

Exceptional collections for mirrors of invertible polynomials

Favero, David; Kaplan, Daniel; Kelly, Tyler

DOI:

[10.1007/s00209-023-03258-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-023-03258-x)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Favero, D, Kaplan, D & Kelly, T 2023, 'Exceptional collections for mirrors of invertible polynomials', *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 304, no. 2, 32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-023-03258-x>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



Exceptional collections for mirrors of invertible polynomials

David Favero^{1,2} · Daniel Kaplan³ · Tyler L. Kelly⁴

Received: 30 November 2021 / Accepted: 25 February 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

We prove the existence of a full exceptional collection for the derived category of equivariant matrix factorizations of an invertible polynomial with its maximal symmetry group. This proves a conjecture of Hirano–Ouchi. In the Gorenstein case, we also prove a stronger version of this conjecture due to Takahashi. Namely, that the full exceptional collection is strong.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{C} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We say that a polynomial $w \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is invertible if it is of the form

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{a_{ij}}$$

where $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ is a non-negative integer-valued matrix satisfying:

- (A) A is invertible over \mathbb{Q} ;
- (B) w is quasihomogeneous, i.e., there exists positive integers q_j such that $d := \sum_{j=1}^n q_j a_{ij}$ is constant for all i ; and
- (C) w is quasi-smooth, i.e., $w : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ has exactly one critical point (at the origin).

Let \mathbb{G}_m be the multiplicative torus. We may consider the following group of symmetries:

$$\Gamma_w := \{(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{G}_m^{n+1} \mid w(t_1 x_1, \dots, t_n x_n) = t_{n+1} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}. \quad (1.1)$$

✉ Tyler L. Kelly
t.kelly.1@bham.ac.uk

David Favero
favero@umn.edu

Daniel Kaplan
daniel.kaplan@uhasselt.be

¹ University of Minnesota, 454 Vincent Hall, 206 Church Street SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
² Korea Institute for Advanced Study, 85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea
³ Universiteit Hasselt, Universitaire Campus, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium
⁴ University of Birmingham, School of Mathematics, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

The group Γ_w acts on \mathbb{A}^n by projecting onto the first n coordinates and then acting diagonally. The Landau-Ginzburg model $(\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w)$ is a proposed mirror of the transposed invertible polynomial

$$w^T = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{a_{ji}}.$$

Kontsevich’s Homological Mirror Symmetry Conjecture predicts that the Fukaya-Seidel category of w^T [33] is equivalent to the (gauged) matrix factorization category $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w]$ [2, 32]. A few cases of this equivalence have been proven. When w is a Fermat polynomial, meaning $w = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{r_i}$, this equivalence is proven by Futaki and Ueda [12, 13]. When $n = 2$, the conjecture has been proven by Habermann and Smith [14]. The approach of Futaki-Ueda and Habermann-Smith involves finding matching tilting objects for $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w]$ and $\mathcal{F}(w^T)$. This makes the existence of a tilting object on $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w]$ for arbitrary n and w desirable.

In fact, the existence of such a tilting object was first conjectured informally by Takahashi [35] during his presentation at the University of Miami in 2009. Therein, he demonstrated that the results of [19, 20] imply new cases of homological mirror symmetry when $n = 3$. In the literature, this existence has been conjectured by Ebeling and Takahashi in three-variables [6], and by Lekili and Ueda in general [25, Conjecture 1.3]. The conjecture was weakened more recently by Hirano and Ouchi who ask for the existence of a full exceptional collection (which is not necessarily strong) [18, Conjecture 1.4] (see Sect. 2.2 for definitions).

Due to the Kreuzer-Skarke classification of invertible polynomials [24] (in fact this classification can be traced further back to Orlik-Wagreich [28]), we know that any invertible polynomial, up to permutation of variables, can be written as a Thom-Sebastiani sum of three types of polynomials:

- (A) Fermat type: $w = x^r$,
- (B) Chain type: $w = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n}$, and
- (C) Loop type: $w = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n} x_1$.

By Corollary 2.40 of [3], the conjectures above on the existence of a full exceptional collection or a tilting object reduce to studying indecomposable invertible polynomials that are of any one given type.

There is a long history of partial results for various cases using the Kreuzer-Skarke classification. The case of invertible polynomials consisting only of Fermat monomials was established by Takahashi in [34] and the case of certain invertible polynomials in three variables was proven by Kajiura, Saito and Takahashi [19, 20]. In the chain case, Hirano and Ouchi proved the existence of a full exceptional collection [18, Corollary 1.6] and Aramaki and Takahashi were able to then prove the existence of a Lefschetz decomposition [1].¹ Moreover, Kravets has proven when $n \leq 3$ that $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w]$ has a full strong exceptional collection [23].

In the present paper, we use variation of GIT techniques for derived categories [4, 15] in order to construct a full exceptional collection in all three cases uniformly.

Theorem 1.1 *Conjecture 1.4 of [18] is true: for any invertible polynomial w , the singularity category $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w]$ has a full exceptional collection whose length is equal to the Milnor number of w^T . Furthermore, if the dual polynomial w^T has weights r_i and degree d^T such*

¹ In the time since the first version of this paper, Hirano and Ouchi extended their result to provide a strong exceptional collection for chain type polynomials.

that r_i divides d^T for all i , then Conjecture 1.3 of [25] holds: the singularity category $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w]$ has a tilting object.

