Excited states in ¹⁵⁵Yb and ^{155,156,157}Lu from recoil-decay tagging

K. Y. Ding,^{1,*} J. A. Cizewski,¹ D. Seweryniak,² H. Amro,^{2,†} M. P. Carpenter,² C. N. Davids,² N. Fotiades,^{1,‡} R. V. F. Janssens,² T. Lauritsen,² C. J. Lister,² D. Nisius,^{2,§} P. Reiter,^{2,||} J. Uusitalo,^{2,¶} I. Wiedenhöver,² and A. O. Macchiavelli³

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

²Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

³Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

(Received 2 April 2001; published 22 August 2001)

The 270-MeV ⁵⁸Ni+ ¹⁰²Pd reaction was used for the first recoil-decay tagging measurement with Gammasphere coupled to the Fragment Mass Analyzer at Argonne National Laboratory. Level structures of ¹⁵⁵Yb, 156 Lu, and 157 Lu, as well as the excited states associated with the $25/2^-$ isomer in 155 Lu, are identified for the first time. The systematical behavior of the energy levels is compared with that of neighboring isotones and isotopes. The attractive interaction between $h_{11/2}$ protons and $h_{9/2}$ neutrons plays an important role in the structure of ¹⁵⁵Yb and ^{155,156}Lu.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.64.034315

PACS number(s): 23.20.Lv, 23.20.En, 27.70.+q

I. INTRODUCTION

The region of proton-rich N=84 isotones above ¹⁴⁶Gd has received considerable attention, e.g., Ref. [1], since the identification of ¹⁴⁶Gd as a doubly magic nucleus [2,3]. The orbitals in the 50 < Z < 82 major shell are $1g_{7/2}$, $2d_{5/2}$, $3s_{1/2}$, $1h_{11/2}$, and $2d_{3/2}$. ¹⁴⁶Gd has a filled N=82 neutron shell and the protons fill the $1g_{7/2}$ and $2d_{5/2}$ orbitals. Evidence such as a high excitation energy for the 2^+ state [3], the presence of a collective, low-lying 3⁻ state similar to that observed in doubly magic ²⁰⁸Pb [2], and the direct measurement of the Z=64 gap size from proton separation energies [4], indicated that ¹⁴⁶Gd can be regarded as a doubly magic nucleus with a large energy gap between the proton $1g_{7/2}$ and $2d_{5/2}$ orbitals and the remaining $1h_{11/2}$, $3s_{1/2}$, and $2d_{3/2}$ orbitals.

The N=84 isotones with $Z \ge 64$ are spherical nuclei. High-spin states involve protons occupying the $h_{11/2}$ orbital and neutrons in the $f_{7/2}$, $h_{9/2}$, and $i_{13/2}$ orbitals. The configurations of the excited states are mainly of the type $\pi h_{11/2}^n \nu f_{7/2}^m$ and $\pi h_{11/2}^n \nu f_{7/2}^m h_{9/2}^r$. At high excitation energies, $i_{13/2}$ neutrons also play a significant role in high-spin states. The excited states are generated by the gradual alignment of the spin of the individual nucleons. The study of excitations in the proton-rich N=84 isotones can probe the interactions between the two neutrons in the $f_{7/2}$ and $h_{9/2}$ orbitals above the N=82 gap, and also the interactions between these neutrons and protons in the $h_{11/2}$ orbital above the Z=64 gap. The primary purpose of the present measurement was to study the excited states in the proton-rich N=84 isotones above the ¹⁴⁶Gd core.

Shell model calculations predicted that the strong attractive interaction between protons in the $h_{11/2}$ orbital and neutrons in the $h_{9/2}$ orbital could result in a change in the shell structure [5]. This effect is manifested through lower excitation energies for the $h_{9/2}$ neutron excitations with respect to $f_{7/2}$ states, and can result in the formation of isomers, which have been observed in the N = 83 and 84 isotones, e.g., Refs. [6,7]. Excitations built on high-spin isomers in the N=84¹⁵⁵Lu and ¹⁵⁶Hf [8] nuclei have been previously identified in γ -ray spectroscopy. The present study of the excited states built upon the high-spin isomers in ¹⁵⁵Lu and ¹⁵⁶Lu investigates the strength of the attractive interaction between $h_{9/2}$ neutrons and $h_{11/2}$ protons as a function of proton number. Since the N=84 isotones with $Z \ge 64$ approach the protondrip line, the present work will also investigate possible changes in the proton-neutron interaction for systems in which the last proton is only weakly bound.

II. EXPERIMENT

The ¹⁰²Pd(⁵⁶Ni,xpyn) reaction at E_{beam} =270 MeV was used to populate the high-spin states in the proton-rich nuclei above the ¹⁴⁶Gd core. The projectile, ⁵⁸Ni, was accelerated by the Argonne Tandem Linear Accelerator System (ATLAS) on a 1-mg/cm² ¹⁰²Pd target with 69% enrichment. The major target contaminants were ¹⁰⁴Pd (12%), ¹⁰⁵Pd (6%), and ¹⁰⁶Pd (6%). The proton-rich ¹⁶⁰W compound system has a large number of exit channels and fusion evaporation has strong competition from the dominant fission process. The exit channels to be discussed in this work are 155 Yb (4pn), ¹⁵⁵Lu (3p2n), ¹⁵⁶Lu (3pn), and ¹⁵⁷Lu (3p). The level schemes of ^{158,159,160}Hf, produced in reactions schemes of with the heavier Pd isotopes in the target, are presented elsewhere [9].

Gammasphere (GS), with 101 Ge detectors, coupled to the Fragment Mass Analyzer (FMA) [10], was used for γ -ray spectroscopy. The compound nuclei which recoil from the target were dispersed according to their mass-to-charge

^{*}Present address: Telcordia Technologies, Piscataway, NJ 08854. [†]Present address: Mississippi State University, Mississippi St., MS 39762.

[‡]Present address: Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545.

[§]Present address: Bio-Imaging Research, Lincolnshire, IL 60069. [®]Present address: Ludwig Maximilian Universität München, Garching, Germany.

[¶]Present address: University of Jyväskylä, 40351 Jyväskylä, Finland.



