Decay properties of the new isotopes ¹⁷²Hg and ¹⁷³Hg

D. Seweryniak,^{1,2} J. Uusitalo,¹ M. P. Carpenter,¹ D. Nisius,¹ C. N. Davids,¹ C. R. Bingham,³ L. T. Brown,¹ L. Conticchio,²

D. J. Henderson,¹ R. V. F. Janssens,¹ W. B. Walters,² J. Wauters,³ and P. J. Woods⁴ ¹Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

²University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

³University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996

⁴University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

(Received 2 June 1999; published 10 August 1999)

The α decays of the two neutron-deficient nuclei ¹⁷²Hg and ¹⁷³Hg were observed for the first time using the 78 Kr(96 Ru,2n) and 80 Kr(96 Ru,3n) reactions, respectively. The reaction products were dispersed according to their mass-to-charge state ratios in the Argonne Fragment Mass Analyzer and implanted in a double-sided silicon strip detector, where their subsequent decays were studied using spatial and time correlations between implants and decays. A half-life of $250(^{+350}_{-90})$ μ s and an energy of 7350(12) keV were deduced for the α decay of ¹⁷²Hg. In ¹⁷³Hg the half-life was measured to be $0.93(^{+0.57}_{-0.26})$ ms and the corresponding energy is 7211(11) keV. In addition, the half-life and energy of the α decay of ¹⁷⁴Hg were measured more precisely. The reduced widths deduced for these Hg isotopes indicate that the observed decays correspond to unhindered $\Delta l = 0$ transitions. The α -decay Q values are compared with the values calculated using mass tables by Möller and Nix, and by Liran and Zeldes. The latter mass tables show better agreement with the data. [S0556-2813(99)50409-3]

PACS number(s): 23.60.+e, 21.10.Dr, 21.10.Tg, 27.70.+q

Within the last several years, a wealth of new information on nuclei located at and beyond the proton drip line has become available in the region of the nuclidic chart between the closed proton shells Z=50 and Z=82. Much of this work has been directed at the identification of new proton emitters in odd-Z nuclei ranging from 131 Eu (Z=63) to ¹⁸⁵Bi (Z=83) [1]. In addition, studies of the α -decay properties of proton-rich nuclei has yielded complementary information on nuclei lying at the edges of stability. For example, measurements of α -decay energies and lifetimes far from the line of stability represent one of the means to discriminate between different mass formulas. The growing uncertainty of different models when moving towards the proton-drip line is reflected in an increasing spread of predicted masses and α -decay Q values.

In this Rapid Communication, we report on the identification of the two new isotopes ¹⁷²Hg and ¹⁷³Hg by their respective α decays. In addition, the half-life and energy of the α decay of ¹⁷⁴Hg have been measured with higher precision. Prior to this work ¹⁷⁴Hg was the lightest known Hg isotope [2]. It should also be noted that there has also been renewed interest in the study of excited states in the light Hg isotopes. Recent measurements have examined the evolution of shape-coexistence between the near-spherical ground state and the excited well-deformed prolate structure in ^{176,178}Hg [3,4]. In addition, Nilsson-Strutinsky calculations predict that the light Hg isotopes ($N \leq 98$) should exhibit an excited superdeformed minimum with $\beta_2 = 0.5 - 0.56$ [5] which could be populated at high spins.

The light isotopes of Hg studied in this work were produced using beams of ⁷⁸Kr and ⁸⁰Kr, from the ATLAS superconducting linear accelerator at Argonne National Laboratory, impinging on a 0.4 mg/cm² thick ⁹⁶Ru isotopically enriched target. The 96Ru target was placed on a 0.7 mg/cm² thick Al backing, which faced the beam during the experiment. The 78 Kr(96 Ru,2n) reaction at a beam energy of 375 MeV was used to produce ¹⁷²Hg, while ¹⁷³Hg was populated in the 80 Kr(96 Ru,3n) reaction at a beam energy of 400 MeV. Using the same beam-target combination, but a lower beam energy of 375 MeV, ¹⁷⁴Hg was populated via the two-neutron evaporation channel. The summary of the reactions used in the present work is shown in Table I.

Evaporation residues from the fusion reactions listed above were separated from the beam and dispersed according to their mass-to-charge state ratio (M/Q) using the Argonne

TABLE I. The properties of the light Hg isotopes studied in the present work. The cross sections were estimated assuming a 10% FMA efficiency. A screening correction of 29.7 keV was added to the deduced α -decay Q values. The half-lives $(t_{1/2}^{\text{Rasm}})$ and reduced widths (δ^2) were calculated using the prescription of Rasmussen [12]. Further details are given in the text.

