

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

A theory for spiral wave drift in reaction-diffusion-mechanics systems

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2015 New J. Phys. 17 043055 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1367-2630/17/4/043055)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: This content was downloaded by: hdierckx IP Address: 78.22.98.244 This content was downloaded on 28/04/2015 at 06:28

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

New Journal of Physics

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft DPG

Published in partnership with: Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft and the Institute of Physics

CrossMark

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED

8 December 2014 REVISED

12 February 2015

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 16 March 2015

PUBLISHED 27 April 2015

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



A theory for spiral wave drift in reaction-diffusion-mechanics systems

Hans Dierckx¹, Sander Arens¹, Bing-Wei Li², Louis D Weise¹ and Alexander V Panfilov^{1,3}

- ¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
- ² Department of Physics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, People's Republic of China
- ³ Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, (State University), Dolgoprudny, Moscow Region, Russia

E-mail: hans.dierckx@ugent.be

Keywords: electromechanical coupling, cardiac modeling, spiral waves, mechano-electrical feedback, stretch-activated currents, spiral wave drift, resonant drift

Abstract

PAPER

Reaction-diffusion mechanics (RDM) systems describe a wide range of practically important phenomena where deformation substantially affects wave and vortex dynamics. Here, we develop the first theory to describe the dynamics of rotating spiral waves in RDM systems, combining response function theory with a mechanical Green's function. This theory explains the mechanically-induced drift of spiral waves as a resonance phenomenon, and it can predict the drift trajectories and the final attractors from measurable characteristics of the system. Theoretical predictions are confirmed by numerical simulations. The results can be applied to cardiac tissue, where the drift of spiral waves is an important factor in determining different types of cardiac arrhythmias.

1. Introduction

Spiral waves have been observed in a wide variety of physical, biological, and chemical systems far from equilibrium. Examples include chemical waves in Belousov-Zhabotinsky (BZ) reactions [1], waves of CO oxidation on platinum surfaces [2], cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) waves during amoeba morphogenesis [3], waves of spreading depression in the brain [4], and electrical waves in cardiac tissue [5, 6]. Furthermore, spiral waves have exhibited very rich and complicated dynamics due to the intrinsic properties of the media or in response to external fields [7, 8]. The drift of spiral waves is among the most prominent examples that has been observed in BZ chemical systems [9] or studied in biological systems such as the heart [10, 11]. In the heart, the drift of spiral waves is believed to be responsible for certain life-threatening cardiac arrhythmias [12].

The propagation of spiral waves is typically accompanied by other important processes such as the mechanical deformation of the medium [3, 13, 14]. In the heart, propagating electrical waves initiate cardiac contraction, which in turn affects their propagation, a process known as mechanoelectrical feedback (MEF) [15]. Although deformation is known to be crucial in the mentioned systems, most previous theoretical and experimental studies have not addressed the combined effects of the medium mechanics and spiral wave dynamics. In cardiac tissue, the most immediate, and perhaps most important, effect of this MEF is the activation of stretch-activated depolarizing currents [16], which may underlie the initiation of cardiac arrhythmias [15].

To model the basic MEF effects on wave propagation, the concept of coupled reaction-diffusion mechanics (RDM) systems [17–19] was proposed; this concept combines a very general description of deformation with classical reaction-diffusion kinetics. A series of papers has shown that this kind of MEF induces complex dynamics of waves, such as drifting pacemakers [18, 20] and the break-up [19], drift [19, 20], initiation [20, 21], and unpinning [22] of spiral waves. However, in those cases the results were obtained using numerical simulations for particular reaction kinetics and mechanical models only. No theories have yet been developed to describe the dynamics of spiral waves in RDM systems using analytical approaches. Such analytical theories are believed to be important tools that can help us find out how general the numerical findings are; they can also pave a way for applications to practically important problems.

In this work we present an analytical approach to study spiral wave dynamics in RDM systems. We combine response function theory [23] with a Green's function formalism to account for mechanical influence, and we derive an equation for spiral wave drift. The MEF-induced drift is reduced to a resonant forcing problem in the rotating frame of the spiral: during its rotation, the spiral wave perceives a time-varying perturbation since the domain boundaries are not stationary in the spiral's frame of reference. The resonant component of this boundary-induced forcing yields the net spiral drift.

Our theoretical predictions are compared to numerical simulations. We find the relative angle and magnitude of the spiral wave's drift and identify the spatial attractors of the system. Some of them, such as the center of the medium, have already been reported in numerical simulations [19]. Using this theory, we also find new regimes and attractors that also are confirmed by simulations. For example, our theory predicts that the center of the domain can also be repulsive, and that multiple stable dynamical attractors may coexist. Although different from previous findings, these predictions are confirmed by numerical simulations.

The developed analytical approach allows us to generalize the numerical results, as our analytical findings are based on an Archimedean description of spiral wave geometry, which is common for all types of excitable media.

2. Model

The reaction and MEF parts of our model for cardiac tissue are as in [18, 20, 24, 25], supplemented here with the Navier-Cauchy equilibrium equations from linear elasticity to facilitate analytical calculations. In a twodimensional medium with Cartesian material coordinates (x, y), the transmembrane voltage u and recovery variable v are evolved according to modified Aliev-Panfilov kinetics [26], as used in [18]:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 u - ku(u-a)(u-1) - uv - I_s,\tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \epsilon \left(u \right) (ku - v). \tag{2}$$

We set $\epsilon(u) = 1.0$ when u < a, and 0.1 otherwise. Unlike some previous works [18, 25], the discontinuity of $\epsilon(u)$ occurs here at u = a, not at a fixed value of u = 0.05. In numerical simulations, we take k = 8, $k_T = 1.5$, and the model parameter *a* will be varied to change wavelength and excitability. Active mechanical tension *T* is developed in our model as [17, 19, 24, 25]

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \epsilon \left(u \right) \left(k_{\rm T} u - T \right). \tag{3}$$

We model the tissue as isotropic and elastic, and we furthermore assume that spatial displacements are small. Then, the Lagrangian displacement, $\vec{U}(\vec{x}, t) = \vec{x}_{lab}(\vec{x}, t) - \vec{x}$, where \vec{x}_{lab} denotes Eulerian (laboratory) coordinates and \vec{x} are Lagrangian (material) coordinates. We assume that the displacement \vec{U} instantaneously obeys the Navier-Cauchy equations for mechanical equilibrium:

$$(\lambda + \mu)\vec{\nabla}\left(\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{U}\right) + \mu\Delta\vec{U} = -\vec{\nabla}T \tag{4}$$

with Lamé parameters λ and μ . Elastostatic conditions have also been assumed in previous electromechanical studies of MEF in the heart [17, 18, 24, 25, 27]. In previous work using large displacements [19], the stretch, S, was equal to $\sqrt{C} - 1$, where C is the determinant of the right Cauchy-Green deformation tensor. Presently, we work in the regime of small deformations, where

$$S = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{U}.$$
 (5)

In our model, the feedback of deformation on excitation is given by stretch-activated membrane currents, I_s , in (1). Experimental studies have shown that stretch-activated channels immediately respond to mechanical stretch and follow a linear current-voltage relationship [16, 28]. Linear models for I_s have been proposed [29, 30]. Therefore, we choose the linear current-voltage relation for the stretch-activated current in (1), as used in [18, 24]:

$$I_{\rm s} = g_{\rm s} \left(u - E_{\rm s} \right) P(S),\tag{6}$$

with the ramp function $P(\xi) = \xi$ if $\xi > 0$ and $P(\xi) = 0$ if $\xi \le 0$. The parameters $g_s = 1.0$ and $E_s = 1.0$ are the maximal conductance and reversal potential of the stretch-activated membrane channels.

Equations (1)–(6) form a closed feedback loop for mechanoelectrical coupling in the domain, Ω . As is customary, we impose Neumann boundary conditions on the state variable *u* at the edge, $\partial\Omega$, of the domain. For the mechanical subsystem, we work with fixated boundaries—that is,

$$\vec{U}\left(\vec{x}\right) = \vec{0}, \qquad \vec{x} \in \partial\Omega. \tag{7}$$

The same boundary condition was applied before in [18, 19]. We use this assumption to mimic the isovolumic phases of the cardiac cycle and isometric boundary conditions in tissue experiments.