FIG. 1. Two-dimensional display of the E_{α} vs X_{PGAC} matrix for decays which occurred within 200 ms after implantation.

(M/Q) ratio by the FMA. The positions of the recoils at the focal plane of the FMA were determined using a positionsensitive parallel-grid avalanche counter (PGAC). The recoils were implanted in a 48×48 double-sided silicon-strip detector (DSSD) placed 40 cm behind the PGAC.

The data from the experiment were recorded using the GS data acquisition system and written to magnetic tapes for off-line analysis. The pretrigger condition required at least two Compton-suppressed Ge detectors to fire. The main trigger of an event required signals from at least two Ge detectors and the PGAC, or any event in which the DSSD recorded a recoil or decay event. The time delay between the pre- and main triggers was 850 ns to allow sufficient time for the recoils to traverse the FMA and determine if a PGAC event occurred at the focal plane. The correlations between implant and decay events from the DSSD were established in the off-line analysis.

Standard γ -ray sources of ¹⁵²Eu, ¹⁸²Ta, ⁵⁶Co, and ²⁴³Am were used for energy and efficiency calibrations of the Ge detectors. Angular distributions of the γ -ray intensities were mainly used to deduce the multipolarity of a transition when directional correlation (DCO) analyses were not available because of insufficient statistics.

The FMA was set up to allow both the 25⁺ and 26⁺ charge states of A = 155, 156, 157 recoils to be collected at the focal plane. The projection of the X_{PGAC} vs E_{γ} matrix onto the X_{PGAC} axis was shown in Fig. 1 of Ref. [9]. The strongest channel, with mass M = 157, is ¹⁵⁷Lu, the 3*p* evaporation channel.

The recoil-decay tagging (RDT) technique [11] was used to select specific isomers that have the same X_{PGAC} position. A matrix of E_{α} vs X_{PGAC} with the condition that the decay occurred within 200 ms of the implant is displayed in Fig. 1. The data illustrate clearly that the correlations are unambiguous and that assignments are easy to make on this basis. The correlations between the prompt γ rays, mass of the implant, and decay of the implant were established off line. Additional details of the experimental procedures and γ -ray analyses are given in Refs. [9,12].

III. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

A. Level scheme of ¹⁵⁵Lu

Three α decaying isomers have been identified in ¹⁵⁵Lu: the ground state with E_{α} =5.584 MeV and half-life $t_{1/2}$ =136 ms and high-spin isomers with spins 11/2⁻ and 25/2⁻, E_{α} =5.655 and 7.390 MeV, and $t_{1/2}$ =70 and 2.71 ms, respectively [13].

The ground-state spin-parity was suggested to be $3/2^+$ with the unpaired proton occupying the $d_{3/2}$ orbital [14]. The excitations above the $3/2^+$ state are weakly populated in the present heavy-ion fusion reaction, because these levels are above the yrast line. No transitions above the $3/2^+$ state have been placed.

Prior to this work, transitions at 537, 686, and 807 keV had been identified and correlated with the α decays from the $11/2^{-1}$ isomer [8]. These transitions were assigned to the states above the $11/2^{-}$ isomer and arise from coupling the unpaired $h_{11/2}$ proton to the $(\nu f_{7/2})^2_{0^+,2^+,4^+,6^+}$ states in the neutron core. In the present data, the transitions at 686 and 807 keV were observed, but not the one at 537 keV. Due to insufficient γ - γ statistics, the ordering of these transitions above the $11/2^{-}$ isomer cannot be confirmed by the present measurement. The low statistics of this cascade is attributed to the pretrigger condition of GS, which required at least two Ge detectors to fire. With a cascade of only three transitions and taking the GS efficiency into account, the probability to detect two coincident γ rays is one-tenth that of detecting one γ ray. Spectra gated on the $3/2^+$ and $11/2^-$ isomers in ¹⁵⁵Lu are presented in Ref. [12].

The total projection of the recoil- and α -gated γ -ray events associated with the 25/2⁻ isomer in ¹⁵⁵Lu is shown in Fig. 2(a). The assignment of these transitions to Lu isotopes is confirmed by the presence of the Lu x rays in this spectrum.

The excited states above the $25/2^-$ isomer in ¹⁵⁵Lu are studied for the first time. A γ - γ coincidence matrix was constructed by gating on mass A = 155 and the 7.390-MeV α line from the decay of the $25/2^{-}$ isomer. A coincidence spectrum gated on the 518.8-keV transition in this matrix is shown in Fig. 2(b). This transition is in coincidence with all of the other transitions in Fig. 2(a) that feed the $25/2^{-}$ isomer. The level structure above the $25/2^-$ isomer, as well as that above the $11/2^{-}$ isomer taken from Ref. [8], are presented in Fig. 3. The transitions are arranged according to their intensities and coincidence relationships. The γ -ray results are summarized in Table I; the relative intensities of the transitions are from the total projection gated on both mass =155 and the α decay from the 25/2⁻ isomer. The intensities of the γ rays observed in ¹⁵⁵Lu are too weak to determine their multipolarity. The tentative assignments of the $25/2^-$, $27/2^{-}$, $29/2^{+}$, and $31/2^{+}$ states are based on the systematics of the N = 84 isotones [1,15].





B. Level scheme of ¹⁵⁵Yb

The high-spin isomer in ¹⁵⁵Yb decays with E_{α} = 5.202 MeV and $t_{1/2}$ =1800 ms [13]. A γ - γ matrix gated on mass=155 and the 5.202-MeV α decay associated with the isomer was used to construct the level scheme of ¹⁵⁵Yb; the total projection is displayed in Fig. 4(a). The level structure of ¹⁵⁵Yb has been studied for the first time in the present experiment and is displayed in Fig. 5. The summary of the transitions is listed in Table II; the relative intensities were obtained from the total projection. The intensities of the transitions in the total projection and the systematics of the lowlying structures above the 7/2⁻ isomer in the N=85, even-Z isotones suggest that the 169.30-keV line is the 9/2⁻ \rightarrow 7/2⁻ transition which populates the isomer. The spectrum gated on this transition is shown in Fig. 4(b).

The transitions at 223.4, 366.7, 474.7, and 755.1 keV cannot be placed. Although it is likely that the 169.30- and 815.7-keV transitions are in coincidence with the 223.4-,

366.7-, and 755.1-keV transitions, the statistics are insufficient to confirm the placements of these transitions in the level scheme.