Reaction	E_{CN}^* [MeV]	σ [nb]	E_{α} [keV]	Q_{lpha} [keV]	t _{1/2} [ms]	$t_{1/2}^{\text{Rasm}}$ [ms]	δ^2 [keV]
⁹⁶ Ru(⁸⁰ Kr,2 <i>n</i>) ¹⁷⁴ Hg	37	330	7066(8)	7262(8)	$1.9^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	2.4	92(17)
⁹⁶ Ru(⁸⁰ Kr,3 <i>n</i>) ¹⁷³ Hg	50	15	7211(11)	7411(11)	$0.93^{+0.57}_{-0.26}$	0.86	67(25)
96 Ru(78 Kr,2 <i>n</i>) 172 Hg	36	9	7350(12)	7555(12)	$0.25^{+0.35}_{-0.09}$	0.33	96(55)



FIG. 1. Energy spectra of α particles measured in the DSSD using the 96 Ru+ 78 Kr reaction at 375 MeV: (a) all decays; (b) decays following mass 172 residues within 40 ms; (c) decays followed by 6.83 MeV 168 Pt α particles within 100 ms.

Fragment Mass Analyzer (FMA) [6]. After passing through a position sensitive parallel grid avalanche counter (PGAC) placed at the focal plane of the FMA for the M/Q measurement, the residues were implanted into a double-sided silicon strip detector (DSSD) placed about 40 cm behind the focal plane. The front and back surfaces of the 4×4 cm², 60 μ m thick DSSD were each divided into 40 strips. The front and back strips were orthogonal to each other, effectively dividing the detector into 1600 independent pixels. Using spatial and time correlations, the implants were linked with their subsequent α or proton decays and with decays of their daughter and granddaughter nuclei. The DSSD strips were gain-matched using a 244 Cm- 240 Pu α source and the sum of all strips was also calibrated using known α activities produced in the ⁷⁸Kr+⁹⁶Ru reaction, namely, ¹⁶⁷Os, ¹⁶⁸Os, ¹⁷¹Ir, ¹⁷²Pt, ¹⁷¹Pt, ¹⁷¹Au, and ¹⁷²Au. The energies of these α lines were adopted from Ref. [7] and references therein, except for 171 Au where the data were taken from Ref. [8]. In order to measure lifetimes every event was time stamped and half-lives were fitted to the distribution of times between correlated implant and decay events using the maximum likelihood method [9].

Figure 1(a) presents the energy spectrum of all decays detected in the DSSD using the ⁷⁸Kr+⁹⁶Ru reaction at an energy of 375 MeV. In Fig. 1(b) only decays correlated within 40 ms with implanted mass 172 residues are shown. The spectrum is dominated by strong lines corresponding to the α decay of ¹⁷²Au and ¹⁷²Pt (corresponding to 1*p*1*n* and 2*p* channels, respectively). In addition, an isolated group consisting of three events is visible above 7 MeV in Fig. 1(b). These three events are also present in Fig. 1(c) which contains decays followed within 100 ms by α particles corresponding to the decay of ¹⁶⁸Pt [10] ($E_{\alpha} \approx 6.83$ MeV), and thus are assigned to the decay of the previously unknown isotope ¹⁷²Hg. Based on these three events an energy of 7350(12) keV and a half-life of $250(^{+350}_{-90})$ μ s were deduced for the α decay of ¹⁷²Hg.

The decay spectrum measured for the 80 Kr+ 96 Ru reaction at 400 MeV is shown in Fig. 2(a). The significant back-

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 60 031304



FIG. 2. Energy spectra of α particles measured in the DSSD using the 96 Ru+ 80 Kr reaction at 400 MeV: (a) all decays; (b) decays following mass 173 residues within 40 ms; (c) decays followed by 6.7 MeV 169 Pt α particles within 100 ms.

ground visible at higher energies in Figure 2(a) is associated with implant events for which the focal plane detector did not register a heavy residue, leading to the misinterpretation of this event as a decay. Fig. 2(b) presents decays which took place within 40 ms after the implantation of mass 173 residues. The spectrum is dominated by two α lines corresponding to 173 Au and 173 Pt (corresponding to p2n and 2pn channels, respectively). A group of α particles with energies in excess of 7 MeV can be seen as well in Fig. 2(b). Seven of these events were found to be followed within 100 ms by α particles with energies of about 6.7 MeV, corresponding to the known α decay of ¹⁶⁹Pt [11,7] [see Fig. 2(c)]. This leads to an unambiguous assignment of this group to the decay of a new isotope ¹⁷³Hg. Based on the properties of these seven events, an energy of 7211(11) keV and halflife of $0.93(^{+0.57}_{-0.26})$ ms were extracted for the α decay of ¹⁷³Hg.

