CFD ANALYSIS AND OPTIMIZATION OF THE DEMO WCLL CENTRAL OUTBOARD SEGMENT BOTTOM-CAP ELEMENTARY CELL

Lorenzo Melchiorri¹, Pietro Arena², Fabio Giannetti¹, Simone Siriano¹, Alessandro Tassone¹

¹ Sapienza University of Rome, DIAEE Nuclear Section, 244 - 00186 Rome, Italy, ² Department of Fusion and Nuclear Safety Technology, ENEA, C.R. Brasimone, 40032 Camugnano, Italy

Abstract

In the design of magnetic confinement nuclear fusion power plants, the Breeding Blanket (BB) plays a crucial role, since it must fulfil key functions such as tritium breeding, radiation-shielding and removing of the heat power generated by the plasma. The latter task is achieved by the First Wall (FW) and Breeding Zone (BZ) cooling systems, that in the Water-Cooled Lithium-Lead (WCLL) BB employs pressurized water. Different arrangements of BZ coolant conduits have been investigated in the recent past to identify an efficient layout, which could meet the structural materials operational temperature constraint and that could provide the optimal coolant outlet temperature. However, most of the Computational Fluid-Dynamic (CFD) analysis carried out until now have been focused on the equatorial WCLL elementary cell of the Central Outboard Segment (COB). The aim of this work is to broaden the analysis to other relevant locations in the blanket. An assessment of the design of the cooling system of the COB bottom-cap elementary BZ cell has been identified as the top design priority due to its different geometry and thermal loads. The cooling efficiency of the BZ and FW systems is investigated to assess if the coolant appropriate design conditions are matched and the temperature distribution in the cell is analyzed to identify the onset of hot spots. Different layouts of the FW systems are proposed and compared in terms of thermal-hydraulics reliability.

1 INTRODUCTION

The Water-Cooled Lead–Lithium (WCLL) Breeding Blanket (BB) is a candidate to become the driver blanket concept for the EU-DEMOnstration (DEMO) fusion reactor. Such a component is designed to accomplish three fundamental tasks for the reactor operation: tritium breeding for the system self-sufficiency, neutron shielding and heat extraction. The blanket is foreseen to work at extreme conditions, therefore its thermal-hydraulic performances must be studied in detail. Specifically, the BB cooling system must guarantee that the temperature is kept below 550°C for the Eurofer structure [1] and below 1300°C for the Tungsten armor [2], while supplying the optimal coolant outlet temperature (around 328 °C for water at 15.5 MPa) at the Primary Heat Transport System (PHTS).

The thermal-hydraulic feasibility and reliability of the WCLL BB layout have been assessed in the past through numerical simulations. Computational fluid dynamic (CFD) codes are often used for component-scale analyses, whereas for system-scale calculations system codes are preferred.

In Ref [3], a CFD activity is led to investigate the optimal first wall (FW) cooling pipes configuration, referring to the equatorial WCLL elementary cell (or breeding unit) of the Central Outboard Segment (COB) of a blanket sector. The same approach has been used in [4] to analyze the influence of three different cooling tubes arrangements within the breeding zone (BZ) of the equatorial cell. In Ref. [5], a theoretical-numerical technique based on the Finite Element Method has been exploited to study the impact of the BZ cooling circuit layout, composed of bundles of Double Walled Tubes (DWTs), on the thermo-mechanical behavior of the blanket structures. System-scale analyses of the BB have been carried out to characterize the primary cooling circuits thermal-hydraulic performances in both operational and accidental scenario, using best-estimate system codes such as RELAP5 [6] and MELCOR [7].

In this work, the thermal-hydraulic features of the COB segment bottom-cap elementary cell are investigated. The numerical analysis presented in the following is performed with the CFD code ANSYS-CFX v21.0 which is based on the finite volume method. The main objective of this activity is to characterize the performance of the FW and BZ cooling system configuration for an elementary cell that, owing to its poloidal position in the

reactor, is considerably different from the reference equatorial OB cell both in terms of geometry and applied heat loads. The layout of the water channels is extrapolated from the most recent configuration of the equatorial cell (2018 V0.6) [3][4], scaled to take into account the differences in terms of geometry and power load deposition between the two components.

