

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XX International Grassland Congress

The Effect of Closing Date and Type of Utilisation in Autumn on Grass Yield in Spring

E. Mosimann *Agroscope, Switserland*

M. Lobsiger *Agroscope, Switzerland*

C. Hofer *Agroscope, Switzerland*

B. Jeangros *Agroscope, Switzerland*

A. Lüscher Agroscope, Switzerland

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc

Part of the Agricultural Science Commons, Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons, Plant Biology Commons, Plant Pathology Commons, Soil Science Commons, and the Weed Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/20/satellitesymposium4/38
The XX International Grassland Congress took place in Ireland and the UK in June-July 2005.
The main congress took place in Dublin from 26 June to 1 July and was followed by post congress satellite workshops in Aberystwyth, Belfast, Cork, Glasgow and Oxford. The meeting was hosted by the Irish Grassland Association and the British Grassland Society.

Proceedings Editor: D. A. McGilloway

Publisher: Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands

© Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 2005

The copyright holder has granted the permission for posting the proceedings here.

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

The effect of closing date and type of utilisation in autumn on grass yield in spring

E. Mosimann¹, M. Lobsiger², C. Hofer², B. Jeangros¹ and A. Lüscher²

¹Agroscope RAC Changins, CH-1260 Nyon, Switzerland, Email: eric.mosimann@rac.admin.ch, 2Agroscope FAL Reckenholz, CH-8046 Zurich, Switzerland.

Keywords: grazing, cutting, closing date, herbage yield

Introduction Due to the low cost of grazed grass, most dairy farmers extend the grazing period in autumn. However, delaying the closing date may reduce the grass yield in the following spring (Roche *et al.*, 1996; O'Donovan *et al.*, 2002). The objective of this experiment, conducted in the Swiss lowlands, was to quantify the effects of closing date and type of utilisation in autumn on grass yield in the following spring.

Materials and methods The trial was carried out from autumn 2001 to spring 2004 on two dairy pastures with continuous stocking: Waldhof (wet) and Saint-Livres (dry). It comprised of 6 treatments: two kinds of utilisation (cut or grazed), each with three closing dates (early October, late October or late November) replicated 5 times. Grass yield in the following spring was measured at three different dates (March, April and May).

Results The mean yields in spring averaged over both sites are presented in Table 1. In most cases, the type of utilisation in autumn did not influence DM-yield in spring. There was, however, a highly significant 'closing date' effect, with a reduction in spring yield when the closing date was delayed. When comparing the treatments 'late November' and 'early October' there was a yield reduction of 69% (44 to 87%) in March, 53% (23 to 75%) in April and 19% (9 to 34%) in May. In absolute terms the yield reduction was largest in May (783 kg DM/ha). Regression analysis (herbage mass in November vs. spring yield) demonstrated the importance of an increased standing biomass in autumn and thus leaf area during winter, for a high spring growth. This result is in accordance with observations on white clover in a European multi-site experiment (Wachendorf *et al.*, 2001; Lüscher *et al.*, 2001).

Table 1 Effect of autumn closing date and type of utilisation on herbage dry matter yields in spring (kg DM/ha)

Closing date (CD)	early October		late October		late November		se	Significance			
Utilisation (U)	cut	grazed	cut	grazed	cut	grazed		U	CD	U x CD	Site
March 2002	608	754	232	371	148	100	59	ns	< 0.001	ns	ns
March 2003	280	281	153	212	99	59	37	ns	< 0.001	ns	< 0.001
March 2004	234	598	144	186	130	237	49	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.005	< 0.001
April 2002	1355	1435	782	893	618	529	75	ns	< 0.001	ns	ns
April 2003	1087	1087	802	941	835	639	70	ns	< 0.001	ns	0.05
April 2004	326	362	213	252	123	89	46	ns	< 0.001	ns	
May 2002	3644	3681	3003	2921	2922	2432	113	ns	< 0.001	ns	< 0.001
May 2003	4436	4085	3872	4050	3696	3273	132	ns	< 0.001	ns	< 0.001
May 2004	4730	5079	4477	4583	4310	4327	166	ns	0.005	ns	< 0.001

Conclusions Extending the grazing season or late cutting in autumn reduces the grass yield in the following spring, up until May, thereby, delaying the start of the spring grazing season. To facilitate grazing management in spring, the closing date should be varied between paddocks, so that paddocks closed early are available for grazing early the following spring, whilst those with a late closing date reach the grazing stage later in the spring.

References

Lüscher, A., B. Stäheli, R. Braun & J. Nösberger (2001). Leaf area, competition with grass, and clover cultivar: Key factors to successful overwintering and fast regrowth of white clover (*Trifolium repens L.*) in spring. *Annals of Botany*, 88, 725-735.

O'Donovan, M., P. Dillon, P. Reid, M. Rath & G. Stakelum (2002). A note on the effects of herbage mass at closing and autumn closing date on spring grass supply on commercial dairy farms. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*, 41, 265-269.

Roche, J.R., P. Dillon, S. Crosse & M. Rath (1996). The effect of closing date of pasture in autumn and turnout date in spring on sward characteristics, dry matter yield and milk production of spring-calving dairy cows. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*, 35, 127-140.

Wachendorf, M., R.P. Collins, A. Elgersma, M. Fothergill, B.E. Frankow-Lindberg, A. Ghesquiere, A. Guckert, M.P. Guinchard, A. Helgadottir, A. Lüscher, T. Nolan, P. Nykänen-Kurki, J. Nösberger, G. Parente, S. Puzio, I. Rhodes, C. Robin, A. Ryan, B. Stäheli, S. Stoffel, F. Taube & J. Connolly (2001). Overwintering of *Trifolium repens* L. and succeeding spring growth: A model approach to plant-environment interactions. *Annals of Botany*, 88, 683-702.