deciduous forest (L). The
779 crosses in E-H indicate that the mean significantly differs from zero ($p < 0.001$). In G-H
780 standard deviations are plotted with vertical bars. In I-L LS, MS and HS stand for respectively
781 low, moderate and high severity.

782 **Fig 7.** Two-year post-fire temporal evolution of mean LST_d of control and focal pixels for
783 shrub land (A), olive groves (B), coniferous forest (C) and deciduous forest (D); two-year
784 post-fire temporal evolution of mean $dLST_d$ for shrub land (E), olive groves (F), coniferous
785 forest (G) and deciduous forest (H); two-year post-fire temporal evolution of mean $dLST_d$ per
786 fire/burn severity classes for shrub land (I), olive groves (J), coniferous forest (K) and
787 deciduous forest (L). The crosses in E-H indicate that the mean significantly differs from zero
788 ($p < 0.001$). In G-H standard deviations are plotted with vertical bars. In I-L LS, MS and HS
789 stand for respectively low, moderate and high severity.

790 **Fig. 8.** Two-year post-fire temporal evolution of mean LST_n of control and focal pixels for
791 shrub land (A), olive groves (B), coniferous forest (C) and deciduous forest (D); two-year
792 post-fire temporal evolution of mean $dLST_n$ for shrub land (E), olive groves (F), coniferous
793 forest (G) and deciduous forest (H); two-year post-fire temporal evolution of mean $dLST_d$ per
794 fire/burn severity classes for shrub land (I), olive groves (J), coniferous forest (K) and
795 deciduous forest (L). The crosses in E-H indicate that the mean significantly differs from zero
796 ($p < 0.001$). In G-H standard deviations are plotted with vertical bars. In I-L LS, MS and HS
797 stand for respectively low, moderate and high severity.

798

799 **Table 1.** Post-fire mean (sd) NDVI, α , and LST changes of control and focal pixels per land
800 cover type for some exemplary moments (29-Aug-07: first post-fire observation, 19-Dec-07:
801 post-fire winter, 27-Jun-08: one-year post-fire summer, 20-Dec-08: one-year post-fire winter,
802 26-Jun-09: two-year post-fire summer and 19-Dec-09: two-year post-fire winter)