2 GEOMETRY

In Fig. 1 the elementary cell studied is depicted. In this study, the manifold and the back supporting structure are not modelled since the heat load within such domains is foreseen to be negligible. The radial length of the cell stands at 584 mm and the total poloidal height of the domain is 216 mm, considering only half thickness (6 mm) of the top plate which divides the bottom cell from the cell immediately above.



Fig. 1-a) Isometric view of the analyzed bottom cell, b) Toroidal-poloidal rear-view cut on the radial-poloidal symmetry plane.

The total toroidal extension of the geometry is the same of all the COB segment, i.e. 1480 mm. Within the cell domain, radial-poloidal stiffening structures (ribs) are placed along with a radial-toroidal baffle plate, which serves to convey the PbLi flow from the inlet to the draining manifold. The First Wall is coated with a 2 mm thick protective Tungsten layer.

The FW cooling system consists of internal square channels (7 mm x 7mm); their number and arrangement have been chosen after a preliminary numerical analysis that investigated the effect of various duct pitches on the maximum temperature occurring within the first wall domain, as described in Section 4. Conversely, the layout of the BZ water channels is based on the configuration that has proven to be the most efficient for the equatorial elementary cell, namely the WCLL 2018 V0.6 [3]. The poloidal dimension of the bottom cell is, however, larger than the poloidal extension of the equatorial one. Consequently, the poloidal coordinates of the channels have been shifted while preserving the relative distances between pipes and structures. The BZ cooling system is composed by 22 curved DWTs, with $r_i = 8 \text{ mm}$ and r_o 13.5 mm (symmetrical with respect to the central radial-poloidal plane), which can be grouped in three different arrays depending on their total length and curvature ratio (Fig. 2). Moreover, the thermal-hydraulic assessment of the cell is carried out assuming the presence of a recirculation manifold that provides a water double-pass flow within the BZ (see Section 3.3).



Fig. 2 – Different tubes arrays of the BZ cooling system.

3 NUMERICAL MODEL

3.1 Materials

The physical properties of all the materials modelled have been implemented in the ANSYS-CFX commercial code as either constants or temperature-dependent functions. For the latter, a polynomial fitting technique of table data has been used (see e.g. [8][9]). For the solid materials the density, the specific heat and thermal conductivity are specified, whereas the fluid ones also require the dynamic viscosity.

The material for the cell casing (FW together with top a bottom plates), the internal structures and the BZ pipes is Eurofer, whose thermal properties correlations are reported in Tab. 1 (see Ref. [11]). The cell is supposed to be filled with liquid PbLi, which is however modelled as a solid, owing to the negligible advective heat transfer contribution ensured by MHD effects [10], which allows to reduce the computational without unduly affecting the accuracy of the estimate.

Parameter	Correlation
Density	$\rho \left[\frac{kg}{m^3} \right] = 7874.3 + (-0.361 * T[K])$
Specific heat capacity	$c_p \left[\frac{J}{kg K} \right] = (-4.3883 * 10^2) + (4.9838 * T[K]) + (-8.7371 * 10^{-3} * T[K]^2) + (5.3333 * 10^{-6} * T[K]^3)$
Thermal conductivity	$\lambda[W/mK] = 60.915 + (-9.081 * 10^{-2} * T[K]) + (6.5 * 10^{-5} * T[K]^2)$

Tab. 1 – Eurofer thermo-physical properties

The PbLi thermal properties are based on the Ref. [9] and their correlations are collected in Tab. 2. The thermophysical properties of Tungsten are assumed to have fixed values, since their gradient is found to be negligible over an extended temperature range ($327-727 \,^{\circ}$ C). More specifically, the typical operational temperature range of the Tungsten amour is foreseen to be around 400-600 C, where it is observed that the variation of the properties from the imposed value is less than 2%. The material thermal properties are reported in Tab. 3 according to Ref. [12].

The properties of pressurized water, which is employed as coolant for both FW and BZ, are reported in Tab. 4. Those correlations derive from an enhancement version of RELAP5 Mod3.3 [13][14].