The techniques of the proof provide a recursive way to relate loop polynomials to chain polynomials and chain polynomials to Thom-Sebastiani sums of Fermat monomials and smaller chain polynomials. This recursive technique has been mirrored in the A-model by Polishchuk and Varolgunes to give very strong evidence of homological mirror symmetry for chain polynomials (up to some formal foundations currently missing on the generation of a certain exceptional collection of thimbles for Fukaya-Seidel categories of tame Landau-Ginzburg models) [31].

Remark 1.2 The divisibility condition in the theorem is equivalent to requiring that the coarse moduli space of $[\mathbb{A}^n / \Gamma_w \tau]$ is Gorenstein. In the chain case, this means a_i divides a_{i+1} for $1 \leq i < n$. In the loop case, this means $a_1 = \dots = a_n$. For Thom-Sebastiani sums, it means the above on each summand.

Remark 1.3 Theorem 1.1 can be interpreted as evidence for a Landau-Ginzburg version of Dubrovin’s conjecture [5] as the Frobenius manifold associated to the LG model $(\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w)$ is (generically) semi-simple.

Remark 1.4 By Orlov’s Theorem [30, Theorem 3.11], one can transform our exceptional collection into a collection of geometric objects living in the maximally-graded derived category of the corresponding hypersurface. In [9], it is shown that this collection cannot always consist of line bundles (for the example therein our collection has 32 objects and a bound of 24 line bundles is obtained).

Remark 1.5 The proof could be made into an algorithm to produce the exceptional collection whose existence is stated in Theorem 1.1. This is simplest in the Gorenstein case, where the tilting object can be described.

2 Background

2.1 The maximal symmetry group of a polynomial

Let

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{a_{ij}}$$

be a polynomial in n variables with k monomials. Viewing the $A_W^T = (a_{ji})$ as an integer valued matrix we obtain a right exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}^k \xrightarrow{A_W^T} \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow \text{coker}(A_W^T) \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Augmenting A_W^T by a row of -1 s along the bottom, we get another right exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}^k \xrightarrow{B_W^T} \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \text{coker}(B_W^T) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now apply $\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{G}_m)$ to the above to obtain a left exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Ker} \widehat{B_W^T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\widehat{B_W^T}} \mathbb{G}_m^k.$$

Note that

$$\widehat{B}_W^T(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1})_i = \left(t_{n+1}^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{a_{ij}} \right).$$

It follows directly from the definition that

$$\text{Ker} \widehat{B}_W^T = \Gamma_W$$

where Γ_W is defined as in Eq. (1.1). Furthermore, when A_W has full rank all the sequences above are exact.

By composing the inclusion $\Gamma_W \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{n+1}$ with the projection to the i th factor, we obtain characters $\chi_i : \Gamma_W \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ for each i . Take W_i to be the restriction of W to the locus where $x_i = 1$. Then, it is also easy to check that the following sequence is left exact

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{W_i} \xrightarrow{f} \Gamma_W \xrightarrow{\chi_i} \mathbb{G}_m \tag{2.2}$$

where $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 1, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n)$.

Remark 2.1 If there exists weights s_1, \dots, s_n making W homogeneous and $s_i \neq 0$ then the above sequence is also right exact. The examples we have in mind are (3.1) and (3.7). These examples have $n + 1$ variables and W_n, W_{n+1} are quasi-homogeneous with positive weights. Hence, the above sequence is exact for all i .

Lemma 2.2 *Assume there exists weights s_j making W homogeneous with $s_i \neq 0$. Then, the inclusion induces an isomorphism of stacks*

$$[\mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z(x_i) / \Gamma_W] \cong [\mathbb{A}^{n-1} / \Gamma_{W_i}]$$

so that W corresponds to W_i .

Proof This follows immediately from (2.2), Remark 2.1, and Lemma 4.22 of [11]. □

2.2 Exceptional collections and tilting

Let \mathcal{T} be a \mathbb{C} -linear triangulated category whose morphism spaces are finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector spaces. For an object $E \in \mathcal{T}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $E[l]$ as the l -fold shift of E .

Definition 2.3 Consider \mathcal{T} as above.

(a) An object $E \in \mathcal{T}$ is called *exceptional* if

$$\bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E[l]) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}_E.$$

(b) A sequence (E_1, \dots, E_n) of exceptional objects is called an *exceptional collection* if

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_j, E_i[l]) = 0$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

(c) An exceptional collection (E_1, \dots, E_n) is called *strong* if

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_i, E_j[l]) = 0$$

for all $l \neq 0$ and all i, j .

- (d) An exceptional collection (E_1, \dots, E_n) is called *full* if it generates \mathcal{T} (i.e. \mathcal{T} is the smallest thick triangulated category containing the objects E_1, \dots, E_n).

Definition 2.4 An object $T \in \mathcal{T}$ is called *tilting* if

- (a) T generates \mathcal{T} ,
- (b) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(T, T[l]) = 0$ for $l \neq 0$, and
- (c) the endomorphism algebra $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(T, T)$ has finite global dimension.

The following observation is standard. We include a brief proof for the reader’s convenience.