| | | 29-Aug-07 | 19-Dec-07 | 27-Jun-08 | 20-Dec-08 | 26-Jun-09 | 19-Dec-09 |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Shrub land | Mean NDVI control (sd) | 0.46 (0.06) | 0.60 (0.05) | 0.50 (0.06) | 0.62 (0.06) | 0.52 (0.06) | 0.69 (0.05) |
| | Mean NDVI focal (sd)I | 0.30 (0.06) | 0.47 (0.10) | 0.38 (0.06) | 0.53 (0.07) | 0.44 (0.07) | 0.65 (0.07) |
| | Mean α control (sd) | 0.140 (0.012) | 0.120 (0.011) | 0.149 (0.012) | 0.122 (0.012) | 0.142 (0.011) | 0.127 (0.015) |
| | Mean α focal (sd) | 0.117 (0.018) | 0.114 (0.020) | 0.154 (0.014) | 0.124 (0.021) | 0.151 (0.014) | 0.135 (0.020) |
| | Mean LST _d control (sd) (K) | 312.1 (2.1) | 285.3 (1.7) | 313.1 (2.5) | 283.6 (2.0) | 310.0 (2.5) | 290.4 (1.5) |
| | Mean LST _d focal (sd) (K) | 316.6 (2.9) | 285.7 (1.9) | 315.2 (2.6) | 283.7 (1.7) | 311.2 (2.6) | 290.0 (2.1) |
| | Mean LST _n control (sd) (K) | 291.4 (1.6) | 275.0 (1.7) | 292.6 (1.6) | 274.0 (1.3) | 290.5 (1.8) | 282.0 (1.5) |
| Mean LST _n focal (sd) (K) | 291.3 (1.9) | 274.8 (1.8) | 292.7 (1.7) | 273.9 (1.5) | 290.5 (1.9) | 281.5 (2.0) | |
| Olive groves | Mean NDVI control (sd) | 0.48 (0.04) | 0.67 (0.04) | 0.50 (0.05) | 0.71 (0.03) | 0.54 (0.04) | 0.75 (0.03) |
| | Mean NDVI focal (sd)I | 0.32 (0.05) | 0.53 (0.09) | 0.40 (0.05) | 0.61 (0.06) | 0.47 (0.05) | 0.71 (0.06) |
| | Mean α control (sd) | 0.137 (0.014) | 0.114 (0.011) | 0.146 (0.010) | 0.119 (0.010) | 0.138 (0.008) | 0.126 (0.012) |
| | Mean α focal (sd) | 0.115 (0.017) | 0.109 (0.019) | 0.149 (0.012) | 0.123 (0.019) | 0.146 (0.010) | 0.137 (0.020) |
| | Mean LST _d control (sd) (K) | 311.5 (1.6) | 286.2 (1.2) | 313.1 (1.9) | 284.3 (1.5) | 309.2 (2.2) | 291.4 (2.3) |
| | Mean LST _d focal (sd) (K) | 315.9 (2.6) | 286.7 (1.3) | 315.0 (2.0) | 284.6 (1.6) | 310.3 (2.3) | 291.5 (1.9) |
| | Mean LST _n control (sd) (K) | 292.6 (1.0) | 277.1 (1.5) | 293.9 (0.9) | 275.5 (1.2) | 291.3 (1.2) | 283.2 (1.2) |
| Mean LST _n focal (sd) (K) | 292.6 (1.1) | 276.8 (1.6) | 294.1 (1.0) | 275.4 (1.4) | 291.3 (1.3) | 282.9 (1.9) | |
| Coniferous forest | Mean NDVI control (sd) | 0.60 (0.06) | 0.67 (0.04) | 0.63 (0.06) | 0.71 (0.03) | 0.63 (0.05) | 0.77 (0.05) |
| | Mean NDVI focal (sd)I | 0.36 (0.09) | 0.41 (0.10) | 0.41 (0.08) | 0.55 (0.08) | 0.51 (0.07) | 0.69 (0.08) |
| | Mean α control (sd) | 0.124 (0.011) | 0.100 (0.012) | 0.134 (0.009) | 0.102 (0.012) | 0.127 (0.008) | 0.102 (0.014) |
| | Mean α focal (sd) | 0.093 (0.014) | 0.088 (0.020) | 0.135 (0.013) | 0.102 (0.021) | 0.134 (0.011) | 0.108 (0.021) |
| | Mean LST _d control (sd) (K) | 309.5 (1.9) | 283.9 (1.6) | 310.1 (2.2) | 281.9 (1.6) | 307.0 (1.5) | 289.9 (1.8) |
| | Mean LST _d focal (sd) (K) | 314.8 (3.9) | 284.4 (2.1) | 313.4 (2.8) | 283.2 (2.0) | 308.8 (2.1) | 289.6 (2.2) |
| | Mean LST _n control (sd) (K) | 291.7 (1.1) | 275.4 (1.7) | 292.9 (1.2) | 274.5 (1.2) | 290.5 (1.0) | 282.4 (1.5) |
| Mean LST _n focal (sd) (K) | 291.2 (1.6) | 274.9 (1.8) | 292.7 (1.6) | 274.0 (1.0) | 290.2 (1.2) | 281.9 (2.0) | |
| Deciduous forest | Mean NDVI control (sd) | 0.56 (0.06) | 0.55 (0.04) | 0.65 (0.07) | 0.53 (0.06) | 0.65 (0.06) | 0.64 (0.06) |
| | Mean NDVI focal (sd)I | 0.37 (0.08) | 0.44 (0.07) | 0.48 (0.08) | 0.49 (0.05) | 0.56 (0.08) | 0.64 (0.06) |
| | Mean α control (sd) | 0.137 (0.009) | 0.112 (0.008) | 0.142 (0.007) | 0.112 (0.010) | 0.135 (0.005) | 0.110 (0.007) |
| | Mean α focal (sd) | 0.109 (0.016) | 0.091 (0.012) | 0.140 (0.009) | 0.104 (0.019) | 0.133 (0.007) | 0.111 (0.010) |
| | Mean LST _d control (sd) (K) | 310.1 (2.4) | 283.8 (1.3) | 310.6 (2.4) | 283.0 (1.9) | 307.3 (1.9) | 289.7 (1.6) |
| | Mean LST _d focal (sd) (K) | 316.0 (3.7) | 284.1 (1.4) | 313.4 (2.7) | 283.3 (2.3) | 308.5 (2.0) | 289.1 (1.9) |
| | Mean LST _n control (sd) (K) | 289.6 (1.0) | 273.4 (0.5) | 290.8 (0.8) | 272.9 (0.6) | 288.9 (0.8) | 280.8 (0.6) |
| Mean LST _n focal (sd) (K) | 289.0 (1.0) | 274.0 (0.6°) | 290.8 (0.9) | 272.7 (0.7) | 288.5 (0.9) | 280.2 (1.3) | |

803

804

805

806

807

808 **Table 2.** Post-fire mean (sd) dNDVI, $d\alpha$, $dLST_d$ and $dLST_n$ values per land cover type and
809 fire/burn severity class (LS: low severity, MS: moderate severity and HS: high severity) for
810 some exemplary moments (29-Aug-07: first post-fire observation, 19-Dec-07: post-fire
811 winter, 27-Jun-08: one-year post-fire summer, 20-Dec-08: one-year post-fire winter, 26-Jun-
812 09: two-year post-fire summer and 19-Dec-09: two-year post-fire winter). Values which
813 significantly differ from zero ($p < 0.001$) are italicized.

