



https://helda.helsinki.fi

Assessment of blood cultures and antibiotic susceptibility testing for bacterial sepsis diagnosis and utilization of results by clinicians in Benin: A qualitative study

Legba, Brice Boris

2023-01

Legba , B B , Dougnon , V , Koudokpon , H , Mero , S , Elovainio , R , Parry , M , Bankole , H & Haukka , K 2023 , ' Assessment of blood cultures and antibiotic susceptibility testing for bacterial sepsis diagnosis and utilization of results by clinicians in Benin: A qualitative study ' , Frontiers in public health , vol. 10 , 1088590 . https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1088590

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/355987 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1088590

cc_by publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Kenneth Iregbu, University of Abuja, Nigeria

REVIEWED BY

Ifeyinwa Nwafia, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital Ituku-Ozalla, Nigeria Claudio Farina, ASST Papa Giovanni XXIII, Italy Samuel Taiwo, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria Zhihui Zhou, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, China Shaheen Mehtar, Infection Control Africa Network, South Africa

*CORRESPONDENCE Victorien Dougnon ⊠ victorien.dougnon@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases: Epidemiology and Prevention, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 03 November 2022 ACCEPTED 28 December 2022 PUBLISHED 16 January 2023

CITATION

Legba BB, Dougnon V, Koudokpon H, Mero S, Elovainio R, Parry M, Bankole H and Haukka K (2023) Assessment of blood cultures and antibiotic susceptibility testing for bacterial sepsis diagnosis and utilization of results by clinicians in Benin: A qualitative study. *Front. Public Health* 10:1088590. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1088590

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Legba, Dougnon, Koudokpon, Mero, Elovainio, Parry, Bankole and Haukka. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Assessment of blood cultures and antibiotic susceptibility testing for bacterial sepsis diagnosis and utilization of results by clinicians in Benin: A qualitative study

Brice Boris Legba¹, Victorien Dougnon^{1*}, Hornel Koudokpon¹, Sointu Mero^{2,3}, Riku Elovainio^{3,4}, Matti Parry^{3,5}, Honoré Bankole¹ and Kaisa Haukka^{3,6}

¹Research Unit in Applied Microbiology and Pharmacology of Natural Substances, Research Laboratory in Applied Biology, Polytechnic School of Abomey-Calavi, University of Abomey-Calavi, Abomey-Calavi, Benin, ²Human Microbiome Research Program, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ³Physicians for Social Responsibility, Helsinki, Finland, ⁴Tampere Center for Child, Adolescent, and Maternal Health Research (TAMCAM): Global Health Group, University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland, ⁵New Children's Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ⁶Department of Microbiology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Objectives: We assessed the current status of blood culture and antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) practices in clinical laboratories in Benin, and how the laboratory results are used by physicians to prescribe antibiotics.

Methods: The qualitative study covered twenty-five clinical laboratories with a bacteriology unit and associated hospitals and pharmacies. Altogether 159 laboratory staff, physicians and pharmacists were interviewed about their perceptions of the state of laboratory diagnostics related to sepsis and the use of antibiotics. Face-to-face interviews based on structured questionnaires were supported by direct observations when visiting five laboratories in across the country.

Results: Only 6 laboratories (24%) conducted blood cultures, half of them with a maximum of 10 samples per month. The most common gram-negative bacteria isolated from blood cultures were: *Escherichia coli, Salmonella* spp. and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi while the most common gram-positives were *Enterococcus* spp. and *Staphylococcus aureus*. None of the laboratories listed *Klebsiella pneumoniae* among the three most common bacteria isolated from blood cultures, although other evidence indicates that it is the most common cause of sepsis in Benin. Due to limited testing capacity, physicians most commonly use empirical antibiotic therapy.

Conclusions: More resources are needed to develop laboratory testing capacity, technical skills in bacterial identification, AST, quality assurance, and communication of results must be strengthened.

KEYWORDS

sepsis, blood culture, antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST), antibiotic prescribing, Benin

Introduction

Microorganisms entering the bloodstream can trigger sepsis, which is the body's generalized response to an infection and a life-threatening condition. Sepsis is the third most common cause of death for children under the age of five (1). It is the most common cause of hospital deaths and the leading cause of neonatal mortality, particularly in low- and lower-middle-income countries

(LMICs) (2–4). Its incidence depends on complex interplay between factors related to the host, pathogen and health system response (5). Several chronic diseases, sociodemographic factors, poor access to health care systems and quality of care are associated with the occurrence of sepsis and its case fatality rate (6).

Sepsis is most commonly caused by aerobic bacteria (7). In LMICs the prevalence data are notably limited by the restricted ability to culture and identify organisms using standard microbiological techniques. In addition to the pathogenicity of bacterial strains, the major concern is their increasingly common resistance to the antibiotics used in the treatment of sepsis (8). For example, according to the World Health Organization (WHO), resistance of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, the major cause of bloodstream infections, to the carbapenem antibiotics used as last-resort treatment has spread to all regions of the world (9). Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is in fact one of the major challenges in the management of sepsis, in particular in LMICs (4, 10).

The bacteriology laboratory has twofold strategic role in the diagnosis of sepsis. Firstly, at the individual level, laboratory tests such as a blood culture and antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) confirm the clinical diagnosis by identifying the causative organism and providing data on the susceptibility of the organism to antibiotics (11). Secondly, for the clinic, laboratory diagnostics provide relevant local information as a basis for the empirical use of antibiotics. Through both mechanisms, the test results contribute toward prescribing the appropriate antibiotic for the effective treatment of sepsis. Optimal testing activity and utilization of results requires close collaboration between laboratory staff, physicians and pharmacists, who provide antibiotics to the patients based on the prescriptions by physicians (12).