The results of the 80 Kr+ 96 Ru experiment at 375 MeV are shown in Fig. 3. The topmost panel shows the total decay spectrum whereas Fig. 3(b) contains only decays following mass 174 implants within 40 ms. The decays which were followed within 100 ms by 6.55-MeV α particles, corresponding to the decay of 170 Pt [7], are shown in the bottom panel. The line at \approx 7 MeV visible in all three spectra corresponds to the α decay of 174 Hg. From the present data a decay energy of 7066(8) keV and half-life of $1.9({}^{+0.4}_{-0.3})$ ms were deduced, based on the 31 observed events. These results agree well with the previous measurement of 7069(11) keV and $2.1({}^{+1.8}_{-0.7})$ ms reported in Ref. [2]. However, the statistical errors are smaller since only four events assigned to 174 Hg were observed in Ref. [2].

It should be noted that the number of observed daughter Pt α particles correlated with the decay of ¹⁷²Hg, ¹⁷³Hg, and ¹⁷⁴Hg, respectively, is consistent with a 100% α -decay branch in ¹⁶⁸Pt, ¹⁶⁹Pt, and ¹⁷⁰Pt. Table I summarizes the experimental results obtained for light Hg isotopes, including the estimated cross sections for ^{172,173,174}Hg. The FMA efficiency necessary to estimate cross sections was not



FIG. 3. Energy spectra of α particles measured in the DSSD using the 96 Ru + 80 Kr reaction at 375 MeV: (a) all decays; (b) decays following mass 174 residues within 40 ms; (c) decays followed by 6.55 MeV 170 Pt α particles within 100 ms.

measured, but an assumed value of 10% should give cross sections accurate to within a factor of 2. The nuclei 172 Hg and 173 Hg were produced with cross sections of 9 nb and 15 nb, respectively. The cross section for populating 174 Hg is 330 nb, i.e., one order of magnitude higher than for 172 Hg and 173 Hg. It is also about one order of magnitude higher than the cross section reported in Ref. [2], where 174 Hg was produced as a 6*n* evaporation channel.

The α -decay half-lives can be calculated using the Rasmussen method [12]. In this method, the decay constant λ is factorized into the reduced width δ^2 and the barrier penetration factor P according to the formula: $\lambda = \delta^2 \cdot P/h$, where h is Planck's constant. The barrier penetration factor is calculated using the WKB approximation to extract δ^2 . Alternatively, ²¹²Po reduced width can be used to calculate the halflife $(t_{1/2}^{\text{Rasm}})$. Calculated half-lives and reduced α -decay widths for the light Hg isotopes are shown in Table I. The partial β decay half-lives predicted for ^{172,173,174}Hg are 0.2 s, 0.24 s, and 0.35 s, respectively [13]. Since these values are at least two orders of magnitude longer than the measured half-lives, β -decay branches were neglected. The reduced α -decay widths deduced for ^{172,173,174}Hg are very close to the reference value of 70 keV obtained for ²¹²Po and other even-A Hg isotopes. This indicates that these α decays can be associated with $\Delta l = 0$ unhindered transitions.

Figure 4 compares the Q values for the light Hg isotopes in the mass range 172–180, including the values obtained for ^{172,173,174}Hg in the present work, with those calculated using mass tables by Liran and Zeldes [14], obtained using a semiempirical shell-model mass formula, and by Möller and Nix [15], obtained using macroscopic-microscopic calculations. As can be seen from Fig. 4, the Liran and Zeldes Q_{α} values fit the experimental data rather well, even though they overpredict somewhat the Q_{α} values for the lightest Hg isotopes (N=92,93). In contrast, the calculations by Möller and Nix overpredict the Q_{α} value of ¹⁸⁰Hg by about 400 keV, approach the experimental values



FIG. 4. α -decay Q values of neutron-deficient isotopes of Hg. The open squares represent data, including the α -decay Q values for ^{172,173,174}Hg deduced in this work. The filled circles and triangles represent α -decay Q values calculated using mass tables by Liran and Zeldes and by Möller and Nix, respectively.

at N=96, and significantly underpredict the Q_{α} values for the lightest known Hg isotopes (by about 600 keV for 172 Hg).

In Ref. [8], a mass excess of -16300(33) keV was deduced for ¹⁷⁰Pt, by following the decay chain down to ¹⁵⁰Ho, a nucleus with a measured mass [16]. Based on the assumption that the observed α decay of ¹⁷⁴Hg connects to the ground state of ¹⁷⁰Pt, the mass excess of ¹⁷⁴Hg is -6607(34) keV. In the recent mass evaluation of Audi and Wapstra [17] mass excesses of -11150(360) and -12650(310) keV were estimated for ¹⁶⁸Pt and ¹⁶⁹Pt, respectively. These values combined with the α -decay Q values deduced in the present work give estimated mass excesses of -1170(360) keV and -2810(310) keV for ¹⁷²Hg and ¹⁷³Hg, respectively.

