Smoking and diabetes: sex and gender aspects and their impact on vascular diseases

Blandine Tramunt, MD, Alexia Rouland, MD, Vincent Durlach, MD, PhD, Bruno Vergès, MD, PhD, Daniel Thomas, MD, Ivan Berlin, MD, PhD, Carole Clair, MD, MSc

PII: S0828-282X(23)00055-7

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2023.01.018

Reference: CJCA 4564

To appear in: Canadian Journal of Cardiology

Received Date: 9 July 2022

Revised Date: 16 January 2023

Accepted Date: 17 January 2023

Please cite this article as: Tramunt B, Rouland A, Durlach V, Vergès B, Thomas D, Berlin I, Clair C, Smoking and diabetes: sex and gender aspects and their impact on vascular diseases, *Canadian Journal of Cardiology* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2023.01.018.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society.



1	Smoking and diabetes: sex and gender aspects and their impact on vascular diseases
2	Blandine Tramunt, MD <sup>1,2</sup> , Alexia Rouland, MD <sup>3</sup> , Vincent Durlach, MD, PhD <sup>4</sup> , Bruno Vergès, MD,
3	PhD <sup>3</sup> , Daniel Thomas, MD <sup>5</sup> , Ivan Berlin,MD,PhD, <sup>6</sup> , Carole Clair, MD, MSc <sup>7</sup>
4	
5	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Metabolic and Cardiovascular Diseases, UMR1297 INSERM/UPS, Toulouse
6	University, Toulouse, France
7	<sup>2</sup> Department of Diabetology, Metabolic Diseases and Nutrition, Toulouse University
8	Hospital, Toulouse, France
9	<sup>3</sup> Department of Endocrinology, Diabetology and Metabolic Diseases, CHU Dijon, Dijon,
10	France
11	<sup>4</sup> Champagne-Ardenne University, UMR CNRS 7369 MEDyC & Cardio-Thoracic Department,
12	Reims University Hospital, Reims, France
13	<sup>5</sup> Institute of Cardiology, Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital, Sorbonne University, Paris,
14	France
15	<sup>6</sup> Department of Pharmacology, Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital, Paris, France
16	<sup>7</sup> Department of Training Research and Innovation, Center for Primary Care and Public
17	Health University of Lausanne, Switzerland
18	Journal: Canadian Journal of Cardiology (CJC)
19	
20	Short title: Sex and gender aspects in smoking and diabetes
21 22 23	Word count: 8865 words
24 25	Number of references: 101
26 27	Abstract: 247 words
28 29	Keywords: smoking, diabetes mellitus, gender, sex differences
30	Corresponding author:
31 32	Dr Carole Clair Department of Ambulatory Care, Center for Primary Caro and Public Hoalth, University of
33	Lausanne, Rue du Bugnon 44, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland.

34 <u>carole.clair@unisante.ch</u>

### 35 Abstract

36 Smoking and diabetes mellitus (DM) have been identified as two major cardiovascular risk factors for many years. In the field of cardiovascular diseases, considering sex differences, or 37 38 gender differences, or both has become an essential element in moving toward equitable and 39 quality healthcare. We reviewed the impact of sex or gender on the link between smoking and 40 DM. The risk of type 2 DM (T2DM) due to smoking has been established in both sexes at the 41 same level. As is the case in the general population, the prevalence of smoking in those with 42 DM is higher in men than in women, although the decrease in smoking observed in recent 43 years is more pronounced in men than in women. Regarding chronic DM complications, 44 smoking is an independent risk factor for all-cause mortality, as well as macrovascular and 45 microvascular complications, in both sexes. Nevertheless, in T2DM, the burden of smoking 46 appears to be greater in women than in men for coronary heart disease morbidity, women 47 having a 50% higher risk of fatal coronary event. Women are more dependent to nicotine, 48 cumulate psychosocial barriers to quitting smoking, and are more likely to gain weight, which 49 might make it more difficult for them to quit smoking. Smoking cessation advice and 50 treatments should take into account gender differences to improve the success and long-term 51 maintenance of abstinence in people with and without DM. This might include interventions 52 that address emotions and stress in women or designed to reach specific populations of men.

### 53 Brief Summary

54 There are differences between men and women regarding smoking, DM and their interaction.

55 The prevalence of smoking is overall higher in men than in women with DM, although the

56 recent decrease in smoking in men is less pronounced in women. The burden of smoking

- 57 appears to be greater in women than in men. Women are more dependent to nicotine and
- 58 cumulate psychosocial barriers to quitting smoking, which makes it more difficult for them to
- 59 stop.

60 Introduction

Sex and gender dimensions have become a major concern in health and healthcare in 61 recent years, particularly in the field of metabolic and chronic diseases<sup>1-3</sup>. The interplay 62 63 between smoking and diabetes mellitus (DM) is complex; both are major cardiovascular risk 64 factors and are preventable. Sex dimensions (biological differences that influence 65 physiological and/or physiopathological processes between men and women) and gender 66 dimensions (socially constructed roles, behaviors, and expressions of women, men, and 67 gender diverse people) influence the association between smoking and DM at different levels (Figure 1abc)<sup>4, 5</sup>. 68

The prevalence of type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) 69 differs between men and women. According to the 10<sup>th</sup> edition of the International Diabetes 70 71 Federation atlas, the estimated prevalence of DM in women is slightly lower than that in men 72 (10.2% vs 10.8%, respectively)<sup>6</sup>. Sex steroids and genetic factors, have an influence on energy 73 balance and glucose homeostasis, and partly explain these differences<sup>7</sup>. However, gendered 74 health behaviors (i.e. behaviors that are influenced socially on the basis of gender norms) such 75 as diet, smoking, or physical activity, also contribute strongly to these differences in 76 prevalence between men and women.

Cigarette smoking is the main avoidable cause of death in high- and middle-income countries. It is responsible for cancers, cardiovascular and respiratory diseases and has been associated with an increasing number of other diseases, including T2DM<sup>8</sup>. Smoking behavior is socially influenced and the tobacco industry has played an important role in changing gender norms, resulting in a sharp increase in smoking prevalence among women<sup>9</sup>.

Regarding the association between smoking and DM, both sex and gender might have
an influence (Figure 1a). Smoking and smoking cessation might have a differential action on

the risk of DM and its complications in men and women, related to both behavioral differences
(gender) and differences due to biological factors (sex)<sup>10, 11</sup>.

Taking into account gender and sex dimensions makes it possible to better adapt care for populations in terms of prevention, diagnosis, and the therapeutic approach and thus to move toward socially equitable medicine<sup>12</sup>.

This article focuses on sex and gender differences in the interplay between smoking and DM; we discuss the impact of smoking on the incidence of T2DM, the prevalence of smoking in people with DM, the impact of smoking on DM complications, smoking cessation interventions and the benefits and risks of smoking cessation in people with T2DM. The consequences of smoking in pregnant women with DM and the association between smoking and gestational DM are not discussed.

95

### 96 Association between smoking and incident T2DM in men and women

97 An association between smoking and the incidence of T2DM has been demonstrated 98 for several decades. Meta-analyses have found an increased risk of around 40% in developing 99 T2DM in smokers<sup>8, 13-15</sup>. Moreover, some authors have reported differential effect of nicotine 100 on beta-cell function according to sex<sup>16</sup>. Many studies have evaluated the association between 101 smoking, sex, and the risk of developing T2DM.