Proposition 2.5 *If (E_1, \dots, E_n) is a full strong exceptional collection then $T = \bigoplus_i E_i$ is a tilting object.*

Proof First, T generates \mathcal{T} since E_1, \dots, E_n generate \mathcal{T} . Second, by strongness, for $l \neq 0$,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(T, T[l]) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bigoplus_i E_i, \bigoplus_j E_j[l]) = \bigoplus_{i,j} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_i, E_j[l]) = 0.$$

Third, the exceptionality of the collection ensures the global dimension of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(T, T)$ is at most n , and hence finite. □

2.3 Factorization categories

Let G be an affine algebraic group acting on a smooth variety X over \mathbb{C} . Take W to be a G -invariant section of an invertible G -equivariant sheaf \mathcal{L} , i.e., $W \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})^G$. We call the data (X, G, W) a (gauged) Landau-Ginzburg model and associate the absolute derived category $D[X, G, W]$ to this. We refer the reader to [2, 3, 8, 11, 32] for background.

We recall the following result of Orlov [29, Proposition 1.14] in the G -equivariant factorization setting.

Proposition 2.6 *Assume that $[X/G]$ has enough locally free sheaves. Let $i : U \hookrightarrow X$ be a G -equivariant open immersion so that the singular locus of W is contained in U . Then the restriction*

$$i^* : D[X, G, W] \rightarrow D[U, G, W]$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof Consider a matrix factorization \mathcal{E} with locally-free components $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1$ and maps $\alpha : \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1, \beta : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}$ such that $\alpha \circ \beta = \beta \circ \alpha = W$. Then by the Leibniz rule (i.e. the universal property of Kähler differentials),

$$dW = d\alpha \circ \beta + \alpha \circ d\beta$$

i.e. the maps $d\alpha, d\beta$ define a homotopy between the G -equivariant morphism of factorizations $dW : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_X$ and 0. That is, \mathcal{E} is annihilated by dW . In summary, since $[X/G]$ has enough locally free sheaves, any factorization is supported on the critical locus of W .

Now for any \mathcal{E} , consider the unit of the adjunction

$$\mathcal{E} \rightarrow i_* i^* \mathcal{E}.$$

The cone of this morphism is, on the one hand, supported on the complement of U . On the other hand, it is supported on the critical locus. As these do not intersect, the cone has no

support. It follows that the cone is acyclic, or equivalently, the unit of the adjunction is a natural isomorphism. Conversely, for an open immersion, the counit $i^* \circ i_* \rightarrow \text{Id}$ is always a natural isomorphism. \square

For convenience, we now rewrite Proposition 2.6 in our simple algebraic setting. Namely, if $U = \mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z(\mathcal{J}) \subset X = \mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z(\mathcal{I})$, then the containment of the singular locus $W|_X$ in U is equivalent to the containment of ideals $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \sqrt{\partial W, \mathcal{J}}$.

Corollary 2.7 *Let \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be two nonzero ideals in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ so that $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}$. Take $X = \mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z(\mathcal{I})$ and $U = \mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z(\mathcal{J})$. Suppose G is a linearly reductive group, the immersion $i : U \hookrightarrow X$ is G -equivariant, and W is a G -invariant function on X . If $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \sqrt{\partial W, \mathcal{J}}$, then*

$$i^* : D[X, G, W] \rightarrow D[U, G, W]$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Lemma 2.8 *Let G be an abelian linearly reductive algebraic group lying in an exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1.$$

Let $S \subseteq \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ be a set of representatives of the cosets of $\text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Then the matrix factorizations

$$\{0 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}(s) \mid s \in S\}$$

form a full orthogonal (possibly infinite) exceptional collection for $D[\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), G, 0]$ where 0 is a section of $\mathcal{O}(\chi)$.

Proof We compute

$$\text{Hom}(0 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}(s_1), 0 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}(s_2)[i])$$

for all i . As these matrix factorizations have projective components, we only need to compute homotopy classes of maps between them. If i is odd, there are no maps. If $i = 2j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(0 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}(s_1), 0 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}(s_2)[2j]) &= \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}(s_1), \mathbb{C}(s_2 + \chi^j)) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_1 \neq s_2 + \chi^j \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{if } s_1 = s_2 \text{ and } j = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{by Schur's Lemma.} \end{aligned}$$

To see that this set of objects generates $D[\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), G, 0]$, notice that $[2] = - \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi)$. Hence, they generate all objects of the form $0 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}(\tau)$ with $\tau \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Since G is abelian, this is all irreducible representations of G . It is easy to see that this new set generates. Indeed by Schur's Lemma again, all objects are sums of shifts of these objects. \square

2.4 Milnor numbers

Definition 2.9 Suppose $w \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has an isolated singularity. We define the **Milnor number** of w by the formula

$$\mu(w) := \dim \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \langle \partial_{x_1} w, \dots, \partial_{x_n} w \rangle.$$

The following lemmas provide a formula for the Milnor number of any invertible polynomial.

Lemma 2.10 *Suppose $w \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ have isolated singularities. Then*

$$\mu(w + v) = \mu(w)\mu(v).$$

Proof We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(w + v) &= \dim \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m] / \langle \partial_{x_1} w, \dots, \partial_{x_n} w, \partial_{y_1} v, \dots, \partial_{y_m} v \rangle \\ &= \dim \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \langle \partial_{x_1} w, \dots, \partial_{x_n} w \rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m] / \langle \partial_{y_1} v, \dots, \partial_{y_m} v \rangle \\ &= \dim \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \langle \partial_{x_1} w, \dots, \partial_{x_n} w \rangle \dim \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m] / \langle \partial_{y_1} v, \dots, \partial_{y_m} v \rangle \\ &= \mu(w)\mu(v). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.11 (Milnor-Orlik) *If $w = x_1^{t_1} x_2 + \dots + x_n^{t_n} x_1$ is a loop polynomial, then*

$$\mu(w^T) = \prod_{i=1}^n t_i.$$

If $w = x_1^{t_1} x_2 + \dots + x_n^{t_n}$ is a chain polynomial, then

$$\mu(w^T) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \prod_{i=1}^k t_i.$$

where the empty product is one, by convention.

