

Article

Novel Mean-Type Inequalities via Generalized Riemann-Type Fractional Integral for Composite Convex Functions: Some Special Examples

Muzammil Mukhtar¹, Muhammad Yaqoob¹, Muhammad Samraiz² , Iram Shabbir¹, Sina Etemad^{3,*} , Manuel De la Sen^{4,*}  and Shahram Rezapour^{3,5,6,*} 

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalnagar Campus, Bahawalnagar 63100, Pakistan
² Department of Mathematics, University of Sargodha, Sargodha 40100, Pakistan
³ Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz 3751-71379, Iran
⁴ Institute of Research and Development of Processes, Department of Electricity and Electronics, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), 48940 Leioa, Bizkaia, Spain
⁵ Department of Mathematics, Kyung Hee University, 26 Kyungheedae-ro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea
⁶ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan
* Correspondence: sina.etemad@azaruniv.ac.ir (S.E.); manuel.delasen@ehu.eus (M.D.I.S.); sh.rezapour@azaruniv.ac.ir (S.R.)



Citation: Mukhtar, M.; Yaqoob, M.; Samraiz, M.; Shabbir, I.; Etemad, S.; De la Sen, M.; Rezapour, S. Novel Mean-Type Inequalities via Generalized Riemann-Type Fractional Integral for Composite Convex Functions: Some Special Examples. *Symmetry* **2023**, *15*, 479. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/sym15020479>

Academic Editors: Nicusor Minculete and Shigeru Furuchi

Received: 22 January 2023

Revised: 4 February 2023

Accepted: 7 February 2023

Published: 10 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: This study deals with a novel class of mean-type inequalities by employing fractional calculus and convexity theory. The high correlation between symmetry and convexity increases its significance. In this paper, we first establish an identity that is crucial in investigating fractional mean inequalities. Then, we establish the main results involving the error estimation of the Hermite–Hadamard inequality for composite convex functions via a generalized Riemann-type fractional integral. Such results are verified by choosing certain composite functions. These results give well-known examples in special cases. The main consequences can generalize many known inequalities that exist in other studies.

Keywords: mean inequalities; fractional integral; Hölder’s inequality; Minkowski inequality

MSC: 26A33; 35J05

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus has wide application in mathematics as well as in many other fields of the modern sciences, such as bio-engineering [1–3], biological membranes [4], medicine [5–7], geophysics [8], demography [9], the economy [10], physics [11] and also in signal processing. Over the past few decades, scientists have paid attention to the fractional theory of calculus and investigated and modeled many physical real phenomena using fractional calculus theory; for instance, fractional applications in epidemiology [12], the Atangana-Baleanu version of operators in convex analysis [13], impulsive Langevin equations in fractional settings [14], the application of fractional operators in inclusion theory [15–17], quantum calculus [18], variable order fractional engineering models based on thermostat control [19], etc.

Mathematical inequalities provide boundedness and uniqueness of solutions of boundary value problems, so they have became the backbone of mathematical methods. Due to their vast use in the field of mathematics as well as in other modern fields of science, their need and importance have inspired mathematicians to turn to more generalized and advanced inequalities [20–22]. Additionally, this group of inequalities has been applied

in most studies studying fractional models, fractional BVPs and IVPs, etc. At present, the list of inequalities is very long and still growing. Studies by Beckenbach [23] are a good resource to survey these inequalities. The inequalities with general kernels and measures can be studied in the [24,25]. AlNemer et al. [26] and Zakarya et al. [27] established some Hardy and Coposn inequalities, respectively. The HH-inequality [28] is considered the fundamental inequality in the study of convexity. It helps us understand the geometrical aspects of a convex function. It can be written as:

Theorem 1. *If $\Phi : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, then*

$$\Phi\left(\frac{c+d}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{d-c} \int_c^d \Phi(x) dx \leq \frac{\Phi(c) + \Phi(d)}{2}$$

holds. For the concave function above, inequality holds in the other direction.

Taking advantage of fractional operators, Farid *et al.* utilized a Riemann–Liouville fractional integral to study the error estimation of one of the most basic and famous Hermite–Hadamard (HH) inequalities by using the concept of convexity for strictly monotone mappings [29].

In this paper, to obtain more advanced results, we used a generalized Riemann–Liouville fractional integral [30] on HH-inequality. We establish the generalized identities and estimate the error of HH-inequality, which is further used in estimating errors of mid-point and trapezoidal inequalities for strictly monotonic convex functions.

The inspiration behind this paper is the recent work conducted by Farid *et al.* in [29]. We develop a generalized identity for Rieman-type fractional integrals and use it to investigate trapezoid-type inequalities for a class of composite convex functions with respect to a strictly monotone function. The basic purpose of this research is to obtain more advanced and refined results than exist in the literature.

The organization of the paper is as follows: the preliminaries are stated in Section 2; the main results and special cases, in the form of several examples and applications, are given in Section 3; and conclusive remarks are provided in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

We give some preliminaries that are necessary to deal with our main results.

Definition 1. *A real-valued function Φ defined on $[c, d]$ is called convex if it satisfies*

$$\Phi(\eta x + (1 - \eta)y) \leq \eta\Phi(x) + (1 - \eta)\Phi(y),$$

where $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $x, y \in [c, d]$.

The HH-inequality and its generalizations have been studied by many authors in [31–33]. Due to advancement and enhancement of effectiveness operators, mathematicians are struggling to invent new efficient mechanisms and extend the existing studies.

