



University of Kentucky  
UKnowledge

---

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XX International Grassland Congress

---

## Improving the Aerobic Stability of Whole-Crop Cereal Silages

I. Filya

*Uludag University Agricultural Faculty, Turkey*

E. Sucu

*Uludag University Agricultural Faculty, Turkey*

A. Karabulut

*Uludag University Agricultural Faculty, Turkey*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>

 Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#), [Plant Biology Commons](#), [Plant Pathology Commons](#), [Soil Science Commons](#), and the [Weed Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/20/satellitesymposium2/75>

The XX International Grassland Congress took place in Ireland and the UK in June-July 2005.

The main congress took place in Dublin from 26 June to 1 July and was followed by post congress satellite workshops in Aberystwyth, Belfast, Cork, Glasgow and Oxford. The meeting was hosted by the Irish Grassland Association and the British Grassland Society.

Proceedings Editor: D. A. McGilloway

Publisher: Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands

© Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 2005

The copyright holder has granted the permission for posting the proceedings here.

---

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact [UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu](mailto:UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu).

## Improving the aerobic stability of whole-crop cereal silages

I. Filya, E. Sucu and A. Karabulut

Uludag University Agricultural Faculty, Department of Animal Science, 16059 Bursa, Turkey. Email: ifilya@uludag.edu.tr

**Keywords:** silage, propionic acid bacteria, aerobic stability

**Introduction** Whole-crop cereal silages, such as wheat, sorghum, and maize are susceptible to aerobic deterioration, especially in warm climates. This is because aerobic yeasts are the most active at 20-30°C (Ashbell *et al.*, 2002). Therefore, it is very important to find suitable additives that inhibit fungi and protect the silage upon aerobic exposure. *Propionibacterium acidipropionici* is propionic acid bacteria (PAB), which produce propionic and acetic acid in silage. Results with these micro-organisms in laboratory studies were promising with regard to aerobic stability. The purpose of the present work was to study the effects of PAB, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and combinations of PAB + LAB on the fermentation and aerobic stability of whole-crop cereal silages.

**Material and methods** Wheat at the early dough stage (366 g/kg DM), sorghum at the milk stage (272 g/kg DM) and maize at the one-third milk line stage (358 g/kg DM) were harvested and chopped to about 1.5 cm and ensiled in 1.5-l glass jars (Weck®, Wher-Oflingen, Germany) equipped with a lid that enables gas release only. At the end of the ensiling period, 60 d, the silages were sampled for chemical and microbiological analysis and were subjected to an aerobic stability test, which lasted 5 d, in a “bottle” system developed by Ashbell *et al.* (1991). The following microbial additives were applied to fresh forage at the levels recommended by the manufacturer: control (no additives); *P. acidipropionici*; *Lactobacillus plantarum*; combination of *P. acidipropionici* and *L. plantarum* (final application rate of  $1.0 \times 10^6$  cfu/g of fresh forage).

**Results** *P. acidipropionici* increased the concentrations of propionic acid of the silages. This was evident from propionic acid production. The higher amount of acetic acid in the *P. acidipropionici*-inoculated silages was expected because acetic acid is a co-metabolite of the fermentation of carbohydrates and lactic acid by *P. acidipropionici*. However, production of acetic and propionic acid in the *P. acidipropionici*-inoculated silages decreased all yeasts and moulds counts. Propionic and acetic acid are fungicidal agents, and high concentrations of propionate and acetate inhibit yeasts and moulds growth. Table 1 gives the results of the aerobic exposure test of the silages. Silage deterioration indicators are pH change, CO<sub>2</sub> production and an increase in yeast and mould numbers. The *P. acidipropionici*-inoculated silages had significantly higher levels of acetic and propionic acid than the *L. plantarum* or *P. acidipropionici* + *L. plantarum*-inoculated silages ( $P < 0.05$ ). Therefore, yeast activity was impaired in the *P. acidipropionici*-inoculated silages. As a result, *P. acidipropionici* decreased CO<sub>2</sub> production and improved aerobic stability of wheat, sorghum, and maize silages. However, the combination of *P. acidipropionici* + *L. plantarum* did not improve aerobic stability of the silages.

**Conclusions** The *P. acidipropionici* was very effective in protecting the wheat, sorghum, and maize silages exposed to air under laboratory conditions. The use of *P. acidipropionici*, as a silage inoculant can improve the aerobic stability of silages by inhibition of yeast activity. The combination of *P. acidipropionici* and *L. plantarum* do not look promising in protecting wheat, sorghum, and maize silages upon aerobic exposure.

### References

- Ashbell, G., Z.G. Weinberg, A. Azrieli, Y. Hen & B. Horev (1991). A simple system to study the aerobic deterioration of silages. *Canadian Agricultural Engineering* 34, 171-175.
- Ashbell, G., Z.G. Weinberg, Y. Hen & I. Filya (2002). The effects of temperature on the aerobic stability of wheat and corn silages. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology* 28, 261-263.

**Table 1** The results of the aerobic stability test (5 days) of the silages

Forage type	Treatment	pH	CO <sub>2</sub> (g/kg DM)	log cfu/g	
				Yeasts	Moulds
Wheat	Control	5.2 <sup>ab</sup>	14.8 <sup>b</sup>	5.2	4.0
	PAB	4.9 <sup>b</sup>	4.1 <sup>c</sup>	<2.0	<2.0
	LAB	5.3 <sup>a</sup>	33.7 <sup>a</sup>	8.6	4.4
	PAB + LAB	4.9 <sup>b</sup>	17.6 <sup>b</sup>	4.7	2.8
	SE	0.245	0.157		
Sorghum	Control	4.8 <sup>a</sup>	20.4 <sup>b</sup>	5.8	4.1
	PAB	4.2 <sup>bc</sup>	6.7 <sup>c</sup>	<2.0	<2.0
	LAB	4.8 <sup>a</sup>	38.3 <sup>a</sup>	8.0	4.3
	PAB + LAB	4.4 <sup>b</sup>	19.7 <sup>b</sup>	5.6	2.9
	SE	0.208	0.135		
Maize	Control	4.4 <sup>ab</sup>	25.6 <sup>b</sup>	6.1	4.5
	PAB	4.1 <sup>b</sup>	5.8 <sup>c</sup>	<2.0	<2.0
	LAB	4.7 <sup>a</sup>	44.5 <sup>a</sup>	8.3	4.8
	PAB + LAB	4.2 <sup>b</sup>	31.9 <sup>b</sup>	5.3	3.0
	SE	0.187	0.112		

Within a column and forage type means followed by different letter differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).