Meta-analyses published in the last two decades support the link between smoking and T2DM in both men and women<sup>13, 14</sup>. A meta-analysis published in 2015, which included 88 studies with more than 5 million participants and more than 220,000 cases of incident DM, found an relative risk (RR) of T2DM in smokers compared with non-smokers of 1.42 (95% Confidence Interval [95% CI]: 1.34-1.50) and 1.33 (95% CI: 1.26-1.41) in men and women, respectively<sup>14</sup>. The authors estimated that, based on the assumption that the association

108 between smoking and T2DM is causal, 11.7% of T2DM cases in men and 2.4% of T2DM cases 109 in women could be attributed to active smoking. This figure is likely an underestimate, since passive smoking was not taken into account<sup>14</sup>. According to some authors, this excess risk 110 appears after age of 35 in men and women<sup>17</sup>. Given the equivalent RR between men and 111 112 women, it would seem that this risk is equivalent between the two sexes. More recently, Yuan 113 et al. published a meta-analysis assessing the risk of smoking-related T2DM in women 114 compared with that in men. After inclusion of 20 prospective cohort studies with more than 5 115 million participants and more than 220,000 cases of incident DM, the authors still found a 116 positive association between smoking and T2DM with an increased risk of 35% and 27% in 117 male and female smokers, respectively, compared with that in non-smokers. The relative risk 118 ratio (RRR) between men and women was nonsignificant at 0.98 (95% CI: 0.96-1.01). The 119 authors therefore concluded that there was no sexual dimorphism in the association between 120 active smoking and risk of developing T2DM<sup>15</sup>. Although active smoking is associated with the risk of T2DM, passive smoking has also been shown to be a risk factor for T2DM in both men 121 122 and women<sup>14, 18</sup>.

123

- An association between smoking (active and passive) and the development of T2DM has been established in women and men.
- The excess risk of T2DM conferred by smoking appears to be comparable between men and women.

124

125

126 Differences in the prevalence of smoking between men and women with DM

127 The prevalence of smoking among people with DM is 20-30%, similar to that observed in the general population<sup>19</sup>. A recent review shows that patients with T2DM are 26% less likely 128 to smoke compared to those without T2DM<sup>20</sup>. Age, geographic region, and socio-economic 129 level are factors that influence smoking prevalence rates<sup>21</sup>. Overall, it seems, that the 130 131 prevalence of smoking among men is higher than that of women, regardless of the type of 132 DM<sup>20, 22</sup>. Because of different socio-cultural behaviors and norms, disparities in prevalence are 133 observed by geographic region. In a study conducted by the World Health Organization on 134 vascular complications among people with DM between 1983 and 1990, the prevalence of smoking among men had decreased remarkably, whereas it had remained stable among 135 women (10.7% decrease in men vs 0.5% decrease in women)<sup>23, 24</sup>. 136

137 The intersectionality between sex or gender, ethnicity, and socio-economic level is of interest. For example, in a population of 1,899 patients with DM (16% smokers, 31% ex-138 139 smokers, and 51% non-smokers) in London, Gulliford et al. observed<sup>21</sup> that smoking was more 140 prevalent among people of Caucasian origin than it was among people of African or Afro-141 Caribbean origin. Few differences were observed between men and women among people of 142 Caucasian origin (22% vs 20%, respectively), whereas a greater difference existed among men 143 and women of Afro-Caribbean (15% vs 10%, respectively) or African (8 vs 2%, respectively) 144 origin<sup>21</sup>. A Centers for Disease Control and Prevention study conducted between 2001 and 145 2010 found a decrease in smoking prevalence in all ethnic subgroups<sup>25</sup>. However, the decrease 146 was less pronounced in people with DM, especially women with DM compared with men with 147 DM (-2.2% in men and -0.8% in women). In contrast to many studies showing a male 148 preponderance in the prevalence of smoking in people with DM, a Canadian study found that 149 female sex was more frequently associated with the risk of being a smoker, regardless of type of DM<sup>26</sup>. One of the explanations put forward by the authors was the greater decline in 150

151 smoking prevalence among men than among women. Similarly, studies in young populations 152 with or without DM have shown that young girls were more exposed to tobacco than were young boys<sup>26, 27</sup>. In a recent Austrian study, an increase in smoking prevalence was observed 153 among women between 2007 and 2014, whereas it remained stable among men<sup>28</sup>. 154 155 Furthermore, in this study, analyses of people with DM revealed that the most notable 156 increase was observed in populations of women with DM, more specifically in women 157 between 30 and 64 years old and in those over 65 years old, with the prevalence increasing 158 from 9.9% to 16.9%.

159 Thus, although the prevalence of smoking is globally higher among men than it is 160 among women with DM, some data tend to show that women with DM have increased their 161 smoking prevalence in recent years, particularly the younger generations, or at least that the 162 decrease in smoking prevalence is less pronounced in women than in men. This phenomenon can be explained by the tobacco industry's advertising campaigns targeting women<sup>29, 30</sup>. 163 Moreover, countries with higher gender empowerment have a higher proportion of women 164 165 who smoke, in keeping with the industry's use of female empowerment to promote smoking 166 behavior<sup>31</sup>. Women entering the workforce have not only assumed more traditional male 167 roles, but they have also combined family care and paid work, resulting in stressful life 168 experiences that women try to cope with by using cigarettes<sup>32</sup>. In high-income countries, it 169 has been reported that smoking affects more people of low socioeconomic classes and 170 women with stress, mental health disorders or with histories of violence, resulting in increasing health inequalities<sup>33</sup>. It is therefore important that health and prevention policies 171 172 target particularly women.

• As observed in the general population, the prevalence of smoking varies between men and women with DM, it being overall higher in men than in women.

 The trend toward a decrease in smoking observed in men in recent years seems to be less pronounced in women.

173

### 174 Influence of sex and gender in interaction with smoking on complications of chronic DM

175 The role of smoking in all-cause mortality, developing macrovascular and 176 microvascular complications in the population with DM has been demonstrated by numerous 177 studies, smoking being the strongest predictor of death among all risk factors<sup>34</sup>. Studies in the 178 general population have shown a greater negative health impact of smoking in women than 179 in men for cardiovascular diseases<sup>35</sup>. In people with DM, studies show sex differences in the 180 occurrence of several chronic diabetic complications, especially a higher burden of DM on cardiovascular risk in women<sup>36-41</sup> (**Table 1**). In a meta-analysis of 37 prospective studies that 181 182 included people with and without T2DM, Huxley et al. found a higher RR of fatal coronary 183 events in women than in men with DM compared to women and men without DM<sup>42</sup>. The RRR 184 between women and men with DM was 1.46 (95% CI: 1.14-1.88), indicating that women with 185 DM had about a 50% higher risk of a fatal coronary event than did men with DM. For T1DM, 186 the same authors found an excess risk of all-cause mortality of about 40% in women compared with men. The risk of fatal and nonfatal vascular events was twice as high in women as in 187 188 men<sup>36</sup>. Similar results were found for the risk of stroke in another study<sup>43</sup>.

189 Regarding the sex-specific impact of smoking on cardiovascular and some 190 microvascular complications in populations with DM, the deleterious impact of smoking is 191 higher among women than men (summarized in **Table 2** and **Figure 2**). As early as 1990, Moy

192 et al. described the deleterious role of tobacco in women with DM in a US cohort of 723 participants with T1DM<sup>44</sup>. In this cohort, smoking was an independent risk factor of mortality 193 194 in women but not in men. The excess mortality in women with DM could be explained by the higher occurrence of coronary heart disease (CHD) and mediated by higher contribution of 195 196 cardiovascular risk factors such as high blood pressure and higher plasma lipids in women 197 with DM than in men with DM<sup>42</sup>. Several mechanisms may explain the higher toxicity of 198 smoking in women, but they are not fully understood. First, women have on average a smaller 199 body surface than men do and so they may extract more carcinogens and toxic substances from tobacco smoke than men do<sup>45</sup>. Second, hormones such as estrogens have an influence 200 on the metabolism of nicotine, increasing its clearance<sup>46</sup>. As a consequence women might 201 202 smoke more intensely to compensate, leading to an increase in toxicity<sup>47</sup>. Third, women are more exposed to second hand smoke than men<sup>48</sup>. Thus, women who smoke are more likely 203 204 to be doubly exposed to second hand smoke and to their own consumption, leading to higher 205 total exposure than occurs for men. Nevertheless, this excess risk is not found in all studies; 206 for example, in several studies that report an increased risk of cardiovascular disease in 207 women with T1DM compared with that in men, smoking was not considered to be an 208 explanation for the sex difference<sup>49-51</sup>. Furthermore, in the EURODIAB Prospective 209 Complications Study, current smoking was identified as a risk factor for CHD only in men, 210 although the difference between men and women was not statistically significant after multivariate adjustment<sup>52</sup>. In the Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy (FinnDiane) study, which 211 212 included 4,506 individuals with T1DM, no interaction was found between smoking and sex in 213 cardiovascular disease risk with the exception of stroke<sup>53</sup>. This risk was higher in both current 214 and former smokers than in never smokers in men only.