The convexity of a function w.r.t. a strictly monotone mapping given in [34] is presented as follows:

Definition 2. *The function Φ is convex w.r.t. a strictly monotone mapping \mathcal{U} if the composite function $\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ is convex.*

The following theorem gives the description of HH-inequality under a convex function w.r.t. a strictly monotone mapping [35].

Theorem 2. Suppose I_1 and I_2 are sub-intervals of $(-\infty, +\infty)$, $\mathcal{U} : I_2 \supset [c, d] \rightarrow R$ is a mapping with strict monotonicity property and $\Phi : [c, d] \subset I_1 \rightarrow R$ is a convex function w.r.t. \mathcal{U} . Then

$$\Phi\left(\mathcal{U}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(c) + \mathcal{U}(d)}{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{\mathcal{U}(d) - \mathcal{U}(c)} \int_{\mathcal{U}(c)}^{\mathcal{U}(d)} \Phi(\mathcal{U}^{-1}(\nu)) d\nu \leq \frac{\Phi(c) + \Phi(d)}{2}.$$

The following definition is an extension of the classical Gamma function. For more details, see [36].

Definition 3. The k -Gamma function denoted by Γ_k is formulated as

$$\Gamma_k(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! k^n (nk)^{\frac{z}{k}-1}}{(z)_{n,k}} \text{ where } k > 0 \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-.$$

Another form is

$$\Gamma_k(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\nu^k}{k}} \nu^{z-1} d\nu, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0.$$

One can easily observe that $\nu \Gamma_k(\nu) = \Gamma_k(\nu + k)$.

Definition 4 ([37]). The left and right sided fractional RL-integrals (Riemann-Liouville) of G with order w are given as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{c+}^w G(x) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(w)} \int_c^x (x - \nu)^{w-1} G(\nu) d\nu, & x > c, \\ I_{d-}^w G(x) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(w)} \int_x^d (\nu - x)^{w-1} G(\nu) d\nu, & x < d. \end{aligned}$$

The generalized RL-integrals introduced in [30] are as follows:

Definition 5. The left and right generalized RL-integrals of G with order w are given as:

$$\begin{aligned} kI_{c+}^w G(x) &= \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(w)} \int_c^x (x - \nu)^{\frac{w}{k}-1} G(\nu) d\nu, & x > c, \\ kI_{d-}^w G(x) &= \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(w)} \int_x^d (\nu - x)^{\frac{w}{k}-1} G(\nu) d\nu, & x < d. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the obtained results of the current manuscript are connected with the findings of [38–40].

3. Main Results

This section consists of several novel mean-type inequalities involving the generalized Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals. The following lemma gives an integral identity that will be helpful to study the error estimation (lower and upper bounds estimation) of HH-inequality.

Lemma 1. Consider a real function Φ and a strictly monotone real function \mathcal{U} defined on $[a_1, a_2]$ with $a_2 > a_1$ s.t. $(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})$ is differentiable and $(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \in L[a_1, a_2]$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2\left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)\right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left(kI_{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+}^u \Phi(a_2) + kI_{\mathcal{U}(a_2)-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)}{2} \int_0^1 \left((1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right) (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) d\nu. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First we evaluate the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) d\nu \\
&= \frac{(1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right)}{\mathcal{U}(a_1) - \mathcal{U}(a_2)} \Big|_0^1 \\
&\quad + \frac{u}{k} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}-1} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) d\nu}{\mathcal{U}(a_1) - \mathcal{U}(a_2)}. \\
&= \frac{\Phi(a_2)}{\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)} - \frac{\frac{u}{k}}{\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)} \int_0^1 (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}-1} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) d\nu. \\
&= \frac{\Phi(a_2)}{\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)} - \frac{\frac{u}{k}}{\left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}+1}} \int_{\mathcal{U}(a_1)}^{\mathcal{U}(a_2)} (z - \mathcal{U}(a))^{\frac{u}{k}-1} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})(z) dz. \\
&= \frac{\Phi(a_2)}{\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{\left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}+1}} \left({}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_2)-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right). \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) d\nu \\
&= \frac{-\Phi(a_2)}{\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)} + \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{\left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}+1}} \left({}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+}^u \Phi(a_2) \right). \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting (2) and (3) in the (1), we can obtain the desired result. \square

We derive the following error estimate of Theorem 2 with the help of Lemma 1.

Theorem 3. Consider a real function Φ and a strictly monotone function \mathcal{U} defined on $[a_1, a_2]$ with $a_2 > a_1$ s.t. $\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ is differentiable and $(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \in L[a_1, a_2]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2[\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)]^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_2)-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2(\frac{u}{k}+1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right) \left(\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right| + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right| \right), \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

holds whenever $|(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'|$ is convex.

Proof. From Lemma 1 with the properties of the absolute value function, the above inequality can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2\left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)\right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_2)-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2} \int_0^1 \left| (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right| \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right| d\nu. \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Since $|(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'|$ is convex, therefore using this on the right-hand side of (5) will imply the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2\left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)\right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_2)-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2} \int_0^1 \left| (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right| \left(\nu \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1-\nu) \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right| \right) d\nu \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right| \left(\nu \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right| \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (1-\nu) \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right| \right) d\nu \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left| (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right| \left(\nu \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right| + (1-\nu) \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right| \right) d\nu \right) \\ & = \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2} \left(\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right| \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (\nu(1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}+1}) d\nu \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right| \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} ((1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}+1} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}}(1-\nu)) d\nu \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right| \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (\nu^{\frac{u}{k}+1} - \nu(1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}}) d\nu \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right| \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (\nu^{\frac{u}{k}}(1-\nu) - (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}+1}) d\nu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, some calculations will imply our desired result. \square

Now, we present some special cases in the context of several examples, all of which have been proved in previous studies.