C. Level scheme of ¹⁵⁶Lu

Two α -decaying isomers have been established in ¹⁵⁶Lu: a low-spin isomer with $E_{\alpha} = 5.454$ MeV and $t_{1/2} = 494$ ms and a high-spin isomer with $E_{\alpha} = 5.565$ MeV and $t_{1/2} =$ 198 ms [13]. A γ - γ coincidence matrix gated on the highspin isomeric α decay was constructed to establish the level scheme of ¹⁵⁶Lu; the total projection is displayed in Fig. 6(a). The coincidence spectrum gated on the strongest transition at 744.97 keV is shown in Fig. 6(b). The 744.97-keV transition is in coincidence with all of the other transitions associated with the isomer, except for the 364.89-keV transition.

The level scheme up to $I \ge 22^+$ and $E_x \sim 5.3$ MeV above the high-spin isomer in ¹⁵⁶Lu, presented in Fig. 7, was con-



FIG. 3. Level structure above the $11/2^{-}$ and $25/2^{-}$ isomers in $^{155}\mathrm{Lu.}$ The three transitions above the $11/2^-$ state are taken from Ref. [8] and assignments above the $25/2^-$ isomer are from the present measurement. The widths of the arrows are proportional to the γ -ray intensities.

structed primarily from coincidence relationships and intensity arguments from the γ - γ matrix associated with the E_{α} = 5.565-MeV isomer. The excited states correlated with the decay of the high-spin isomer in ¹⁵⁶Lu are studied for the first time in the present measurement. The summary of the transitions assigned to ¹⁵⁶Lu is presented in Table III; the relative intensities of the transitions were obtained from the total projection of the matrix gated on mass=156 and E_{α} = 5.565 MeV. A γ - γ coincidence matrix gated on mass 156, without an α -decay tag, was used to supplement the construction of the level scheme. The order of the 500.83-, 731.41-, and 1053.39-keV transitions is uncertain because these transitions have the same intensities within the statistical errors. The assignments of the spins and parities are based on the systematics of the excited states in the N=85 isotones [16-20], the angular distributions of the transitions, and intensity balance considerations. The assignment of a 10^+ ($\pi h_{11/2}\nu h_{9/2}$) state to the bottom of the cascade, instead of a 9⁺ state, is based on the systematics of the excited states in the N = 85 isotones, as discussed below.

The 364.89-keV transition in Fig. 6(a) is in coincidence with transitions at 304, 313, 524, 584, 761, and 776 keV, none of which are in coincidence with the 744.97-keV transition. These transitions may originate from the non-yrast states built upon the 9⁺ isomer. The intensities of the strongest of these transitions in the total projection of the γ -ray events gated on the isomer associated with the 5.454-MeV α line in ¹⁵⁶Lu are also given in Table III. Because they are very weak, these transitions could not be placed in the level scheme.

The total projection [12] of the γ -ray events gated on the isomer associated with the 5.454-MeV α line in ¹⁵⁶Lu is contaminated by the tail of the more intense alpha line associated with the high-spin isomer. However, by subtracting the spectrum gated on the alpha decay of the high-spin isomer, a 162.21-keV transition can be assigned above the lowspin isomer in ¹⁵⁶Lu. Gamma-ray spectra were obtained by gating on the 162.21-keV transition in the γ - γ matrix gated on mass =156 alone, and in the matrix gated on mass =156correlated with the E_{α} = 5.454 MeV, but no coincidence relations could be established.

D. Level scheme of ¹⁵⁷Lu

One α decaying isomer has been identified [13] in ¹⁵⁷Lu, with $E_{\alpha} = 4.997$ MeV, $t_{1/2} = 4900$ ms, and it was assigned spin and parity $11/2^-$. Figure 8(a) displays the total projection of the γ -ray events gated on mass=157 and the α decays from both the isomer in ¹⁵⁷Lu and decay from the daughter, ¹⁵³Tm. This double correlation was imposed in order to minimize random contributions associated with the long time gate of ~ 15 s. The x rays from Lu isotopes are identified in this spectrum, which supports assignments of the transitions to 157 Lu. The total projections of the γ -ray

Energy	Intensity	Mult.	Exci	tation	Assignment			
(keV)			E_i (keV)	E_f (keV)	I_i^{π}	\rightarrow	I_f^{π}	
211.65(13)	572(114)	(<i>M</i> 1)	3171	2960	(31/2 ⁺)	\rightarrow	(29/2 ⁺)	
341.3(3)	200(52)		3867	3525				
354.2(2)	463(97)		3525	3171				
381.8(4)	111(39)		4350	3968				
398.4(4) ^a	193(94)							
518.8(2)	=1000	(<i>M</i> 1)	2300	1781	$(27/2^{-})$	\rightarrow	$25/2^{-}$	
549.6(4)	207(58)		4075	3525				
560.0(2)	432(96)		4528	3968				
659.7(2)	929(181)	(<i>E</i> 1)	2960	2300	$(29/2^+)$	\rightarrow	$(27/2^{-})$	
$708.0(7)^{a}$	145(70)							
797.2(3)	392(95)		3968	3171				

TABLE I. Energies, relative intensities, and placements of γ -ray transitions in ¹⁵⁵Lu above the 25/2⁻ isomer. Spins and parities in parentheses are based on systematics

'Not placed.







FIG. 5. Level structure above the $7/2^{-1}$ isomer in ¹⁵⁵Yb.

events gated on mass=157 and the α decay from ¹⁵⁷Lu, without requiring the ¹⁵³Tm daughter decay, and only on mass=157, which has enhanced statistics, are presented in Ref. [12] and are similar to the projection displayed in Fig. 8(a).

Three γ - γ coincidence matrices were constructed, gated on (1) mass=157, and the two sequential isomeric α decays in ¹⁵⁷Lu and in the daughter ¹⁵³Tm, (2) mass=157 and the isomeric α decay in ¹⁵⁷Lu, and (3) mass=157; the projections are displayed in Ref. [12]. Coincidence spectra gated on the 537.19-keV transition, the strongest transition in the total projections of these matrices, were found to be similar. Therefore the γ - γ matrix gated on mass=157 was used to construct the level scheme; the coincidence spectrum gated on the 537.19-keV transition from this matrix is presented in Fig. 8(b).

