Journal Pre-proof



Antimicrobial and cytotoxic synergism of biocides and quorum-sensing inhibitors against uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*

K.L. Capper-Parkin, T. Nichol, T.J. Smith, M.M. Lacey, S. Forbes

PII: S0195-6701(23)00048-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2023.02.004

Reference: YJHIN 6857

To appear in: *Journal of Hospital Infection*

Received Date: 29 November 2022

Revised Date: 10 February 2023

Accepted Date: 11 February 2023

Please cite this article as: Capper-Parkin KL, Nichol T, Smith TJ, Lacey MM, Forbes S, Antimicrobial and cytotoxic synergism of biocides and quorum-sensing inhibitors against uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*, *Journal of Hospital Infection*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2023.02.004.

ANTIMICROBIAL AND CYTOTOXIC SYNERGISM OF BIOCIDES AND QUORUM SENSING INHIBITORS AGAINST UROPATHOGENIC *ESCHERICHIA COLI*

K.L. Capper-Parkin, T. Nichol, T.J. Smith, M.M. Lacey and S. Forbes

Biomolecular Sciences Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK

Key words: Biocides, Quorum sensing inhibitors, Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli,* Combinatorial therapies, urinary tract infections, catheter associated urinary tract infections

Corresponding Author information: Dr Sarah Forbes. Biomolecular Sciences Research Centre. College of Health, Wellbeing and Life Sciences. Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, S1 1WB. Tel: 0114 255 3075. Email: S.Forbes@shu.ac.uk

STRUCTURED SUMMARY:

Background: Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) are a primary cause of catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) often forming mature recalcitrant biofilms on the catheter surface. Anti-infective catheter coatings containing single biocides have been developed but display limited antimicrobial activity due to the selection of biocide resistant bacterial populations. Furthermore, biocides often display cytotoxicity at concentrations required to eradicate biofilms limiting their antiseptic potential. Quorum sensing inhibitors (QSIs) provide a novel anti-infective approach to disrupt biofilm formation on the catheter surface and help prevent CAUTI. **Aim:** We aim to evaluate the combinatorial impact of biocides and QSIs at bacteriostatic, bactericidal and biofilm eradication concentrations in parallel to assessing cytotoxicity in a bladder smooth muscle (BSM) cell line. **Methods:** Checkerboard assays were performed to determine fractional inhibitory, bactericidal and biofilm eradication concentrations of test combinations in UPEC and combined cytotoxic effects in BSM cells. **Results:** Synergistic antimicrobial activity was observed between polyhexamethylene biguanide, benzalkonium chloride or silver nitrate in combination with either cinnamaldehyde or Furanone-C30 against UPEC biofilms. However, Furanone-C30 was cytotoxic at concentrations below those required for even bacteriostatic activity. A dose-dependent cytotoxicity profile was observed for cinnamaldehyde when in combination with BAC, PHMB or silver nitrate. Both PHMB and silver nitrate displayed combined bacteriostatic and bactericidal activity below IC_{50}. Triclosan in combination with both QSIs displayed antagonistic activity in both UPEC and BSM cells. **Conclusions:** PHMB and silver in combination with cinnamaldehyde display synergistic antimicrobial activity in UPEC at non-cytotoxic concentrations, suggesting potential as anti-infective catheter coating agents.

INTRODUCTION:

Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) pose a significant burden to healthcare; accounting for approximately 80% of healthcare acquired urinary tract infections (UTIs) [1], with infection rates increasing for each day that the catheter is left in place [2,3]. Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) are a primary cause of CAUTI and display an array of virulence factors that facilitate the formation of biofilms on the catheter surface and promote colonisation of the urinary tract [4,5]. With an aging global population, the incidence of CAUTI is predicted to rise, posing an escalating risk to the populous and a heightening financial pressure on healthcare service providers [6].