In Benin, laboratory diagnostics of clinical conditions such as sepsis is very limited. As in sub-Saharan Africa in general, clinical laboratories are typically poorly linked to clinical services, insufficiently resourced, and, therefore, under-utilized (13, 14). Furthermore, access to laboratory tests and drugs depends on capacity of the patients to pay for them, which often leads to tests not being done, and appropriate antibiotics not purchased (15). There is no national surveillance data for sepsis in Benin. However, in a recent study in central Benin, antibiotic resistant pathogens such as *K. pneumoniae, Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi and *Staphylococcus aureus* were isolated from blood cultures (14). Studies on other clinically important pathogenic bacteria show AMR to be common in Benin (16, 17).

We wanted to find out the number and performance level of Beninese clinical laboratories that conduct microbiological tests required for the management of sepsis, especially blood cultures and AST, across the country. We also studied whether physicians make sufficient use of laboratory results when prescribing antibiotics and whether pharmacists have sufficient knowledge when dispensing antibiotics when there is a limited selection. We therefore conducted a qualitative study to investigate understanding, perceptions, knowledge, skills and practices of these three groups of professionals. We also studied resources available to them and their professional development needs. We conducted face-to-face interviews using structured questionnaires with all three groups and supplemented the results with discussions and visits to selected clinical laboratories. The results will be used to develop appropriate policies for antibiotic prescription and stewardship, to improve sepsis management and to strengthen diagnostics and treatment of infectious diseases in general.

Methods

Study setting, sample size and inclusion criteria

The study was conducted in Benin in West Africa. We wanted to involve all laboratories from different parts of the country with a functional bacteriology unit, therefore we contacted all the potential health centers and other stakeholders, such as the Association of Medical Biologists of Benin. There are almost 150 authorized clinical diagnostic laboratories in Benin. An initial survey identified all those that conduct bacteriological tests, including blood culture and/or AST and we subsequently identified 27 such authorized laboratories. Two laboratories failed to respond to our request; thus, the study was carried out with 25 laboratories. The laboratories were at different levels of the healthcare system: 2 from the central or national level, 4 from the intermediate or departmental level (Departmental Hospital Centers); and 19 from the peripheral level (Zone hospitals (HZs), health centers, unattached pharmacy dispensaries (independent pharmacies, i.e., not integrated or connected to a hospital or health center), unattached maternity wards (health facilities providing only a maternity services, i.e., the maternity unit is not integrated or connected to a hospital or health center and faith-based health centers).

All but one laboratory were affiliated with a healthcare facility. Ten facilities were private, 9 public and 6 faith-based hospitals located in different parts of the country. At each site, we aimed to interview a laboratory technician, a laboratory manager, three physicians and two pharmacists. We interviewed technicians who conduct AST and/or blood culture on a daily basis. The laboratory managers were in charge of the laboratory. The physicians and pharmacists were practicing, not administrative, staff. The physicians were working in the general medicine service, intensive care, pediatrics or emergency medicine. Physicians sending patients to the non-hospital connected private laboratory were identified in the nearby hospitals for the interview. Several of the healthcare facilities did not have an in-house pharmacy or the in-house pharmacy had only one pharmacist. In these cases, pharmacists from pharmacies located close to the facility or a pharmacy most frequently visited by patients were included in the study. These private pharmacies were identified based on the recommendation of the interviewed physicians.

In total, we interviewed 25 laboratory technicians, 25 laboratory managers, 62 physicians and 47 pharmacists. Before data collection, informed consent was obtained from all participants. The interviews were conducted anonymously.

Data collection and analysis

The data was collected between June 7 and June 19, 2021. We used structured on-site, face-to-face interviews using tablets with a KoBoToolbox application (www.kobotoolbox.org/). The digitized data collection allowed for online data transfer and real-time quality control by a core team in Cotonou checking all forms and correcting the missing or inaccurate information immediately.

The data collected included general information about the hospital/laboratory and on the participating professionals. From the laboratory staff, we collected data on the practices related to blood cultures and AST. The questions were largely



Distribution of the heathcare facilities with laboratories conducting blood cultures and/or antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) in Benin. CNHPP, Centre National Hospitalier et Universitaire de Pneumo-phtisiologie; CNHU, Centre National Hospitalier Universitaire; CDU, Centre de Diagnostics et d'Urgences; CHD, Centre Hospitalier Départemental; HZ, Hôpital de Zone.

based on the WHO standard procedures (18). Physicians were interviewed about their practices of requesting a blood culture and AST before prescribing antibiotics and the interpretation of AST results. Pharmacists were asked about their knowledge and practice in dispensing antibiotics for the treatment of sepsis.

Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 24. The analysis was essentially descriptive and qualitative. A content analysis was carried out regarding the goals related to the identification of capacity development needs aimed at improving the blood culture and AST practices.

Results

Study sites and background information

All 25 clinical bacteriology laboratories participating in the study conducted AST but only 6 of them also blood cultures (Figure 1). We inquired about the availability of certain basic resources of the laboratories to assess the general possibility of laboratories to do clinical microbiology work. Several laboratories lacked such basic resources as a microbiological safety cabinet, a freezer and internet connection (Supplementary Table S1). The background information of the interviewed staff showed that they all had a professional degree (Supplementary Table S2).