Example 1. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = 1/x$ in (4), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2} \left(\frac{a_1 a_2}{a_2 - a_1} \right)^{\frac{u}{k}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{1}{a_1})^-}^u \Phi \circ g \left(\frac{1}{a_2} \right) + {}_k I_{(\frac{1}{a_2})^+}^u \Phi \circ g \left(\frac{1}{a_1} \right) \right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{|a_1 - a_2|}{2|a_1 a_2|^{(\frac{u}{k}+1)}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right) \left(a_1^2 |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2^2 |\Phi'(a_2)| \right),$$

where $g(v) = \frac{1}{v}$.

Example 2. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ and $\frac{u}{k} = 1$ in (4), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{k a_1 a_2}{a_2 - a_1} \int_{\frac{1}{a_2}}^{\frac{1}{a_1}} (\Phi \circ g)(v) dv \right| \leq \frac{|a_1 - a_2|}{8|a_1 a_2|} \left(a_1^2 |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2^2 |\Phi'(a_2)| \right),$$

where $g(v) = \frac{1}{v}$.

Example 3. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = x^r$ where $r \neq 0$ in (4), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{r^{\frac{u}{k}} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{2(a_2^r - a_1^r)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{a_1^+}^u \Phi(v) + {}_k I_{a_2^-}^u \Phi(v) \right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{|a_2^r - a_1^r|}{2|r|^{(\frac{u}{k}+1)}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right) \left(a_1^{1-r} |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2^{1-r} |\Phi'(a_2)| \right).$$

Example 4. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = x^r$ where $r \neq 0$ and $\frac{u}{k} = 1$ in (4), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{k r}{2(a_2^r - a_1^r)} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} v^{r-1} f(v) dv \right| \leq \frac{|a_2^r - a_1^r|}{8|r|} \left(a_1^{1-r} |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2^{1-r} |\Phi'(a_2)| \right).$$

Example 5. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \log_e x$ in (4), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2(\ln(a_2) - \ln(a_1))^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{\ln(a_1)^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{\ln(a_2)^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{|\ln(a_2) - \ln(a_1)|}{2(\frac{u}{k}+1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right) \left(a_1 |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2 |\Phi'(a_2)| \right).$$

Example 6. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \log_e x$ with $\frac{u}{k} = 1$ in (4), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{k}{\ln(a_2) - \ln(a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{\Phi(u)}{u} du \right| \leq \frac{\ln(a_2) - \ln(a_1)}{8} \left(a_1 |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2 |\Phi'(a_2)| \right).$$

Next we present the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Consider a real function Φ and a strictly monotone function \mathcal{U} defined on $[a_1, a_2]$ with $a_2 > a_1$ s.t. $\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ is differentiable and $(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \in L[a_1, a_2]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2 \left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left(k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+}^u \Phi(a_2) +_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_2)-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{u}{k} + 1 \right)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right) \left(\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right|^q + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'|^q, q \geq 1$ is convex.

Proof. In two cases, the proof will be completed:

Case(i). For $q = 1$.

Via the convexity of $|(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'|$ and the properties of the absolute value function in Lemma 1, the above inequality can be obtained.

Case (ii): For $q > 1$.

We use the power mean inequality and the properties of the absolute value function to R.H.S of Lemma 1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2 \left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left(k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+}^u \Phi(a_2) +_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_2)-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \left| (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right| \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \times \left(\int_0^1 \left| (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right| \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) \right|^q d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

This can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left| (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right| d\nu = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right) d\nu + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(\nu^{\frac{u}{k}} - (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} \right) d\nu \\ & = \frac{2}{\left(\frac{u}{k} + 1 \right)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right). \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

Since $|(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'|^q$ is convex, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left| (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right| \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) \right|^q d\nu \\ & \leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right) \left(\nu \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right|^q + (1-\nu) \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right|^q \right) d\nu \\ & + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(\nu^{\frac{u}{k}} - (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} \right) \left(\nu \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right|^q + (1-\nu) \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right|^q \right) d\nu. \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right|^q \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu \left((1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}+1} \right) d\nu + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \nu \left(\nu^{\frac{u}{k}} - (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} \right) d\nu \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + |(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2))|^q \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}+1} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}}(1-\nu) \right) d\nu + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(\nu^{\frac{u}{k}}(1-\nu) - (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}+1} \right) d\nu \right) \\
& = \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right|^q \frac{1}{(\frac{u}{k}+1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right) + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right|^q \frac{1}{(\frac{u}{k}+1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right). \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, some calculations with the use of (10), (9) and (8) in (7) will imply our desired result. \square

Now, the following examples show the application of the conclusion of the above theorem.