215 In T1DM, data are scarce and conflicting concerning the role of smoking in the sex-216 specific cardiovascular morbi-mortality. In a British prospective study of women, with a mean 217 follow-up of 6.1 years, smoking was the strongest modifiable cardiovascular risk factor in 218 middle-aged women with DM, particularly in those treated with insulin, compared to women of the same age without DM<sup>54</sup>. The 5-year incidence rate of cardiovascular disease was 4.6 219 220 per 100 non-smoking women with DM, 5.9 in non-insulin-treated smoking women with DM, and 11.0 in insulin-treated smoking women with DM all aged 50-69 years<sup>54</sup>. In a Swedish 221 222 cohort of T2DM, the hazard ratio (HR) for the risk of heart attack (fatal or not) attributable to 223 smoking was higher in women than in men (HR=2.17 [95% CI:1.69-2.79] vs 1.45 [95% CI:1.19-1.77], respectively)<sup>55</sup>. In a Finnish cohort of people with and without T2DM that included 224 225 28,712 men and 30,700 women aged 25-64 years, smokers with DM had higher all-cause and 226 CHD mortality than non-smokers with DM did, regardless of sex. In addition, the HRs for 227 coronary mortality or incidence of CHD were higher in female smokers with DM than in male 228 smokers with DM, suggesting a more deleterious role of smoking in women with DM<sup>56</sup>.

229 In the ADVANCE study (Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and 230 Diamicron modified release Controlled Evaluation), which included 11,140 people with T2DM, 231 17% of men and 9% of women were smokers, whereas 38% of men and 14% of women had 232 stopped smoking<sup>57</sup>. Smoking was a risk factor for all cardiovascular events (except major 233 cerebrovascular events), as well as for all-cause mortality, nephropathy, and cancer. The 234 female-to-male HR for smokers vs non-smokers did not reach significance for any of the 235 events, but tended to be higher in women for major coronary events (HR =1.64 [95% CI: 0.83-236 3.26], p=0.08). Although this result did not reach significance, the authors concluded that 237 there was a signal in favor of an increased risk of smoking in women with T2DM compared 238 with that in men with T2DM who were smokers.

239 Results are contradictory as to the incidence of microvascular complications. Some 240 authors report a greater incidence in men than in women, whereas others find no difference<sup>58-</sup> 241 <sup>60</sup>. These studies mainly concern patients with T2DM. Nevertheless, only a few studies evaluated the role of smoking in order to explain such differences. In the previously 242 243 mentioned ADVANCE study, a similar impact was observed for active smoking on the risk of 244 diabetic nephropathy between men and women (HR between women and men: 1.04 [95% CI: 245 0.57-1.89])<sup>57</sup>. The authors acknowledged the lack of statistical power to detect a sex-246 differentiated impact of smoking. Indeed, the number of women smokers or ex-smokers was 247 434 and 658, respectively, compared with 1,116 and 2,466 male smokers or ex-smokers, respectively<sup>57</sup>. In a Dutch prospective cohort of 1,886 patients with T2DM with a mean follow-248 249 up of about 7 years, the incidence of microalbuminuria was significantly higher in men than in women (HR = 1.64 [95% CI: 1.21-2.24])<sup>61</sup>. No sex difference was found in the incidence of 250 251 diabetic retinopathy or diabetic neuropathy. When assessing the effect of smoking on 252 microvascular complications, the interaction analyses indicated a more deleterious effect of 253 smoking on microalbuminuria in women than in men. No interaction was found in the 254 assessment of the role of smoking on the occurrence of other microvascular complications.

Few data of this type are available in populations with T1DM making it difficult to conclude that smoking has a differentially deleterious effect on microvascular complications according to sex.

- In people with DM, smoking is an independent risk factor for all-cause mortality and macrovascular and microvascular complications in both women and men.
- In T2DM, the burden of smoking appears to be greater in women than in men in terms of coronary morbidity.

In T1DM, it is difficult to conclude whether smoking has a different impact on men or women due to scarce and conflicting data.

258

### 259 Smoking cessation in people with DM: sex and gender specificities

#### 260 Benefits of smoking cessation in people with DM

261 Smoking cessation in the population with DM is associated with a reduced risk of mortality and chronic diabetic complications, both macrovascular and microvascular<sup>14, 38, 62-65</sup>. Some 262 studies have focused exclusively on populations of women with DM, showing a clear benefit 263 264 of smoking cessation in this population, particularly in terms of cardiovascular disease<sup>64-66</sup>. 265 Few studies have reported sex-stratified data that compared the benefits of smoking 266 cessation in men and women. In the previously mentioned ADVANCE study, no difference in 267 the benefit of smoking cessation in terms of all-cause mortality, cardiovascular morbidity, nephropathy, or cancer was found between men and women with T2DM<sup>57</sup>. Notably, however, 268 269 in that study, only the benefit for all-cause mortality after smoking cessation was found to be 270 significant (30% risk reduction) in both sexes<sup>57</sup>. Similarly, in the Finnish study by Barengo et 271 al., ex-smokers with DM showed a reduction in the risk of all-cause and coronary mortality 272 compared with that for smokers with DM, and this was comparable between men and 273 women<sup>56</sup>. However, in both sexes, an excess risk persisted in comparison with non-smoking 274 people with DM. On the other hand, in terms of the incidence of CHD, the benefit of quitting smoking compared with continuing to smoke was greater in women than in men<sup>56</sup>. At the 275 276 microvascular level, the data are even more scarce. In T2DM, sex was not found to be an 277 independent predictor of the absence of microalbuminuria at 1 year after smoking

cessation<sup>67</sup>. Thus, even if the data are tenuous, it seems that smoking cessation is beneficial
in the population with DM in a comparable manner between men and women. Moreover, this
benefit of smoking cessation persists beyond the associated weight gain secondary to
cessation in both sexes, even if an attenuation is sometimes described<sup>62, 66, 68</sup>.