Parameter	Correlation
Density	$\rho \left[\frac{kg}{m^3} \right] = (10520.35) - (1.19051 * T[K])$
Specific heat capacity	$c_p \left[\frac{J}{kg K} \right] = \left((0.195) + (9.116 * 10^{-6} T[K]) \right) * 10^3$
Thermal conductivity	$\lambda[W/_{mK}] = (11.9) + (1.96 * 10^{-2} * ((T[K] - 273.15) - 235))$

Tab. 2 – PbLi thermo-physical properties

Parameter	Value
Density	19300 [kg m-3]
Specific heat capacity	145 [J kg-1 K-1]
Thermal conductivity	125 [W m-1 K-1]

Tab. 3 – Tungsten thermo-physical properties

Parameter	Correlation
Density	$\rho \left[\frac{kg}{m^3} \right] = (-2693) + (14.122 * T[K]) + (-1.4226 * 10^{-2} * T[K]^2)$
Specific heat capacity	$c_p \left[\frac{J}{kg K} \right] = (-1.6882247 * 10^6) + (9118.681 * T[K]) + (-16.39861 * T[K]^2) + (9.8485 * 10^{-3} * T[K]^3)$
Thermal conductivity	$\lambda[W/_{mK}] = (-2.2804) + (1.1846 * 10^{-2} * T[K]) + (-1.2024 * 10^{-5} * T[K]^{2})$
Dynamic viscosity	$\mu_d \begin{bmatrix} kg \\ m_s \end{bmatrix} = ((29.67213) + (0.5722429 * T[K]) + (-8.095238 * 10^{-4} * T[K]^2)) * 10^{-6}$

Tab. 4 – Water thermo-physical properties

3.2 Thermal boundary conditions

The thermal loads that the cell must withstand are mainly caused by two sources: the surface heat load incoming from the plasma and the volumetric power source due to the neutron flux. The former is modelled imposing a constant heat flux (q'') at the outer surface of the armor. The neutron heating is represented with volumetric power source term (q''') both for PbLi and Eurofer. Volumetric heating in the coolant is negligible since the water volume of the cell is around 5% of the whole solid one.

A non-uniform heat load is applied on the FW armor layer, following the relation $q'' = q_{max}'' \left(1 - \frac{27 - y[mm]}{102}\right)$, in which the heat flux decreases linearly along the radial direction. The value $q_{max}'' = 0.59$

MW/m² is taken from Ref. [15]. External boundary surfaces in Eurofer are treated with adiabatic conditions. To define the *q*^{'''} in each region, the volumetric power correlations for the OB equatorial cell (OB4) [16] have been assumed to be linear with the neutron wall loading (NWL) and , since it holds true that NWL_{OB7}/NWL_{OB4} ≈ 0.6 , they have been accordingly rescaled [17]. The correlations used for the *q*^{'''} in solid materials (Tungsten/Eurofer and PbLi) are reported in Tab. 7 and Tab. 8 of the Appendix A.

3.3 Hydrodynamic boundary conditions

Power removal is ensured by the FW and BZ water coolant circuit. For the present steady-state analysis, the reference water thermodynamic cycle is assumed by the Balance of Plant (BoP) requirements for the PHTS [8] [18]. The cycle, based on PWR conditions, foresees water at 15.5 MPa entering at 295°C and exiting at 328°C. The water mass flow rate (MFR) boundary condition, both for FW and BZ system, is imposed to guarantee the enthalpy balance, i.e. $\Delta h=193.6 \ kJ/kg$, using the water physical properties specified in Section 3.1. For the FW cooling circuit operated in counter-flow conditions, a total mass flow rate of 1.34 m/s is considered (see Fig. 3). A turbulent regime is hence enforced, with a Reynolds number Re $\approx 2.2 \cdot 10^5$. In the BZ cooling system, the water is forced to pass twice within the PbLi domain, to simulate the presence of a recirculation manifold [4]. In such a configuration, the water inlet is located at the central region and includes the DWTs of the first and second arrays and, consequently the coolant leaves the breeding zone close to the sidewalls; here is collected by the recirculation region before re-entering the breeding zone. Thus, for the second pass in the third tube array, the water flows in the opposite direction, from the side walls to the central region. The imposed total MFR at the inlet is 0.656 m/s with a consequent average Reynolds parameter Re $\approx 1.5 \cdot 10^5$.



Fig. 3 – a) Particular of the side FW to show the counter-current cooling system. b): Double loop circuit for the BZ cooling water.

The turbulence model adopted in the whole water domain is the Shear Stress Transport; for all the outlet surfaces the relative pressure value of 0 has been enforced. The inlet water temperature for the recirculation region (array $n^{\circ}3$) in set equal to the average outlet temperature of the second array pipes.