| | | 29-Aug-07 | 19-Dec-07 | 27-Jun-08 | 20-Dec-08 | 26-Jun-09 | 19-Dec-09 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Shrub land | Mean $d\alpha$ LS (sd) | <i>-0.013 (0.012)</i> | <i>-0.009 (0.013)</i> | 0.000 (0.007) | 0.000 (0.014) | <i>0.005 (0.008)</i> | <i>0.006 (0.016)</i> |
| | Mean $d\alpha$ MS (sd) | <i>-0.024 (0.014)</i> | <i>-0.006 (0.016)</i> | <i>0.005 (0.010)</i> | 0.002 (0.018) | <i>0.009 (0.010)</i> | <i>0.008 (0.017)</i> |
| | Mean $d\alpha$ HS (sd) | <i>-0.032 (0.011)</i> | 0.000 (0.020) | <i>0.012 (0.011)</i> | 0.016 (0.025) | <i>0.012 (0.012)</i> | 0.013 (0.023) |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ LS (sd) (K) | <i>1.8 (1.7)</i> | <i>0.2 (0.8)</i> | <i>0.5 (1.2)</i> | 0.0 (1.1) | <i>0.7 (1.1)</i> | -0.3 (2.0) |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ MS (sd) (K) | <i>4.5 (2.5)</i> | <i>0.4 (1.1)</i> | <i>2.1 (1.9)</i> | 0.1 (1.2) | <i>1.2 (1.3)</i> | -0.3 (2.0) |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ HS (sd) (K) | <i>6.6 (2.3)</i> | <i>0.8 (1.5)</i> | <i>4.3 (1.9)</i> | -0.4 (1.1) | <i>1.9 (1.9)</i> | -0.8 (2.5) |
| | Mean $dLST_n$ LS (sd) (K) | 0.0 (0.8) | -0.1 (0.8) | 0.2 (0.8) | <i>-0.1 (0.7)</i> | 0.1 (0.7) | <i>-0.3 (1.6)</i> |
| | Mean $dLST_n$ MS (sd) (K) | -0.1 (1.1) | <i>-0.2 (0.8)</i> | <i>0.1 (0.8)</i> | <i>-0.2 (0.9)</i> | 0.0 (0.8) | <i>-0.5 (1.6)</i> |
| Mean $dLST_n$ HS (sd) (K) | <i>-0.4 (1.2)</i> | <i>-0.3 (0.9)</i> | -0.1 (0.9) | -0.3 (1.5) | -0.3 (1.4) | -1.4 (2.3) | |
| Olive groves | Mean $d\alpha$ LS | <i>-0.015 (0.011)</i> | <i>-0.007 (0.014)</i> | <i>0.002 (0.007)</i> | 0.001 (0.015) | <i>0.007 (0.007)</i> | <i>0.011 (0.017)</i> |
| | Mean $d\alpha$ MS | <i>-0.022 (0.012)</i> | <i>-0.005 (0.015)</i> | <i>0.003 (0.009)</i> | <i>0.004 (0.016)</i> | <i>0.009 (0.008)</i> | <i>0.011 (0.018)</i> |
| | Mean $d\alpha$ HS | <i>-0.029 (0.011)</i> | 0.000 (0.015) | 0.007 (0.012) | <i>0.030 (0.019)</i> | <i>0.019 (0.014)</i> | 0.014 (0.028) |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ LS (sd) (K) | <i>2.8 (1.6)</i> | <i>0.3 (0.9)</i> | <i>1.0 (1.0)</i> | 0.2 (0.9) | <i>0.8 (0.9)</i> | -0.1 (1.7) |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ MS (sd) (K) | <i>4.4 (2.1)</i> | <i>0.5 (1.0)</i> | <i>1.8 (1.5)</i> | <i>0.3 (1.0)</i> | <i>1.2 (1.1)</i> | 0.0 (1.8) |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ HS (sd) (K) | <i>6.5 (1.4)</i> | 0.6 (1.3) | <i>3.5 (2.1)</i> | -0.9 (1.0) | <i>2.1 (1.7)</i> | 0.0 (1.1) |
| | Mean $dLST_n$ LS (sd) (K) | 0.0 (0.5) | -0.1 (0.7) | 0.0 (0.5) | -0.1 (0.7) | 0.0 (0.5) | -0.1 (1.4) |
| | Mean $dLST_n$ MS (sd) (K) | 0.0 (0.6) | <i>-0.3 (0.6)</i> | <i>0.2 (0.6)</i> | -0.1 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.7) | <i>-0.3 (1.4)</i> |