282

### 283 Weight gain after smoking cessation in people with DM

284 Weight gain after cessation is a major concern and often constitutes an obstacle to smoking cessation<sup>69</sup>. In this context, the question of a difference in weight gain between men and 285 286 women may be raised. This question is all the more important in the context of metabolic 287 disease such as DM. Patients with DM do not seem to have a greater weight gain after smoking cessation than that observed in the general population<sup>68, 70</sup>. The observations concerning the 288 289 gender difference in weight gain secondary to cessation may sometimes be contradictory<sup>71,</sup> 290 <sup>72</sup>. Some authors observe a greater average weight gain in women than in men. Thus, 291 Williamson et al. found a mean weight gain attributable to smoking cessation at 1 year after 292 cessation of 2.8 kg in men and 3.8 kg in women after adjustment for confounding factors<sup>73</sup>. Significant weight gain (>13 kg) was observed in 9.8% of men and in 13.4% of women<sup>73</sup>. In 293 294 another study evaluating weight change in the 5 years following smoking cessation, women 295 observed an average weight gain of 5.2 kg in the first year and 3.4 kg between 1 and 5 years, 296 whereas for men these figures were 4.9 and 2.6 kg, respectively<sup>74</sup>. Conversely, some authors 297 have found a higher weight gain in men than in women. In one study, the average weight gain 298 at 1 year after quitting was 3.3 kg for women vs 3.9 kg for men. In addition, in this study, male 299 sex was associated with greater weight gain after smoking cessation<sup>75</sup>. Another study found 300 an interaction between weight gain after quitting, sex, and pre-quitting smoking status. 301 Specifically, men with a low level of smoking (about 10 cigarettes/day) gained more weight at

1 year after quitting than women did, whereas with a higher level of smoking (25 cigarettes/day), the opposite was observed<sup>76</sup>. However, no study to date has specifically evaluated the difference in weight between men and women with DM. Beyond the actual weight gain, fear of weight gain constitutes a barrier to the quitting process, particularly among women in the general population. Indeed, one study compared the weight gain tolerated after smoking cessation by male and female smokers<sup>77</sup>. Men reported a weight gain of 4.9 kg (±3.5 kg), whereas women reported a weight gain of 2.3 kg (±2.6 kg).

309

### 310 Motivations and barriers to quitting in people with DM

311 In addition to weight gain, there are other sex- or gender-related barriers to quitting. In a 312 Dutch qualitative study of 11 female and 9 male smokers, the main barriers to smoking 313 cessation were psycho-social (emotion and stress) among women, but more related to 314 environmental factors among men<sup>78</sup>. Thus, the authors emphasized the need to adapt 315 cessation strategies according to gender, notably by targeting internal problems in women vs external problems in men<sup>78</sup>. Nevertheless, such findings are not necessarily transposable to 316 317 people with DM. In this specific population, barriers to quitting smoking between men and 318 women might differ, and specificities inherent to diabetic status are observed<sup>79</sup>. In people 319 with DM, apprehension about weight gain could be exacerbated because of its possible impact on glycemic control. Furthermore, health professionals may also be more likely to focus on 320 the weight objective after smoking cessation<sup>80</sup>. In patients with T1DM, weight concern was 321 322 more prevalent in women than in men, as well as in patients with poor glycemic control<sup>80</sup>. 323 However, on the question of the link between smoking cessation and DM management or 324 control, there was no difference between men and women. Furthermore, in another study of 325 barriers to smoking cessation in T2DM by gender, weight gain did not emerge as a major

concern<sup>79</sup>. Moreover, in this study, DM significantly modulated the barriers to smoking cessation compared with that in the general population, making it difficult to generalize to people with DM<sup>79</sup>. Studies specifically targeting people with DM are needed. Furthermore, in T2DM, several studies report a lack of knowledge concerning the links between smoking and T2DM<sup>79, 81</sup>. Thus, smoking cessation strategies must take into account gender differences to improve the success and long-term maintenance of abstinence.

332

### 333 Smoking cessation interventions in people with DM

334 Regarding non-pharmacological smoking cessation strategies, some authors found sex and gender differences in their effectiveness. However, no data are available to date for people 335 336 with DM. This is the objective of the DISCGO-RCT study, which evaluates the effectiveness of 337 smoking cessation interventions in the T2DM population by also integrating gender specificities<sup>82</sup>. Concerning pharmacological strategies, in the general population, the literature 338 339 shows differences according to sex or gender. Nicotine replacement therapies or bupropion 340 are more effective in men, whereas varenicline is more efficient in women<sup>83-87</sup>. These differences are linked to pharmacogenetic factors<sup>88</sup>. As reviewed in this paper, sex affects 341 342 enzymes that metabolize drugs such as CYP2B6, or genes that influence dopamine 343 concentrations such as DRD2 or COMT<sup>89,88</sup>. Such observations have not been tested 344 specifically in people with DM. Differences between men and women in barriers or responses 345 to smoking cessation strategies have an impact on the success of smoking cessation. In the 346 general population, data are divergent between studies, but it seems that there is no 347 difference in the proportion of women initiating cessation compared with men, nor in the 348 success of cessation. On the other hand, a difference in the ability to maintain abstinence over the long term has been observed<sup>89</sup>. Another study has found a higher rate of cessation at 1 349

350 year among women than among men, particularly among young people, whereas this rate is 351 higher among men as they get older<sup>90</sup>. To date, such observations have not been published 352 for people with DM. Finally, the management of smoking cessation by health professionals 353 might vary according to the sex or gender of patients. Indeed, the management of 354 cardiovascular risk factors was more aggressive in men than in women, whether in T2DM or 355 T1DM<sup>91, 92</sup>. However, these data mainly concern the management of dyslipidemia or 356 hypertension on the basis of prescription data for lipid-lowering and anti-hypertensive 357 medications. In these studies, the occurrence of smoking cessation advice by sex or gender 358 was not reported. In a study published in 1995 in the United States, the percentage of people with DM who received advice to stop or reduce smoking from their doctor was approximately 359 360 the same between men and women<sup>93</sup>. Thus, it is important to draw the attention of health 361 professionals to the management of cardiovascular risk factors, especially smoking cessation, 362 in both sexes.

Smoking cessation advice and treatments should be systematically proposed to every smoker 363 364 with DM regardless of sex or gender. Interventions that have been proven effective in people 365 without DM, such as behavioral interventions, nicotine replacement therapy, and the 366 administration of bupropion or varenicline, are also effective in smokers with DM<sup>18</sup>. Few data 367 exist on sex or gender specificities in people with DM regarding smoking cessation. 368 Interventions might be tailored to better reach patients on the basis of their sex or gender specificities, as well as their DM specificities<sup>94</sup>. This includes interventions that address 369 emotions and stress in women<sup>95</sup> or an implementation design to reach specific populations of 370 371 men<sup>94</sup>.

- The benefit of smoking cessation appears to be similar between men and women with DM.
- As in the general population, weight change following smoking cessation in people with DM appears to be greater in women than in men.
- No data are available to date regarding a sex or gender difference in the effectiveness of smoking cessation interventions specifically in people with DM.
- Smoking cessation advice and treatments should be systematically proposed to every smoker with DM regardless of sex or gender.
- 372

### 373 Electronic nicotine delivery systems

Few data exist on the use and health impact of electronic nicotine delivery systems 374 (ENDS or e-cigarettes) and the potential differences between men and women with DM. In 375 376 populations without DM, a higher prevalence of ENDS use has been shown in men, especially in younger populations<sup>96, 97</sup>. The trends might change, but limited data suggest that men are 377 378 early adopters, similar to what has been observed with cigarette smoking. Regarding the 379 association between ENDS use and the incidence of T2DM or prediabetes in never cigarette 380 smokers, studies suggest that, similar to smokers, ENDS users are at increased risk of impaired 381 glucose tolerance compared with non-users<sup>98-100</sup>. It is important to note that most of the 382 available studies have short follow-ups and consist mainly of dual cigarette smokers and ENDS 383 users, making it difficult to isolate the health effect associated with ENDS use. In a study that 384 used data from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey, the odds ratio 385 (OR) of self-reported prediabetes for non-smoking ENDS users compared with never ENDS 386 users was higher in men than in women (OR 2.36 [95% CI 1.26-4.40] vs OR 1.88 [95% CI 1.00-387 3.53], respectively)<sup>100</sup>. Animal studies suggest that glycerol contained in ENDS liquids can

affect glucose homeostasis in both males and females<sup>101</sup>. Finally, regarding the effects of ENDS use on diabetic complications few studies exists and none with sex or gender specific data. One Chinese study found that ENDS use was associated with impaired angiogenesis and wound healing in people with DM because of increased endothelial oxidative stress and reduced nitric oxide bioavailability, but sex specificities were not assessed<sup>102</sup>.