The extensive level scheme of 157 Lu above the $11/2^$ isomer, shown in Fig. 9, was constructed up to E_x

Energy	Intensity	Mult.	Exci	tation	Assignment			
(keV)			E_i (keV)	E_f (keV)	I_i^{π}	\rightarrow	I_f^{π}	
169.30(12)	698(112)	(<i>M</i> 1)	169	0	(9/2 ⁻)	\rightarrow	7/2-	
223.4(2) ^a	282(94)							
320.31(13)	659(94)	(<i>E</i> 2)	1915	1594	$(21/2^{-})$	\rightarrow	$(17/2^{-})$	
366.7(2) ^a	185(71)		2281	1915				
474.7(4) ^a	140(83)							
609.3(2)	667(76)	(<i>E</i> 2)	1594	985	$(17/2^{-})$	\rightarrow	$(13/2^{-})$	
755.1(3) ^a	468(129)							
815.7(3)	=1000	(<i>E</i> 2)	985	169	(13/2 ⁻)	\rightarrow	(9/2 ⁻)	

TABLE II. Energies, realtive intensities, and placements of γ -ray transitions in ¹⁵⁵Yb above the 7/2⁻ isomer. Spins and parities in parentheses are tentatively established.

^aNot placed.

~8.5 MeV and $I \ge 45/2\hbar$. The relevant information on the transitions in ¹⁵⁷Lu are summarized in Table IV; relative intensities of the transitions were obtained from the spectrum gated on mass=157 and E_{α} =4.997 MeV. A DCO matrix

was used to determine the multipolarity of the strong transitions. The arguments for the spin and parity assignments of the excited states were supplemented by angular distribution analyses and intensity balance requirements.



FIG. 6. (a) Total projection of γ -ray events gated on mass=156 and the α decay from the highspin isomer in ¹⁵⁶Lu with E_{α} = 5.565 MeV and $t_{1/2}$ =198 ms. The transition marked with an asterisk has not been placed in the level scheme. (b) Background-subtracted coincidence spectrum gated on the 744.97-keV transition in the matrix associated with the ¹⁵⁶Lu E_{α} =5.565 MeV isomeric decay.



FIG. 7. Level structure above the high-spin isomer in 156 Lu. The 10^+ spin-parity assignment to the level at the bottom of the cascade is discussed in the text.

Four E2 cascades in ¹⁵⁷Lu are built above the low-lying states, two of positive and two of negative parity. The cascades with the same parity are connected by intraband M1, as well as crossover E2, transitions. Coincidence spectra gated on the 669.11- $(39/2^+ \rightarrow 35/2^+)$, 469.35- $(37/2^+)$ \rightarrow 35/2⁺), and 199.73-keV (39/2⁺ \rightarrow 37/2⁺) transitions are presented in Ref. [12]. Although the 469.35- and 199.73-keV transitions are in coincidence with each other, the 669.11keV transition is not in coincidence with either. Angular distributions suggest that the 669.11-keV transition is likely a stretched quadrupole and the 469.35- and 199.73-keV transitions are likely stretched dipole in character. Intensity balance arguments support the M1 assignments to both the 469.35- and 199.73-keV transitions. Similar arguments apply to the assignments of other M1 transitions, for which the placements are determined by the presence of crossover E2 transitions. At higher excitation energies, the level scheme is irregular and the intensities of the transitions are too low to allow multipolarity assignments.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. The N = 84 isotone: ¹⁵⁵Lu

The systematics of the excitations above the $11/2^{-1}$ isomers in the odd-Z, N=84 isotones are presented in Fig. 10. The sequences of states, $23/2^{-} \rightarrow 19/2^{-} \rightarrow 15/2^{-} \rightarrow 11/2^{-}$, are similar in excitation energies from ¹⁴⁹Tb to ¹⁵⁵Lu, which suggests that the same active particles are involved. These sequences in the odd-Z, N=84 isotones closely resemble the $6^{+} \rightarrow 4^{+} \rightarrow 2^{+} \rightarrow 0^{+}$ sequences in the even-Z, N=84 isotones. The sequence in ¹⁵⁵Lu was first observed in Ref. [8], and suggested to be generated by coupling the unpaired $h_{11/2}$ proton to two $f_{7/2}$ neutrons.

TABLE III. Energies, relative intensities, a_2 angular distribution coefficients, and placements of γ -ray transitions in ¹⁵⁶Lu above the high-spin isomer.

Energy	Intensity	a_2	Mult.	$E_x(\mathbf{I})$	(eV)	A	Assignme	nt
(keV)				E_i	E_{f}	I_i^{π}	\rightarrow	I_f^{π}
190.48(10)	138(11)	-0.62(18)	<i>M</i> 1	4600	4409			
304.3(3) ^a	24(6)							
311.2(4)	46(15)			5287	4975			
313.85(10)	773(33)	0.17(4)	<i>E</i> 2	1677	1363	16^{+}	\rightarrow	14^{+}
364.89(11) ^a	102(7)							
375.81(10)	211(14)	0.18(4)	<i>E</i> 2	4975	4600			
402.16(12)	81(10)			5002	4600			
446.29(10)	273(17)			4409	3963			
461.97(12)	168(12)	0.21(14)	<i>E</i> 2	2139	1677	18^{+}	\rightarrow	16^{+}
500.83(10)	427(23)	0.37(7)	<i>E</i> 2	2178	1677	18^{+}	\rightarrow	16^{+}
523.9(8) ^a	41(30)							
525.17(12)	144(11)			4488	3963			
574.2(2)	65(8)			2713	2139			
584.1(3) ^a	54(11)							
618.45(10)	936(42)	0.18(6)	<i>E</i> 2	1363	745	14^{+}	\rightarrow	12^{+}
731.41(11)	413(23)	0.12(4)	<i>E</i> 2	2910	2178	20^{+}	\rightarrow	18^{+}
744.97(10)	=1000	0.12(6)	<i>E</i> 2	745	0	12^{+}	\rightarrow	10^{+}
1053.39(12)	416(27)	0.35(13)	<i>E</i> 2	3963	2910	22^{+}	\rightarrow	20^+

^aNot placed.