Blood culture practice

The key data obtained from the 5 health care facilities and 1 independent laboratory that conduct blood cultures are shown in Table 1. In all the laboratories, the technicians indicated that they follow a standard blood sample processing procedure. They, for example, checked that the blood sample was taken correctly, appropriate bottles were used (aerobic blood culture bottles for adults or children), volume of blood was sufficient, and the weight in relation to the recommended average was acceptable. The recommended incubation temperature (35-37°C) was respected by all and the bottles grown in ordinary incubators were checked once, twice or more often per day for growth. Two laboratories used the BacT/ALERT automated system (BioMérieux, France), which signals when there is growth in the bottle. In the case of a positive blood culture, Gram staining was used to verify bacterial growth. The preliminary results were communicated to physicians to assist in early treatment.

Where growth in a blood culture bottle was detected, an aliquot was cultured on solid growth media (Table 1). For testing the quality of media, all the laboratories conducted a sterility test by incubating the media plates at 35–37°C for 24 h. However, only 4 conducted the performance test by growing some reference strains on the plates at 35–37°C for 24 h. According to the interviews, the most common bacteria isolated from blood cultures were: Gram-negative bacteria *Escherichia coli, Salmonella* spp., *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi and *Proteus mirabilis*, and gram-positive bacteria *Enterococcus* spp. and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Table 2).

Three laboratories out of the six sometimes received samples from other hospitals. Yet, half of the laboratories processed a maximum of 10 blood samples a month. Limiting factors mentioned by the technicians and the laboratory managers for the low sample numbers were: availability and cost of the culture media (all laboratories used commercial blood culture vials) and other reagents, the method used, the lack of an automated system, the limited level of competence of the laboratory personnel and physicians' lack of knowledge about the importance of early antibiotic therapy.

When asked about their needs concerning conducting blood cultures, the staff expressed their need for equipment and consumables such as an automated blood culture machine and blood culture bottles. The laboratories also desired continuous training of staff to reinforce and develop their skills in detection of difficult-to-cultivate bacteria, standardization of procedures and conducting AST.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing practice

Most of the laboratories used the disc diffusion method for AST (Table 3). They mainly followed the Comité de l'antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie (CA-SFM) or the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) Standards. Questions in our questionnaire were designed to assess the compliancy with the standard and the quality of work. For

TABLE 1 Practices related to a blood culture in the six laboratories that provide the test.

Parameters	Number of laboratories $(N = 6)$				
Person taking the blood culture sample					
Nurse	6				
Technician	4				
Medical doctor	3				
Trainee (Intern)	2				
Not known (external samples)	3				
Blood culture vials used					
Biomerieux	4				
Liofilchem	2				
Average monthly number of blo	ood cultures				
Between 2 and 10	3				
Between 11 and 20	1				
20 or more	2				
Verification of proper blood sar	npling				
Use of appropriate blood collection vials	6				
Volume of blood collected	5				
Attached patient information	4				
Weighting the blood collection vials	1				
Incubation of blood culture bo	ttles in				
Ordinary microbiological incubator	4				
Biomerieux automated system	2				
Incubation time of blood cultur	re bottles				
More than 5 days	4				
4 days	1				
1 day	1				
Incubation temperature of bloc	od culture bottles				
35–37°C	6				
Incubator temperature control	procedure				
Built-in thermometer	5				
Separate thermometer in the incubator	1				
Frequency of checking the both	les in the incubator for growth				
Once a day	1				
Twice a day	2				
More than twice a day	1				
Machine signals in case of growth	2				
Indication for a positive blood of	culture				
Uniform or subsurface turbidity	4				
Gas production	3				
Signal of the machine	2				
Hemolysis	2				
Flocculent deposit on the blood layer	2				

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Parameters	Number of laboratories $(N = 6)$
White grains on surface or deep in the neck	1
Culturing after the predetermined time	1
Coagulation of the broth	0
A surface film	0
Staining method for positive blo	ood culture
Gram stain	6
Agar plates used for positive blo	ood cultures
Ready to use agar plates	2
Agar plates prepared in laboratory	2
Both	2
Growth media for positive bloo	d cultures
Blood agar	6
Chapman (biochemical identification media)	5
MacConkey	5
Chocolate	5
Eosin methylene blue agar	3
Sabouraud agar	2
Simmons citrate agar (biochemical identification media)	1
Bile esculin agar (biochemical identification media)	1
Control procedure for media	
Sterility test	6
Performance test	4
Visual observation	1
Conservation of blood culture i	solates
Yes	5
Communication of blood cultu	re results to a physician
In person	4
Phone	4
Multiple ways	3
Electronic transmission	1

example, Muller-Hinton culture medium poured into petri dishes must be 4 ± 0.5 mm thick as defined by the CA-SFM standard. This measure was respected by 23 of 25 laboratories. Only 21 laboratories used McFarland standards to determine the concentration of the inoculum, while the rest assessed it by eye. The antibiotics for AST were primarily (72%) selected on the basis of CA-SFM/EUCAST standards (Table 3). The other criteria mentioned for selecting the antibiotics to be tested were: identity of the strain to be tested (64%) and availability of antibiotics in the laboratory (36%). Only 18 (72%) of the laboratories performed quality control of the disks. The reasons given for not performing quality control were: lack of materials, reference strains and expertise. Although all the laboratories included in the study conducted AST, many of them did notably few tests, even <10 per month (Table 3). The factors that limited the number of tests included (i) limited demand; (ii) insufficient human resources; (iii) insufficiency or shortage of laboratory equipment and consumables; (iv) negative blood culture samples; and (v) prescription of antibiotics by the physicians without requesting for a laboratory test. Staff in 17 of the 25 laboratories expressed their need to have their capacity strengthened to conduct quality AST. Specifically, capacity building in bacterial identification techniques, interpretation of AST results, quality control and choosing antibiotic discs were mentioned.