3. A theory for spiral wave drift

3.1. Material coordinates

We have taken a Lagrangian standpoint here: All spatial dependencies in (1)–(6) refer to two-dimensional material coordinates, \vec{x} , which we will also write as x^a ($a \in [1, 2]$) in index notation.

In material coordinates x^{a} , we use $x^{a} = X^{a}(t)$ to denote the position of the spiral's rotation center at each instance of time.

Laboratory coordinates \vec{x}_{lab} will only be used in section 6.1 to quantify the physical displacement, $\vec{x}_{lab} - \vec{x}$, due to MEF.

3.2. Working assumptions

In our theory, we will linearize the equations around both small deformations and the rotating spiral wave solution. Additionally, the sensitivity of spiral waves to external stimuli is assumed to be strongly localized, such that response function theory [31–33] can be applied. Thus, we make the following three assumptions:

- (i) We assume weak MEF (i.e., $I_s = O(\eta)$, with η being small). We pursue leading-order dynamics in η .
- (ii) In the absence of mechanical feedback $(I_s = 0)$, (1) and (2) can be written as an evolution for the state $\mathbf{u} = [u \ v]^T$. We assume there exists a rigidly rotating spiral wave solution, $\mathbf{u}_0 = [u_0v_0]^T$, to the RD system, (1) and (2), with rotation frequency ω_0 . The solution \mathbf{u}_0 is stable with respect to small perturbations (i.e., it is an attractor in phase space). We will denote the unperturbed spiral solution with rotation center, (X, Y) and rotation phase ϕ as $\mathbf{u}_0(\vec{x}; \vec{X}, \phi)$. In terms of polar coordinates around the rotation center, $x = X + r \cos \theta$, $y = Y + r \sin \theta$, the rigid rotation condition can be expressed as $\mathbf{u}_0(\vec{x}; \vec{X}(t), \phi(t)) = \mathbf{u}_{pol}(r, \theta \phi(t))$ with $\phi(t) = \omega_0 t + \phi_0$.
- (iii) When a spiral wave is subjected to a small spatiotemporal perturbation, $h(\vec{x}, t)$, linear superposition can be applied due to (i), and by (ii) the system quickly relaxes towards u_0 for some position (*X*, *Y*) and rotation phase ϕ . Thus, in an unbounded domain, the net spiral motion at a given time is a spatial convolution over all sources:

$$\partial_t X^a(t) = \iint_{\Omega} \mathbf{W}^a (\vec{x}, t) \mathbf{h} (\vec{x}, t) \mathrm{d}S,$$

$$\partial_t \phi(t) = \omega_0 + \iint_{\Omega} \mathbf{W}^\theta (\vec{x}, t) \mathbf{h} (\vec{x}, t) \mathrm{d}S.$$
(8)

Here, the functions $W^a = [W_u^a, W_v^a]$ and a sum over state variables u, v is implied in (8). The functions W^a , W^{θ} are known as translational and rotational response functions [33] and have been used in many quantitative descriptions of spiral and scroll wave dynamics [11, 31, 34–40]. Our third hypothesis is that W^a and W^{θ} exponentially decay with the distance from the spiral core region, with spatial constant d_{core} , which is indicated in figure 1. This property was proven for a specific model [33] and has been verified numerically for reaction-diffusion models with few state variables and smooth reaction kinetics [36, 41–43]. However, general conditions for the localization of response functions currently remain unknown.

Note that the noncontinuously differentiable reaction term in (2) does not forbid the use of response functions. However, this discontinuity will yield a delta-distribution term in the Jacobian in places where the associated response functions will be discontinuous but nonsingular. Since our results will involve overlap integrals using response functions, those integrals are well-posed and our theoretical results are therefore also valid for noncontinuously differentiable reaction kinetics. However, the numerical evaluation of response functions in this case is cumbersome due to the singular Jacobian. Therefore, we will not attempt to evaluate the response function for the reaction-diffusion model (1) and (2), but we will compare theoretical predictions of relative drift magnitude and direction with the outcome of numerical simulations.

Hypothesis (iii) is an assumption on the electrical subsystem (1) and (2). In the absence of mechanical deformation, it predicts that spiral-boundary interaction causes drift of the spiral that attenuates exponentially with the distance, *d*, to the boundary (i.e. $\partial_t X^a \propto \exp(-d/d_{\text{core}})$). However, we will show later in this paper that a mechanical boundary with a no-displacement condition at a distance, *d*, induces a stretch-activated current proportional to $1/d^2$. Therefore, if the spiral core lies further than d_{core} from the boundary, the electrical



Figure 1. Snapshot of u(x, y) of a spiral wave with the kinetics of (1)-(2), a = 0.06, without MEF in a square domain of size 2L = 60. Spiral rotation center (white) and tip trajectory (black) are displayed. Relevant length scales for the theory are indicated. We will assume $d_{\text{core}} < d$ and $d_{\text{trunc}} \ll d_{\text{core}}$.

perturbations of the pattern cause an exponentially small drift velocity, while the MEF induces drift of order 1. We will work in this regime, and accept that our theory will not be valid if the tip of the spiral comes closer than d_{core} to the domain boundary.

If the spiral wave's rotation center is located at a distance, $d > d_{core}$, from the boundary, the spiral profile will still closely resemble $\mathbf{u}_0(\vec{x}; \vec{X}, \phi)$ inside the domain. However, near the boundary, the Neumann condition on uimposes that the wave front should intersect the domain boundary orthogonally. Therefore, a truncation error is induced in the wave profile in a layer of thickness, d_{trunc} , close to the boundary. From the velocity-curvature relation for wavefronts [44, 45], it follows that d_{trunc} is of the order of the wave-front thickness, and also of the same order as the critical radius for excitation. We will work in the regime where $d_{trunc} \ll d_{core}$. In what follows, we will ignore the domain truncation error.

3.3. Spiral wave drift equations

Provided that the unperturbed spiral wave solution is a phase-space attractor by condition (ii), a dynamical spiral wave state will, apart from its position \vec{X} and rotation phase ϕ , quickly lose its dependence on previous states. Therefore, in the domain Ω , the spiral wave evolves as

$$\partial_t X^a(t) = f^a \left(\vec{X}(t), \, \phi(t) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\eta^2\right), \tag{9a}$$

$$\partial_t \phi(t) = \omega_0 + f^\theta \left(\vec{X}(t), \phi(t) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\eta^2 \right).$$
(9b)

For the mechanically coupled system, we use response function expressions (8) to find the resulting spiral wave drift. From (1), we have $\mathbf{h}(\vec{x}, t) = [-I_s(\vec{x}, t), 0]^T$, which yields for $\mu \in \{1, 2, \theta\}$:

$$f^{\mu}(t) = -\iint_{\Omega} W^{\mu}_{u}(\vec{x}; \vec{X}(t), \phi(t)) I_{s}(\vec{x}, t) dS.$$
(10)

Note that the response functions, W_u^{μ} , would be constant in a frame attached to the spiral rotation center that rotates at the spiral frequency. Therefore, they depend on time only via $\vec{X}(t)$ and $\phi(t)$. The same dependency will be shown to hold for the stretch-activated current: Given an unperturbed spiral wave, $\mathbf{u}_0(\vec{x}; \vec{X}, \phi)$, at position \vec{X} and rotation phase ϕ , (3) generates an active mechanical tension field, $T_0(\vec{x}; \vec{X}, \phi)$. Since the Navier-Cauchy equation (4) are linear, the resulting stretch can be found using a mechanical Green's function: If $T(\vec{x}) = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x_0})$, the resulting displacement \vec{U} satisfying (4) and the boundary conditions (7) is denoted as $\vec{G}_U(\vec{x}; \vec{x}_0)$. The solution for $T = T_0(\vec{x}; \vec{X}, \phi)$ is then given by

$$\vec{U}\left(\vec{x},t\right) = \iint_{\Omega} T_0\left(\vec{x}_0; \vec{X}(t), \phi(t)\right) \vec{G}_{\mathrm{U}}\left(\vec{x}; \vec{x}_0\right) \mathrm{d}S_0.$$
(11)

Taking the divergence of both sides and integrating by parts delivers

$$S\left(\vec{x}, t\right) = \iint_{\Omega} T_0\left(\vec{x}_0; \vec{X}(t), \phi(t)\right) G_S\left(\vec{x}; \vec{x}_0\right) \mathrm{d}S_0 \tag{12}$$

with $G_{\rm S}(\vec{x}; \vec{x}_0) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{G}_{\rm U}(\vec{x}; \vec{x}_0)$. Regardless of the detailed functional dependence, $I_{\rm s} = f(\mathbf{u}, \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{U})$, given in (6), we have established that $I_{\rm s}$ only depends on time through $\vec{X}(t)$ and $\phi(t)$. Returning to (10), we have justified the dependency of time in the right-hand sides of (3.3).