FIG. 8. (a) Total projection of γ -ray events gated on mass=157 and the α decay from the isomer in ¹⁵⁷Lu correlated with the α decay from the daughter, ¹⁵³Tm. (b) Background-subtracted coincidence spectrum gated on the 537.19-keV transition in the matrix gated on mass=157.

The yrast states in the N=84 isotones, from ¹⁴⁹Tb to 154 Yb [1,15,21,22] and in 156 Hf [8] have been assigned the $\pi h_{11/2}^n \nu f_{7/2}^2$ and $\pi h_{11/2}^n \nu f_{7/2} h_{9/2}$ configurations. The observed level energies of these multiparticle yrast states have been compared to shell model predictions, e.g., Ref. [1]. The strong attractive interaction between the protons in the $h_{11/2}$ orbital and the neutrons in the $h_{9/2}$ orbital was suggested to account for the inversion in Fig. 10 of the $25/2^-$ and the maximally aligned $27/2^-$ states in the N=84 isotones. The systematical lowering of the $\nu h_{9/2}$ single-particle states observed in the even-Z, N=83 isotones from ¹⁴⁷Gd to ¹⁵³Yb was the first evidence, e.g., Ref. [23], that the attractive interaction between $h_{11/2}$ protons and $h_{9/2}$ neutrons was changing the single-particle energies. This attractive interaction is expected to increase as the number of protons in the $h_{11/2}$ orbital increases. A corresponding decrease in the excitation energies of $\nu h_{9/2}$ states with respect to $\nu f_{7/2}$ excitations has been observed in the even-Z, N=84 isotones. For example,

the $(\nu f_{7/2}h_{9/2})_{8^+}$ state is below the $(\nu f_{7/2})_{6^+}^2$ state in ¹⁵⁶Hf and results in the presence of an 8⁺ isomer [8].

The 25/2⁻ states in Fig. 10 drop from an excitation energy of 2.628 MeV in ¹⁴⁹Tb to 1.781 MeV in ¹⁵⁵Lu, where this level is below the 23/2⁻ state. Therefore in ¹⁵⁵Lu the 25/2⁻ state can only decay via α emission [13], or electromagnetically by an *E*4 transition. The 25/2⁻ state has been suggested [22] to have the $\pi h_{11/2}^3 \nu h_{9/2} f_{7/2}$ configuration, in which one of the $h_{11/2}$ protons is antialigned with the $h_{9/2}$ neutron to 1⁺. The attractive proton-neutron interaction in this configuration lowers this state with respect to the $(\pi h_{11/2} \nu f_{7/2}^2)_{23/2^-}$ state, causing the 25/2⁻ isomer. The transitions above the 25/2⁻ isomer in ¹⁵⁵Lu observed in the present measurement follow closely the systematics in the lighter, odd-*Z* isotones. The fully aligned $\pi h_{11/2} \nu f_{7/2} h_{9/2}$ configuration is suggested for the 27/2⁻ states are assigned the $\pi h_{11/2} \nu f_{7/2} i_{13/2}$ configuration from energy systematics.





B. The N=85 isotones: ¹⁵⁵Yb and ¹⁵⁶Lu

The N=85 isotones above ¹⁴⁶Gd have three valence neutrons outside of the N=82 closed shell, and valence protons outside of the Z=64 closed subshell. The Fermi surface of these nuclei is located near the $\pi h_{11/2}$ and $\nu f_{7/2}$ or $\nu h_{9/2}$ orbitals, which are close in energy. For the even-Z, N=85 isotones, the first few high-spin yrast states above the $7/2^-$ isomer can be generated by coupling the unpaired neutron in the $f_{7/2}$ or $h_{9/2}$ orbitals to the $(f_{7/2})^2$ states of the neutron core. As the number of protons increases, the energy difference between the $7/2^-$ and $9/2^-$ states decreases, because of the increase in the attractive proton-neutron interaction. For the odd-Z, N=85 isotones, a 9⁺ isomer can result from the coupling between the unpaired $h_{11/2}$ proton and $f_{7/2}$ neutron. A low-lying 10⁺ state can also be obtained from the coupling between $\pi h_{11/2}$ and $\nu h_{9/2}$.

The systematics of the cascades built upon the 10^+ states in the odd-Z isotones and the corresponding cascades built upon the $9/2^-$ states in the even-Z isotones are displayed in Fig. 11. The excitation energies of the $(\nu f_{7/2}^3)_{7/2^-}$ states rela-

tive to the $(\nu f_{7/2}^2 h_{9/2})_{9/2^-}$ states in the even-Z, N=85 isotones and of the 9^+ states relative to the 10^+ states in the odd-Z, N=85 isotones are also presented. In the even-Z, N=85 isotones, the energy spacings between the $7/2^{-}$ and $9/2^{-}$ states decrease dramatically as the number of protons increases. The cascade observed in the present work in ¹⁵⁵Yb follows the energy systematics of the excitations above the $7/2^{-}$ isomers in the even-Z, N=85 isotones. The energy spacing between the $7/2^-$ and $9/2^-$ states in the Z=70, ¹⁵⁵Yb is smaller than that in the Z=68 isotone, ¹⁵³Er [20], but larger when compared to that of the Z = 72 isotone, ¹⁵⁷Hf [24]. Although the cascade built upon the $(\nu f_{7/2}^3)_{7/2^-}$ state is yrast in ¹⁴⁹Gd, the $(\nu f_{7/2}^2 h_{9/2})_{9/2^-}$ levels become yrast for Z >64. That no excitations built upon the $7/2^-$ isomers in ¹⁵⁵Yb and ¹⁵⁷Hf [24] were observed indicates that these excitations are above the yrast line.