Physicians' prescription practice and utilization of laboratory results

To get a general understanding of the use of antibiotics to treat infections, we asked the physicians for all the reasons for prescribing antibiotics (Supplementary Table S4). Digestive tract infections (85.5%) were the most common infections treated with antibiotics, followed by ear, nose and throat infections and upper respiratory infections (82.3%). Only one case of sepsis was mentioned, probably because sepsis was not considered as primary diagnosis in most cases. Only 50% of the respondents stated that they used a protocol defined by their hospital for the prescription of antibiotics. Among the physicians, who did not use any protocol, 83.9% justified it by the hospital's lack of a protocol for antibiotic therapy. In cases where antibiotics were used as a first-line treatment without bacteriological testing results, the antibiotics most commonly prescribed were penicillins, such as penicillin G, amoxicillin and ampicillin, in 87.1% of cases, followed by cephalosporins, such as cefoxitin, ceftriaxone and ceftazidime, in 82.3% of cases (Supplementary Table S4).

In treating sepsis, nearly all of the physicians interviewed recognized that the identification of the pathogen should influence the antibiotic therapy. However, in practice, empirical treatment was used in most cases due to the lack of testing capacity (Table 4). Physicians' knowledge of various factors responsible for antibiotic resistance was inadequate (Table 4). 80% of them recognized the inappropriate choice of antibiotics as one of the probable causes of therapeutic failures in curing the bacterial infections. Prescribed antibiotics not purchased by the patient, non-compliance with treatment, superinfections, resistant bacteria and low product efficiency were also mentioned.

Of the 62 physicians interviewed, 54.8% had received 1 to 5 patients suspected to have sepsis in the preceding 12 months, while 9.7% had received none (Table 5). The patients were of all ages, although most commonly children. According to the physicians, in determining the diagnosis of sepsis, clinical symptoms were most important (91.1%) followed by blood culture results (73.2%). Third generation cephalosporins, ceftriaxone (82.1%) and cefotaxime (46.4%), were the most commonly used antibiotics in the treatment of sepsis.

Dispensing of antibiotics by pharmacies

When the pharmacists were asked about antibiotics they provide for sepsis, they answered that the medical prescription does not

Laboratory	Most commonly isolated micro-organism	Second most commonly isolated micro-organism	Third most commonly isolated micro-organism
1	Salmonella spp.	P. mirabilis	S. aureus
2	Proteus spp.	E. coli	S. aureus
3	E. coli	Salmonella Typhi	S. aureus
4	E. coli	Staphylococcus spp.	Enterococcus spp.
5	S. aureus	E. coli	Streptococcus pneumoniae
6	Yeast	S. aureus	E. coli

TABLE 2 Most commonly isolated micro-organisms from the blood cultures in the six laboratories culturing blood.

include the indication and therefore they do not know which antibiotics are for sepsis. However, they made some general suggestions for improving antibiotic delivery practices for sepsis treatment. These were (i) including all the required information in the prescription, (ii) having only medical doctors prescribe antibiotics, (iii) having pharmacists check the correctness of the prescription before dispensing the antibiotic, (iv) better control mechanism for dispensing antibiotics on medical prescription, and (v) general awareness raising and training on antibiotics, especially for rural population.

Discussion

All 25 laboratories covered by this study conducted AST, but only six conducted blood cultures. This illustrates the limited capacity for microbiological diagnostics of sepsis in Benin. In the conduct of a blood culture, automated incubation and growth monitoring devices have almost become a standard in high-income countries, whereas this is far from being the case in LMICs (19). Most of the laboratories involved in our study had only very basic microbiological equipment, but two laboratories used an automated system for blood culturing. This equipment was provided by foreign partners rather than by the Beninese government. However, not even these laboratories conducted anaerobic blood cultures. In general, the main reasons reported by our interviewees for not conducting any blood cultures were the lack of equipment and the high cost. The situation is similar in most LMICs which face many challenges in implementing blood cultures due to financial, logistical and infrastructural constraints (19). As a detail, it is worth mentioning that only 40% of the laboratories surveyed had a microbiological safety cabinet. This compromises the safety of the staff as well as the quality of work (20).

Even in the six laboratories conducting blood cultures, the number of samples processed was very low. Furthermore, the availability of microbiological testing in Benin is geographically very biased. In 8 out of the 12 departments there is no laboratory conducting a blood culture, none in the whole central Benin. For patients this means, according to our discussions with the laboratory staff, that an accompanying person is obliged to travel several hundred kilometers to collect blood culture bottles from Cotonou, have the patient's sample taken in a treating hospital and take the bottle back to Cotonou, where two of the main laboratories conducting blood cultures are located. They might have to make the same round trip to get the results. In the north-west, the healthcare facilities without possibility for blood culture may forward the patient to another facility. For example, at the Atacora CHD, they reported that the lack of equipment and consumables for blood cultures leads the hospital to transfer patients to the hospitals in Djougou or Tanguiéta, which are located in a distance of about an hour's drive. The latter hospitals are supported by the Catholic Church (Order of Malta Hospital in Djougou and St Jean de Dieu Hospital in Tanguieta) and have better laboratories than the governmental hospitals, due to better funding. In general, the public hospitals are seriously underfunded, and consequently most of the laboratories conducting blood cultures and AST are either private or faith-based, as shown by our study.