It is worth underlining that the mass flow rates have been evaluated after a quasi-iterative process. The first attempt MFR values have been calculated with an analytical method, using the information about the thermal loads of the cell. The first calculation, led with those preliminary BCs, showed that the mass flow rate chosen yielded a water outlet temperature that was slightly higher than the design value for the FW (\approx 331°C) and lower for the BZ (\approx 318°C). These preliminary outcomes, however, provided also a more realistic picture of the temperature field and the power deposition involved, hence those data have been used to refine the analytical MFRs to obtain the final values reported above.

3.4 Mesh grid and solver settings

The bottom cell is treated as a single part so that a conformal mesh between domains can be computed. Using many local controls, the grid has been kept as much as structured as possible and it is mainly composed by hexahedral elements; tetrahedral elements are however generated to resolve the grid connection between straight and curvilinear edges.

To properly characterize the turbulent flow within the water pipes, an inflation control is applied near the solid walls for the resolution of the viscous sub-layer (y+=1), based on a maximum velocity of 7 m/s. An additional inflation layer (3 elements) is provided at the interface between Eurofer and PbLi to deal with the discontinuity of thermal conductivity between the domains. The total count of mesh elements is circa 1.3·10⁷. In Fig. 4 are depicted some details of the adopted grid.

The built-in High-Resolution scheme is used for the discretization of the momentum and energy equations. The convergence of the calculations is controlled monitoring the most relevant parameters for the study case, e.g. the temperature field in solid and fluid regions. The simulation is considered completed when the residuals of the balance equations are lower than a threshold value of 10⁻⁷.



Fig. 4 – Mesh details. Left: Inflation layers in the water and PbLi domain. Right: particular of the internal structured grid.

4 FIRST-WALL CHANNEL CONFIGURATION

A dedicated set of 2D calculations have been performed to evaluate the thermal-hydraulic performance of the FW cooling system configuration for the bottom OB cell, optimizing the pitches among the channels and proposing an *ad-hoc* channel spacing to ensure a good refrigeration of the bottom cap. Note that for this activity, only two channels have been modelled, namely the channel that is the closest to the bottom plate and the one immediately above. All the initial and boundaries conditions mirror the ones adopted for the whole cell (see Section 3). Three candidate layouts have been chosen and their features are reported in Table 13.

Candidate configuration	#1	#2	#3
Constant pitch among the channels	20.825	22.5	26.03
Shifted last channel towards the bottom plate	yes	yes	no



Tab. 5 – Geometrical parameters for bottom cap refrigeration test cases.



Fig. 5 – Temperature distribution for the optimization of FW refrigeration.

It is clear from Figure 7 that a FW cooling system layout featuring 10 channels, with a constant pitch p = 20.825 mm and last channel shifted downward to improve the bottom cap refrigeration (p'=25.65 mm), is the only one that can guarantee a proper structures refrigeration.

To further substantiate the latter FW configuration, it has been tested considering that it could also remove a fraction of the heat power deposited within the BZ. For this reason, an incoming heat flux $q_{BZ}^{*}=100 \text{ kW/m}^2$ is assumed. This boundary condition is considered conservative for two reasons. First, this value has been suggested for the equatorial OB cell where, however, the volumetric heating is circa 64% higher than in the bottom cell. It is reasonable to presume that, given the larger surface heat flux, the incoming heat flux from the heated PbLi in the BZ should also be lower. Second, the heat flux has been applied to the entire bottom surface of the model, whereas in the reality, the lower part of the model lies within the bottom cap thickness, therefore one should expect significantly lower heat flux in that region.

In Fig. 6 is shown the temperature distribution without and including an incoming heat flux from the BZ. The effect of the incoming heat flux on the rear part of the model is significant with an increase of circa 80°C for the average temperature there. The increment of the maximum temperature is much more moderate though, with a maximum temperature equal to 507 °C. Therefore, the FW channels layout #1 has been adopted for the whole cell thermal-hydraulic calculations.



Fig. 6 – Temperature distribution with adiabatic BZ/FW interface (left) and $q''_E = 100 \ kW/m^2$ (right).

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The most relevant parameters of the numerical analysis are reported in Tab. 6. It can be noticed that no operational temperature constraints are exceeded with the adopted cooling system layout.