A 10⁺ state has been assigned to the bottom of the prompt cascade in ¹⁵⁶Lu observed in this experiment and displayed in Fig. 7. This assignment is supported by the systematical behavior of the cascades built above the $(\pi h_{11/2} \nu f_{7/2}^2 h_{9/2})_{10^+}$

Energy	Intensity	R _{DCO}	<i>a</i> ₂	Mult.	$E_x(1)$	keV)	As	ssignm	ent
(keV)					E_i	E_f	I_i^{π}	\rightarrow	I_f^{π}
109.0(2)	22(4)			<i>M</i> 1	3732	3623	35/2+	\rightarrow	$(33/2^+)$
128.07(11)	80(6)	0.62(22)		M1	4824	4696	$43/2^{+}$	\rightarrow	$41/2^{+}$
133.90(10)	197(11)	0.51(12)		M1	2916	2782	$31/2^{+}$	\rightarrow	$29/2^{+}$
160.3(3)	13(3)			(<i>M</i> 1)	5086	4926	$(45/2^+)$	\rightarrow	$43/2^{+}$
199.63(11)	<42			(M1)	3804	3605	35/2-	\rightarrow	$(33/2^{-})$
199.73(11)	111(8)	0.54(12)		M1	4401	4201	$39/2^{+}$	\rightarrow	$37/2^{+}$
230.1(3)	19(3)			(<i>M</i> 1)	3087	2856	$31/2^{-}$	\rightarrow	$(29/2^{-})$
265.4(3)	49(13)			M1	3732	3467	$35/2^+$	\rightarrow	33/2+
267.23(12)	263(17)	0.65(16)	-0.23(5)	M1	2375	2108	$27/2^{-}$	\rightarrow	$25/2^{-}$
270.42(11)	125(7)	0.89(34)		(<i>E</i> 2)	5094	4824	$(47/2^+)$	\rightarrow	$43/2^{+}$
294.92(10)	232(10)	0.76(20)	-0.27(5)	M1	4696	4401	$41/2^{+}$	\rightarrow	$39/2^+$
300.63(11)	91(6)	1.15(43)	0.25(5)	E2	7069	6768			
313.7(2)	<23				8517	8203			
319.6(2)	25(3)		-0.39(26)	(<i>M</i> 1)	5406	5086	$(47/2^+)$	\rightarrow	$(45/2^+)$
331.83(10)	352(14)	0.59(14)	-0.20(5)	M1	2108	1776	$25/2^{-}$	\rightarrow	$23/2^{-}$
389.94(11)	<15			(<i>E</i> 2)	5086	4696	$(45/2^+)$	\rightarrow	$41/2^{+}$
406.70(10)	575(22)	0.55(6)	-0.35(2)	E1	2782	2375	$29/2^{+}$	\rightarrow	$27/2^{-}$
469.35(11)	163(9)	0.86(19)	-0.16(5)	M1	4201	3732	$37/2^{+}$	\rightarrow	$35/2^+$
477.8(2)	29(4)				5302	4824			
494.5(2)	48(6)		0.46(10)	E2	4696	4201	$41/2^{+}$	\rightarrow	$37/2^+$
524.9(3)	37(5)		1.59(35)	E2	4926	4401	$43/2^{+}$	\rightarrow	$39/2^{+}$
537.19(10)	=1000		0.11(3)	E2	537	0	$15/2^{-}$	\rightarrow	$11/2^{-}$
551.16(14)	96(7)		-0.43(15)	M1	3467	2916	$33/2^+$	\rightarrow	$31/2^+$
582.1(2)	55(6)			(<i>E</i> 2)	5796	5214	$(47/2^+)$	\rightarrow	$(43/2^+)$
599.27(11)	375(16)	1.03(15)		E2	2375	1776	$27/2^{-}$	\rightarrow	$23/2^{-}$
605.0(5)	< 92				6768	6163			
605.8(5)	< 92				5302	4696			
605.83(10)	977(32)	1.14(16)		E2	1143	537	$19/2^{-}$	\rightarrow	$15/2^{-}$
632.80(12)	882(39)	1.19(18)		E2	1776	1143	$23/2^{-}$	\rightarrow	$19/2^{-}$
662.9(3)	<56				6068	5406			
669.11(12)	202(12)	1.02(18)	0.28(6)	E2	4401	3732	$39/2^{+}$	\rightarrow	$35/2^+$
700.1(3)	44(6)				6768	6068			
708.0(2)	57(8)		-0.38(10)	(M1)	3623	2916	$33/2^{+}$	\rightarrow	$31/2^+$
711.7(4)	78(8)		0.33(10)	E2	3087	2375	$31/2^{-}$	\rightarrow	$27/2^{-}$
717.0(2)	86(8)		0.39(6)	E2	3804	3087	$35/2^{-}$	\rightarrow	$31/2^{-}$
730.5(5)	41(5)				4534	3804			
734.4(4)	38(5)		0.44(12)	E2	4201	3467	$37/2^+$	\rightarrow	$33/2^+$
748.4(5)				(<i>E</i> 2)	3605	2856	$(33/2^{-})$	\rightarrow	$(29/2^{-})$
748.5(5)	}106(9)			(<i>E</i> 2)	2856	2108	$(29/2^{-})$	\rightarrow	$25/2^{-}$
816.52(12)	375(19)	0.96(17)	0.23(4)	<i>E</i> 2	3732	2916	$35/2^+$	\rightarrow	$31/2^{+}$
861.7(3)	55(6)				6163	5302			
1060.3(4)	41(7)				6155	5094			
1134.5(5)	25(6)				8203	7069			
1244.0(4)	38(7)				6068	4824			

TABLE IV. Energies, relative intensities, DCO ratios, a_2 angular distribution coefficients, and placements of γ -ray transitions in ¹⁵⁷Lu above the $11/2^-$ isomer.

and the $(\pi h_{11/2}\nu f_{7/2}^3)_{9^+}$ configurations in the odd-*Z*, N=85 isotones. In addition, the cascade observed in ¹⁵⁶Lu is similar to the one built upon the $9/2^-$ state in ¹⁵⁵Yb. Just as the energy differences between $(\nu f_{7/2}^3)_{7/2^-}$ and $(\nu f_{7/2}^2 h_{9/2})_{9/2^-}$ excitations decrease in the even-*Z*, N=85 isotones, the energy differences between the corresponding 9^+ and 10^+ states in

the odd-Z isotones are reduced by the increase in the attractive proton-neutron interaction as the number of valence protons increases.