Besides the poor access to the bacteriological diagnostics, the quality of laboratory results is a problem. In our questionnaires, we had many questions related to the quality of testing and quality control practices, since erroneous results can lead to inappropriate treatment of a patient. The laboratory staff indicated that they follow a standard in processing blood samples in the laboratory. For example, they controlled the volume of blood collected, since the sensitivity of blood culture depends on the volume. Blood culture bottles were incubated typically for 5 days and checked daily for bacterial growth. In literature, some authors recommend blind sub-culturing within the first 24 h of incubation as an effective strategy for rapid detection but the recent study in Benin did not recommend it because of increased work load and risk of contamination (14). Regardless of the good intentions of the laboratory staff, our survey showed that there is discrepancy between the standard procedure and daily practice.

The major deficiency reported by the laboratory staff themselves was related to identification of bacteria in case of a positive blood culture. They reported Salmonella spp., E. coli, S. enterica serovar Typhi, Enterococcus spp. and Staphylococcus aureus to be the most commonly isolated bacteria from blood cultures. These findings partly match the results of the recent study in Benin, indicating Klebsiella pneumoniae, S. enterica serovar Typhi, S. aureus, E. coli, Enterobacter cloaceae and non-typhoidal Salmonella spp. as the most common isolates from sepsis in a Boko district hospital in central Benin (14). In another study, the most common bacteria isolated from neonatal sepsis cases in Africa were K. pneumoniae, Klebsiella michiganensis, S. aureus, Serratia marcescens and Burkholderia cepacia (4). However, the laboratories interviewed did not seem to be able to identify Klebsiella consistently, not even in the hospital involved in the study of Ombelet et al. (14), since none of them mentioned Klebsiella among the three most common isolates from the blood cultures. This is possibly due to the difficulty in distinguishing between Klebsiella and E. coli. Also earlier observations on identification of K. pneumoniae have indicated limited accuracy in many LMICs (21). However, K. pneumoniae might indeed be one of the most common causes of sepsis in

TABLE 3	Practices related to antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) in the 25
laborator	ries that provide the test.

Parameters	Proportion of laboratories $(N = 25)$					
	n	%				
Average monthly number of samples tested for antibiotic susceptibility						
≤10	6	24				
11–20	6	24				
>20	13	52				
AST method available						
Antibiotic disc diffusion	22	88				
E-test	3	12				
Agar medium used for AST						
Muller Hinton	24	96				
Cled	1	4				
Eosin Methylene Blue	1	4				
Thickness of the medium in the	e petri dish					
4 mm	23	92				
>4 mm	2	8				
Procedure for determining inoc	culum					
By eye without a standard	21	84				
By eye using Mc Farland standard	4	16				
Inoculum spreading techniques	5					
Swabbing	18	72				
Flooding	10	40				
Automated Biomerieux VITEK [®] Compact system	3	12				
Criteria for selecting the antibio	otics					
CA-SFM/EUCAST	18	72				
Depends on the bacterium to be tested	16	64				
Depends on availability of antibiotic discs in laboratory	9	36				
Depends on availability of antibiotics in pharmacy	1	4				
CLSI	1	4				
Number of antibiotic discs teste	ed per strain					
≤10	7	28				
11–20	17	68				
>20	1	4				
Procedure for depositing antibi	otic discs on ag	ar plates				
Sterile forceps	23	92				
Antibiotic disc dispenser	7	27				
Time from depositing of antibio plates into incubator	otic discs to plac	ing the agar				
20 min	12	48				
10 min	11	44				

(Continued)

TABLE	3	(Continued)

Parameters	Proportion of laboratories $(N = 25)$				
	n	%			
1 h	1	4			
0	1	4			
Incubation time of the agar plat	tes				
18–24 h	25	100			
Tools used for measuring the d	iameters of the	inhibition zones			
Ruler	18	72			
Vernier caliper	5	20			
Ruler and caliper	2	8			
Standards for interpretation of	the AST results				
CA-SFM/EUCAST	15	60			
CA-SFM/EUCAST and the disc manufacturer's instructions	5	20			
Disc manufacturer's instructions	5	20			
Storage temperature of the antibiotic discs					
Refrigerator	24	96			
Ambient room temperature	3	12			
Freezer	1	4			
Carrying out quality control of	antibiotic discs				
Yes	18	72			
Procedure for the disc quality c	ontrol				
Use of a reference bacterial strain	13	52			
Use of bacterial reference strain and determination of MIC	3	12			
Determination of MIC	2 8				
Reasons for not performing quality control					
Lack of materials	3	12			
No reference strains	2	8			
Lack of know-how	2	8			
Skills improvement needed					
Yes	17	68			
No	8	32			

CA-SFM, Comité d'Antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie; EUCAST, European Committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing; CLSI, clinical and laboratory standards institute; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.

Africa, with potentially high virulence and multidrug resistance properties (22). Therefore, its epidemiological surveillance should be a priority. One of the laboratories included in our study reported yeast as the most commonly isolated microorganism from blood cultures. Indeed, yeasts are among the microorganisms isolated from bloodstream infections, but they are a relatively rare finding (4, 14, 23, 24). It can therefore be assumed that, given the very low number of positive blood cultures in the laboratory in question, contamination and misidentification distorted the results. All the

TABLE 4 Physicians' knowledge and practices in prescribing antibiotics.