| Mean $dLST_n$ HS (sd) (K) | -0.1 (0.6) | <i>-0.6 (0.7)</i> | 0.2 (0.7) | -0.2 (1.1) | 0.0 (0.7) | -0.7 (0.9) | |
| Coniferous forest | Mean $d\alpha$ LS | <i>-0.026 (0.014)</i> | <i>-0.014 (0.018)</i> | -0.005 (0.011) | -0.004 (0.019) | <i>0.004 (0.009)</i> | <i>0.007 (0.019)</i> |
| | Mean $d\alpha$ MS | <i>-0.030 (0.012)</i> | <i>-0.011 (0.018)</i> | 0.002 (0.012) | 0.000 (0.020) | <i>0.007 (0.010)</i> | <i>0.006 (0.019)</i> |
| | Mean $d\alpha$ HS | <i>-0.036 (0.009)</i> | -0.004 (0.018) | <i>0.006 (0.012)</i> | 0.003 (0.026) | <i>0.016 (0.009)</i> | <i>0.006 (0.019)</i> |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ LS (sd) (K) | <i>2.9 (2.3)</i> | 0.3 (1.3) | <i>1.5 (1.7)</i> | 0.3 (1.4) | <i>1.1 (1.3)</i> | -0.2 (2.1) |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ MS (sd) (K) | <i>5.3 (3.2)</i> | <i>0.5 (1.5)</i> | <i>3.3 (2.2)</i> | 0.2 (1.4) | <i>1.7 (1.5)</i> | <i>-0.4 (2.1)</i> |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ HS (sd) (K) | <i>8.4 (3.0)</i> | 0.6 (1.8) | <i>5.4 (2.3)</i> | -0.4 (1.6) | <i>1.7 (1.2)</i> | -0.3 (2.3) |
| | Mean $dLST_n$ LS (sd) (K) | -0.2 (0.8) | -0.2 (0.7) | 0.1 (0.6) | -0.1 (0.7) | -0.1 (0.5) | -0.3 (1.4) |
| | Mean $dLST_n$ MS (sd) (K) | <i>-0.6 (1.1)</i> | <i>-0.5 (0.9)</i> | <i>-0.2 (0.9)</i> | <i>-0.5 (0.9)</i> | <i>-0.3 (0.7)</i> | <i>-0.4 (1.5)</i> |
| Mean $dLST_n$ HS (sd) (K) | <i>-1.2 (1.5)</i> | <i>-1.0 (1.0)</i> | <i>-0.9 (1.1)</i> | <i>-1.4 (1.0)</i> | <i>-0.8 (0.8)</i> | -0.8 (1.6) | |
| Deciduous forest | Mean $d\alpha$ LS | <i>-0.016 (0.011)</i> | <i>-0.018 (0.013)</i> | 0.000 (0.009) | -0.013 (0.010) | 0.006 (0.006) | 0.000 (0.008) |
| | Mean $d\alpha$ MS | <i>-0.030 (0.012)</i> | <i>-0.021 (0.015)</i> | -0.002 (0.008) | -0.008 (0.022) | -0.002 (0.008) | 0.000 (0.009) |
| | Mean $d\alpha$ HS | <i>-0.039 (0.012)</i> | <i>-0.026 (0.024)</i> | -0.006 (0.007) | 0.002 (0.034) | -0.004 (0.007) | 0.002 (0.012) |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ LS (sd) (K) | <i>2.8 (2.4)</i> | <i>0.4 (0.6)</i> | 0.3 (1.4) | 0.7 (0.8) | 0.4 (0.6) | -0.6 (2.2) |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ MS (sd) (K) | <i>5.3 (3.2)</i> | <i>0.4 (0.8)</i> | <i>2.8 (2.1)</i> | 0.3 (1.2) | <i>0.8 (1.2)</i> | -0.7 (2.0) |
| | Mean $dLST_d$ HS (sd) (K) | <i>8.1 (2.0)</i> | 0.0 (1.2) | <i>5.0 (1.2)</i> | 0.2 (1.1) | <i>2.1 (1.6)</i> | 0.2 (2.0) |
| | Mean $dLST_n$ LS (sd) (K) | -0.2 (0.5) | <i>0.8 (0.6)</i> | 0.5 (0.4) | -0.3 (0.6) | 0.0 (0.5) | -0.6 (1.7) |
| | Mean $dLST_n$ MS (sd) (K) | <i>-0.6 (0.8)</i> | <i>0.5 (0.7)</i> | -0.1 (0.8) | -0.2 (0.6) | <i>-0.2 (0.5)</i> | <i>-0.6 (1.4)</i> |
| Mean $dLST_n$ HS (sd) (K) | <i>-1.0 (0.9)</i> | -0.2 (0.5) | -0.5 (0.9) | 0.0 (0.4) | <i>-0.8 (0.7)</i> | -0.3 (1.0) | |

814