Proof These formulas can be obtained by plugging the appropriate weights into [27, Theorem 1]. It can also be obtained from [16, Theorem 2.10] where they give explicit bases. □

Remark 2.12 As the Milnor number $\mu(w^T)$ is the dimension of the state space of the mirror Landau-Ginzburg model (\mathbb{A}^n, w^T) , we expect that, in connection with Conjecture 1.4 of [18], the full exceptional collection of the category $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w]$ will have length $\mu(w^T)$. We show this in the next section.

2.5 Elementary geometric invariant theory

Fix an algebraic group Γ and a group homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^n \subseteq GL_n$ which gives rise to a diagonal action of Γ on \mathbb{A}^n . A choice of one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \Gamma$ can be described by a sequence of weights c_1, \dots, c_n . We can then define ideals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_+ &:= \langle x_i \mid c_i > 0 \rangle \\ \mathcal{I}_- &:= \langle x_i \mid c_i < 0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This gives rise to two global quotient stacks which we call the positive and negative (Γ, λ) -geometric invariant theory (GIT) quotients respectively

$$X_{\pm} := [\mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z(\mathcal{I}_{\pm}) / \Gamma].$$

Remark 2.13 Notice that in the definition above, the semi-stable loci are obtained strictly from the \mathbb{G}_m -action induced by λ . However, the quotients are by Γ as opposed to this \mathbb{G}_m .

3 Existence of exceptional collections

3.1 Warm-up: exceptional collections for Fermat polynomials

For the sake of completeness, we will show that that $D[\mathbb{A}^1, \Gamma_w, w]$ has an exceptional collection for $w = x_1^r$. This result is well known, quite simple by hand, and is also a consequence of a theorem of Orlov [30, Corollary 2.9]. The difference in our approach is that we will use VGIT to obtain the result. We do this to illustrate that our entire article is a consequence of VGIT for categories of factorizations [4] and the Thom-Sebastiani formula for gauged LG models [2, 3].

Consider the polynomial $W = x_2x_1^r$ and define $w_+ := W_2 = x_1^r$ and $w_- := W_1 = x_2$. Let $c_2 = r$ and $c_1 = -1$. The c_i determine a diagonal one-parameter subgroup of Γ_W by the map $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \Gamma_W$ under the map $\gamma(t) = (t^{c_2}, t^{c_1}, 1)$. The semistable loci for this one parameter subgroup are

$$U_+ := \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus Z(x_2); \quad U_- := \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus Z(x_1).$$

By Lemma 2.2, we see that $[U_{\pm}/\Gamma_W] = [\mathbb{A}^1/\Gamma_{w_{\pm}}]$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} D[\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), \Gamma_W/\lambda(\mathbb{G}_m), 0] &\cong D^b(\text{coh}[\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})]) && \text{by [BFK19, Corollary 2.3.12]} \\ &\cong \langle E \rangle && \text{where } E \text{ is the exceptional object } \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} D[\mathbb{A}^1, \Gamma_{x_1^r}, x_1^r] &\cong \langle E_1, \dots, E_{r-1}, D[\mathbb{A}^1, \Gamma_{x_2}, x_2] \rangle && \text{by [BFK19, Theorem 3.5.2 (a)]} \\ &\cong \langle E_1, \dots, E_{r-1} \rangle && \text{since } x_2 \text{ has no critical locus} \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Exceptional collections for loop polynomials

For any natural numbers $a_i, b \geq 2$, consider the polynomial

$$W := x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}x_n + x_n^{a_n}x_1x_{n+1}^b. \tag{3.1}$$

Then,

$$w_+ := W_{n+1} = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}x_n + x_n^{a_n}x_1 \tag{3.2}$$

is a loop polynomial and

$$w_- := W_n = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} + x_1x_{n+1}^b \tag{3.3}$$

is a chain polynomial. In this section we will show that the derived categories of the gauged Landau-Ginzburg models associated to w_+, w_- differ by an exceptional collection.

Let $(-1)^{i+n+1}d_i$ be the determinant of the i th maximal minor of the matrix A_W and

$$c_i := \frac{d_i}{\gcd(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1})}.$$

Explicitly in this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1 &= (-1)^n b; \\
 d_j &= (-1)^{j+n+1} b \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} a_i \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq n; \text{ and} \\
 d_{n+1} &= a_1 \cdots a_n + (-1)^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.4}$$

It is easy to check that the c_i determine a diagonal one-parameter subgroup

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda : \mathbb{G}_m &\rightarrow \Gamma_W \\
 t &\mapsto (t^{c_1}, \dots, t^{c_{n+1}}, 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$U_+ := \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus Z(x_{n+1}), U_- := \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus Z(x_n).$$

Remark 3.1 The c_i are the unique (up to sign) relatively prime weights of the x_i such that W is homogeneous of degree zero. We fix our sign convention so that c_{n+1} is positive and c_n is negative. This ensures that $\mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus Z(\mathcal{I}_\pm) \supseteq U_\pm$.