Example 7. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = x$ in (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2(a_2 - a_1)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(a_1)^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(a_2)^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|a_2 - a_1|}{2^{\frac{1}{q}} (\frac{u}{k} + 1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right) \left(\left| \Phi'(a_1) \right|^q + \left| \Phi'(a_2) \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 8. By setting $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ in (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2} \left(\frac{a_1 a_2}{a_2 - a_1} \right)^{\frac{u}{k}} \left({}_k I_{\frac{1}{a_1}^-}^{\frac{u}{k}} \Phi \circ g \left(\frac{1}{a_2} \right) + {}_k I_{\frac{1}{a_2}^+}^{\frac{u}{k}} \Phi \circ g \left(\frac{1}{a_1} \right) \right) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|a_1 - a_2|}{2^{\frac{1}{q}} |a_1 a_2| (\frac{u}{k} + 1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right) \left(a_1^{2q} \left| \Phi' \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right|^q + a_2^{2q} \left| \Phi' \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 9. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \ln(x)$ in (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2(\ln a_2 - \ln a_1)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{\ln a_1}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{\ln b}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|\ln(a_2) - \ln(a_1)|}{2^{\frac{1}{q}} (\frac{u}{k} + 1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right) \left(a_1^q \left| \Phi' \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right|^q + a_2^q \left| \Phi' \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 10. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = x^r$ where $r \neq 0$ in (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{r^{\frac{u}{k}} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{2} (a_2^r - a_1^r)^{\frac{u}{k}} \left({}_k I_{a_1^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{a_2^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|a_2^r - a_1^r|}{2^{\frac{1}{q}} |r| (\frac{u}{k} + 1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{u}{k}}} \right) \left(a_1^{(1-r)q} \left| \Phi' \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right|^q + a_2^{(1-r)q} \left| \Phi' \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the next theorem, the following Lemma will be helpful.

Lemma 2 ([41]). For $y > x \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\left| x^\alpha - y^\alpha \right| \leq \left(y - x \right)^\alpha.$$

Theorem 5. Consider a real function Φ and a strictly monotone real function \mathcal{U} defined on $[a_1, a_2]$ with $a_2 > a_1$ such that $\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ is differentiable and $(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \in L[a_1, a_2]$. If $|(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'|^q$, $q \geq 1$ is convex, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2 \left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_2)-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{up}{k} + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right|^q + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (11) \\ & \text{s.t. } \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The absolute value function along with the Holder's inequality on R.H.S of Lemma 1, give

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2 \left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{\mathcal{U}(a_2)-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \left| (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right|^p d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \times \left(\int_0^1 \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) \right|^q d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

We apply Lemma 2, to obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left| (1-\nu)^{\frac{u}{k}} - \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} \right|^p d\nu \leq \int_0^1 \left| 1 - 2\nu \right|^{\frac{up}{k}} d\nu \\ & = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - 2\nu \right)^{\frac{up}{k}} d\nu + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(2\nu - 1 \right)^{\frac{up}{k}} d\nu \\ & = \frac{1}{\frac{up}{k} + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now convexity of $|(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'|^q$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\nu \mathcal{U}(a_1) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) \right|^q d\nu \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \left(\nu \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right|^q + (1-\nu) \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right|^q \right) d\nu \\ & = \frac{\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1)) \right|^q + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2)) \right|^q}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by using the computations above, we can obtain the desired result (11). \square

About the above theorem, we state some cases in the form of several examples.

Example 11. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ in (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)(a_1 a_2)^{\frac{u}{k}}}{2(a_2 - a_1)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{\frac{1}{a_1}}^u (\Phi \circ g)(\frac{1}{a_2}) + {}_k I_{\frac{1}{a_2}}^u (\Phi \circ g)(\frac{1}{a_1}) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|a_1 - a_2|}{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} (\frac{up}{k}+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} |a_1 a_2|} \left(a_1^{2q} |\Phi'(a_1)|^q + a_2^{2q} |\Phi'(a_2)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(v) = \frac{1}{v}$.

Example 12. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = x^r$ where $r \neq 0$ in (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{r^{\frac{u}{k}} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{2(a_2^r - a_1^r)} \left({}_k I_{a_1^r}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{a_2^r}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|a_2^r - a_1^r|}{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} |r| (\frac{up}{k}+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(a_1^{(1-r)q} |\Phi'(a_1)|^q + a_2^{(1-r)q} |\Phi'(a_2)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 13. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \ln(x)$ in (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a_1) + \Phi(a_2)}{2} - \frac{\Gamma_k(u+k)}{2(\ln a_2 - \ln a_1)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\ln a_1)^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(\ln a_2)^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\ln(a_2) - \ln(a_1)}{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} (\frac{up}{k}+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(a_1^q |\Phi'(a_1)|^q + a_2^q |\Phi'(a_2)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

The next identity will be useful to study the error estimation of the inequality (2).