3.4. Average spiral drift

Under low MEF, the (3.3) can be averaged over one rotation period. When the spiral is far away from the boundaries ($d \gg d_{core}$), only the MEF induces spiral drift, which is therefore of order η . Within a rotation period of O(1), the spiral's rotation center thus has $\vec{X}(t) = \vec{X}(0) + O(\eta)$. Then, (9b) becomes an ordinary differential equation, which can be integrated to

$$\phi(t) = \phi(0) + \omega_0 t + \int_0^t f^\theta \Big[\vec{X}(0), \, \omega_0 t' + \phi(0) \Big] dt' + O(\eta^2)$$

= $\phi(0) + (\omega_0 + \omega_1) t + g(t) + O(\eta^2).$ (13)

Here the integral of g(t) vanishes over one period, and $\omega_1 = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f^{\theta} [\vec{X}(0), \phi'] d\phi'$.

The net spatial spiral drift over one period can be found by:

$$\partial_{\phi} X^{a}(t) = \frac{\partial_{t} X^{a}}{\partial_{t} \phi} = \frac{1}{\omega_{0}} f^{a} \left(\vec{X}(t), \phi(t) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\eta^{2} \right).$$
(14)

Since the f^a are 2π -periodic functions of ϕ , they can be expanded in a Fourier series

$$f^{a}\left(\vec{X},\phi\right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} C_{n}^{a}\left(\vec{X}\right) e^{in\phi}.$$
(15)

Within one rotation period, we can take $X^{a}(t) = X^{a}(0) + O(\eta)$, from which

$$X^{a}(\phi) = C_{0}^{a}\left(\vec{X}(0)\right) \frac{\phi}{\omega_{0}} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{C_{n}^{a}\left(\vec{X}(0)\right) e^{in\phi}}{in} - \frac{C_{-n}^{a}\left(\vec{X}(0)\right) e^{-in\phi}}{in} \right) + O\left(\eta^{2}\right).$$
(16)

Thus, within one rotation period, the harmonics in $e^{\pm in\phi}$ describes an epicycle trajectory for the spiral wave's rotation center. However, only C_0^a contributes to the net spiral drift over one rotation period. If one averages spiral position over one period, its mean velocity is

$$V^{a}\left(\vec{X}\right) = C_{0}^{a}\left(\vec{X}\right) \tag{17}$$

with

$$C_0^a(\vec{X}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f^a(\vec{X}, \phi) d\phi.$$
 (18)

Substituting the explicit expressions (12) and (10), we arrive at following expression for the period-averaged drift of spiral waves under MEF:

$$V^{a}\left(\vec{X}\right) = -\frac{g_{s}}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \iint_{\Omega} dSW_{u}^{a}\left(\vec{x}; \vec{X}, \phi\right) \left[u_{0}\left(\vec{x}; \vec{X}, \phi\right) - E_{s}\right]$$
$$\times \iint_{\Omega} dS_{0} T_{0}\left(\vec{x}_{0}; \vec{X}(t), \phi(t)\right) P\left[G_{S}\left(\vec{x}; \vec{x}_{0}\right)\right] + O\left(\eta^{2}\right).$$
(19)

3.5. Net spiral wave drift in the corotating frame

In the previous paragraph, we found that net spiral drift is given by the vector $\vec{f}(\vec{X}, \phi)$ averaged over all rotation phases. Looking at the right-hand side of (19), we may now treat the spiral position \vec{X} as a formal parameter, without explicit time dependency.

Until now, we have used material coordinates \vec{x} in a nonrotating frame, which we denote as x^a , $a \in \{1, 2\}$. With its rotation center at a given location of the medium, a spiral wave rotates at an average frequency, $\omega = \omega_0 + \omega_1$. Now we introduce rotating coordinates, \vec{x}' , denoted by x^A ($A \in \{1, 2\}$) in index notation. These are related to the non-rotating material coordinates, x^a , as

$$x^a - X^a = R^a{}_A(\phi) x^A \tag{20}$$

with $\partial_t \phi = \omega$ and rotation matrix

$$\left(R^{a}{}_{A}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}\cos\phi & -\sin\phi\\\sin\phi & \cos\phi\end{pmatrix}.$$
(21)

Since a spiral wave's sensitivity to external stimuli is time-independent in the corotating frame, in the corotating coordinates x^A , the response functions are time-independent functions $W^A(\vec{x}')$, such that

$$f^{a} = -R^{a}{}_{A}(\phi) \iint_{\Omega(\phi)} W^{A}_{u}\left(\vec{x}'\right) I_{s}\left(\vec{x}'; \vec{X}, \phi\right) \mathrm{d}S'.$$
⁽²²⁾

Due to the localization of response functions, the integration domain can be limited to the spiral core region, Ω_c . The net spiral drift becomes

$$V^{a}\left(\vec{X}\right) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \iint_{\Omega_{c}} \mathrm{d}S' W_{u}^{A}\left(\vec{x}'\right) \int \mathrm{d}\phi R^{a}{}_{A}(\phi) I_{s}\left(\vec{x}'; \vec{X}, \phi\right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\Omega_{c}} \mathrm{d}S' W_{u}^{A}\left(\vec{x}'\right) g_{s}\left[u_{0}\left(\vec{x}'\right) - E_{s}\right] \int \mathrm{d}\phi R^{a}{}_{A}(\phi) P\left[S\left(\vec{x}'; \vec{X}, \phi\right)\right]. \tag{23}$$

Equation (23) can be rewritten in complex notation: Defining complex velocity $V^+ = V^x + iV^y$, and a complex-valued response function, $W_u^+ = W_u^{x'} + iW_u^{y'}$ (see, e.g., [42]), we find

$$V^{+}\left(\vec{X}\right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\Omega_{c}} \mathrm{d}S' W^{+}_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\vec{x}'\right) g_{\mathrm{s}}\left[u_{0}\left(\vec{x}'\right) - E_{\mathrm{s}}\right] \int \mathrm{d}\phi \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} P\left[S\left(\vec{x}'; \vec{X}, \phi\right)\right]. \tag{24}$$

This expression shows that the net MEF-induced spiral drift results from the first Fourier component of the induced stretch distribution. This Fourier component is multiplied with a weighting function,

 $-W_{\rm u}^+(\vec{x}')[\partial I_{\rm s}/\partial S](\vec{x}')$, evaluated at S = 0, and integrated over the tip region to produce the net spiral drift. In terms of active tension development, combining (19) and (24) delivers

$$V^{+}\left(\vec{X}\right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\Omega_{c}} dS' W_{u}^{+}\left(\vec{x}'\right) g_{s} \left[u_{0}\left(\vec{x}'\right) - E_{s}\right]$$
$$\times \int d\phi e^{i\phi} \iint_{\Omega} dS_{0} T_{0}\left(\vec{x}_{0}; \vec{X}(t), \phi(t)\right) P \left[G_{s}\left(\vec{x}'; \vec{x}_{0}\right)\right].$$
(25)