From the present measurement, it is difficult to determine whether the 9^+ state is above or below the 10^+ state in ¹⁵⁶Lu. The energy systematics in Fig. 11 suggest that the 10^+



FIG. 10. Systematics of the low-lying yrast states above the $11/2^-$ isomers in the odd-Z, N=84 isotones, taken from Refs. [1,15,8] and the present work. Prompt excitations above the $25/2^-$ isomer in ¹⁵⁵Lu are from the present work.

state is probably located above the 9⁺ state in ¹⁵⁶Lu and thus the high-spin isomer observed in ¹⁵⁶Lu is associated with the 9⁺ state. However, these two states are probably very close in excitation energy. Therefore the 10⁺ to 9⁺ transition energy could be very small (<100 keV) and the associated M1 transition would have a large conversion coefficient ($\alpha_{tot} \ge 3.82$).

The excitations in the light odd-*Z*, N=85 isotones in Fig. 11 suggest that the excitation energy of the 11^+ state built on the $(\pi h_{11/2}\nu f_{7/2}^3)_{9^+}$ configuration could be comparable to that of the 12^+ state in the cascade built upon the 10^+ state. As a result, the cascade built upon the $(\pi h_{11/2}\nu f_{7/2}^3)_{9^+}$ configuration would be non-yrast and hence could be populated too weakly in the present measurement to be observed. The unassigned transitions in Table III, associated with the high-



FIG. 11. Systematics of the cascades built on the $(\pi h_{11/2}\nu f_{7/2}^2 h_{9/2})_{10^+}$ states in the N=85 isotones, taken from Refs. [16–20] and the present work. The excitation energies of the $(\nu f_{7/2}^3)_{7/2^-}$ states relative to the $(\nu f_{7/2}^2 h_{9/2})_{9/2^-}$ states in the even-*Z*, N=85 isotones are also displayed.



FIG. 12. Systematics of the energies of the $17/2^- \rightarrow 15/2^-$ (solid symbols) and $19/2^- \rightarrow 15/2^-$ (open symbols) transitions in Lu isotopes, taken from Refs. [25–27] and the present work. The energy of the $17/2^- \rightarrow 15/2^-$ transition is linearly extrapolated to 157 Lu.

spin isomeric decay, are probably associated with the deexcitation of the states built upon the 9^+ isomer.

C. Level structure of ¹⁵⁷Lu

The low-lying states of ¹⁵⁷Lu have very similar energy spacings, which suggests that ¹⁵⁷Lu is a transitional nucleus with a low-lying vibrational structure. The signature α = +1/2 decay sequence observed in the heavier isotopes is not observed in ¹⁵⁷Lu. The systematics of the energy splittings between the signature partner bands, the $17/2^- \rightarrow 15/2^-$ transition energy, in the $h_{11/2}$ sequences for the heavier odd-Lu isotopes is shown in Fig. 12. Extrapolation of this transition energy to ¹⁵⁷Lu suggests that the energy of the $17/2^ \rightarrow 15/2^-$ transition is close to that of the $19/2^- \rightarrow 15/2^-$ transition, as expected, since these states would be degenerate if ¹⁵⁷Lu is spherical. As a result, the α = + 1/2 decay sequence in ¹⁵⁷Lu is above the yrast line and would be weakly populated and therefore difficult to observe.

The *E*2 cascades above the vibrational structure observed in ¹⁵⁷Lu are similar to the signature partner bands at high spin observed in the heavier Lu isotopes. Because the ¹⁵⁷Lu cascades do not extend to many transitions, extensive study of B(M1)/B(E2) ratios similar to those done on the heavier Lu isotopes, e.g., Ref. [25], is not available. The B(M1)/B(E2) ratios for the levels in bands 1, 2, and 3 in ¹⁵⁷Lu and the corresponding levels in ¹⁶¹Lu are compared in Table V. The B(M1)/B(E2) ratios in ¹⁵⁷Lu are consistently larger than those in ¹⁶¹Lu. Such results are expected because ¹⁵⁷Lu is a transitional nucleus, which is only slightly deformed, and therefore has smaller B(E2) values compared to those in the heavier isotopes.

V. SUMMARY

The ¹⁰²Pb(⁵⁸Ni, *xnyp*) reaction at E_{beam} =270 MeV was used to study proton-rich *N*=84,85 isotones and ¹⁵⁷Lu. Excited states up to $E_x \sim 4.5$ MeV and $I \gtrsim 31/2\hbar$ above the

TABLE V. B(M1)/B(E2) values for the three E2 bands observed in ¹⁵⁷Lu compared to the corresponding values in ¹⁶¹Lu [25].

Band	Spin (I^{π})	$\frac{B(M1:I \rightarrow I-1)}{B(E2:I \rightarrow I-2)}$				
		¹⁵⁷ Lu	¹⁶¹ Lu			
1	37/2+	17(5)	0.9(1)			
	$41/2^{+}$	6(2)	0.9(2)			
2	$35/2^+$	1.2(2)	1.16(10)			
	$39/2^{+}$	7.9(4)	1.15(10)			
3	$27/2^{-}$	2.3(1)	< 0.31			
	31/2-	1.8(2)	2.7(3)			

25/2[−] isomer in ¹⁵⁵Lu, $E_x \sim 2.3$ MeV and $I \gtrsim 21/2\hbar$ above the 7/2[−] isomer in ¹⁵⁵Yb, $E_x \sim 5.3$ MeV and $I \gtrsim 22\hbar$ above the high-spin isomer in ¹⁵⁶Lu, and $E_x \sim 8.5$ MeV and $I \gtrsim 45/2\hbar$ in ¹⁵⁷Lu have been identified.

The energy levels in ¹⁵⁵Lu above the $11/2^{-}$ isomer follow the energy systematics displayed by the odd-*Z*, N=84 isotones up to spin = $31/2^{+}$. The systematical lowering of the $25/2^{-}$ states is understood by the increase in the strong attractive interaction between the $h_{11/2}$ proton and $h_{9/2}$ neutron with the increase in proton number.

In ¹⁵⁵Yb, the energy difference between the $7/2^-$ and $9/2^-$ states follows the systematics of other even-*Z*, N=85 isotones. The systematical lowering of the $9/2^-$ state with respect to the $7/2^-$ state is again explained by the increase in the strong attractive interaction between the protons in the $h_{11/2}$ orbital and the neutrons in the $h_{9/2}$ orbital. The excitations above the $9/2^-$ state can be generated by the coupling of the unpaired $h_{9/2}$ neutron to the neutron $(f_{7/2}^2)$ excitations in the core.