Lemma 3. We use Lemma 1 to establish the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{\left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_2)+\mathcal{U}(a_1)}{2})^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+\mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2})^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \\ & - \Phi \left(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2} \right) \right) \\ & = \frac{\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)}{4} \left(\int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)\nu}{2} + \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) d\nu \right. \\ & \left. - \int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)(2-\nu)}{2} + \left(\frac{\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) d\nu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Evaluating the integral by parts, we have

$$\int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)\nu}{2} + \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) d\nu$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)\nu}{2} + \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right)}{\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) - \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2}} \Big|_0^1 \\
&\quad - \frac{u}{k} \int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{u}{k}-1} \frac{(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)\nu}{2} + \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right)}{\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) - \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2}} d\nu. \tag{12} \\
&= -\frac{2\Phi \left(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2} \right) \right)}{(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1))} + \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}}}{\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)\nu}{2} + \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) d\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

By changing the variable, we obtain the following:

$$= -\frac{2\Phi(\mathcal{U}^{-1}(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2}))}{(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1))} + \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}+1}\Gamma_k(u+k)}{\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2})}^u \Phi(a_2) \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)(2-\nu)}{2} + \left(\frac{\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) d\nu \\
&= \frac{2\Phi(\mathcal{U}^{-1}(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2}))}{(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1))} \\
&\quad - \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}}}{\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)} \int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{u}{k}-1} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)\nu}{2} + \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) dt. \tag{13} \\
&= \frac{2\Phi(\mathcal{U}^{-1}(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2}))}{(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1))} + \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}+1}\Gamma_k(u+k)}{\left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2})}^u - \Phi(a_1) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 is obtained by using (12) and (13). \square

With the help of Lemma 3, we establish the error estimate of the HH-inequality.

Theorem 6. *Using the assumption of Theorem 3,*

$$\left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1}\Gamma_k(u+k)}{\left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_2) + \mathcal{U}(a_1)}{2})}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2})}^u - \Phi(a_1) \right) \right| \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \Phi \left(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2} \right) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{4(\frac{\mu}{k} + 1)} \left(\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right| + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right| \right), \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

holds.

Proof. By convexity of $|(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'|$ and applying the properties of the absolute value function in Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1))^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+\mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2})^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+\mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2})^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \Phi\left(\mathcal{U}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2}\right)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{4} \left(\int_0^1 \left| v^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)v}{2} + \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2}\right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) \right| d\nu \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^1 \left| \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)(2-\nu)}{2} + \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) \right| d\nu \right) \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{4} \left(\left(|(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1)| + |(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2)| \right) \int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} d\nu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, the little calculations will imply our desired result. \square

Example 14. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ in (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1} (\Gamma_k(u+k)) (a_1 a_2)^{\frac{u}{k}}}{(a_2 - a_1)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{a_1+a_2}{2a_1a_2})^-}^u \Phi \circ g\left(\frac{1}{a_2}\right) + {}_k I_{(\frac{a_1+a_2}{2a_1a_2})^+}^u \Phi \circ g\left(\frac{1}{a_1}\right) \right) - \Phi\left(\frac{2a_1a_2}{a_1+a_2}\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|a_1 - a_2|}{4(\frac{u}{k}+1)|a_1a_2|} \left(a_1^2 |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2^2 |\Phi'(a_2)| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $g(v) = \frac{1}{v}$.

Example 15. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = x^r$ where $r \neq 0$ in (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1} (\Gamma_k(u+k)) (r)^{\frac{u}{k}}}{(a_2^r - a_1^r)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{a_1^r+a_2^r}{2})^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(\frac{a_1^r+a_2^r}{2})^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) - \Phi\left(\left(\frac{a_1^r+a_2^r}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|a_2^r - a_1^r|}{4(\frac{u}{k}+1)|r|} \left(a_1^{(1-r)} |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2^{(1-r)} |\Phi'(a_2)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Example 16. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \log_e x(x)$ in (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{\ln(a_2) - \ln(a_1)} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{\ln(a_1)+\ln(a_2)}{2})^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(\frac{\ln(a_1)+\ln(a_2)}{2})^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \Phi\left(\exp\left(\frac{\ln(a_1) + \ln(a_2)}{2}\right)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\ln(a_2) - \ln(a_1)|}{4(\frac{u}{k}+1)} \left(a_1 |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2 |\Phi'(a_2)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove the theorem.

Theorem 7. Using Theorem 4, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1))^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+\mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2})^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+\mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2})^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \Phi\left(\mathcal{U}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2}\right)\right) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2^{2+\frac{1}{q}} (\frac{u}{k}+1) (\frac{u}{k}+2)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\left(|\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+1) + |\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+3) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(|\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+3) + |\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+1) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right). \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In two cases, the proof will be completed:

Case (i). For $q = 1$.

Via the convexity of $|\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'|$ and utilizing the properties of the absolute value function (Lemma 3), the desired result can be obtained easily.

Case (ii). For $q > 1$.

With the use of Power mean inequality and properties of the absolute value function in Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1))^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+\mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2})^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+\mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2})^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \Phi\left(\mathcal{U}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2}\right)\right) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{4} \left(\int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} d\nu \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\left(\int_0^1 \left| \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)\nu}{2} + \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) \right|^q d\nu \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \int_0^1 \left| \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)(2-\nu)}{2} + \left(\frac{\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) \right|^q d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{4(\frac{u}{k}+1)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}} \\
& \times \left(\left(|\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1))|^q \int_0^1 \frac{\nu^{\frac{u}{k}+1}}{2} d\nu + |\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2))|^q \int_0^1 \frac{(2-\nu)\nu^{\frac{u}{k}}}{2} d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(|\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_1))|^q \int_0^1 \frac{(2-\nu)\nu^{\frac{u}{k}}}{2} d\nu |\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'(\mathcal{U}(a_2))|^q \int_0^1 \frac{\nu^{\frac{u}{k}+1}}{2} d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\
& = \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{2^{2+\frac{1}{q}} (\frac{u}{k}+1) (\frac{u}{k}+2)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\left(|\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+1) + |\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+3) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(|\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+3) + |\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+1) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following examples show the applicability of the above result in special cases:

Example 17. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ in (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1}(\Gamma_k(u+k))(a_1 a_2)^{\frac{u}{k}}}{(a_2 - a_1)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{a_1+a_2}{2a_1 a_2})^-}^u \Phi \circ g(\frac{1}{a_2}) + {}_k I_{(\frac{a_1+a_2}{2a_1 a_2})^+}^u \Phi \circ g(\frac{1}{a_1}) \right) - \Phi\left(\frac{2a_1 a_2}{a_1 + a_2}\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|a_1 - a_2|}{2^{2+\frac{1}{q}} (\frac{u}{k}+1) (\frac{u}{k}+2)^{\frac{1}{q}} |a_1 a_2|} \left(\left(a_1^{2q} |\Phi'(a_1)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+1) + a_2^{2q} |\Phi'(a_2)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+3) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(a_1^{2q} |\Phi'(a_1)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+3) + a_2^{2q} |\Phi'(a_2)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+1) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $g(v) = \frac{1}{v}$.

Example 18. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = x^r$ where $r \neq 0$ in (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1}(\Gamma_k(u+k))(r)^{\frac{u}{k}}}{(a_2^r - a_1^r)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{a_1^r+a_2^r}{2})^{\frac{1}{r}+}}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(\frac{a_1^r+a_2^r}{2})^{\frac{1}{r}-}}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) - \Phi\left((\frac{a_1^r + a_2^r}{2})^{\frac{1}{r}}\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|a_2^r - a_1^r|}{2^{2+\frac{1}{q}} (\frac{u}{k}+1) (\frac{u}{k}+2)^{\frac{1}{q}} |r|} \left(\left(a_1^{q(1-r)} |\Phi'(a_1)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+1) + a_2^{q(1-r)} |\Phi'(a_2)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+3) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(a_1^{q(1-r)} |\Phi'(a_1)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+3) + a_2^{q(1-r)} |\Phi'(a_2)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+1) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Example 19. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \ln(x)$ in (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{\ln(a_2) - \ln(a_1)} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{\ln(a_1)+\ln(a_2)}{2})^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_k I_{(\frac{\ln(a_1)+\ln(a_2)}{2})^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \Phi\left(\exp(\frac{\ln(a_1) + \ln(a_2)}{2})\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\ln(a_2) - \ln(a_1)|}{2^{2+\frac{1}{q}} (\frac{u}{k}+1) (\frac{u}{k}+2)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\left(a_1^q |\Phi'(a_1)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+1) + a_2^q |\Phi'(a_2)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+3) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(a_1^q |\Phi'(a_1)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+3) + a_2^q |\Phi'(a_2)|^q (\frac{u}{k}+1) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 8. By use of Theorem 5, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{\left(\mathcal{U}(b) - \mathcal{U}(a)\right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a)+\mathcal{U}(b)}{2})^+}^u \Phi(b) + {}_k I_{(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a)+\mathcal{U}(b)}{2})^-}^u \Phi(a) \right) - \Phi\left(\mathcal{U}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a) + \mathcal{U}(b)}{2}\right)\right) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(b) - \mathcal{U}(a)|}{4^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\frac{up}{k} + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a) \right| + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(b) \right| \right). \quad (17)$$

Proof. Making use of Hölder's inequality and the properties of the absolute value function in Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1} \Gamma_k(u+k)}{\left(\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left(k I_{\left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+\mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2} \right)^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + k I_{\left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)+\mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2} \right)^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \Phi \left(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1) + \mathcal{U}(a_2)}{2} \right) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{4} \left(\int_0^1 \nu^{\frac{up}{k}} d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)\nu}{2} + \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) \right| d\nu \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^1 \left| \nu^{\frac{u}{k}} (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(a_1)(2-\nu)}{2} + \left(\frac{\nu}{2} \right) \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right) \right| d\nu \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

As $|(\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})'|$ is convex, therefore R.H.S. of the inequality (18) will take the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{4(\frac{up}{k} + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right|^q \right. \\ & \quad \times \int_0^1 \frac{\nu}{2} d\nu + \left. \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right|^q \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2} \right) d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \quad + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right|^q \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2-\nu}{2} \right) d\nu + \left(\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right|^q \int_0^1 \frac{\nu}{2} d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{4(\frac{up}{k} + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\left(\frac{\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right|^q + 3 \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right|^q}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\ & \quad + \left. \left(\frac{3 \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right|^q + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right|^q}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}(a_2) - \mathcal{U}(a_1)|}{4^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\frac{up}{k} + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_1) \right| + \left| (\Phi \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1})' \mathcal{U}(a_2) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

In above computations, we use $a_1^p + a_2^q \leq (a_1 + a_2)^q$ where $q > 1$ and $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$ and obtain our desired result. \square

The following examples show the applicability of the latter result (Theorem 8) in special cases.

Example 20. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ in (17), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1}(\Gamma_k(u+k))(a_1a_2)^{\frac{u}{k}}}{(a_2-a_1)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_kI_{(\frac{a_1+a_2}{2a_1a_2})^-}^u \Phi \circ g\left(\frac{1}{a_2}\right) + {}_kI_{(\frac{a_1+a_2}{2a_1a_2})^+}^u \Phi \circ g\left(\frac{1}{a_1}\right) \right) - \Phi\left(\frac{2a_1a_2}{a_1+a_2}\right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{|a_1-a_2|}{4^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\frac{up}{k}+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}|a_1a_2|} \left(a_1^2 |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2^2 |\Phi'(a_2)| \right),$$

where $g(v) = \frac{1}{v}$.

