

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XX International Grassland Congress

## Grazing Behaviour of Beef Steers Grazing Kentucky 31 Endophyte Infected Tall Fescue, Q4508-AR542 Novel Endophyte Tall Fescue, and Lakota Prairie Grass

H. T. Boland Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

G. Scaglia Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

J. P. Fontenot Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

A. O. Abaye Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

R. Smith Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc

 Part of the Agricultural Science Commons, Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons, Plant Biology Commons, Plant Pathology Commons, Soil Science Commons, and the Weed Science Commons This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/20/satellitesymposium4/102 The XX International Grassland Congress took place in Ireland and the UK in June-July 2005. The main congress took place in Dublin from 26 June to 1 July and was followed by post congress satellite workshops in Aberystwyth, Belfast, Cork, Glasgow and Oxford. The meeting was hosted by the Irish Grassland Association and the British Grassland Society.
Proceedings Editor: D. A. McGilloway
Publisher: Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands
Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 2005
The copyright holder has granted the permission for posting the proceedings here.

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

## Grazing behaviour of beef steers grazing Kentucky 31 endophyte infected tall fescue, Q4508-AR542 novel endophyte tall fescue, and Lakota prairie grass

H.T. Boland<sup>1</sup>, G. Scaglia<sup>1</sup>, J.P. Fontenot<sup>1</sup>, A.O. Abaye<sup>2</sup> and R. Smith<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal and Poultry Sciences, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Crop and Soil Environmental Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, USA, Email: hterry@vt.edu

Keywords: grazing behaviour, beef steers, tall fescue, prairie grass, fescue toxicosis

**Introduction** Tall fescue is the most dominant grass used for pasture in the U.S. covering over 14 million ha. As a result, fescue toxicosis is a major concern among producers, especially during the summer months when the symptoms, such as reduced weight gains, are most pronounced. Producers need alternative forages for grazing cattle that do not have the negative effects associated with endophyte infected tall fescue. The objective of this experiment was to determine the grazing behaviour of cattle on Kentucky 31 endophyte infected (E+) tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea Schreb.*), Q4508-AR542 (Q) novel endophyte tall fescue, and Lakota (L) prairie grass (*Bromus catharticus*).

**Materials and methods** Eighteen Angus-crossbred steers  $(279\pm8 \text{ kg})$  were allotted to the three different pastures (in two replicates, three steers per pasture). Twelve steers (two/pasture) were halter broken and trained to wear electronic behaviour data recorders (Rutter *et al.*, 1997; Champion *et al.*, 1997). Each pasture was 1.11 ha, equally divided into six paddocks. Paddocks were rotationally grazed for 7 d. Steers wore the device for five consecutive days in two sampling periods in June and August 2004. Recorders were placed on the animals at 0800 on d 0 and removed at 1300 on d 5, ensuring uninterrupted 24 h blocks of data recordings, which were analyzed using GRAZE (Rutter, 2000). Data were statistically analysed using SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Forage samples were taken for quality analysis just prior to entrance of the steers into the experimental paddocks.

**Results** Forage nutritive value among treatments was similar for June (CP,  $16\% \pm 0.9$ ; NDF,  $56\% \pm 1$ ; ADF,  $31\% \pm 0.4$ ), and August (CP,  $14\% \pm 1.4$ ; NDF  $63\%, \pm 1.1$ ; ADF,  $35\% \pm 0.9$ ). As shown in Table 1, time spent grazing in June was lowest in Q. In August, grazing time in Q was greater than E+ but similar to L. In both periods, time spent ruminating was lower in E+ than in L or Q, with ruminating time in June being greatest in Q, but Q was similar to L in August. Time spent idling (defined as time with no jaw movements) during both periods was higher in E+ compared to L,

Table 1	Daily	activities	of steers	grazing	different	forages	(min)

			Freatment <sup>1</sup>					
Period	Activity	E+	L	Q	s.e.m.			
June	Grazing	594 <sup>a</sup>	634 <sup>a</sup>	559 <sup>b</sup>	8.05			
	Ruminating	525°	568 <sup>b</sup>	616 <sup>a</sup>	7.85			
	Idling	325 <sup>a</sup>	226 <sup>b</sup>	279 <sup>a</sup>	9.10			
August	Grazing	547 <sup>b</sup>	673 <sup>a</sup>	623 <sup>a</sup>	10.14			
	Ruminating	514 <sup>b</sup>	606 <sup>a</sup>	606 <sup>a</sup>	4.13			
	Idling	401 <sup>a</sup>	182 <sup>c</sup>	280 <sup>b</sup>	11.75			

<sup>1</sup>E+=endophyte infected tall fescue; L=Lakota prairie grass; Q=Q4508-AR542 tall fescue

<sup>abc</sup>Means within row with different superscripts differ (p<0.05)

with Q similar to E+ in June, but lower than E+ in August. During the months of June and August steers grazing E+ spent less (p<0.05) time lying (515 and 564 min) than Q (737 and 697 min) and L (713 and 714 min), with Q and L being similar (p>0.05). These results are probably due to the fact that in August steers were suffering more from the effect of fescue toxicosis than in June. Higher relative humidity and temperatures in August, as well as being further into the grazing season may have exacerbated the symptoms of toxicosis, thus affecting the grazing behaviour of the steers.

**Conclusions** In this study, L, Q, and E+ had similar quality characteristics. However, L and Q offer benefits during summer months in terms of animal behaviour, with steers spending more time grazing and ruminating, and less time idling compared to steers grazing E+ pastures. The use of L and Q may benefit beef producers currently using E+.

## References

Champion, R.A., S.M. Rutter & P.D. Penning (1997). An automatic system to monitor lying, standing, and walking behaviour of grazing animals. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 54, 291-305.

Rutter, S.M., R.A. Champion & P.D. Penning (1997). An automatic system to record foraging behaviour in freeranging ruminants. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 54, 185-195.

Rutter, S.M. (2000). GRAZE: A program to analyze recordings of the jaw movements of ruminants. Behaviour Research Methods, Instruments & Computers, 32, 86-92.