Furthermore, we note that the mechanical Green's function slowly varies on the scale of the domain size, 2*L*, which is much larger than the decay width, ξ , of the translational response function, W_u^+ . Thus we may write in the lowest order in ξ/L that $G_S(\vec{x}'; \vec{x}_0) \approx G_S(\vec{x}'_{\text{tip}}; \vec{x}_0)$. Higher-order corrections will contain partial derivatives of G_S , evaluated at the spiral tip. Hence, (25) can be written as the product

$$V^{+}\left(\vec{X}\right) = A_{W}V_{\text{rel}}^{+}\left(\vec{X}\right) \tag{26}$$

of relative drift velocity

$$V_{\rm rel}^+\left(\vec{X}\right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\phi e^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \iint_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}S_0 T_0\left(\vec{x}_0; \vec{X}(t), \phi(t)\right) P\left[G_S\left(\vec{x}_{\rm tip}(\phi); \vec{x}_0\right)\right]$$
(27)

and a complex prefactor, A_W

$$A_W = He^{i\alpha} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{d}S' W_u^+ \left(\vec{x}'\right) g_{\mathrm{s}} \left[u_0\left(\vec{x}'\right) - E_{\mathrm{s}} \right]. \tag{28}$$

Expressions (26)–(28) show that the magnitude, $V = |V^+|$, and direction, $\arg(V^+)$, can be computed from the first Fourier component of the induced stretch at the spiral tip, up to a constant amplitude, *H*, and absolute drift angle α . In section 6 we will compare (27) with the drift resulting from numerical simulations.

3.6. Time-course of active tension development

I

If the radius described by the spiral tip is not large compared to the domain size, 2L, and the spiral is far from the domain boundary, the induced stretch does not vary much in the spiral's core region for a given rotation phase. In this case, we can approximate

$$G_{\rm S}\left(\vec{x}_{\rm tip}^{\,\prime}; \, \vec{x}_0\right) \approx G_{\rm S}\left(\vec{X}; \, \vec{x}_0\right). \tag{29}$$

leading to

$$V_{\rm rel}^+\left(\vec{X}\right) \approx -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\phi e^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \iint_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}S_0 T_0\left(\vec{x}_0; \vec{X}(t), \phi(t)\right) P\left[G_{\rm S}\left(\vec{X}; \vec{x}_0\right)\right]. \tag{30}$$

We now show that the resulting spiral wave drift is largely independent of the detailed time course of active tension development in the tissue; only the delay between excitation and active tension development will play a role. In the electrical subsystem (1)-(3) and in the limit of small MEF, the active tension develops regardless of the local stretch and its derivatives. Instead, the active tension only depends on the time elapsed since the point was electrically excited, or, equivalently, its excitation phase, Φ . At any given time, a counterclockwise rotating spiral wave with wavefront shape $\theta = \Theta_0(r)$ in polar coordinates (r, θ) around the its rotation center has

$$\Phi(r,\theta) = \Theta_0\left(\sqrt{x'^2 + {y'}^2}\right) + \arctan(y'/x').$$
(31)

In material coordinates $x = X + r \cos \theta$, $y = Y + r \sin \theta$, one finds

$$\Phi\left(\vec{x}; \vec{X}, \phi\right) = \Phi_0\left(\vec{x}; \vec{X}\right) + \phi, \tag{32}$$

$$\Phi_0(\vec{x}; \vec{X}) = \Theta_0\left(\sqrt{(x-X)^2 + (y-Y)^2}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{y-X}{x-X}\right).$$
(33)

Expressing that the active tension developed in our model only depends on the excitation phase yields, for points outside the spiral core region:

$$T_0\left(\vec{x}; \vec{X}, \phi\right) \approx T_{\rm act}\left(\Phi_0\left(\vec{x}; \vec{X}\right) + \phi\right). \tag{34}$$

Here, the function $T_{act}(\Phi)$ is the temporal profile of the periodically developed active tension in each point of the unperturbed spiral wave, \mathbf{u}_0 , outside its core region. Furthermore, we show below in section 4 that if the spiral core is not close to the domain boundary, maximal stretch occurs near the boundaries, which is thus outside the spiral core. Then, after applying (34), we may change integration variable $\tilde{\phi} = \Phi_0 + \phi$ in (30) to find

$$V^{+}\left(\vec{X}\right) = -A_{W}A_{T} \iint_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}S_{0}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\Phi_{0}\left(\vec{x}_{0};\vec{X}\right)}P\Big[G_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\vec{X};\,\vec{x}_{0}\right)\Big].$$
(35)

Here, a new shape factor, A_T , appears, which equals the first Fourier coefficient of the time-course of active tension development:

$$A_T = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\tilde{\phi} e^{i\tilde{\phi}} T_{act} \left(\tilde{\phi}\right).$$
(36)

Expression (35) shows that, within the approximations made, the stationary positions for spiral waves in the RDM system (1)–(6) do not depend on the details of the development of active tension over time in each active element (cell) of the medium. Changing the function $T_{act}(\Phi)$ only changes the complex prefactor A_T in (35). However, the time-course of active tension development may alter the stability of the equilibria, and its effect can be computed by computing A_T . If, for example, active tension is delayed over a time t_1 , a complex pre-factor $e^{i\omega t_1}$ is added to V^+ , which can change dynamical attractors to repulsive sites, and vice versa.

In conclusion, (35) reveals that of the electrical subsystem, only the shape of the spiral wave's front determines the position of the attractors. This property can be made explicit by considering a reduced system in which tension is instantaneously developed at the wavefront (i.e., with $T_{act} = 2\pi\delta(\tilde{\phi})$). By (36), the drift velocity, \tilde{V}^+ , in this system is equal to $V^+(\vec{X})$ of the original system, divided by A_T . However, putting in the instantaneously developed tension already in (30) would reduce the surface integral over the domain to a line integral. Equating both expressions for \tilde{V}^+ in the reduced system allows one to rewrite the drift velocity in the original system in terms of a line integral over the wavefront surface:

$$V^{+}\left(\vec{X}\right) = -A_{W}A_{T} \int \mathrm{d}\phi e^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \int_{\mathcal{C}(\phi)} \mathrm{d}s \ P\Big[G_{S}\left(\vec{X}; \, \vec{x}_{0}(s, \, \phi)\right)\Big].$$
(37)

Here, $\vec{x}_0(s, \phi)$ is a parameterization of the wavefront surface, *C*, given its rotation phase, ϕ . Given that the spiral waves that form in reaction-diffusion systems asymptotically tend to an Archimedean spiral shape, the spiral's wavelength, Λ , is the only characteristic of the electrical subsystem that determines the position of attractors in the RDM system.

3.7. Position and stability of equilibria

We are interested in identifying the equilibria and their linear stability given the complex-valued velocity field, $V^+(X, Y)$. Linear stability analysis of a two-dimensional system shows that the simple (i.e., linear) equilibria can be classified into stable nodes, stars or spirals, unstable nodes, stars or spirals, saddle points, and center points [46]. Example trajectories and the complex velocity field for six cases are shown in figure 2. In this work, we will not discriminate between nodes, stars, and spirals. Stable nodes, stars, and spirals will be denoted as attractive equilibria, while we refer to unstable nodes, stars, and spirals as repulsive equilibria.

We note that all types of linear equilibria correspond to phase singularities of the velocity field, $V^+(X, Y)$. To locate phase singularities numerically on a Cartesian grid, we track the complex phase, $\psi = \arg(V^+)$, counterclockwise around every cell of the grid, and see whether it changes by $\pm 2\pi$. If so, a phase singularity is present, and we locally fit a linear system, $V^x = A_{11}X + A_{12}Y$, $V^y = A_{21}X + A_{22}Y$. Then, it is well known that the trace and determinant of the coefficient matrix A determine the type of equilibrium [46]:



Figure 2. Complex phase of a velocity field, $V^+ = V^x + iV^y$, around the six types of linear equilibrium in a dynamical system, $\partial_t x = V^x(x, y)$, $\partial_t y = V^y(x, y)$. All six types of linear equilibria exhibit a singularity of the complex velocity phase. Arrows denote local velocity in (x, y)-space.

$$\begin{split} \det(A) &< 0 &: \text{saddle point} \\ \det(A) &> 0, \ \text{tr}(A) &< 0 : \text{attractive equilibrium} \\ \det(A) &> 0, \ \text{tr}(A) &= 0 : \text{center point} \\ \det(A) &> 0, \ \text{tr}(A) &> 0 : \text{repulsive equilibrium} \end{split}$$

To identify centers numerically, we normalized V^+ by dividing it by the median of V^+ taken over the entire domain. Thereafter, we classified an equilibrium as a center when det(A) > 0 and $|tr(A)| < 1.10^{-3}$.