Example 21. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = x^r$ in (17), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1}(\Gamma_k(u+k))(r)^{\frac{u}{k}}}{(a_2^r-a_1^r)^{\frac{u}{k}}} \left({}_kI_{(\frac{a_1^r+a_2^r}{2})^{\frac{1}{r}+}}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_kI_{(\frac{a_1^r+a_2^r}{2})^{\frac{1}{r}-}}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) - \Phi\left(\left(\frac{a_1^r+a_2^r}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{|a_2^r-a_1^r|}{4^{1-\frac{1}{p}}|r|(\frac{up}{k}+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(a_1^{1-r} |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2^{1-r} |\Phi'(a_2)| \right).$$

Example 22. By setting $\mathcal{U}(x) = \log_e x$ in (17), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{2^{\frac{u}{k}-1}\Gamma_k(u+k)}{\ln(a_2)-\ln(a_1)} \left({}_kI_{(\frac{\ln(a_1)+\ln(a_2)}{2})^+}^u \Phi(a_2) + {}_kI_{(\frac{\ln(a_1)+\ln(a_2)}{2})^-}^u \Phi(a_1) \right) - \Phi\left(\exp\left(\frac{\ln(a_1)+\ln(a_2)}{2}\right)\right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{|\ln(a_2)-\ln(a_1)|}{4^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\frac{up}{k}+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(a_1 |\Phi'(a_1)| + a_2 |\Phi'(a_2)| \right).$$

4. Conclusions

The fractional calculus theory and integral operators have been used to yield more generalized inequalities. In this article, we utilized the generalized form of the Riemann-type fractional integral to obtain mean-type inequalities. The main results were based on identity. The consequences were verified to correspond to different choices for certain functions. The findings of this research reduced to the findings of [29] just by replacing $k = 1$. Similarly, some other results that exist in the literature were recreated. The proven results in this research are hopefully helpful in the field of modified scientific. In the future, we are committed to obtaining more generalized and refined inequalities for fractional operators.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.M., M.Y. and I.S.; formal analysis, M.M., M.Y., M.S., I.S. and M.D.I.S.; Funding acquisition, M.D.I.S.; methodology, M.S., I.S., S.E. and S.R.; software, S.E. and S.R.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The sixth author is grateful to the Basque Government for its support through Grants IT1555-22 and KK-2022/00090 and to MCIN/AEI 269.10.13039/501100011033 for Grant PID2021-1235430B-C21/C22.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated nor analyzed during the current study.