Lemma 3.2 *There are equivalences of categories*

$$D[X_\pm, W] \cong D[U_\pm, \Gamma_W, W].$$

Proof Since $Z(x_{n+1}), Z(x_n)$ are Γ_W invariant, the open immersions

$$i_\pm : U_\pm \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus Z(\mathcal{I}_\pm)$$

are Γ_W -equivariant. Hence, by Corollary 2.7, the statement of the lemma reduces to proving the containments

$$\mathcal{I}_+ \subseteq \sqrt{\partial W, x_{n+1}} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_- \subseteq \sqrt{\partial W, x_n}.$$

From the partial derivative $\partial_{x_n} W = x_{n-1}^{a_n-1} + a_n x_1 x_n^{a_n-1} x_{n+1}^b$, we see that $x_{n-1} \in \sqrt{\partial W, x_{n+1}}$ (respectively $\sqrt{\partial W, x_n}$). For $1 < i < n$, we compute $\partial_{x_i} W = x_{i-1}^{a_i-1} + a_i x_i^{a_i-1} x_{i+1}$. Hence, if $x_i \in \sqrt{\partial W, x_{n+1}}$ (respectively $\sqrt{\partial W, x_n}$) then $x_{i-1} \in \sqrt{\partial W, x_{n+1}}$ (respectively $\sqrt{\partial W, x_n}$). Both containments follow from descending induction. \square

Lemma 3.3 *The following identity holds.*

$$\mu(w_+^T) - \mu(w_-^T) = \sum d_i$$

Proof This is a simple calculation plugging in the Milnor numbers carefully from Theorem 2.11. \square

Theorem 3.4 *Take the polynomials*

$$w_+ = W_{n+1} = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n} x_1$$

and

$$w_- = W_n = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} + x_1 x_{n+1}^b$$

for $a_i \geq 2$ and $b \geq 2$.

The following statements hold:

(a) If $\mu(w_+^T) > \mu(w_-^T)$, then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_-}, w_-] \cong \langle E_1, \dots, E_{\mu(w_+^T) - \mu(w_-^T)}, D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_+}, w_+] \rangle$$

where each E_j is an exceptional object.

(b) If $\mu(w_+^T) = \mu(w_-^T)$, then we have the equivalence

$$D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_+}, w_+] \cong D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_-}, w_-].$$

(c) If $\mu(w_+^T) < \mu(w_-^T)$, then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_+}, w_+] \cong \langle E_1, \dots, E_{\mu(w_-^T) - \mu(w_+^T)}, D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_-}, w_-] \rangle$$

where each E_j is an exceptional object.

Proof We have a sequence of equivalences using Lemmas 2.2 and 3.2:

$$\begin{aligned} D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_+}, w_+] &\cong D[U_+, \Gamma_W, W] \cong D[X_+, W]; \\ D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_-}, w_-] &\cong D[U_-, \Gamma_W, W] \cong D[X_-, W]. \end{aligned}$$

We then apply [4, Theorem 3.5.2] to get

(a) If $\sum_i c_i < 0$, then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D[X_-, W] \cong \langle E_1, \dots, E_t, D[X_+, W] \rangle,$$

(b) If $\sum_i c_i = 0$ then we have the equivalence

$$D[X_-, W] \cong D[X_+, W], \text{ and}$$

(c) If $\sum_i c_i > 0$, then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D[X_+, W] \cong \langle E_1, \dots, E_t, D[X_-, W] \rangle,$$

where each E_j is an exceptional object (explained below). These correspond to the cases of the theorem by Lemma 3.3.

To clarify the appearance of exceptional objects, notice that all the c_i are non-zero. Hence, the fixed locus of λ is just the origin. Let $\overline{\chi_{n+1}}$ be the character of Γ_W/λ induced by χ_{n+1} . By [4, Remark 4.2.3] the orthogonal components are all equivalent to $D[\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), \Gamma_W/\lambda, 0]$ where 0 is a section of $\mathcal{O}(\overline{\chi_{n+1}})$. This category has an exceptional collection by Lemma 2.8 of length $|\ker \overline{\chi_{n+1}}|$.

Now, let us calculate t . In the statement of [4, Theorem 3.5.2], the category $D[\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), \Gamma_W/\lambda, 0]$ occurs $|\sum c_i|$ times. Hence $t = |\ker \overline{\chi_{n+1}}| |\sum c_i|$. By the snake lemma, $\text{Hom}(\ker \overline{\chi_{n+1}}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is isomorphic to the torsion subgroup of the cokernel of A_W^T . Since the d_i are the determinants of the maximal minors of this matrix, $|\ker \overline{\chi_{n+1}}| = \text{gcd}(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1})$. Hence, $t = |\ker \overline{\chi_{n+1}}| |\sum c_i| = |\sum d_i|$ which equals $|\mu(w_+^T) - \mu(w_-^T)|$ by Lemma 3.3. \square

We now compute the difference of the Milnor numbers to apply Theorem 3.4.