Knowledge and practices	Proportion of physicians $(N = 62)$						
	n	%					
Knowledge of the concept of antibiotic resistance							
Knowledge of natural resistance							
Yes	56	90.3					
Means of recognition of antibiotic r	resistance						
Treatment failure and persistence of symptoms after normal duration of treatment	35	57.4					
Results of AST	26	42.6					
Factors believed to be responsible i	for antibiotio	c resistance					
Poor quality of antibiotics available	55	88.7					
Self-medication	54	87.1					
Misuse of antibiotics	42	67.7					
Non-compliance with the dosage	37	59.7					
Inadequate duration of the antibiotic therapy	26	41.9					
Criteria other than AST for the choi	ce of antibio	otics					
General knowledge about effective antibiotics	51	82.3					
Availability of the antibiotic in Benin	43	69.4					
Previous experience with the effectiveness of the antibiotic	34	54.8					
Purchasing power of the patient	31	50					
Availability of the antibiotic in the region	17	27.4					
Cost of the antibiotic	7	11.3					
Recommendation of a colleague	4	6.5					
Prescription practices							
Identification of the bacterium influ	iences antib	iotic therapy					
Yes	60	96.8					
Important criteria for the choice of	antibiotics						
Results of AST	55	88.7					
Experience with the effectiveness of an antibiotic	39	62.9					
Usually prescribed antibiotics	29	46.8					
Bacterial species and infection site	1	1.6					
Clinical condition of the patient	1	1.6					
Preference for generic or brand-na	me antibioti	ics					
Generic and brand-name antibiotics	27	43.5					
Brand-name antibiotics	23	37.1					
Generic antibiotics	18	29					
Factors that influence the preference	ce to prescri	ibe an antibiotic					
Cost of the product	42	67.7					
Unavailability of brand-name antibiotics	38	61.3					
Quality of generics	33	53.2					
Prescription protocol of the healthcare center	13	21					
Effectiveness of an antibiotic	2	6.5					
Enectiveness of an antibiotic	2	6.5					

(Continued)

TABLE 4 (Continued)

Knowledge and practices	Proportion of physicians $(N = 62)$				
	n	%			
Financial capacity of the patient	1	1.6			
Probable reasons for encountered a	antibiotic tre	eatment failures			
Inappropriate choice of an antibiotic	42	79.2			
Non-compliance of the patient with treatment	38	71.7			
Antibiotic not purchased by the patient	23	43.4			
Superinfections	19	35.8			
Resistant pathogens	4	7.5			
Low antibiotic efficiency	1	1.6			
Consulting an infectious disease specialist for the therapeutic protocol					
Yes	5	8.1			
Reason for not consulting an infectious disease specialist					
No infectiologist in the health facility	45	80.4			
Has the necessary knowledge	6	10.7			
Postgraduate training for antibiotic	prescribing				
Yes	16	25.8			

technicians we interviewed were particularly interested in improving their knowledge and practices in bacterial identification methods.

Our results showed that the physicians recognized the importance of a blood culture in the diagnosis of sepsis, but in practice, the rate of testing was very low. There appears to be a vicious circle, where physicians do not request laboratory tests due to their non-availability, patient's inability to pay for them, slow processing and unreliability of the results, and the low demand for laboratory tests leads to poor resourcing of laboratories. Consequently, physicians prescribe antibiotics on a probabilistic basis. Furthermore, hospitals have no standardized guidelines to support prescription practice. Only 5 of the physicians interviewed reported consulting infectious diseases specialists when prescribing antibiotics. 45 specifically mentioned the absence of infectious disease specialists from their health facility.

According to our survey, factors limiting testing included insufficient properly trained personnel, insufficient or broken laboratory equipment and lack of consumables. The analysis of practices related to AST revealed technical deficiencies concerning the choice of antibiotic discs and the quality control of the discs, media and growth of reference bacteria. Only 18 (72%) of the laboratories performed quality control of the discs although it is strongly recommend by the EUCAST standards to ensure that efficacy has not been recuded by e.g., poor storage or other conditions. The antibiotics chosen for AST were sometimes chosen based on availability of antibiotics in the pharmacies near the hospital. This might be rational considering the treatment but does not provide proper surveillance information for the local situation. The majority of technicians expressed their need and willingness for further training in AST. It was also seen to be important to

TABLE 5	Management	of	sepsis	and	types	of	antibiotics	prescribed.
---------	------------	----	--------	-----	-------	----	-------------	-------------

Parameters	Proportion of physicians $(N = 62)$					
	n	%				
Number of patients with suspected sepsis, encountered during the last 12 months						
1–5	34	54.8				
>5	22	35.5				
None	6	9.7				
Profile of patients with sepsis						
People 15 years of age or older	26	46.4				
Children under 5 years of age	24	42.9				
Children 5–14 years of age	22	39.3				
Newborns	19	33.9				
Pregnant women	9	16.1				
Patients with comorbidities	8	14.3				
Method of diagnosing sepsis in a patient						
Clinical symptoms	51	91.1				
Blood culture	41	73.2				
Catecholamine testing	1	1.8				
Blood count	1	1.8				
Means of communication with the laboratory in case of blood culture and AST were requested						
By phone	54	96.4				
In person	23	41.1				
By an intermediary person (caregiver, nurse, etc.)	13	23.2				
By e-mail	1	1.8				
Types of antibiotics used in trea	atment of sepsis					
Ceftriaxone	46	82.1				
Cefotaxime	26	46.4				
Ceftazidime	19	33.9				
Imipenem/cilastatin	18	32.1				
Meropenem	14	25				
Cefepime	10	17.9				
Ampicillin and sulbactam	8	14.3				
Levofloxacin	7	12.5				
Gentamycin	7	12.5				
Clindamycin	6	10.7				
Ciprofloxacin	3	5.4				
Metronidazole	2	3.6				
Piperacillin and tazobactam	1	1.8				

standardize the practices at the national level to improve the quality of microbiological testing.