4. Mechanical Green's function for half-plane and square domains

We will compare our general theory above with numerical simulations on a square domain of size 2L = 60. To make predictions on spiral wave drift, we need to know the mechanical Green's function, $G_S(\vec{x}; \vec{x}_0)$, for the Navier-Cauchy equations in a given geometry, or an approximation of it.

The Green's function for the half-plane y > 0 with a zero-displacement boundary condition at y = 0 can be found analytically. Using the method of complex variables in elasticity, as outlined in [47], we find the following fundamental solution to the Navier-Cauchy equations for stretch $S = \vec{V} \cdot \vec{U}$ induced by active tension, $T_a(\vec{x}) = \delta(x - x_0)\delta(y - y_0)$:

$$G_{\rm S}^{\rm HP}(x, y; x_0, y_0) = -p \frac{(x - x_0)^2 - (y + y_0)^2}{\left[(x - x_0)^2 + (y + y_0)^2 \right]^2}$$
(38)

with material constant $p = 2\mu/[\pi (\lambda + 2\mu)(\lambda + 3\mu)]$. Note that the stretch induced by a source at (x_0, y_0) decays with the distance to the mirror source $(x_0, -y_0)$. Within the half-plane domain, the largest stretch is therefore found at the boundary point $(x_0, 0)$, as shown in figure 3(a). Furthermore, negative stretch values are only found where $|x| > |y + y_0|$.



Figure 3. Examples for the mechanical Green's function, G_U , in simple geometries. (a) Exact mechanical Green's function, $G_S^{HP}(\vec{x}; \vec{x}_0)$, from (38) for the half-plane y > 0 with no-displacement boundaries, with $x_0 = 0$, $y_0 = 20$ and material constant *p* set to 1. The white circle denotes the point (x_0, y_0) where active tension is developed. (b) Approximate mechanical Green's function, $G_S^{SQ}(\vec{x}; \vec{x}_0)$, from (39) for a square domain with no-displacement boundaries, with $x_0 = -5$, $y_0 = 5$ and p = 1.

For the square domain with no-displacement boundaries, we have not been able to find the exact analytical Green's function for induced stretch. Instead, we approximate the Green's function by introducing one halfplane mirror source for each side of the square and summing the four contributions. For the square $[-L, L] \times [-L, L]$, we thus take

$$G_{\rm S}^{\rm SQ}(x, y; x_0, y_0) \approx G_{\rm S}^{\rm HP}\left(x, y; x_0, 2L - y_0\right) + G_{\rm S}^{\rm HP}\left(y, x; y_0, 2L - x_0\right) + G_{\rm S}^{\rm HP}\left(x, y; x_0, -2L - y_0\right) + G_{\rm S}^{\rm HP}\left(y, x; y_0, -2L - x_0\right).$$
(39)

An example of the resulting stretch distribution is displayed in figure 3(b).

5. Numerical methods

5.1. Electromechanical simulations

We performed numerical simulations using the discrete reaction-diffusion mechanics (dRDM) model developed in [24]. The dRDM model constitutes a generic electromechanical model for cardiac tissue using (1) and (2) to describe the excitation processes, and (3) to model the excitation-contraction coupling. The model is based on representing tissue by mass points connected by active and passive springs.

The MEF of cardiac tissue is modeled in dRDM by stretch-activated currents, I_s , with an equation similar to (6), which describes stretch not in terms of the deformation tensor, but of the surface area of a quadrilateral formed by neighboring mass points [24]. We used k = 8, $k_T = 1.5$, $g_s = 1$, and $E_s = 1$ as parameters for solving the model's equations. Furthermore, the model uses a mass-lattice system whose constitutive relations can be approximated with the Seth material relation [24].

We study a model with a square domain of size 2L = 60, in which boundaries are fixated. No-flux boundary conditions are applied for reaction-diffusion processes, and elastostatics is assumed. To solve (1), (2), and (3), an explicit Euler scheme is used with space step HX = 0.3 and time step HT = 0.001. Following each Euler step, equations for mechanics were solved using Verlet integration with time step $dt_m = 0.01$ and spacing of mass points 2HX, until the sum of all forces for each mass point was smaller than 2×10^{-5} in dimensionless mass units.

For different values of the model parameters, a, from (1) in the range 0.05 – 0.08, we performed a series of simulations with spiral waves initiated at 20 different positions in the model without applying I_s . The initial spiral positions were chosen in one quadrant of the square domain only; results for other positions were obtained by rotation symmetry. After a transient phase of two spiral rotations, we enabled I_s and tracked the drift of the spiral wave tip by computing the intersection of the isosurfaces u = 0.5 and v = 0.5 at each time frame.

Thereafter, we averaged tip positions over one spiral period. Hereto, we deduced rotation phase ϕ as the angle between the tangent vector to the tip trajectory and the positive *x*-axis, and we added multiples of 2π to

obtain a monotonous function. To find the average tip position at a time with phase ϕ_0 , we take the barycenter of the segment of the trajectory that has phases between $\phi_0 - \pi$ and $\phi_0 + \pi$.

For given model parameter *a*, we interpolated the Cartesian components, V^x , V^y , of the period-averaged drift velocities onto a finer rectangular grid, and we extracted the complex velocity phase, $\psi = \arctan(V^y/V^x)$. The results are displayed in the top row of figure 6.

5.2. Theoretical prediction of drift velocity

For comparison, we also used the theoretical drift expression (30) and the mechanical Green's function (39) to predict the relative magnitude and angle of spiral wave drift V_{rel}^+ using (30). Hereto, we first characterized the spiral wave by measuring its wavelength Λ , core radius, and periodically developed active tension, $T_{act}(\Phi)$. Next, we fitted the wave front, $\theta = \Theta_0(r)$, with an Archimedean spiral. This way, we obtained the unperturbed active tension, $T_0(\vec{x}; \vec{X}, \phi)$, for 50 × 50 spiral wave positions (X, Y) in the quadrant [0, L] × [0, L], and 60 uniformly spaced rotation phases, ϕ . The active tension field was convoluted with the Green's function (39) to yield stretch at the tip position, after which negative stretch values were set to zero to include the ramp function, P, from (6). Finally, the first Fourier coefficient with respect to the rotation phase was computed to yield the complex-valued relative drift velocity, V_{rel}^+ . From (30), this quantity is expected to relate to the absolute drift velocity, V^+ , by $V^+(X, Y) = He^{i\alpha}V_{rel}^+(X, Y)$ for real-valued constants, H, α .

The constants H, α that determine the absolute magnitude and direction of drift can be evaluated using (28) if the response functions are known. Existing tools to compute spiral wave response functions (e.g., [42]) require continuously differentiable reaction kinetics. In the current study, however, noncontinuously differentiable reaction kinetics were used to maintain compatibility with previous works. Therefore, we fitted the absolute drift angle, α , in (5.2) from the observed drift angle at the start of each dRDM simulation. We did not fit the magnitude factor, H, since it affects neither the stability of equilibria, nor the spiral wave's drift trajectory.

With α fitted from initial drift velocity, we obtained from (5.2) a velocity field, $\vec{V}(X, Y)$, which was numerically integrated using Euler stepping to produce the theoretical drift trajectories shown in figures 5 and 6.

6. Numerical results

6.1. Physical displacement

An example of the resulting spiral wave, developed tension, mechanical stretch, and physical displacement in a dRDM simulation is presented in figure 4 for a = 0.050 and a square domain of size 2L = 60. For the time frame shown, the average physical displacement, $||\vec{x}_{lab} - \vec{x}||$, was 0.60, and the maximal displacement was 1.40.