Parameter	Value	Unit
Tungsten Tmax	506	°C
EUROFER (Stiffeners) Tmax	520.9	°C
FW Tmax	498.16	°C
PbLi Tmax	522	°C
FW water Tmax (interface with walls)	378	°C
BZ water Tmax (interface with walls)	348	°C
FW water Tout average	327.3	°C
BZ water Tout average	328.4	°C
FW average velocity	3.95	m/s
FW max velocity	5.03	m/s
BZ average velocity	1.68	m/s
BZ max velocity	3.16	m/s

Tab. 6 – Main output parameters.

For what concerns the solid regions, the maximum temperature value within the Eurofer domain, 520.9°C, occurs at the interface between the PbLi and the top radial-poloidal plate, as shown in Fig. 7. The bottom cap plate is colder, with a maximum temperature of 510°C, hence demonstrating the efficacy of the optimized FW channels distributions discussed in Section 4. The hot spot in the PbLi domain is located in the region between the first/second tubes array and the third, with the highest temperature value around 522°C (see Fig. 8).



Fig. 7 – Temperature contour at the interface between top radial-toroidal plate and PbLi domain.



Fig. 8 – Radial-poloidal contour of the hot spot within the PbLi region.

The Tungsten armor hot spot $(506^{\circ}C)$ arises in the bottom area, before the second elbow as it is shown in Fig. 9. This is due to the fact that the shifted FW channel is responsible alone of the cooling of that area, without a counter-current channel below.

The average water temperature at the exit of the FW is 327.3°C, whereas at the egress of the second BZ loop the average temperature of the coolant is 328.4°C. Therefore, the imposed mass flow rates satisfy the PWR operational thermal conditions.

It is worth discussing that the maximum water temperature for both the FW and BZ circuit exceeds the saturation temperature (344.8°C at 15.5 MPa), being 378°C and 348.7°C respectively. Local nucleate boiling should be expected which is not observed in CFX thanks to the numerical model being limited to a "single-phase" state. On the other hand, larger heat transfer coefficients available in the nucleate boiling regime are going to reduce locally the maximum temperature.

The possible occurrence of thermal crisis within the coolant system has been evaluated. For horizontal tubes and high flow rates, according to Ref. [20], the Critical Heat Flux (CHF) can be predicted using the correlation $q''_{CHF} = kq''_{CHF,Ver}$. The parameter k varies with the Froude number $Fr = G^2/(\rho^2 gD)$, where G is the total mass flux (kg/m² s), ρ is the density of the saturated liquid at 15.5 MPa (kg/m³), g is the gravity acceleration (m/s²) and D corresponds to the diameter of the tube (m); $q''_{CHF,Ver}$ is the correlation for the vertical channels subjected to the same heat flux. The value of the coefficient k is calculated from Fr using the relation: k = $0.725Fr^{0.082} \le 1$. The coefficient value is bounded at k = 1 so, if the calculated k is greater than 1 then is discarded. The bounded value is adopted also if $Fr \ge 50$. For the present study, $Fr_{FW} = 286.7$ hence $k_{FW} =$ 1, whereas for the breeding zone $Fr_{BZ} = 29$ and k = 0.956. The Tong's correlation [21] has been adopted to evaluate the q''_{CHF,Ver}. The resulting CHF for the horizontal channels are q''_{CHF,BZ} = 2.11 MW/m² and q''_{CHF,FW} = 3.04 MW/m².



Fig. 9 - Temperature field at the Tungsten external armor. The hot spot is placed in the bottom region, before the elbow.

The BZ region that withstand the highest thermal load is the toroidal portion of the first array, as shown in Fig. 10, where however the maximum heat flux is 0.465 MW/m^2 (23% of the CHF). The portion of the FW channels affected by the highest heat flux is the front-toroidal part of the lower channel (see Fig.10), in which the maximum superficial power deposition is calculated as 1.74 MW/m^2 . Namely, the maximum heat flux is around 60% of the critical flux. This suggests that no thermal crisis should occur within the BZ and FW channels, but rather that limited areas of the channels wall can exist where subcooled nucleate boiling is the dominant heat transfer regime.