In Benin it is a common, but unofficial, practice that nurses rather than medical doctors prescribe antibiotics (25), which the pharmacists indicated as a major problem due to the insufficient training of nurses. Thus, in future studies exploring the prescription of antibiotics and in training programs, it would be important to include nurses as well as physicians. Furthermore, in West Africa, many antibiotics are sold in pharmacies without prescription (only one pharmacy in our study admitted this) and by street vendors (26). The different galenic forms can also lead to confusion among prescribers and patients in the correct use of the antibiotics (27). Therefore, optimizing the monitoring of antibiotic delivery is also a way to improve antibiotic use practices (28). Moreover, the quality of antibiotics and many other medicines in LMICs is often substandard (27). One factor that contributes to a partial or total reduction in the quality of antibiotics in the hot and humid climate in West Africa is poor storage (29). This issue was also mentioned in our interviews. Proper storage of antibiotics is costly and requires well trained personnel, which is in short supply in West African countries. Although it is well-known that poor quality of antibiotics leads to an increase in multi-resistant bacteria and the risk of therapeutic failure, very few LMICs have a quality control agency to monitor the quality of medicines (30, 31).

Our main reason for undertaking this study was to gain understanding of the base-line level of the bacteriological laboratory diagnostics of blood culture and AST for bacteria that cause sepsis. We utilized the results in designing a training module for laboratory technicians to improve their competence (details will be reported elsewhere). The laboratory staff that participated in the study appreciated our effort to contact all laboratories across the country and address their concerns. We are planning a follow-up training course concentrating on identification of key bacteria causing sepsis. Since successful treatment and prevention of infections requires multi-professional collaboration, we also interviewed physicians and pharmacists on their knowledge of sepsis and usage of antibiotics. Based on the obtained results, we have organized events to bring the different professional groups and the national health authorities together to discuss the best practices in the local settings.

In conclusion, we recognize an urgent need to increase the availability and quality of blood cultures and AST for improved sepsis management throughout Benin. Laboratories with a clinical bacteriology unit must be provided with appropriate equipment and more consumables to ensure that there is at least one laboratory able to conduct necessary diagnostics in each of Benin's 12 departments. The laboratory staff involved in this study themselves expressed their need and willingness to strengthen their skills in conducting both blood cultures and AST. Also reinforced collaboration between the laboratories, physicians and pharmacists is necessary for improved sepsis management.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

BL, VD, HK, SM, RE, MP, HB, and KH designed the study. BL, VD, and HK collected and analyzed the data. BL wrote and VD,

HK, SM, RE, MP, HB, and KH revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The study was funded by the grant from the Finnish Ministry for Foreign Affairs to the Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR)— Finland for the Quality laboratory testing training project in Benin.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Drs. Clement Ahoussinou and Mireille Mintogbe who assisted us in the implementation of the survey. We also thank Kevin Sintondji, Arielle Kounou, Alida Oussou, and Beau-Gard Hougbenou, young researchers at the University of Abomey-Calavi (Benin) for conducting the interviews; and the staff of the hospitals involved and the interviewees for their willingness to participate in the study. Finally, we are grateful to Heli Salmi, Mari Laaksonen, and Anu Kantele from PSR-Finland for fruitful discussions on the project design.

References

1. Prual A. Le nouveau-né en Afrique de l'Ouest et du Centre: comprendre pour agir. Sante Publ. (2020) 1:7-15. doi: 10.3917/spub.200.0007

2. Rudd KE, Johnson SC, Agesa KM, Shackelford KA, Tsoi D, Kievlan DR, et al. Global, regional, and national sepsis incidence and mortality, 1990–2017: analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:200–11. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32989-7

3. Do SN, Luong CQ, Pham DT, Nguyen MH, Nguyen NT, Huynh DQ, et al. Factors relating to mortality in septic patients in Vietnamese intensive care units from a subgroup analysis of MOSAICS II study. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:18924. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-98165-8

4. Milton R, Gillespie D, Dyer C, Taiyari K, Carvalho M, Thomson K, et al. Neonatal sepsis and mortality in low-income and middle-income countries from a facility-based birth cohort: an international multisite prospective observational study. *Lancet Glob Health*. (2022) 10:e661–72. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00043-2

5. Angus DC, van der Poll T. Severe sepsis and septic shock. N Engl J Med. (2013) 369:840-51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1208623

6. World Health Organization. *État septique*. Geneva: World Health Organization (2020). Available online at: https://www.who.int/fr/news/item/08-09-2020-who-calls-for-global-action-on-sepsis---cause-of-1-in-5-deaths-worldwide (accessed July 23, 2022).

7. Gotts JE, Matthay MA. Sepsis: pathophysiology and clinical management. *BMJ.* (2016) 353:i1585. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i1585

8. Ombelet S, Ronat J-B, Walsh T, Yansouni CP, Cox J, et al. Clinical bacteriology in low-resource settings: today's solutions. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2018) 18:e248– 58. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30093-8

9. World Health Organization. *Antimicrobial Resistance*. Geneva: World Health Organization (2021). Available online at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/antimicrobial-resistance (accessed July 28, 2022).