Lemma 3.5 *If $b \leq a_n$, then $\mu(w_+^T) - \mu(w_-^T) > 0$.*

Proof By Lemma 3.3, it is equivalent to prove that the sum of the d_i is positive. If n is even, then, since $a_k \geq 2$ for all k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i &= (a_1 \cdots a_n - 1) + b + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} b \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} a_i \right) - a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} b \\ &\geq (b - 1) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} b \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} a_i \right) \\ &= (b - 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2-1} (a_{2k} - 1) a_1 \cdots a_{2k-1} b \\ &> 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

If n is odd, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i &= (a_1 \cdots a_n + 1) - b + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j b \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} a_i \right) - a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} b \\ &\geq 1 - b + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j b \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} a_i \right) \\ &= 1 + b(a_1 - 1) + \sum_{k=2}^{(n-1)/2} (a_{2k-1} - 1) a_1 \cdots a_{2k-2} b \\ &> 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

□

Corollary 3.6 *If $b \leq a_n$ and $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_-}, w_-]$ has a full exceptional collection of length $\mu(w_-^T)$, then $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_+}, w_+]$ has a full exceptional collection of length $\mu(w_+^T)$.*

Proof By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, we can apply Theorem 3.4(c). The result follows immediately. □

3.3 Exceptional collections for chain polynomials

In this subsection, we argue that the derived category of a chain polynomial admits a full exceptional collection. We omit most of the details as the proof is nearly identical to the one appearing in the previous section. Moreover, this result already appeared recently [18, Corollary 1.6]. Nevertheless, we provide the reader with the appropriate changes for a self-contained treatment of the entire result using just VGIT and the Thom-Sebastiani formula for gauged LG models.

For any $b \geq 2$, consider the polynomial

$$W := x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^b. \tag{3.7}$$

Then

$$w_+ := W_{n+1} = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n} \tag{3.8}$$

is a chain polynomial of length n and

$$w_- := W_n = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} + x_{n+1}^b. \tag{3.9}$$

is a Thom-Sebastiani sum of a chain polynomial of length $n - 1$ and a Fermat polynomial.

Again, we consider the diagonal one-parameter subgroup of Γ_W defined as the image of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : \mathbb{G}_m &\rightarrow \Gamma_W \\ t &\mapsto (t^{c_1}, \dots, t^{c_{n+1}}, 1) \end{aligned}$$

where, again, the $(-1)^{i+n+1} c_i$ are the determinants of the full rank minors of A_W divided by their greatest common divisor. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} d_j &= (-1)^{n+j-1} b \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} a_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ d_{n+1} &= a_1 \cdots a_n, \\ c_j &= \frac{d_j}{\gcd(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} U_+ &:= \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus Z(x_{n+1}) \text{ and } U_- := \overline{\mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus Z(x_n)}, \\ \mathcal{I}_+ &= \langle x_{n+1}, x_j \mid j \not\equiv n \pmod{2} \rangle, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{I}_- &= \langle x_j \mid j \equiv n \pmod{2} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.7 *There are equivalences of categories*

$$D[X_{\pm}, W] \cong D[U_{\pm}, \Gamma_W, W].$$

Proof The proof is almost the same as that of Lemma 3.2. The only difference is the computation of $\partial_{x_n} W$; however, the conclusion that $x_{n-1} \in \sqrt{\partial W, x_{n+1}}$ (respectively $\sqrt{\partial W, x_n}$) still holds. □

Lemma 3.8 *The following identity holds.*

$$\mu(w_+^T) - \mu(w_-^T) = \sum d_i$$

Proof Again, this is a simple calculation using Lemma 2.10 and Theorem 2.11. □

Theorem 3.9 *Take the polynomials*

$$w_+ := W_{n+1} = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n}$$

and

$$w_- := W_n = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} + x_{n+1}^b$$

for $a_i \geq 2$ and $b \geq 2$. The following statements hold:

(a) *If $\mu(w_+^T) < \mu(w_-^T)$, then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition*

$$D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_-}, w_-] \cong \langle E_1, \dots, E_{\mu(w_-^T) - \mu(w_+^T)}, D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_+}, w_+] \rangle$$

where each E_j is an exceptional object.

(b) If $\mu(w_+^T) = \mu(w_-^T)$, then we have the equivalence

$$D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_+}, w_+] \cong D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_-}, w_-].$$

(c) If $\mu(w_+^T) > \mu(w_-^T)$, then we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_+}, w_+] \cong \langle E_1, \dots, E_{\mu(w_+^T) - \mu(w_-^T)}, D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_-}, w_-] \rangle$$

where each E_j is an exceptional object.

Proof The proof is verbatim as in Theorem 3.4 using Lemma 3.7 instead of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.8 instead of Lemma 3.3. □

Again, we compute the sign of difference of the Milnor numbers to apply the theorem.

Lemma 3.10 *If $b \leq a_n$, then $\mu(w_+^T) - \mu(w_-^T) \geq 0$.*

Proof By Lemma 3.8, it is equivalent to show that $\sum_i d_i \geq 0$. If n is odd, then we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i = (a_n - b)a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} (a_{2k-1} - 1)a_1 \cdots a_{2k-2}b \geq 0.$$

If n is even, then we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i = (a_n - b)a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{(n-2)/2} (a_{2k} - 1)a_1 \cdots a_{2k-1} \right) b + b > 0.$$

□

We now reprove Corollary 1.6 of [18].

Corollary 3.11 *Let $w_{chain} = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}x_n + x_n^{a_n}$ be a chain polynomial of length n with $a_i \geq 2$. Then $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_{chain}}, w_{chain}]$ has a full exceptional collection of length $\mu(w_{chain})$.*

Proof We proceed by induction on n . The base case $n = 1$ is contained in Sect. 3.1.