In ¹⁵⁶Lu, the energy systematics of the N=85 isotones was invoked to deduce a 10⁺ assignment for the level at the bottom of the prompt cascade correlated with the α decay of the high-spin isomer. In particular, the energy spacings of the first four levels in ¹⁵⁶Lu are very similar to those of levels built upon the $9/2^-$ state in ¹⁵⁵Yb. The preferential population of yrast states built on the $(\pi h_{11/2}\nu h_{9/2})_{10^+}$ configuration over non-yrast levels built on $(\pi h_{11/2}\nu f_{7/2})_{9^+}$ has been suggested to explain why excitations built on the 9⁺ configuration have not been identified. Although the energy systematics of the N=85 isotones suggest that the attractive interaction between the $h_{11/2}$ protons and $h_{9/2}$ neutrons in ¹⁵⁶Lu is not sufficiently strong to lower the 10⁺ state to below the 9⁺ level, these two states are probably very close in energy.

From the energy systematics of the Lu isotopes, and the approximately equal energy spacings at low excitations, a vibrational structure is proposed above the $11/2^-$ isomer in ¹⁵⁷Lu. At higher excitations, two positive-parity and two negative-parity *E*2 cascades, with *M*1 intraband transitions, have been identified. Although these cascades are not as extensive as those observed in the heavier Lu isotopes, e.g., Ref. [25], B(M1)/B(E2) ratios in ¹⁵⁷Lu are consistent with a less deformed structure, compared to that of heavier Lu isotopes.

It is possible that new phenomena could be observed in nuclei, such as ¹⁵⁵Lu, which are near the proton-drip line and in which the last proton is only weakly bound. The present analysis, which focused on the systematical behavior of excitation energies as functions of proton and neutron numbers, indicated that the structure of ¹⁵⁵Lu is similar to that of its lighter isotones. To test more quantitatively the structure of nuclei near and beyond the proton-drip line, shell model calculations are needed to determine the extent to which single-particle spacings and interaction matrix elements, appropriate for more stable nuclei, remain valid at the limit of stability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by U.S. National Science Foundation and the Department of Energy – Nuclear Physics Division under Contract Nos. W-31-109-ENG-38 and DE-AC03-76SF00098.

- [1] C.T. Zhang et al., Phys. Rev. C 54, R1 (1996).
- [2] P. Kleinheinz, S. Lunardi, M. Ogawa, and M.R. Maier, Z. Phys. A 284, 315 (1978).
- [3] M. Ogawa, R. Broda, K. Zell, P.J. Daly, and P. Kleinheinz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 289 (1978).
- [4] P. Kleinheinz, R. Broda, P.J. Daly, S. Lunardi, M. Ogawa, and J. Blomqvist, Z. Phys. A 290, 295 (1979).
- [5] P. Kleinheinz et al., Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser. 132, 691 (1993).
- [6] S. Hofmann et al., Z. Phys. A 333, 107 (1989).
- [7] A. Kuhnert et al., Phys. Rev. C 46, 484 (1992).
- [8] D. Seweryniak *et al.*, Proceedings of the Conference on Nuclear Structure at the Limits, Argonne, 1996, ANL/PHY-97/1, 1997, p. 247.
- [9] K.Y. Ding et al., Phys. Rev. C 62, 034316 (2000).
- [10] C.N. Davids *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 70, 358 (1992).

- [11] E.S. Paul et al., Phys. Rev. C 51, 78 (1995).
- [12] K.Y. Ding, Ph.D. dissertation, Rutgers University, 1999.
- [13] R. Page, P.J. Woods, R.A. Cunningham, T. Davinson, N.J. Davis, A.N. James, K. Livingston, P.J. Sellin, and A.C. Shotter, Phys. Rev. C 53, 660 (1996).
- [14] K.S. Toth and W. Nazarewicz, Phys. Rev. C 48, R978 (1993).
- [15] Z. Méliani et al., Nucl. Phys. A575, 221 (1994).
- [16] M. Piiparinen et al., Z. Phys. A 300, 133 (1981).
- [17] G. Duchêne et al., Z. Phys. A 350, 39 (1994).
- [18] M. Piiparinen, S. Lunardi, P. Kleinheinz, H. Backe, and J. Blomqvist, Z. Phys. A 290, 337 (1979).
- [19] S. André, C. Foin, D. Santos, D. Barnéoud, J. Genevey, Ch. Vieu, J.S. Dionisio, M. Pautrat, C. Schück, and Z. Méliani, Nucl. Phys. A575, 155 (1994).
- [20] C. Foin, S. André, D. Barnéoud, G. Bastin, A. Peghaire, and J.P. Thibaud, Z. Phys. A 305, 81 (1982).

- [21] M.A. Deleplanque, J.C. Bacelar, E.M. Beck, R.M. Diamond, F.S. Stephens, J.E. Draper, Th. Dossing, and K. Neergard, Phys. Lett. B 195, 17 (1987).
- [22] C.T. Zhang, P. Kleinheinz, M. Piiparinen, R. Collatz, T. Lönnroth, G. Sletten, and J. Blomqvist, Z. Phys. A 348, 249 (1994).
- [23] J.H. McNeill, A.A. Chishti, P.J. Daly, W. Gelletly, M.A.C. Hotchkis, M. Piiparinen, B.J. Varley, P.J. Woods, and J. Blom-
- qvist, Z. Phys. A 344, 369 (1993).
- [24] A.F. Saad et al., Z. Phys. A 351, 247 (1995).
- [25] C.-H. Yu et al., Nucl. Phys. A489, 477 (1988).
- [26] Y. Ma, H. Sun, Y. Liu, S. Wen, H. Zheng, S. Li, G. Li, G. Yuan, P. Weng, and C. Yang, J. Phys. G 21, 937 (1995).
- [27] Table of Isotopes, edited by R.B. Firestone, V.S. Shirley, C.M. Baglin, S.Y.F. Chu, and J. Zipkin, 8th ed. (Wiley, New York, 1996).