10. Martin C, Brun-Buisson C. Prise en charge initiale des états septiques graves de l'adulte et de l'enfant. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim.* (2006) 26:53-73. doi: 10.1016/j.annfar.2006.10.021

11. Jacobs J, Hardy L, Semret M, Lunguya O, Phe T, Affolabi D, et al. Diagnostic bacteriology in district hospitals in Sub-Saharan Africa: at the forefront of the containment of antimicrobial resistance. *Front Med.* (2019) 6:205. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2019.00205

12. Bronkhorst E, Gous AGS, Schellack N. Practice guidelines for clinical pharmacists in middle to low income countries. *Front Pharmacol.* (2020) 11:978. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00978

13. Nkengasong JN, Yao K, Onyebujoh P. Laboratory medicine in low-income and middle-income countries: progress and challenges. *Lancet.* (2018) 391:1873–5. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30308-8

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2022. 1088590/full#supplementary-material

14. Ombelet S, Kpossou G, Kotchare C, Agbobli E, Sogbo F, Massou F, et al. Blood culture surveillance in a secondary care hospital in Benin: epidemiology of bloodstream infection pathogens and antimicrobial resistance. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2022) 22:119. doi: 10.1186/s12879-022-07077-z

15. Koura KG, Garcia A, Todoégnon B, Deloron P, Cot M, Faucher J-F. Prevalence and factors related to antibiotic prescription in Benin: a school-based study. *Acta Trop.* (2013) 127:87–90. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2013.04.004

16. Dougnon V, Assogba P, Mohammed J, Agbankpe J, Déguenon E, Fabiyi K, et al. Urinary tract infections in Benin: exploring the virulence factors and antibiotic resistance and virulence genes among bacterial isolates. *Int J Pathog Res.* (2021) 7:28–36. doi: 10.9734/ijpr/2021/v7i130174

17. Adzavon P, Dougnon TV, Koudokpon H, Legba B, Agbankpe J, Fabiyi K, et al. Resistance profile of Staphylococcus strains and detection of the Mec A, Van A and Van B genes in private hospitals in Benin. *Afr J Microbiol Res.* (2022) 16:184–9. doi: 10.5897/AJMR2021.9537

18. Leenstra T, Kooij K, Tambic A, Nahrgang S, van de Sande-Bruinsma N. *Proof-of-Principle Antimicrobial Resistance Routine Diagnostics Surveillance Project: Protocol.* Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe (2018). Available online at: https://www. euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0007/360529/WHO-PoP-project-eng.pdf (accessed 17 May 2022).

19. Ombelet S, Barbé B, Affolabi D, Ronat J-B, Lompo P, Lunguya O, et al. Best practices of blood cultures in low- and middle-income countries. *Front Med.* (2019) 6:131. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2019.00131

20. Arfin Qasmi S, Pirzada S, Ghani A, Mohsin S. Survey on proper and safe use of biological safety cabinets (BSCs) in research, bio-medical and animal laboratories in Karachi, Pakistan a cross sectional study. *Int J Biosaf.* (2020) 2:77–80. doi: 10.1016/j.jobb.2020.10.003

21. Osman KM, Yousef AMM, Aly MM, Radwan MI. Salmonella spp. infection in imported 1-day-old chicks, ducklings, and Turkey poults: a public health risk. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* (2010) 7:383–90. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2009.0358

22. Müller-Schulte E, Tuo MN, Akoua-Koffi C, Schaumburg F, Becker SL. High prevalence of ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* in clinical samples from central Côte d'Ivoire. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2019) 91:207–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2019. 11.024

23. Chukwumeze F, Lenglet A, Olubiyo R, Oluyide B, Oloruntuyi G, Ariti C, et al. Multi-drug resistance and high mortality associated with community-acquired bloodstream infections in children in conflict-affected northwest Nigeria. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:20814. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-00149-1

24. Gaballah A, Shawky S, Amer A. Microbiological profiles of neonatal sepsis in northern Egypt. *Microbes Infect Dis.* (2022) 3:645–56. doi: 10.21608/mid.2022.129600.1265

25. Sariola S, Butcher A, Cañada JA, Aïkpé M, Compaore A. Closing the GAP in antimicrobial resistance policy in Benin and Burkina Faso. *mSystems.* (2022) 7:e00150-e00122. doi: 10.1128/msystems.00150-22

26. Belachew SA, Hall L, Selvey LA. Non-prescription dispensing of antibiotic agents among community drug retail outlets in Sub-Saharan African countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* (2021) 10:13. doi: 10.1186/s13756-020-00880-w

27. Ouedraogo AS, Pierre HJ, Bañuls AL, Ouedraogo R, Godreuil S. Émergence et diffusion de la résistance aux antibiotiques en Afrique de l'Ouest : facteurs favorisants et évaluation de la menace. *Med Sante Trop.* (2017) 27:147–54. doi: 10.1684/mst.2017.0678

28. Godman B, Egwuenu A, Haque M, Malande OO, Schellack N, Kumar S, et al. Strategies to improve antimicrobial utilization with a special

focus on developing countries. *Life.* (2021) 11:528. doi: 10.3390/life1106 0528

29. Taylor R, Shakoor O, Behrens R, Everard M, Low AS, Wangboonskul J, et al. Pharmacopoeial quality of drugs supplied by Nigerian pharmacies. *Lancet.* (2001) 357:1933-6. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)05065-0

30. Nebot Giralt A, Schiavetti B, Meessen B, Pouget C, Caudron JM, Marchal B, et al. Quality assurance of medicines supplied to low-income and middleincome countries: poor products in shiny boxes? *BMJ Global Health.* (2017) 2:e000172. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2016-000172

31. Ravinetto R, Pinxten W, Rägo L. Quality of medicines in resourcelimited settings: need for ethical guidance. *Glob Bioeth.* (2018) 29:81– 94. doi: 10.1080/11287462.2018.1522991