Now let $n > 1$ and choose $b \leq a_n$. Consider the polynomials W , w_+ and w_- as above. The polynomial w_- is the Thom-Sebastiani sum of two polynomials x_{n+1}^b and $x_1^{a_1} + \cdots + x_{n-2}^{a_{n-2}}x_{n-1} + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}$, hence, by the induction hypothesis, Lemma 2.10, and Corollary 2.40 of [3], the derived category $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_-}, w_-]$ has an exceptional collection of length $\mu(w_-^T)$. By Lemmas 3.8 and 3.10, the inequality $\mu(w_+^T) \geq \mu(w_-^T)$ holds. Apply case (b) or (c) of Theorem 3.9 to see that

$$D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_+}, w_+] \cong \langle E_1, \dots, E_{\mu(w_+^T) - \mu(w_-^T)}, D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_-}, w_-] \rangle,$$

hence $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{w_+}, w_+]$ has a semi-orthogonal decomposition of objects which have an exceptional collection, hence it has an exceptional collection. □

3.4 The Gorenstein case

Definition 3.12 Let w, v be invertible polynomials. We say that w, v are related by a **Kreuzer-Skarke cleave** if they have the same Milnor number and A_w, A_v differ by only one row.

Corollary 3.13 Suppose w, v are related by a sequence of Kreuzer-Skarke cleaves. Then there is an equivalence of categories

$$D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w] \cong D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_v, v].$$

Proof This follows immediately from Theorems 3.4 and 3.9. □

Lemma 3.14 Let w be an invertible polynomial whose dual polynomial w^T quasi-homogeneous has weights r_i and degree d^T . Assume r_i divides d^T for all i . Then w is related to $\sum x_i^{d^T/r_i}$ by a sequence of Kreuzer-Skarke cleaves.

Proof The proof is the same for the setups in Sects. 3.2 and 3.3, so we prove them simultaneously. First, note that $d_{n+1} = \det A_w$ and by Cramer’s rule $d_j = -b \det A_w (A_w^{-1})_{jn}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Furthermore, the weights r_i of the dual polynomial w^T are obtained by the formula $r_i = \sum_{j=1}^n (A_w^{-1})_{ji} d^T$. We see that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n d_j = \det A_w \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n b(A_w^{-1})_{jn} \right) = \det A_w \left(1 - \frac{br_n}{d^T} \right).$$

If we take $b = d^T/r_n$, we have that $\sum_{i=0}^n d_i = 0$, hence $\sum_{i=0}^n c_i = 0$.

If we start with a loop, we use the setup in Sect. 3.2 to obtain a chain. If we have a chain of length n , we use the setup in Sect. 3.3 to get the Thom-Sebastiani sum chain of length $n - 1$ and a Fermat polynomial. Since r_i divides d for all i , we can iterate the process, ending with a Fermat polynomial. □

Corollary 3.15 Let w be an invertible polynomial. Assume that the dual polynomial w^T has weights r_i such that r_i divides the degree d^T . Then, there is an equivalence of categories.

$$D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w] \cong D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_{\sum x_i^{d^T/r_i}}, \sum x_i^{d^T/r_i}].$$

Proof This follows immediately from Corollary 3.13 and Lemma 3.14. □

3.5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Recall that the Kreuzer-Skarke classification [24] states that an invertible polynomial is the Thom-Sebastiani sum of the following types of polynomials:

- (A) Fermat type: $w = x^r$,
- (B) Chain type: $w = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n}$, and
- (C) Loop type: $w = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n} x_1$.

By Lemma 2.10 and Corollary 2.40 of [3], the statement of the corollary reduces to proving that $D[\mathbb{A}^n, \Gamma_w, w]$ has a full exceptional collection for any of the cases above (without taking a Thom-Sebastiani sum). The Fermat type case is proven in [30, Corollary 2.9] or in Sect. 3.1. The chain case is proven in [18, Corollary 1.6] or Corollary 3.11. The loop case is then deduced from applying Corollary 3.6. The special case where we get a tilting object follows from Corollary 3.15. □