393

394

• Few data exist on the use and health impact of ENDS in men and women with DM.

• ENDS use aiming at smoking cessation should be of limited duration, once abstinence is achieved and the urge to smoke is suppressed, regardless of sex and gender.

396

395

### 397 Conclusion

398

In this article, we reviewed available data on sex or gender differences in the interplay 399 400 between smoking and DM. Smoking is a risk factor for the development of T2DM in both men 401 and women and the risk conferred by smoking appears to be comparable between them. As 402 observed in the general population, the prevalence of smoking varies between men and 403 women with DM, being overall higher in men than in women. However, the trend toward a 404 decrease in smoking observed in men in recent years seems to be less pronounced in women. 405 Tobacco prevention policies should target people with DM with a specific attention on women 406 who might be especially exposed to tobacco industry's marketing strategies.

Smoking is an independent risk factor for all-cause mortality, macrovascular and microvascular complications in both women and men with DM. However in T2DM, the burden of smoking appears to be greater in women than in men in terms of coronary morbidity. Even if mechanisms are not all understood, the higher susceptibility of women to smoking toxicity argues for special attention from health professionals in the management of cardiovascular risk factors and diabetes complications in women with DM.

413 Regarding smoking cessation, women with DM tend to be more dependent to nicotine and to 414 have more stress and psychosocial barriers to quitting smoking. Weight change following 415 smoking cessation also appears to be greater in women than in men. These factors may hinder 416 smoking cessation and should be addressed when managing smokers with DM. People with 417 DM should be offered the same first-line therapies smoking cessation treatment as the 418 general population, including behavioral interventions and pharmacological treatments (such 419 as nicotine replacement therapies, varenicline, and bupropion). There is to date limited 420 evidence regarding the efficacy and safety of pharmacotherapies in patients with DM and sex 421 or gender specific data are even more scarce or lacking, particularly in T1DM. 422 Smoking cessation should be proposed to all patients with diabetes, regardless of their sex or

gender. Further research that takes into account sex or gender dimensions are required. This
could help tailor smoking prevention and smoking cessation interventions in order to better
reach people with DM on the basis of their sex or gender specificities.

### 426 Acknowledgments

- 427 We thank the Société Francophone du Diabète (SFD), Société Francophone de Tabacologie
- 428 (SFT), the Fédération Française des Diabétiques (FFD), and all members of the study group
- 429 "Tabac et Diabète."
- 430

### 431 Authors' contributions

- 432 BT and CC did the literature search and drafted the first version of the manuscript. All co-
- 433 authors critically reviewed and edited the manuscript.
- 434 Funding
- 435 No funding
- 436 Conflict of interest statement
- 437 Blandine Tramunt reports personal fees from Novo Nordisk and Lilly. Vincent Durlach has
- 438 acted as an advisory board member, speaker, or consultant for Amgen, Sanofi, Lilly, Servier,
- 439 and Bioprojet. Bruno Vergès reports grants, honoraria, and institutional funding from
- 440 Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer Pharma, Boehringer-Ingelheim, LifeScan, Lilly, MSD, Novo
- 441 Nordisk, and Recordati. Daniel Thomas has received honoraria from Pfizer for punctual
- 442 consulting, lectures and presentations, and support for attending meetings/travel. The other
- 443 authors declare that they have no conflicting interests.
- 444

#### 445 References 446 Tannenbaum C, Ellis RP, Eyssel F, Zou J, Schiebinger L. Sex and gender analysis 1. 447 improves science and engineering. Nature. 2019;575:137-146. 448 2. Kautzky-Willer A, Harreiter J, Pacini G. Sex and Gender Differences in Risk, 449 Pathophysiology and Complications of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Endocrine Reviews. 450 2016;37:278-316. 451 3. Harreiter J, Kautzky-Willer A. Sex and Gender Differences in Prevention of Type 2 452 Diabetes. Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2018;9. 453 Clayton JA, Tannenbaum C. Reporting Sex, Gender, or Both in Clinical Research? JAMA. 4. 454 2016;316:1863-1864. 455 5. Connelly PJ, Azizi Z, Alipour P, Delles C, Pilote L, Raparelli V. The Importance of Gender 456 to Understand Sex Differences in Cardiovascular Disease. Can J Cardiol. 2021;37:699-457 710. 458 6. IDF Diabetes Atlas 2021, 10th edition. International Diabetes Federation.

- Tramunt B, Smati S, Grandgeorge N, et al. Sex differences in metabolic regulation and diabetes susceptibility. *Diabetologia*. 2020;63:453-461.
- 461 8. The Health Consequences of Smoking 50 years of progress. A report of the Surgeon
  462 General US Department of Health and Human Services; 2014.
- 463 9. Barbeau EM, Leavy-Sperounis A, Balbach ED. Smoking, social class, and gender: what
  464 can public health learn from the tobacco industry about disparities in smoking? *Tob*465 *Control.* 2004;13:115-120.
- 466 10. Azizi Z, Gisinger T, Bender U, et al. Sex, Gender, and Cardiovascular Health in Canadian
   467 and Austrian Populations. *Can J Cardiol.* 2021;37:1240-1247.
- 468 11. Longpre-Poirier C, Dougoud J, Jacmin-Park S, et al. Sex and Gender and Allostatic
  469 Mechanisms of Cardiovascular Risk and Disease. *Can J Cardiol.* 2022;38:1812-1827.
- 470 12. Mauvais-Jarvis F, Bairey Merz N, Barnes PJ, et al. Sex and gender: modifiers of health,
  471 disease, and medicine. *Lancet.* 2020;396:565-582.
- 472 13. Willi C, Bodenmann P, Ghali WA, Faris PD, Cornuz J. Active smoking and the risk of type
  473 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2007;298:2654-2664.
- 474 14. Pan A, Wang Y, Talaei M, Hu FB, Wu T. Relation of active, passive, and quitting smoking
  475 with incident type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Diabetes*476 *Endocrinol.* 2015;3:958-967.
- Yuan S, Xue HL, Yu HJ, et al. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for type 2 diabetes in
  women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective
  cohort studies. J Public Health (Oxf). 2019;41:e169-e176.
- 480 16. Ostgren CJ, Lindblad U, Ranstam J, et al. Associations between smoking and beta-cell
   481 function in a non-hypertensive and non-diabetic population. Skaraborg Hypertension
   482 and Diabetes Project. *Diabet Med.* 2000;17:445-450.
- 48317.Jankowich M, Choudhary G, Taveira TH, Wu WC. Age-, race-, and gender-specific484prevalence of diabetes among smokers. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2011;93:e101-105.
- 485 18. Zhang L, Curhan GC, Hu FB, Rimm EB, Forman JP. Association between passive and
  486 active smoking and incident type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care.* 2011;34:892487 897.
- 488 19. Clair C, Meigs JB, Rigotti NA. Smoking behavior among US adults with diabetes or
  489 impaired fasting glucose. *Am J Med.* 2013;126:541 e515-548.