Acknowledgments: The fifth and seventh authors would like to thank Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Magin, R.L. *Fractional Calculus in Bio-Engineering*; Begell House Inc. Publishers: Danbury, CT, USA, 2006.
2. Baleanu, D.; Etemad, S.; Rezapour, S. A hybrid Caputo fractional modeling for thermostat with hybrid boundary value conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2020**, *2020*, 64. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Thaiprayoon, C.; Sudsutad, W.; Alzabut, J.; Etemad, S.; Rezapour, S. On the qualitative analysis of the fractional boundary value problem describing thermostat control model via ψ -Hilfer fractional operator. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, *2021*, 201. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Cesarone, F.; Caputo, M.; Cametti, C. Memory formalism in the passive diffusion across a biological membrane. *J. Membr. Sci.* **2004**, *250*, 79–84. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Bonyah, E.; Chukwu, C.W.; Juga, M.L.; Fatmawati. Modeling fractional-order dynamics of Syphilis via Mittag-Leffler law. *AIMS Math* **2021**, *6*, 8367–8389. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Mohammad, H.; Kumar, S.; Rezapour, S.; Etemad, S. A theoretical study of the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional modeling for hearing loss due to Mumps virus with optimal control. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2021**, *144*, 110668. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Etemad, S.; Avci, I.; Kumar, P.; Baleanu, D.; Rezapour, S. Some novel mathematical analysis on the fractal–fractional model of the AH1N1/09 virus and its generalized Caputo-type version. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2022**, *162*, 112511. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Iaffaldano, G.; Caputo, M.; Martino, S. Experimental and theoretical memory diffusion of water in the sand. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **2006**, *10*, 93–100. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Jumarie, G. New stochastic fractional models for Malthusiangrowth, the Poissonian birth process and optimal management of populations. *Math. Comput. Model.* **2006**, *44*, 231–254. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Caputo, M. Modeling Social and Economic Cycles. In *Alternative Public Economics*; Forte, F., Navarra, P., Mudambi, R., Eds.; Elgar: Cheltenham, UK, 2014.
11. Etemad, S.; Iqbal, I.; Samei, M.E.; Rezapour, S.; Alzabut, J.; Sudsutad, W.; Goksel, I. Some inequalities on multi-functions for applying in the fractional Caputo–Hadamard jerk inclusion system. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2022**, *2022*, 84. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Atangana, A.; Araz, S.I. Nonlinear equations with global differential and integral operators: Existence, uniqueness with application to epidemiology. *Res. Phys.* **2021**, *20*, 103593. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Akdemir, A.O.; Karaoglan, A.; Ragusa, M.A.; Set, E. Fractional integral inequalities via Atangana–Baleanu operators for convex and concave functions. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2021**, *2021*, 1055434. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Rizwan, R.; Zada, A.; Wang, X. Stability analysis of nonlinear implicit fractional Langevin equation with noninstantaneous impulses. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, *2019*, 85. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Belmor, S.; Jarad, F.; Abdeljawad, T.; Kinic, G. A study of boundary value problem for generalized fractional differential inclusion via endpoint theory for weak contractions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, *2020*, 348. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Mohammad, H.; Rezapour, S.; Etemad, S.; Baleanu, D. Two sequential fractional hybrid differential inclusions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, *2020*, 385. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Rezapour, S.; Etemad, S.; Tellab, B.; Agarwal, P.; Guirao, J.L.G. Numerical solutions caused by DGJIM and ADM methods for multi-term fractional BVP involving the generalized ψ -RL-operators. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 532. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Phuong, N.D.; Sakar, F.M.; Etemad, S.; Rezapour, S. A novel fractional structure of a multi-order quantum multi-integro-differential problem. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, *2020*, 633. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Rezapour, S.; Souid, M.S.; Bouazza, Z.; Hussain, A.; Etemad, S. On the fractional variable order thermostat model: Existence theory on cones via piece-wise constant functions. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2022**, *2022*, 8053620. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Kunt, M.; Iscan, I.; Yazici, N.; Gozutok, U. On new inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard–Fejer type for harmonically convex functions via fractional integrals. *Springer Plus* **2016**, *5*, 635. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Sarikaya, M.Z.; Set, E.; Yıldız, H.; Başak, N. Hermite–Hadamard’s inequalities for fractional integrals and related fractional inequalities. *Math. Comput. Model.* **2013**, *57*, 2403–2407. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Sarikaya, M.Z.; Yıldırım, H. On Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities for Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals. *Miskolc Math. Notes* **2017**, *17*, 1049–1059. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Beckenbach, E.; Bellman, R. *An Introduction to Inequalities*; Random House Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1961.
24. El-Hamid, H.A.A.; Rezk, H.M.; Ahmed, A.M.; Al Nemer, G.; Zakarya, M.; El Saify, H.A. Dynamic inequalities in quotients with general kernels and measures. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2020**, *2020*, 5417084. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Saker, S.H.; Rezk, H.M.; Abohela, I.; Baleanu, D. Refinement multidimensional dynamic inequalities with general kernels and measures. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2019**, *2019*, 306. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. AlNemer, G.; Zakarya, M.; El-Hamid, H.A.A.; Kenawy, M.R.; Rezk, H.M. Dynamic Hardy-type inequalities with non-conjugate parameters. *Alex. Eng. J.* **2020**, *59*, 4523–4532. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Zakarya, M.; Altanji, M.; AlNemer, G.; El-Hamid, H.A.A.; Cesarano, C.; Rezk, H.M. Fractional reverse Coposn’s inequalities via conformable calculus on time scales. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 542. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Hadamard, J. Etude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières et en particulier d’une fonction considérée par Riemann. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **1893**, *58*, 171–216.
29. Farid, G.; Pecaric, J.; Nonlaopon, K. Inequalities for fractional Riemann–Liouville integrals of certain class of convex functions. *Adv. Cont. Discr. Models* **2022**, *8*, 766. [[CrossRef](#)]

30. Habibullah, G.M.; Mubeen, S. *k*-Fractional integrals and Application. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.* **2012**, *7*, 89–94.
31. Farid, G.; Nazeer, W.; Saleem, M.; Mehmood, S.; Kang, S. Bounds of Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals in general form via convex functions and their applications. *Mathematics* **2018**, *6*, 248. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Kwun, Y.C.; Farid, G.; Nazeer, W.; Ullah, S.; Kang, S.M. Generalized Riemann-liouville *k* -fractional integrals associated with Ostrowski type inequalities and error bounds of Hadamard inequalities. *IEEE Access* **2018**, *6*, 64946–64953. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Yang, X.; Farid, G.; Nazeer, W.; Yussouf, M.; Chu, Y.-M.; Dong, C. Fractional generalized Hadamard and Fejer-Hadamard inequalities for m -convex functions. *AIMS Math.* **2020**, *5*, 6325–6340. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Iscan, I. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for harmonically convex functions. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **2014**, *43*, 935–942. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Turhan, S.; Kunt, M.; Iscan, I. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for $M_\Phi A$ -convex functions. *Int. J. Math. Model. Comp.* **2020**, *10*, 57–75.
36. Diaz, R.; Pariguan, E. On hypergeometric functions and Pochhammer *k*-symbol. *Divulg. Math.* **2007**, *15*, 179–192.
37. Kilbas, A.A.; Srivastava, H.M.; Trujillo, J.J. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*; North-Holland: New York, NY, USA, 2006.
38. Dragomir, S.S.; Agarwal, R.P. Two inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications to special means of real numbers and to trapezoidal formula. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **1998**, *11*, 91–95. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Iscan, I.; Wu, S. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for harmonically convex functions via fractional integrals. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2014**, *238*, 237–244.
40. Toplu, T.; Set, E.; Iscan, I.; Maden, S. Hermite-Hadamard-type inequalities for p-convex functions via Katugampola fractional integrals. *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.* **2019**, *34*, 149–164. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Prudnikov, A.P.; Brychkov, I.A.; Marichev, O.I. *Integrals and Series: Special Functions*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1986; Volume 2.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.