Acknowledgements This project was initiated while the three authors visited the Fields Institute during the Thematic Program on the Homological Algebra of Mirror Symmetry. We would like to thank the Fields Institute for providing this opportunity, their hospitality, excellent atmosphere, and superb afternoon tea. The original version of this paper mistakenly claimed to provide a counterexample to Conjecture 1.3 of version 2 of the preprint [26]. We humbly thank Kazushi Ueda for immediately recognizing this issue and informing us of our blunder. This subject has since been delegated to the paper [9] where a true counterexample is given. We are also grateful to Ailsa Keating, Yanki Lekili, and Atsushi Takahashi for their conversations, comments and suggestions. We lastly thank the referee for their useful comments. The first-named author is grateful to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for support provided by a Canada Research Chair and Discovery Grant. The second-named author is thankful for support by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) under Grant EP/S03062X/1. The third-named author acknowledges that this paper is based upon work supported by the EPSRC under Grant EP/N004922/2 and EP/S03062X/1, along with the Birmingham International Engagement Fund.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Aramaki, D., Takahashi, A.: Maximally-graded matrix factorizations for an invertible polynomial of chain type. *Adv. Math.* **373**, 107320, 23 (2020)
2. Ballard, M., Favero, D., Katzarkov, L.: A category of kernels for equivariant factorizations and its implications for Hodge theory. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **120**, 1–111 (2014)
3. Ballard, M., Favero, D., Katzarkov, L.: A category of kernels for equivariant factorizations, II: further implications. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **102**(4), 702–757 (2014)
4. Ballard, M., Favero, D., Katzarkov, L.: Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients and derived categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **746**, 235–303 (2019)
5. B. Dubrovin. Geometry and analytic theory of Frobenius manifolds. *Geometry and analytic theory of Frobenius manifolds. Proc. of the ICM vol II (Berlin: Doc. Math. 1998. Extra II*, 315–326 (1998)
6. Ebeling, W., Takahashi, A.: Strange duality of weighted homogeneous polynomials. *Compos. Math.* **147**(5), 1413–1433 (2011)
7. Efimov, A.I.: Maximal lengths of exceptional collections of line bundles. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **90**(2), 350–372 (2014)
8. Efimov, A.I., Positselski, L.: Coherent analogues of matrix factorizations and relative singularity categories. *Algebra Number Theory* **9**(5), 1159–1292 (2015)
9. Favero, D., Kaplan, D., Kelly, T.L.: A maximally-graded invertible cubic threefold that does not admit a full exceptional collection of line bundles. *Forum Math. Sigma* **8**, e56, 8 (2020)
10. Favero, D., Kelly, T.L.: Derived categories of BHK mirrors. *Adv. Math.* **352**, 943–980 (2019)
11. Favero, D., Kelly, T.L.: Fractional Calabi-Yau categories from Landau-Ginzburg models. *Algebr. Geom.* **5**(5), 596–649 (2018)
12. Futaki, M., Ueda, K.: Homological mirror symmetry for Brieskorn-Pham singularities. In: *Proceedings of the 56th Japan Geometry Symposium*, pp. 98–107. Saga University (2009)
13. Futaki, M., Ueda, K.: Homological mirror symmetry for Brieskorn-Pham singularities. *Select. Math. (N.S.)* **17**(2), 435–452 (2011)
14. Habermann, M., Smith, J.: Homological Berglund–Hübsch mirror symmetry for curve singularities. *J. Symplectic Geom.* **18**(6), 1515–1574 (2020)
15. Halpern-Leistner, D.: The derived category of a GIT quotient. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **28**(3), 871–912 (2015)
16. He, W., Li, S., Shen, Y., Webb, R.: Landau-Ginzburg mirror symmetry conjecture. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **24**(8), 2915–2978 (2022)
17. Hille, L., Perling, M.: A counterexample to King's conjecture. *Compos. Math.* **142**(6), 1507–1521 (2006)
18. Hirano, Y., Ouchi, G.: Derived factorization categories of non-Thom-Sebastiani-type sum of potentials. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **126**(1), 1–75 (2023)

19. Kajijura, H., Saito, K., Takahashi, A.: Matrix factorization and representation of quivers II. Type ADE Case. *Adv. Math.* **211**(1), 327–362 (2007)
20. Kajijura, H., Saito, K., Takahashi, A.: Triangulated categories or matrix factorizations for regular systems of weights with $\epsilon = -1$. *Adv. Math.* **220**(5), 1602–1654 (2009)
21. Kawamata, Y.: Derived categories of toric varieties. *Michigan Math. J.* **54**(3), 517–535 (2006)
22. Kawamata, Y.: Derived categories of toric varieties II. *Michigan Math. J.* **62**(2), 353–363 (2013)
23. Kravets, O.: Categories of singularities of invertible polynomials. [arXiv:1911.09859](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.09859)
24. Kreuzer, M., Skarke, H.: On the classification of quasihomogeneous functions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **150**(1), 137–147 (1992)
25. Lekili, Y., Ueda, K.: Homological mirror symmetry for milnor fibers via moduli of A_∞ -structures. *J. Topol.* **15**(3), 1058–1106 (2022)
26. Lekili, Y., Ueda, K.: Homological mirror symmetry for milnor fibers via moduli of A_∞ -structures. [arXiv:1806.04345v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.04345v2)
27. Milnor, J., Orlik, P.: Isolated singularities defined by weighted homogeneous polynomials. *Topology* **9**(4), 385–393 (1970)
28. Orlik, P., Wagreich, P.: Isolated singularities of algebraic surfaces with \mathbb{C}^* action. *Ann. Math.* pp. 205–228 (1971)
29. Orlov, D.: Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **246**, 240–262 (2004)
30. Orlov, D.: Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities. *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II*, 503–531, *Progr. Math.*, 270, Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA (2009)
31. Polishchuk, A., Varolgunes, U.: On homological mirror symmetry for chain type polynomials. *Math. Ann.* (2023) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-023-02577-y>
32. Positselski, L.: Two kinds of derived categories, Koszul duality, and comodule-contramodule correspondence. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* 212 (2011)
33. Seidel, P.: Fukaya categories and Picard-Lefschetz theory. *Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics*. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich (2008)
34. Takahashi, A.: Matrix factorizations and representations of quivers I. [arXiv:math/0506347](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0506347)
35. Takahashi, A.: HMS for isolated hypersurface singularities, Talk at the ‘Workshop on Homological Mirror Symmetry and Related Topics’, 19–24 January 2009, University of Miami, PDF file available from <http://people.math.harvard.edu/~dauroux/frg/miami09-notes/>

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.