- 490 20. Roderick P, Turner V, Readshaw A, Dogar O, Siddiqi K. The global prevalence of tobacco
  491 use in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
  492 Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2019;154:52-65.
- 493 21. Gulliford MC, Sedgwick JE, Pearce AJ. Cigarette smoking, health status, socio-economic
   494 status and access to health care in diabetes mellitus: a cross-sectional survey. *BMC* 495 *Health Serv Res.* 2003;3:4.
- 496 22. Durlach V, Verges B, Al-Salameh A, et al. Smoking and diabetes interplay: A
  497 comprehensive review and joint statement. *Diabetes Metab.* 2022:101370.
- 498 23. Lee ET, Keen H, Bennett PH, Fuller JH, Lu M. Follow-up of the WHO Multinational Study
  499 of Vascular Disease in Diabetes: general description and morbidity. *Diabetologia*.
  500 2001;44 Suppl 2:S3-13.
- Prevalence of small vessel and large vessel disease in diabetic patients from 14 centres.
   The World Health Organisation Multinational Study of Vascular Disease in Diabetics.
   Diabetes Drafting Group. *Diabetologia*. 1985;28 Suppl:615-640.
- Fan AZ, Rock V, Zhang X, Li Y, Elam-Evans L, Balluz L. Trends in cigarette smoking rates
   and quit attempts among adults with and without diagnosed diabetes, United States,
   2001-2010. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2013;10:E160.
- 507 26. Plotnikoff RC, Lippke S, Prodaniuk T, Wild TC, Barrett JE. Demographic, health, and
  508 behavioral factors associated with smoking in adults with type 1 or type 2 diabetes.
  509 Am J Health Behav. 2007;31:13-23.
- **27.** Raho E, van Oostrom SH, Visser M, et al. Generation shifts in smoking over 20 years in
  two Dutch population-based cohorts aged 20-100 years. *BMC Public Health*.
  2015;15:142.
- 513 28. Dorner TE, Brath H, Kautzky-Willer A. Sex-specific trends in smoking prevalence over
   514 seven years in different Austrian populations: results of a time-series cross-sectional
   515 analysis. *BMJ Open.* 2020;10:e035235.
- 516 29. Carpenter CM, Wayne GF, Connolly GN. Designing cigarettes for women: new findings
   517 from the tobacco industry documents. *Addiction*. 2005;100:837-851.
- 51830.Sy D, Mackay J. Women and the Tobacco Industry <a href="https://exposetobacco.org/wp-content/uploads/Women-and-the-Tobacco-Industry-3.5.21.pdf">https://exposetobacco.org/wp-content/uploads/Women-and-the-Tobacco-Industry-3.5.21.pdf</a>: J for Global Center for Good Governance in Tobacco Control (GGTC); 2021.
- 521**31.**Hitchman SC, Fong GT. Gender empowerment and female-to-male smoking522prevalence ratios. Bull World Health Organ. 2011;89:195-202.
- 523 **32.** Graham H. *When Life's a Drag: Women, Smoking and Disadvantage*. University of Warwick, UK: Great Britain Department of Health; 1993.
- 525**33.**Greaves L. The meanings of smoking to women and their implications for cessation. Int526J Environ Res Public Health. 2015;12:1449-1465.
- 52734.Rawshani A, Rawshani A, Franzen S, et al. Risk Factors, Mortality, and Cardiovascular528Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:633-644.
- 529 35. Huxley RR, Woodward M. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for coronary heart disease
  530 in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective
  531 cohort studies. *Lancet.* 2011;378:1297-1305.
- 53236.Huxley RR, Peters SA, Mishra GD, Woodward M. Risk of all-cause mortality and vascular533events in women versus men with type 1 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-534analysis. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2015;3:198-206.
- 53537.de Ritter R, de Jong M, Vos RC, et al. Sex differences in the risk of vascular disease536associated with diabetes. *Biol Sex Differ.* 2020;11:1.

- 38. Qin R, Chen T, Lou Q, Yu D. Excess risk of mortality and cardiovascular events
  associated with smoking among patients with diabetes: meta-analysis of observational
  prospective studies. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;167:342-350.
- Hu G, Jousilahti P, Qiao Q, Katoh S, Tuomilehto J. Sex differences in cardiovascular and
   total mortality among diabetic and non-diabetic individuals with or without history of
   myocardial infarction. *Diabetologia*. 2005;48:856-861.
- 54340.de Ferranti SD, de Boer IH, Fonseca V, et al. Type 1 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular544disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and American545Diabetes Association. Circulation. 2014;130:1110-1130.
- 54641.Longpre-Poirier C, Dougoud J, Jacmin-Park S, et al. Sex and Gender and Allostatic547Mechanisms of Cardiovascular Risk and Disease. Can J Cardiol. 2022.
- Huxley R, Barzi F, Woodward M. Excess risk of fatal coronary heart disease associated
  with diabetes in men and women: meta-analysis of 37 prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*.
  2006;332:73-78.
- 43. Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Diabetes as a risk factor for stroke in women
  compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohorts, including
  775,385 individuals and 12,539 strokes. *Lancet.* 2014;383:1973-1980.
- 55444.Moy CS, LaPorte RE, Dorman JS, et al. Insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus mortality.555The risk of cigarette smoking. *Circulation*. 1990;82:37-43.
- 45. Woodward M, Tunstall-Pedoe H, Smith WC, Tavendale R. Smoking characteristics and
  inhalation biochemistry in the Scottish population. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1991;44:14051410.
- Hukkanen J, Jacob P, 3rd, Benowitz NL. Metabolism and disposition kinetics of nicotine.
   *Pharmacol Rev.* 2005;57:79-115.
- 561 **47.** Berlin I. Incidence of Lung Cancer among Young Women. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379:988.
- 562 48. Oberg M, Jaakkola MS, Woodward A, Peruga A, Pruss-Ustun A. Worldwide burden of
  563 disease from exposure to second-hand smoke: a retrospective analysis of data from
  564 192 countries. *Lancet.* 2011;377:139-146.
- 565 49. Colhoun HM, Rubens MB, Underwood SR, Fuller JH. The effect of type 1 diabetes
  566 mellitus on the gender difference in coronary artery calcification. *J Am Coll Cardiol.*567 2000;36:2160-2167.
- 568 50. Livingstone SJ, Looker HC, Hothersall EJ, et al. Risk of cardiovascular disease and total
  569 mortality in adults with type 1 diabetes: Scottish registry linkage study. *PLoS Med.*570 2012;9:e1001321.
- 571 51. Dabelea D, Kinney G, Snell-Bergeon JK, et al. Effect of type 1 diabetes on the gender
  572 difference in coronary artery calcification: a role for insulin resistance? The Coronary
  573 Artery Calcification in Type 1 Diabetes (CACTI) Study. *Diabetes*. 2003;52:2833-2839.
- 574 52. Soedamah-Muthu SS, Chaturvedi N, Toeller M, et al. Risk factors for coronary heart
  575 disease in type 1 diabetic patients in Europe: the EURODIAB Prospective Complications
  576 Study. *Diabetes Care.* 2004;27:530-537.
- 577 53. Feodoroff M, Harjutsalo V, Forsblom C, Groop PH, FinnDiane Study G. Dose-dependent
  578 effect of smoking on risk of coronary heart disease, heart failure and stroke in
  579 individuals with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2018;61:2580-2589.
- 580 54. Spencer EA, Pirie KL, Stevens RJ, et al. Diabetes and modifiable risk factors for
  581 cardiovascular disease: the prospective Million Women Study. *Eur J Epidemiol.*582 2008;23:793-799.

