

Presented as invited paper at the 2nd International Workshop on Luminescent Materials (LumiMat) 2015, December 12-13, 2015, Kyoto, Japan.

Workshop chairs: Setsuhisa Tanabe – Jumpei Ueda (Kyoto University)

Escape from the trap: trapping and detrapping in persistent phosphors

Philippe F. Smet^a, Claude Tydtgat^a, Mathias Kersemans^b, Katleen Korthout^a, Dirk Poelman^a

^a *LumiLab, Dpt. of Solid State Sciences, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281-S1, 9000 Gent (Belgium)*

^b *Mechanics of Materials and Structures (MMS), Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Ghent University, Technologiepark 903, 9052 Zwijnaarde (Belgium)*

philippe.smet@ugent.be

Persistent or afterglow phosphors are luminescent materials having the ability to emit light long after they have been excited [1]. Although temperature is clearly a driving force in the release of trapped charge carriers, many questions still surround the trapping and detrapping processes [2, 3]. In this contribution we start from key experimental observations on the trapping process, including the influence of the excitation wavelength and temperature, in a range of host materials, such as oxides [4], oxynitrides and nitrides [5]. The role of valence state changes in SrAl₂O₄:Eu,Dy are discussed, based on time-dependent, optically pumped x-ray absorption measurements [6].

In a second part, we focus on aspects of the detrapping. The standard conditions of constant temperature – when collecting afterglow curves – are hardly ever met in outdoor applications. The influence of varying temperature in trapping and detrapping regimes is discussed. The feasibility of the recently proposed application of glow-in-the-dark road marks is critically assessed [7]. Alternative detrapping mechanisms, such as optical stimulation, the application of stress [8], mechanical pressure or ultrasound, are considered in detail for the bluish-green emitting BaSi₂O₂N₂:Eu phosphor [9].

Finally, a numerical approach is presented to describe the dynamics in the trapping and detrapping processes, simultaneously focussing on charging, afterglow and thermoluminescence intensity profiles [10].

[1] T. Matsuzawa, et al., *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*, 143 (1996) 2670-2673.

[2] K. Van den Eeckhout, et al., *Materials*, 3 (2010) 2536-2566.

[3] K. Van den Eeckhout, et al., *Materials*, 6 (2013) 2789-2818.

[4] K. Van den Eeckhout, et al., *Physical Review B*, 87 (2013) 045126.

[5] P.F. Smet, et al., *Journal of Luminescence*, 132 (2012) 682-689.

[6] K. Korthout, et al., *Physical Review B*, 84 (2011) 085140.

[7] J. Botterman, P.F. Smet, *Optics Express*, 23 (2015) A868-A881.

[8] J.-C. Zhang, et al., *Optics Express*, 21 (2013) 12976-12986.

[9] J. Botterman, et al., *Acta Materialia*, 60 (2012) 5494-5500.

[10] J. Botterman, et al., *Physical Review B*, 90 (2014) 085147.