6.2. Drift trajectories

The results of the dRDM simulations and their comparison with theoretical predictions are shown in figure 6. Due to rotation symmetry over $\pi/2$, 4n + 1 equilibria are found in each case with *n* integer. The results shown are for a clockwise rotating spiral wave. For the opposite chirality, drift trajectories will be mirrored.

The results from theory and numerical simulations are qualitatively similar in terms of the number and position of attractors and the shape of the spiral drift trajectories.

For large a = 0.08 (i.e., long spiral wavelength and low excitability), a single attractor of the spiral type is found. When *a* is decreased to a = 0.07, both theory and simulations show four new attractors close to the corners of the domain. Closer investigation of this transition shows that close to a = 0.076, the central attracting spiral becomes unstable through a Hopf bifurcation, yielding nearly circular limit cycles, as shown in figures 7(d)–(f).

When *a* is further reduced to a = 0.066, another Hopf bifurcation occurs; see figure 7(b). At this critical value, the four corner attractors lose stability while the square's midpoint stabilizes, as seen in figure 6 for a = 0.06. No qualitative changes take place when *a* is further lowered to 0.05.

While the absolute drift direction (i.e., the angle α) is fitted between the theoretical and simulation panels of figure 6, the position of equilibria results from the integral in (30) alone. From symmetry, the square's center is always stationary, which is also seen from the fact that I_s has only contributions proportional to $e^{4mi\phi}$ with integer m, such that its first Fourier coefficient, and therefore its net drift, vanishes. When a is lowered, in both theory and simulations, attractors form near the domain corners and move inward, since wave length Λ decreases with lower a.

For the cases a = 0.06, a = 0.05, our theoretical prediction does not reproduce the corner attractors, but instead produces a limit cycle close to the domain boundary. Note, however, that our theoretical approximation is expected to break down near the corners of the domain, since we only used an approximation (39) to the exact mechanical Green's function.



6.3. Grid pattern for equilibria in a square domain

From figures 6 and 7 we can see that in the square domain, the equilibrium positions the spiral wave's rotation center to occur close to a regular lattice, with spacing equal to half the spiral wavelength, Λ . This situation is similar to the global feedback case described in [48].

From our theory, this regular pattern can be explained as follows. First, due to symmetry, the square's center is stationary. For a given rotation phase, say $\phi = 0$, a spiral wave with its rotation center in the middle of the square induces the largest stretch in the region where its tail meets the domain boundary. Suppose this happens at the left side of the square. Now, suppose we move the spiral wave, $\Lambda/2$, to the right. Then, the former region of maximal stretch becomes a region of minimal stretch. Since V^x is of the form $\int_0^{2\pi} \cos \phi f(\phi) d\phi$, it is mostly affected by rotation phases 0 and π , such that $V^x(m\Lambda/2, 0) \approx -V^x(0, 0)$. Conversely, V^y is a sine integral and is therefore most sensitive to rotation phases $\pm \pi/2$, such that $V^y(m\Lambda/2, 0) \approx V^y(0, 0)$. Generalizing to taking *m* steps of $\Lambda/2$ in *x* and *n* steps in *y*, we find

$$V^+(m\Lambda/2, n\Lambda/2) \approx e^{i(m+n)\pi}V^+(0, 0).$$
 (40)

Therefore, we expect saddle points on the Cartesian grid of spacing $\Lambda/2$ when (m + n) is odd. Points with $m + n \mod 4 = 0$ will have the same stability as the square's center, and equilibria with $m + n \mod 4 = 2$ will have opposite stability. These predictions are confirmed by the dRDM simulation results in figures 6 and 7.

However, in the theoretical prediction in figure 6, the equilibria are not always close to $(X, Y) = (m\Lambda/2, n\Lambda/2)$. This may be due to approximating the response functions as a delta-distribution, which was performed to produce these plots.

6.4. Bifurcation diagram

To study how the position of equilibria changes when model parameter a is varied, we performed additional simulations between a = 0.05 and a = 0.08 in steps of 0.01. The resulting dRDM trajectories are shown in appendix figure B1. In figure 8, we show the bifurcation plot for both dRDM simulations (figure 8(a)) and theory (figure 8(b)). On the vertical axis, the distance between the equilibrium and the center of the square domain is displayed.

Both theory and results produce the Hopf bifurcations near a = 0.066 and a = 0.076. Note that the attractors in the corner ('c') for a < 0.065 are not found in theory, and few equilibria are found near the middle of the domain edge ('m') in the range a = 0.06 to a = 0.065 (see figure B1.) In the theoretical bifurcation plot



Figure 5. Comparison of spiral wave drift trajectories (black) in a square of size 2L = 60 from dRDM simulations (left) with theory from (30) (right), for different values of model parameter *a*. Color indicates interpolated drift direction, which is equal to the complex velocity phase. For example, phase 0 or 2π (red) denotes spiral wave drift along the positive *x*-axis. Phase singularities indicate equilibrium points, which may be attractive (\bullet), repulsive (\circ), or saddles (\diamond). Grid line spacing is $\Lambda/2$.

8(b), additional equilibria arise close to the domain boundaries due to saddle-node (SN) bifurcations. Since the SN bifurcation at a = 0.063 interrupts a limit cycle, it can be identified as an infinite-period (IP) bifurcation.

6.5. Scaling in large domains

To estimate whether MEF-induced drift is relevant in a practical situation, it is useful to investigate the scaling of the induced spiral wave drift velocity with the domain size, *L*. Since in the middle of a square domain the velocity vanishes by symmetry, we will try to estimate the drift speed at its local maximum closest to the square's midpoint. From section 6.3, we expect that this point will be found at a position $(\pm A/4, \pm A/4)$ relative to the square's midpoint. The effect of a larger domain size is that the mechanical Green's function needs to be



Figure 6. Interpolated spiral wave drift speed in a square of size 2L = 60 from (left) dRDM simulations and (right) theory from (30), for different values of the model parameter *a*. Theoretical plots display $|V_{rel}^+|$ and have not been scaled by the factor *H*. Grid lines spaced by half of the spiral wavelength, Λ .

evaluated at a larger distance. Assuming that the dominant contribution of the half-plane mechanical Green's function (38) occurs at $x = x_0$ and the distance to the spiral core is *L*, the half-plane Green's function reduces to $p/4L^2$, such that the contribution of the four edges is p/L^2 . Therefore, the maximal drift speed near the square's center should scale as



 $v_{\max} \propto p/L^2$ $(L \gg \Lambda).$ (41)

We have verified this expression in numerical simulations with various sizes of the square domain $(2L \in \{36, 48, 60, 72\})$, without changing spatial resolution. Thereafter, drift velocity was interpolated on a grid of size HX = 0.3, and the local maximum closest to the square's center was sought. The outcome is presented in figure 9, which shows that v_{max} indeed almost linearly depends on $1/L^2$, as anticipated by expression (41).







7. Discussion

We have derived a theory of spiral wave drift based on a mechanical Green's function, and we found an expression for the average MEF-induced drift at each point of a two-dimensional domain. Note that in our theory, equation (25) also holds for other geometries. The square domains with fixated boundaries is only one example, since for a different geometry, only the mechanical Green's function needs to be adapted.

Our present approach can be extended to anisotropic excitation of cardiac tissue by local rescaling of the spiral wave; anisotropic mechanical properties and different boundary conditions can be incorporated in the mechanical Green's function.

Due to the presence of a mechanical Green's function, the magnitude of spiral drift is not proportional to the first Fourier amplitude of the excited surface area. In A, however, we show that for tissue of small size $(2L \leq \Lambda)$, the spiral drift pattern can be found from the first Fourier component of the excited area. Note, that in many practically important situations, this assumption can be valid. For example, a study by Nanthakumar *et al* [49] shows that the typical velocity of wave during ventricular fibrillation in the human heart is 0.67 m s⁻¹, with an average frequency of 6.8 Hz. This yields a wavelength of 9.9 mm (i.e., about the size of the ventricles of the human heart). In addition, the excited area can be estimated from the experimental recordings using optical mapping experiments [50, 51]. Therefore, we hope that our approach, which is based on the first Fourier component, can be applied to experimental data. However, for its application in an anatomically accurate setup, it needs to be extended to three dimensions. Still, we expect from preliminary results that a two-dimensional effective theory taking into account tissue thickness may also be feasible.