Finally, the cross sections for producing these Hg isotopes are quite small. While the predictions by Nazarewicz of a new superdeformed region in the light Hg isotopes are intriguing, the population cross sections are too low for $^{172-174}$ Hg to allow for a proper search for superdeformation in these nuclei using even the most powerful existing γ -ray arrays such as Gammasphere. However, low spin states in 174 Hg are within reach.

In summary, the α decays of two new isotopes ¹⁷²Hg and ¹⁷³Hg were observed for the first time, and the α decay of ¹⁷⁴Hg was studied with improved accuracy. The reduced α -decay widths indicate a $\Delta l = 0$ character for the observed transitions. The α -decay Q values deduced for ^{172,173,174}Hg are reproduced very well using the mass tables of Liran and Zeldes, whereas values calculated using mass tables by Möller and Nix deviate from the data with decreasing neutron number.

The excellent support of the ATLAS operating staff is greatly appreciated. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Nuclear Physics Division, under Contract Nos. W-31-109-ENG-38, DE-FG02-94-ER40834, and DE-FG02-96-ER40983.

- P. J. Woods and C. N. Davids, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 47, 541 (1997).
- [2] J. Uusitalo, M. Leino, R. G. Allat, T. Enqvist, K. Eskola, P. T. Greenlees, S. Hurskanen, A. Keenan, H. Kettunen, P. Kuusiniemi, R. D. Page, and W. H. Trzaska, Z. Phys. A 358, 375 (1997).
- [3] M. P. Carpenter, R. V. F. Janssens, H. Amro, D. J. Blumenthal, L. T. Brown, D. Seweryniak, P. J. Woods, D. Ackermann, I. Ahmad, C. Davids, S. M. Fischer, G. Hackman, J. H. Hamilton, T. L. Khoo, T. Lauritsen, C. J. Lister, D. Nisius, A. V. Ramayya, W. Reviol, J. Schwartz, J. Simpson, and J. Wauters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3650 (1997).
- [4] M. Muikku, J. F. C. Cocks, K. Helariutta, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, H. Kankaanpää, H. Kettunen, P. Kuusiniemi, M. Leino, P. Rahkila, A. Savelius, W. H. Trzaska, J. Uusitalo, P. T. Greenlees, and R. D. Page, Phys. Rev. C 58, 3033 (1998).
- [5] W. Nazarewicz, Phys. Lett. B 305, 195 (1993).
- [6] C. N. Davids, B. B. Back, K. Bindra, D. J. Henderson, W. Kutschera, T. Lauritsen, Y. Nagame, P. Sugathan, A. V. Ramayya, and W. B. Walters, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 70, 358 (1992).
- [7] R. D. Page, P. J. Woods, R. A. Cunningham, T. Davinson, N. J. Davis, A. N. James, K. Livingston, P. J. Sellin, and A. C. Shotter, Phys. Rev. C 53, 660 (1996).

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 60 031304

- [8] C. N. Davids, P. J. Woods, J. C. Batchelder, C. R. Bingham, D. J. Blumenthal, L. T. Brown, B. C. Busse, L. F. Conticchio, T. Davinson, S. J. Freeman, D. J. Henderson, R. J. Irvine, R. D. Page, H. T. Penttilä, D. Seweryniak, K. S. Toth, W. B. Walters, and B. E. Zimmerman, Phys. Rev. C 55, 2255 (1997).
- [9] L. S. Meyer, Data Analysis for Scientists and Engineers, 1st ed. (Wiley, New York, 1975) p. 326.
- [10] C. R. Bingham, K. S. Toth, J. C. Batchelder, D. J. Blumenthal, L. T. Brown, B. C. Busse, L. F. Conticchio, C. N. Davids, T. Davinson, D. J. Henderson, R. J. Irvine, D. Seweryniak, W. B. Walters, P. J. Woods, and B. E. Zimmerman, Phys. Rev. C 54, R20 (1996).
- [11] S. Hofmann, G. Münzenberg, F. Hessberger, W. Reisdorf, P. Armbruster, and B. Thuma, Z. Phys. A 299, 281 (1981).
- [12] J. O. Rasmussen, Phys. Rev. 113, 1593 (1959).
- [13] P. Möller, J. R. Nix, and K.-L. Kratz, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 66, 131 (1997).
- [14] S. Liran and N. Zeldes, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 17, 431 (1976).
- [15] P. Möller, J. R. Nix, W. D. Myers, and W. J. Swiatecki, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **59**, 185 (1995).
- [16] D. Beck and the ISOLDE Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. A626, 343c (1997).
- [17] G. Audi and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. A595, 409 (1995).