- 583 55. Nilsson PM, Cederholm J, Eeg-Olofsson K, et al. Smoking as an independent risk factor
  584 for myocardial infarction or stroke in type 2 diabetes: a report from the Swedish
  585 National Diabetes Register. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil.* 2009;16:506-512.
- 586 56. Barengo NC, Teuschl Y, Moltchanov V, Laatikainen T, Jousilahti P, Tuomilehto J.
  587 Coronary heart disease incidence and mortality, and all-cause mortality among
  588 diabetic and non-diabetic people according to their smoking behavior in Finland. *Tob*589 *Induc Dis.* 2017;15:12.
- 590 57. Blomster JI, Woodward M, Zoungas S, et al. The harms of smoking and benefits of
  591 smoking cessation in women compared with men with type 2 diabetes: an
  592 observational analysis of the ADVANCE (Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease:
  593 Preterax and Diamicron modified release Controlled Evaluation) trial. *BMJ Open.*594 2016;6:e009668.
- 595 58. Yang JY, Kim NK, Lee YJ, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with diabetic
  596 retinopathy in a Korean adult population: the 2008-2009 Korea National Health and
  597 Nutrition Examination Survey. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2013;102:218-224.
- 598 59. Liu Z, Fu C, Wang W, Xu B. Prevalence of chronic complications of type 2 diabetes
  599 mellitus in outpatients a cross-sectional hospital based survey in urban China. *Health*600 *Qual Life Outcomes.* 2010;8:62.
- 60. Pop-Busui R, Lu J, Lopes N, Jones TL, Investigators BD. Prevalence of diabetic peripheral
   602 neuropathy and relation to glycemic control therapies at baseline in the BARI 2D
   603 cohort. J Peripher Nerv Syst. 2009;14:1-13.
- 604 61. Singh SS, Roeters-van Lennep JE, Lemmers RFH, et al. Sex difference in the incidence
  605 of microvascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a prospective
  606 cohort study. *Acta Diabetol.* 2020;57:725-732.
- 607 62. Liu G, Hu Y, Zong G, et al. Smoking cessation and weight change in relation to
  608 cardiovascular disease incidence and mortality in people with type 2 diabetes: a
  609 population-based cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2020;8:125-133.
- 610 63. Chuahirun T, Simoni J, Hudson C, et al. Cigarette smoking exacerbates and its cessation
  611 ameliorates renal injury in type 2 diabetes. *Am J Med Sci.* 2004;327:57-67.
- 612 64. Al-Delaimy WK, Willett WC, Manson JE, Speizer FE, Hu FB. Smoking and mortality
  613 among women with type 2 diabetes: The Nurses' Health Study cohort. *Diabetes Care.*614 2001;24:2043-2048.
- 615 65. Al-Delaimy WK, Manson JE, Solomon CG, et al. Smoking and risk of coronary heart
  616 disease among women with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Archives of internal medicine*.
  617 2002;162:273-279.
- 618 66. Luo J, Rossouw J, Margolis KL. Smoking cessation, weight change, and coronary heart
  619 disease among postmenopausal women with and without diabetes. *JAMA*.
  620 2013;310:94-96.
- 67. Voulgari C, Katsilambros N, Tentolouris N. Smoking cessation predicts amelioration of
   microalbuminuria in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 1-year prospective
   study. *Metabolism.* 2011;60:1456-1464.
- 62468.Clair C, Rigotti NA, Porneala B, et al. Association of smoking cessation and weight625change with cardiovascular disease among adults with and without diabetes. JAMA6262013;309:1014-1021.
- 627 69. Siahpush M, Singh GK, Tibbits M, Pinard CA, Shaikh RA, Yaroch A. It is better to be a fat
  628 ex-smoker than a thin smoker: findings from the 1997-2004 National Health Interview
  629 Survey-National Death Index linkage study. *Tob Control.* 2014;23:395-402.

- Driva S, Korkontzelou A, Tonstad S, Tentolouris N, Katsaounou P. The Effect of Smoking
   Cessation on Body Weight and Other Metabolic Parameters with Focus on People with
   Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19.
- Aubin HJ, Farley A, Lycett D, Lahmek P, Aveyard P. Weight gain in smokers after quitting
  cigarettes: meta-analysis. *BMJ.* 2012;345:e4439.
- Harris KK, Zopey M, Friedman TC. Metabolic effects of smoking cessation. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* 2016;12:684.
- 637 73. Williamson DF, Madans J, Anda RF, Kleinman JC, Giovino GA, Byers T. Smoking
  638 cessation and severity of weight gain in a national cohort. *N Engl J Med.* 1991;324:739639 745.
- 640 74. O'Hara P, Connett JE, Lee WW, Nides M, Murray R, Wise R. Early and late weight gain
  641 following smoking cessation in the Lung Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1998;148:821642 830.
- 643 75. Prod'hom S, Locatelli I, Giraudon K, et al. Predictors of weight change in sedentary
  644 smokers receiving a standard smoking cessation intervention. *Nicotine Tob Res.*645 2013;15:910-916.
- 646 76. Locatelli I, Collet TH, Clair C, Rodondi N, Cornuz J. The joint influence of gender and
  647 amount of smoking on weight gain one year after smoking cessation. *Int J Environ Res*648 *Public Health.* 2014;11:8443-8455.
- 649 77. Pomerleau CS, Kurth CL. Willingness of female smokers to tolerate postcessation
  650 weight gain. *J Subst Abuse.* 1996;8:371-378.
- 78. Dieleman LA, van Peet PG, Vos HMM. Gender differences within the barriers to
  smoking cessation and the preferences for interventions in primary care a qualitative
  study using focus groups in The Hague, The Netherlands. *BMJ Open.* 2021;11:e042623.
- 654 79. Georges A, Galbiati L, Clair C. Smoking in men and women with type 2 diabetes: A
  655 qualitative gender-sensitive exploration of barriers to smoking cessation among
  656 people with type 2 diabetes. *PLoS One.* 2019;14:e0221783.
- Baire-Joshu D, Heady S, Thomas L, Schechtman K, Fisher EB, Jr. Beliefs about smoking
  and diabetes care. *The Diabetes educator*. 1994;20:410-415.
- 659 81. Chau TK, Fong DY, Chan SS, et al. Misconceptions about smoking in patients with type
  660 2 diabetes mellitus: a qualitative analysis. *J Clin Nurs.* 2015;24:2545-2553.
- 661 82. Clair C, Augsburger A, Birrer P, et al. Assessing the efficacy and impact of a personalised
   662 smoking cessation intervention among type 2 diabetic smokers: study protocol for an
   663 open-label randomised controlled trial (DISCGO-RCT). *BMJ Open.* 2020;10:e040117.
- 664 **83.** Perkins KA, Scott J. Sex differences in long-term smoking cessation rates due to nicotine patch. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2008;10:1245-1250.
- 666 84. McKee SA, Smith PH, Kaufman M, Mazure CM, Weinberger AH. Sex Differences in
  667 Varenicline Efficacy for Smoking Cessation: A Meta-Analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res.*668 2016;18:1002-1011.
- Smith PH, Kasza KA, Hyland A, et al. Gender differences in medication use and cigarette
  smoking cessation: results from the International Tobacco Control Four Country
  Survey. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015;17:463-472.
- 672 86. Smith PH, Zhang J, Weinberger AH, Mazure CM, McKee SA. Gender differences in the
  673 real-world effectiveness of smoking cessation medications: Findings from the 2010674 2011 Tobacco Use Supplement to the Current Population Survey. *Drug Alcohol*675 *Depend.* 2017;178:485-491.