Our theory identifies the shape of the wavefront as the main determinant for MEF-induced spiral wave drift. As the shape of the wavefront of a spiral wave is simply given by an Archimedean spiral in an isotropic medium and a rescaled spiral in the presence of anisotropy [52], our theory suggests that these results on spiral wave drift are general. This is also confirmed in our numerical dRDM simulations. It would be interesting, however, to verify the theory using other numerical approaches and models.

Previous analytical work [53] on MEF in cardiac tissue was limited to one-dimensional tissue strands. Here, we developed a theory for two-dimensional media and studied the drift of spiral waves. In two dimensions, the resulting drift field for spiral waves is qualitatively similar to the uniform global feedback chemical system studied in [48]. For example, in a square domain, equilibria of both systems are found on a lattice of spacing A/2. Furthermore, works by Zykov *et al* [54–56] revealed that the velocity fields for the spiral wave drift in reaction-diffusion systems in many cases have atypical phase portraits. For example, in [56], unusual equilibrium manifolds of attracting lines have been observed for a system with two-point feedback control. It would be interesting to investigate whether the dynamics reported in these papers can also be found by further variation of the parameters in our system.

Even though our theory is based on linear elasticity, it suitably explains the results of dRDM simulations, which use finite deformations. An extension of our current work could be to find a similar description for nonlinear elasticity, where a crucial step would be to analytically evaluate the deformation field in that case. In addition, an extension of our approach to more realistic settings is straightforward. For that, one can use the approach proposed in [20], which combines an ionic model for human ventricular cells (ten Tusscher–Noble–Noble–Panfilov) and the Niederer-Hunter-Smith model for active tension development.

The results of our study can be tested in an experimental setup. This can be done in micro patterned cardiac cell cultures on elastic membranes [57]. However, such a system may require additional modeling, in which one must consider specific properties of the experimental system, such as the existence of an elastic layer beneath the layer of cardiac myocytes.

An important result of our work is that mechanical feedback and spiral wavelength alone determine the attractors where spirals tend to go. Finding the attractors of spiral waves has become very important as recent cardiac research [58] has shown that ablation of regions where the spiral's tip is located treats atrial fibrillation. Therefore, it is an important task to gain mechanistic insights underlying spiral wave dynamics. We believe our theoretical approach is an important step in the understanding of mechanically caused spiral wave dynamics, and it may lead to new clinical applications.

Acknowledgments

HD was funded by the F W O -Flanders and thanks J Sieber for a helpful discussion. SA was supported by the B O F of Ghent University. BWL thanks Hangzhou Normal University and the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11205039) for financial support. LDW is funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG; research fellowship, Grant No. WE 5519/1–1). dRDM simulations were performed at the HPC infrastructure of Ghent University.

Appendix A. Comparison with uniform global coupling

Interestingly, when the ratio of wavelength over domain size is large, we observe that the relative spiral drift pattern is well represented based on the first Fourier component of the instantaneous excited area (i.e., without a mechanical Green's function). This situation is shown in figure A1; the similarity to the experimental drift trajectory in figure 6 is particularly striking for the case where a = 0.08. Since the excited area fraction is easily accessed experimentally (e.g., using optical or electrode mapping), it is worth investigating whether it can be used to predict the MEF-induced drift of spiral waves.





However, treating the Green's function as a constant is not generally valid, as one can easily see from the counterexample of a half-infinite medium with a zero-displacement boundary. In such a case, the fraction of instantaneous exited area tends to a constant, and omitting the mechanical Green's function would therefore wrongfully forecast the absence of MEF-induced spiral drift. Nevertheless, we can show that this simple approach holds in a square slab of tissue of a size comparable to the spiral wavelength and with fixated boundaries. Although restricted, these conditions apply to mapping experiments in which a thin patch of cardiac tissue is subtended in a rigid frame.

To find such a simplified description, we evaluate (25) for a spiral wave with rotation center (*X*, *Y*) in a square domain, $[-L, L] \times [-L, L]$. Under no-displacement boundaries, the Navier-Cauchy equation (4) are self-adjoint, leading to the property of mechanical reciprocity that is reflected in the symmetry of the Green's function: $G_S(X, Y; x_0, y_0) = G_S(x_0, y_0; X, Y)$. By the method of mirror images, the induced stretch field is centered at the points of the boundary closest to (*X*, *Y*) (i.e., the spiral center's orthogonal projections on the boundary). Those four regions lie at distances d_j ($j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$), equal to $L \pm Y$ or $L \pm X$ from its core; this happens at rotation phases $\phi_j = \phi_0 + j\pi/2$. In these regions, the overlap integral (35) will be a bell-shaped curve, $q_1(d_j)g_1(\phi - \phi_j)$, with amplitude function q_1 decaying monotonically with the distance, d_j . Therefore, the relative spiral drift velocity field can be approximated from (35) as

$$V_{\rm rel}^{+}(X, Y) \approx -A_W \frac{A_T}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi e^{i\phi} q_1(d_j) g_1(\phi - \phi_j)$$

= $-A_W A_T A_1 \sum_{j=1}^{4} q_1(d_j) e^{i\phi_j},$ (A.1)

where a new shape factor, $A_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi' e^{i\phi'} g_1(\phi')$, arises and captures the spatial profile of the mechanical Green's function.

Let us compare this expression with the first Fourier component, $V_{S}^{+}(X, Y)$, of the excited surface area. The excited surface area can be found by identifying regions where u is larger than a given threshold value. Using a





can be approximated as $\mathcal{L} = L_m - \sum_{j=1}^4 q_2(d_j)g_2(\phi - \phi_j)$, where L_m is a constant larger than the square's diagonal, $2\sqrt{2}L$, $L_m - q_2(d_j)$ is the arc length of a spiral between its core and the point with radial distance d_j , and $g_2(\phi)$ is a bell-shaped curve. Thus, using the excited surface area to estimate the MEF spiral drift, one obtains

$$V_{\rm S}^+(X, Y) \approx -A_W A_T' A_2 \sum_{j=1}^4 q_2 (d_j) {\rm e}^{{\rm i}\phi_j}.$$
 (A.2)

The expressions (A.1) and (A.2) for nonuniform versus uniform feedback are strikingly similar: the Fourier convolution theorem has factorized all underlying detail except the monotonically decaying functions, $q_1(d)$, $q_2(d)$. If these functions exhibit a similar dependency, the MEF system can be approximated by a uniform-feedback system. Figure A1 illustrates that this is possible in a small square with fixated boundaries.