Fig. 10-a) View of the BZ cooling system, b) View of the FW cooling system. The hot spots are marked by the arrow symbols.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, a layout of the cooling water system, both for the first wall (FW) and the breeding zone (BZ), is proposed to guarantee that all the materials involved can operate in a temperature range that does not exceed the design constraints (550°C for the Eurofer and 1300°C for the Tungsten). The FW cooling system consists of 10 square channels fed in counter-current flow, with the pitch of the last channel that is calibrated to ensure a proper cooling of the thick bottom plate. The BZ cooling system instead is based on the "recirculation loop" configuration developed for the equatorial cell that features 22 C-shaped double wall tubes (DWTs). The power deposition in the cell domain, caused by plasma thermal radiation and neutrons interaction with the materials, has been taken into account referring to the most recent data. The outcome of the steady-state CFD study is promising: the highest temperature is observed in the front region of the PbLi domain (around 522°C). All the other materials operate in a temperature range that is way below the safety constraints. Moreover, the water mass flow rate (MFR) in the whole cooling system is properly calibrated and at the exit of the circuits (both for FW and BZ) the desired coolant conditions, 328°C at 15.5MPa, are matched with great accuracy. With the imposed velocities of the coolant, that are always below the design limit of 7 m/s, the thermal crisis is avoided even if are observed hot-spots where the wall temperature exceeds the saturation value of 344.8°C, likely indicating the onset of nucleate boiling.

Acknowledgement: This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 – EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

References

- [1] F. Tavassoli, **Eurofer steel, development to full code qualification**, Procedia Engineering, Vol. 55, pp. 300-308, 2013, doi: <u>10.1016/j.proeng.2013.03.258</u>
- [2] E. Martelli, **Thermal Hydraulic design of DEMO Water Cooled Lithium Lead Breeding Blanket and integration with primary system and balance of plant**, PhD thesis, Sapienza University of Rome, February 2018:

https://iris.uniroma1.it/retrieve/handle/11573/1070504/610931/Tesi%20dottorato%20Martelli

- [3] F. Edemetti et al., **Optimization of the first wall cooling system for the DEMO WCLL blanket**. Fusion Eng. Des., vol. 161, 2020, 111903, doi: <u>10.1016/j.fusengdes.2020.111903</u>
- [4] F. Edemetti, I. Di Piazza, A. Del Nevo, G. Caruso, Thermal-hydraulic analysis of the DEMO WCLL elementary cell: BZ tubes layout optimization. Fusion Eng. Des., vol. 160, 2020, 111956, doi: 10.1016/j.fusengdes.2020.111956
- [5] I. Catanzaro et al., Parametric study of the influence of double-walled tubes layout on the DEMO WCLL breeding blanket thermal performances, Fusion Eng. and Des., vol. 161, 2020, 111893, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2020.111893</u>
- [6] C. Ciurluini et al., Study of the EU-DEMO WCLL Breeding Blanket Primary Cooling Circuits Thermal-Hydraulic Performances during Transients Belonging to LOFA Category, Energies 2021, 14, 1541, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/en14061541</u>
- [7] M. D'Onorio et al., Preliminary sensitivity analysis for an ex-vessel LOCA without plasma shutdown for the EU DEMO WCLL blanket concept, Fusion Eng. Des. 2020, 158, 111745, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2020.111745</u>
- [8] E. Martelli, F. Giannetti et al., **Study of EU DEMO WCLL breeding blanket and primary heat transfer system integration**, Fusion Eng. and Des., vol. 136, Part B, 2018, pp. 828-833, <u>10.1016/j.fusengdes.2018.04.016</u>
- [9] D. Martelli, A. Venturini, M. Utili, Literature review of lead-lithium thermophysical properties, Fusion Eng.