- 676 87. Smith PH, Weinberger AH, Zhang J, Emme E, Mazure CM, McKee SA. Sex Differences
  677 in Smoking Cessation Pharmacotherapy Comparative Efficacy: A Network Meta678 analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;19:273-281.
- 679 88. Schnoll RA, Patterson F. Sex heterogeneity in pharmacogenetic smoking cessation
  680 clinical trials. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2009;104 Suppl 1:S94-99.
- 681 89. Smith PH, Bessette AJ, Weinberger AH, Sheffer CE, McKee SA. Sex/gender differences
  682 in smoking cessation: A review. *Prev Med.* 2016;92:135-140.
- 683 90. Jarvis MJ, Cohen JE, Delnevo CD, Giovino GA. Dispelling myths about gender
  684 differences in smoking cessation: population data from the USA, Canada and Britain.
  685 Tob Control. 2013;22:356-360.
- Wexler DJ, Grant RW, Meigs JB, Nathan DM, Cagliero E. Sex disparities in treatment of
   cardiac risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2005;28:514-520.
- 68892.Larkin ME, Backlund JY, Cleary P, et al. Disparity in management of diabetes and<br/>coronary heart disease risk factors by sex in DCCT/EDIC. *Diabet Med.* 2010;27:451-458.
- Malarcher AM, Ford ES, Nelson DE, et al. Trends in cigarette smoking and physicians' advice to quit smoking among people with diabetes in the U.S. *Diabetes Care*.
  1995;18:694-697.
- 69394.Bottorff JL, Haines-Saah R, Kelly MT, et al. Gender, smoking and tobacco reduction and694cessation: a scoping review. Int J Equity Health. 2014;13:114.
- 695 95. Torchalla I, Okoli CT, Bottorff JL, Qu A, Poole N, Greaves L. Smoking cessation programs
  696 targeted to women: a systematic review. *Women Health.* 2012;52:32-54.
- 697 96. Cooper M, Day HR, Ren C, et al. Correlates of tobacco product initiation among youth
  698 and young adults between waves 1-4 of the population assessment of tobacco and
  699 Health (PATH) study (2013-2018). Addict Behav. 2022;134:107396.
- Mattingly DT, Zavala-Arciniega L, Hirschtick JL, Meza R, Levy DT, Fleischer NL. Trends
   in Exclusive, Dual and Polytobacco Use among U.S. Adults, 2014-2019: Results from
   Two Nationally Representative Surveys. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2021;18.
- 703 98. Zhang Z, Jiao Z, Blaha MJ, et al. The Association Between E-Cigarette Use and
  704 Prediabetes: Results From the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2016-2018.
  705 Am J Prev Med. 2022;62:872-877.
- 706 99. Gorna I, Napierala M, Florek E. Electronic Cigarette Use and Metabolic Syndrome
   707 Development: A Critical Review. *Toxics.* 2020;8.
- Atuegwu NC, Perez MF, Oncken C, Mead EL, Maheshwari N, Mortensen EM. E-cigarette
   use is associated with a self-reported diagnosis of prediabetes in never cigarette
   smokers: Results from the behavioral risk factor surveillance system survey. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2019;205:107692.
- 101. Lechasseur A, Mouchiroud M, Tremblay F, et al. Glycerol contained in vaping liquids
   affects the liver and aspects of energy homeostasis in a sex-dependent manner. *Physiol Rep.* 2022;10:e15146.
- 102. Liu Z, Zhang Y, Youn JY, et al. Flavored and Nicotine-Containing E-Cigarettes Induce
   716 Impaired Angiogenesis and Diabetic Wound Healing via Increased Endothelial
   717 Oxidative Stress and Reduced NO Bioavailability. *Antioxidants (Basel)*. 2022;11.

#### 718 **Figure legends**

- Figure 1. Sex and gender aspects in smoking and diabetes: a complex interplay 719
- 720 1a: Sex and gender aspects in smoking
- 721 1b: Sex and gender aspects in diabetes
- 722 1c: Sex and gender aspects in the association between smoking and diabetes
- 723
- mellitus acc. 724 Figure 2. Impact of smoking on chronic complications of type 2 diabetes mellitus according to sex
- 725

Study	Type of diabetes	Outcome	Women RR* (95% CI)	Men RR* (95% CI)	Men HR** (95% CI)	Women:Men Ratio of RR (95% CI)			
Macrovascular complications									
Huxley et al.		CHD mortality (37 studies)	3.50 (2.70-4.53)	2.06 (1.81-2.34)		1.70 (1.27-2.27)			
2006 <sup>38</sup>	T2DM	CHD mortality (Multiple adjusted; 29 studies;)	2.95 (2.39-3.65)	2.02 (1.76-2.31)		1.46 (1.14-1.88)			
Peters et <i>al.</i> 2014 <sup>39</sup>	T2DM	Stroke (64 studies)	2·28 (1.93-2.69)	1·83 (1.60-2.08)		1.27 (1.10-1.46)			
Microvascular complications									
	T2DM	Microalbuminuria	IL CO.		1.64 (1.21–2.24)				
Singh et <i>al.</i> 2020 <sup>57</sup>		Retinopathy	202		1.27 (0.93–1.74) <sup>\$</sup>				
		Neuropathy			1.35 (0.99–1.83) <sup>\$</sup>				

## 726 **Table 1:** Sex-stratified impact of diabetes on chronic macro- and microvascular complications

727 RR: Relative Risk; HR: Hazard Ratio; 95% CI: 95% Confidence Interval; CHD: coronary heart disease; T2DM: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus.

728 \* People without diabetes are the reference group

729 \*\* Women are the reference group

<sup>\$</sup> Not significant

Study	Type of diabetes	Outcome	Women RR or HR (95% Cl)	Men RR or HR (95% CI)	Women:Men Ratio of HR (95% Cl)			
Macrovacular complications								
Mov at $\alpha / 1000^{40}$		Mortality	RR 2.57 (1.04–6.36)*	RR 1.21 (0.57–2.55) <sup>\$,</sup> *				
100 et <i>ul.</i> 1990	TIDM	CHD mortality	RR 5.16 (1.29–20.57)*	RR 0.78 (0.21–2.86) <sup>\$,</sup> *				
Nilsson et <i>al.</i> 2009 <sup>51</sup>	T2DM	Fatal/non-fatal myocardial infarction	HR 2.17 (1.69–2.79)*	HR 1.45 (1.19–1.77)*				
		All-cause mortality	HR 4.51 (2.91-7.00)**	HR 3.76 (2.95-4.78)**				
Barengo et <i>al.</i> 2017 <sup>52</sup>	T2DM	CHD mortality	HR 6.92 (2.79-17.19)**	HR 2.62 (1.60-4.29)**				
		CHD incidence	HR 4.55 (2.48-8.33)**	HR 3.27 (2.45-4.36) **				
Blomster et <i>al.</i> 2016 <sup>53</sup>	T2DM	Major coronary events			1.64 (0.83-3.26) <sup>\$,***</sup>			
Microvascular complications								
Blomster et <i>al.</i> 2016 <sup>53</sup>	T2DM	Nephropathy			1.04 (0.57-1.89) <sup>\$,***</sup>			

## 731 **Table 2:** Sex-stratified impact of smoking on chronic macro- and microvascular complications in people with diabetes

732 RR: Relative Risk; HR: Hazard Ratio; 95% CI: 95% Confidence Interval; CHD: coronary heart disease; T1DM: Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus; T2DM: Type 2 Diabetes
 733 Mellitus.

734 \* Cox regression analysis

735 \*\* People without diabetes and without smoking are the reference group (HR=1). In the table, results are for women or men with T2DM and smokers. In

women with T2DM and non-smokers, HRs (95%CI) were 2.11 (1.71-2.59), 4.06 (2.83-5.82), 2.60 (2.02-3.35) for all-cause mortality, CHD mortality and CHD

incidence, respectively. In men with T2DM and non-smokers, HRs (95%CI) were 2.03 (1.51-2.74), 2.62 (1.60-4.29), 1.56 (1.08-2.24) for all-cause mortality, CHD
 mortality and CHD incidence, respectively.

- 739 \*\*\*Ratio of the HRs (women:men) for daily smoking versus never smoking
- 740 <sup>\$</sup> Not significant
- 741





Less physical activity in women than in men



	Smoking is associated with the incidence of type 2 DM	<mark>(</mark> ?) = 🗗
GENDER/SEX	Smoking prevalence differs between men and women in people with type 2 DM	<mark>♀</mark> < ♂
	Smoking increases diabetic macrovascular complications	<mark>응</mark> > 🗗
?	Smoking increases diabetic microvascular complications	<b>?</b> = <b>♂</b> *
SMOKING DIAB	ETES Smoking cessation decreases mortality and morbidity in people with DM	<mark>영</mark> = 🗗
	Smoking cessation is associated with weight gain in people with DM	<mark>?</mark> > ♂
	Smoking cessation interventions are effective in people with DM	No sex or gender specific data

\* except for microalbuminuria : 🕄 > 🗗

DM= diabetes mellitus