Appendix B. Supplementary figures

References

- [1] Zhabotinsky A M and Zaikin A N 1973 Autowave processes in a distributed chemical system J. Theor. Biol. 40 45-61
- [2] Jacubith S, Rotermund H H, Engel W, von Oertzen A and Ertl G 1990 Spatiotemporal concentration patterns in a surface-reaction propagating and standing waves, rotating spirals and turbulence Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 3013–6
- [3] Siegert F and Weijer CJ 1992 Three dimensional scroll waves organize dictyostelium slugs Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89 6433-7
- [4] Dahlem M A and Müller S C 2004 Reaction-diffusion waves in neuronal tissue and the window of cortical excitability Ann. Phys., Lpz. 13 442–9
- [5] Allessie M A, Bonke F I M and Schopman F J G 1973 Circus movement in rabbit atrial muscle as a mechanism of tachycardia Circ. Res. 33 54–62
- [6] Davidenko J M, Pertsov A M, Salomontsz R, Baxter W and Jalife J 1992 Stationary and drifting spiral waves of excitation in isolated cardiac muscle Nature 355 349–51
- [7] Rudenko A N and Panfilov A V 1983 Drift and interaction of vortices in two-dimensional heterogeneous active medium Stud. Biophys. 98 183–8
- [8] Panfilov A V and Vasiev B N 1991 Vortex initiation in a heterogeneous excitable medium Physica D 49 107-13
- [9] Agladze K I, Davydov V A and Mikhailov A S 1987 An observation of resonance of spiral waves in distributed excitable medium JETP Lett. 45 767–9
- [10] Wellner M, Berenfeld O and Pertsov A M 2000 Predicting filament drift in twisted anisotropy Phys. Rev. E 61 1845–50
- [11] Dierckx H, Brisard E, Verschelde H and Panfilov A V 2013 Drift laws for spiral waves on curved anisotropic surfaces Phys. Rev. E 88 012908
- [12] Gray R A, Jalife J, Panfilov A, Baxter W T, Cabo C and Pertsov A M 1995 Non-stationary vortex-like reentrant activity as a mechanism of polymorphic ventricular tachycardia in the isolated rabbit heart *Circulation* 91 2454–69
- [13] Yashin VV and Balazs AC 2006 Pattern formation and shape changes in self-oscillating polymer gels Science 314 798-801
- [14] Radszuweit M, Engel H and Baer M 2014 An active poroelastic model for mechanochemical patterns in protoplasmic droplets of physarum polycephalum *PLoS ONE* 9 e99220
- [15] Kohl P, Hunter P and Noble D 1999 Stretch-induced changes in heart rate and rhythm: clinical observations, experiments and mathematical models Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 71 91–138
- [16] Hu H and Sachs F 1997 Stretch-activated ion channels in the heart J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 29 1511-23
- [17] Nash M P and Panfilov A V 2004 Electromechanical model of excitable tissue to study reentrant cardiac arrhythmias Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 85 501–22
- [18] Panfilov A V, Keldermann R H and Nash M P 2005 Self-organized pacemakers in a coupled reaction-difusion-mechanics system Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 258104
- [19] Panfilov A V, Keldermann R H and Nash M P 2007 Drift and breakup of spiral waves in reaction-difusion-mechanics systems Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 104 7922–6
- [20] Weise L D and Panfilov A V 2013 A discrete electromechanical model for human cardiac tissue: effects of stretch-activated currents and stretch conditions on restitution properties and spiral wave dynamics PLoS ONE 8 e59317
- [21] Weise L D and Panfilov A V 2012 Emergence of spiral wave activity in a mechanically heterogeneous reaction-diffusion-mechanics system *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** 228104
- [22] Cherubini C, Filippi S and Gizzi A 2012 Electroelastic unpinning of rotating vortices in biological excitable media Phys. Rev. E 85 031915
- [23] Biktasheva I V and Biktashev V N 2003 Wave-particle dualism of spiral wave dynamics *Phys. Rev.* E 67 026221
- [24] Weise L D, Nash M P and Panfilov A V 2011 A discrete model to study reaction-diffusion-mechanics systems *PloS ONE* 6 e21934
- [25] Weise L D and Panfilov A V 2011 New mechanism of spiral wave initiation in a reaction-diffusion-mechanics system PloS ONE 6 e27264
- [26] Aliev R R and Panfilov A V 1996 A simple two-variable model of cardiac excitation Chaos Solitons Fractals 7 293-301
- [27] Keldermann R H, Nash M P, Gelderblom H, Wang V Y and Panfilov A V 2010 Electromechanical wavebreak in a model of the human left ventricle Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 299 134–43
- [28] Zhang Y, Youm J, Sung H, Lee S, Ryu S, Ho W and Earm Y 2000 Stretch-activated and background non-selective cation channels in rat atrial myocytes J. Physiol. 523 607–19
- [29] Trayanova N, Li W, Eason J and Kohl P 2004 Effect of stretch activated channels on defibrillation efficacy Heart Rhythm 1 67–77
- [30] Vetter F and Culloch A 2001 Mechanoelectric feedback in a model of the passively inflated left ventricle *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 29 414–26
- [31] Keener J P 1988 The dynamics of three-dimensional scroll waves in excitable media *Physica* D 31 269–76

- [32] Biktashev V N and Holden A V 1995 Resonant drift of autowave vortices in two dimensions and the effect of boundaries and inhomogeneities *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 5 575–562
- [33] Biktasheva I V, Elkin Y E and Biktashev V N 1998 Localized sensitivity of spiral waves in the complex ginzburg-landau equation Phys. Rev. E 57 2656–9
- [34] Biktashev V N, Holden A V and Zhang H 1994 Tension of organizing filaments of scroll waves Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 347 611–30
- [35] Henry H and Hakim V 2000 Linear stability of scroll waves Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 5328-31
- [36] Henry H and Hakim V 2002 Scroll waves in isotropic excitable media: linear instabilities, bifurcations, and restabilized states Phys. Rev. E 65 046235
- [37] Henry H 2004 Spiral wave drift in an electrical field and scroll wave instabilities Phys. Rev. E 70 026204
- [38] Verschelde H, Dierckx H and Bernus O 2007 Covariant stringlike dynamics of scroll wave filaments in anisotropic cardiac tissue Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 168104
- [39] Dierckx H, Bernus O and Verschelde H 2009 A geometric theory for scroll wave filaments in anisotropic excitable tissue Phys. D 238 941–50
- [40] Dierckx H and Verschelde H 2013 Effective dynamics of twisted and curved scroll waves using virtual filaments Phys. Rev. E 88 062907
- [41] Biktasheva I V, Holden A V and Biktashev V N 2006 Localization of response functions of spiral waves in the fitzhugh-nagumo system Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos 16 1547–55
- [42] Biktasheva I V, Barkley D, Biktashev V N, Bordyuogov G V and Foulkes A J 2009 Computation of the response functions of spiral waves in active media Phys. Rev. E 79 056702
- [43] Barkley D 1992 Linear stability analysis of rotating spiral waves in excitable media Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 2090-3
- [44] Zykov V S 1987 Simulation of Wave Processes in Excitable Media (Manchester: Manchester University Press)
- [45] Dierckx H, Bernus O and Verschelde H 2011 Accurate eikonal-curvature relation for wave fronts in locally anisotropic reactiondiffusion systems Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 108101
- [46] Strogatz S H 1994 Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos: With Applications to Physics, Biology Chemistry and Engineering (Reading, MA: Addison Wesley)
- [47] England A H 2003 Complex Variable Methods in Elasticity (New York: Wiley)
- [48] Zykov V S and Engel H 2004 Dynamics of spiral waves under global feedback in excitable domains of different shapes Phys. Rev. E 70 016201
- [49] Nanthakumar K, Walcott G P, Melnick S, Rogers J M, Kay M W, Smith W M, Ideker R E and Holman W 2004 Epicardial organization of human ventricular fibrillation *Heart Rhythm* 1 14–23
- [50] Pertsov A M, Davidenko J M, Salomontsz R, Baxter W and Jalife J 1993 Spiral waves of excitation underlie reentrant activity in isolated cardiac muscle Circ. Res. 72 631–50
- [51] Nanthakumar K et al 2007 Optical mapping of langendorff-perfused human hearts: establishing a model for the study of ventricular fibrillation in humans AJP: Heart Circulatory Physiol. 293 875–80
- [52] Winfree A T 1987 When Time Breaks Down (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)
- [53] Alvarez-Lacalle E and Echebarria B 2009 Global coupling in excitable media provides a simplified description of mechanoelectrical feedback in cardiac tissue Phys. Rev. E 79 031921
- [54] Zykov V S and Engel H 2004 Feedback-mediated control of spiral waves Phys. D 199 243-63
- [55] Zykov V S, Bordiougov G, Brandtstadter H, Gerdes I and Engel H 2004 Global control of spiral wave dynamics in an excitable domain of circular and elliptical shape Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 018304
- [56] Zykov V S, Brandtstadter H, Bordiougov G and Engel H 2005 Interference patterns in spiral wave drift induced by a two-point feedback Phys. Rev. E 72 065201
- [57] Camelliti P, Gallagher J O, Kohl P and McCulloch A D 2006 Micropatterned cell cultures on elastic membranes as an in vitro model of myocardium Nat. Protocols 1 1379–91
- [58] Narayan S M, Krummen D E, Shivkumar K, Clopton P, Rappel W-J and Miller J M 2012 Treatment of atrial fibrillation by the ablation of localized sources J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 60 628–36