and Des., vol. 138, 2019, pp. 183-195, 0.1016/j.fusengdes.2018.11.028

- [10] Arena, Pietro, et al. "The demo water-cooled lead–lithium breeding blanket: Design status at the end of the preconceptual design phase." Applied Sciences 11.24 (2021): 11592. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/app112411592</u>
- [11] J. Aubert et al., **Preliminary design of a Water Cooled Lithium Lead blanket concept for DEMO reactor**, CEA Report for TA WP13-DAS-02 (2013)
- [12] F. P. Incropera et al, **Principles of heat and mass transfer**, 7th edition
- [13] ISL Inc, **RELAP5/MOD3.3 Code Manual Volume I: Code Structure, System Models, and Solution Methods**, Nuclear Safety Analysis Division, July 2003
- [14] F. Giannetti, T. D'Alessandro, C. Ciurluini, **Development of a RELAP5 mod3.3 version for FUSION** applications, DIAEE Sapienza Technical Report D1902_ENBR_T01 Rev. 01
- [15] F. Maviglia, DEMO PFC Surface Heat Load Specifications (2020), IDM Ref.: EFDA_D_2P985Q v1.8
- [16] S. Noce, et al., Nuclear analysis of the Single Module Segment WCLL DEMO, Fusion Eng. and Des., vol. 147, 2019, 111207, <u>10.1016/j.fusengdes.2019.05.026</u>
- [17] P. Arena, Neutron Wall Loading Poloidal Distribution, private communication, 2021
- [18] A. Tassone et al., Recent progress in the WCLL breeding blanket design for the DEMO fusion reactor, IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, vol. 99, pp. 1-12, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TPS.2017.2786046
- [19] F.R. Urgorri, Internal Deliverable BB-3.2.1-T006-D002: Support studies for WCLL BB in 2019, IDM Ref.: <u>EFDA_D_2PCN47</u>
- [20] M. Shah, A general correlation for critical heat flux in horizontal channels, International Journal of Refrigeration, 2015, <u>10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2015.06.027</u>
- [21] L.S. Tong, A phenomenological study of critical heat flux, ASME Paper 75-HT-68, 1975

1 APPENDIX A

Zone	y [m]	Function [W/m3]
Tungsten	0.027	a''' = ((01957 * v[m]) - 22125) * 106 * (NWI OD7/NWI OD4)
Tung/FW	0.025	$q = ((9105.7 * y[m]) - 221.25) * 10^{-1} ((WEOB//WEOB4))$
BZ	-0.025	
BZ	-0.075	$q^{\prime\prime\prime} = \left((1483.1 * y^3[m]) + (574.96 * y^2[m]) + (85.614 * y[m]) + 5.8757\right) * 10^6 * (NWLOB7/NWLOB4)$
BZ	-0.125	
BZ	-0.175	
BZ	-0.225	$q^{\prime\prime\prime} = ((253.5 * y^4[m]) + (296.81 * y^3[m]) + (142.72 * y^2[m]) + (35.202 * y[m]) + 3.9488) * 10^{6*}$ (NWLOB7/NWLOB4)
BZ	-0.275	
BZ	-0.325	
BZ	-0.375	
BZ	-0.425	$q^{\prime\prime\prime} = ((14.777 * y^3[m]) + (22.088 * y^2[m]) + (11.52 * y[m]) + 2.1412) * 10^{6*} (NWLOB7/NWLOB4)$
BZ	-0.475	
BZ	-0.525	$a''' = ((2,2600 + \alpha^3 \text{ m})) + (6,6000 + \alpha^2 \text{ m})) + (4,6004 + \alpha^3 \text{ m})) + (1,1026) + 106* (NWI OD7/NWI OD4)$
BZ/manifold	-0.585	$q = ((3.3000 * y [m]) + (0.0703 * y [m]) + (4.3774 * y[m]) + 1.1020) * 10^{-4} (NWLOB //NWLOB4)$

Tab. 7 – Tungsten/Eurofer volumetric power deposition curves scaled for the bottom elementary cell (OB7).

Zone	y [m]	Function [W/m3]
BZ	0	$q^{\prime\prime\prime} = ((98.962 * y[m]) + 9.5968) * 10^{6*} (NWLOB7/NWLOB4)$
BZ	-0.05	
BZ	-0.1	
BZ	-0.15	$\begin{aligned} q^{\prime\prime\prime} &= \left((365.35 * y^4[m]) + (443.1 * y^3[m]) + (215 * y^2[m]) + (54.376 * y[m]) + 6.8797\right) * 10^{6*} \\ (\text{NWLOB7/NWLOB4}) \end{aligned}$
BZ	-0.2	
BZ	-0.25	

BZ	-0.3	
BZ	-0.35	
BZ	-0.4	
BZ	-0.45	$a''' = ((24.012 \pm 3)^4 [m]) + (44.026 \pm 3)^3 [m]) + (26.442 \pm 3)^2 [m]) + (2.0062 \pm 3) [m]) + (1.2574) \pm 1.06 \pm 3$
BZ	-0.5	$q = ((-24.012 * y [m]) + (-44.920 * y [m]) + (-20.442 * y [m]) + (-3.0602 * y[m]) + 1.2374) * 10^{-1}$
BZ/manifold	-0.6	(INWLOD//INWLOD4)

Tab. 8 – Lead-lithium volumetric power deposition curves scaled for the bottom elementary cell (OB7).