In order to reduce the incidence of CAUTI, approaches into the production of anti-infective catheter surface coatings are being widely considered. Commercially available nitrofurazone impregnated (ReleaseNF, Rochester Medical) and silver coated (Lubri-Sil and Bardex IC, Bard Care) catheters have been used in clinical settings with mixed outcomes [7,8]. Biocides are a promising antimicrobial agent for use as catheter surface coatings due to their non-specific mechanism of action, working on multiple target sites meaning that the selection of resistant bacterial populations is comparatively rare when compared to site specific therapeutics such as antibiotics [9]. Whilst biocide impregnated catheter coatings have shown promising antimicrobial activity *in vitro*, growing concerns over biocide cytotoxicity, in addition to reports of inducible biocide resistance and antibiotic cross resistance, have fuelled the search for new compounds that maintain long-term antimicrobial potency whilst exhibiting low cytotoxicity [10–12]. One such approach is to use quorum sensing inhibitors (QSIs) as anti-infective coatings agents [13]. Quorum sensing (QS) is a bacterial mechanism of gene regulation in a cell density dependent manner. Bacteria release small autoinducer molecules into the surrounding environment, allowing neighbouring bacteria to determine cell density and mediate a group response via synchronised changes in gene expression [14]. Autoinducer-2 (AI-2) is the main QS system used by *E. coli* and has been shown to induce biofilm formation through modulation of a number of motility associated genes, controlled by motility quorum-sensing regulator MqsR [15].

QS has also been linked to expression of virulence factors across multiple species of bacteria, therefore identification of QSIs has been noted as a potential anti-virulence strategy in antimicrobial chemotherapy [13,15]. *Trans*-cinnamaldehyde has been shown to reduce QS based activation of virulence factor expression in *Vibrio* species [16,17]. Although the exact mechanism of action in *E. coli* remains unknown*, trans*-cinnamaldehyde has been shown to inhibit the expression of QS related genes [18] and reduce biofilm formation in UPEC [19–21]. Brominated Furanones have also demonstrated an ability to interrupt AI-2 based QS in bacteria [22] and have shown inhibitory effects on *E. coli* biofilms [23].

This work aimed to evaluate the pairwise combinatorial effects of the biocides polyhexamethylene biguanide [PHMB], benzalkonium chloride [BAC], silver nitrate and triclosan in combination with the QSIs *trans*-cinnamaldehyde and [z]-4-bromo-5[bromomethylene]-2[5H]-Furanone-C30 [Furanone-C30] in UPEC, through determining combined bacteriostatic, bactericidal and biofilm eradication activities. In parallel, combined cytotoxicity in a BSM cell line was measured. These data enable evaluation of the biocompatibility of these agents for potential use in catheter coatings.

METHODS:

Bacteria, biocides and quorum sensing inhibitors:

Six UPEC clinical strains (EC1, EC2, EC11, EC26, EC28 and EC34) previously isolated from urinary tract infections (UTIs) (Stepping Hill Hospital, Stockport, UK) and two laboratory-characterised UPEC stains (EC958 and CFT073) were used in this work [12,24]. Bacteria were cultured in Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) or on Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) (Sigma Aldrich, UK) and incubated under aerobic conditions at 37^{o}C overnight unless otherwise stated. BAC (Sigma Aldrich, UK), PHMB (Lonza, UK), silver nitrate (Alfa Aesar, UK) and *trans*-cinnamaldehyde (Sigma Aldrich, UK), were diluted to working concentrations in water. Triclosan (Sigma Aldrich, UK) and (z)-4-bromo-5(bromomethylene)-2(5H)-Furanone) (Furanone-C30; which was synthesised in house as described in Guo, *et al* [25]) were diluted to working concentrations in 5% v/v ethanol.

Fractional inhibitory concentrations:

Fractional inhibitory concentrations were determined in a checkerboard assay modified from Orhan *et al* [26]. In brief, two-fold dilutions of QSIs were performed vertically down a 96-well microtiter plate and two-fold dilutions of biocide were performed horizontally in a total volume of 150 µl per well. Overnight UPEC cultures were diluted with MHB to an OD_{600} of 0.008 and 150 µl was added to each test well. Plates were incubated overnight at 37^{o}C, 100 RPM. The lowest concentration where growth was completely inhibited was deemed the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC).

The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) is the ratio of the effective concentration of the agent (*a* or *b*) in combination and alone, determined by the equation:

FIC_a = (MIC_a in combination) / (MIC_a on its own).

The sum of the FIC_a and FIC_b gives the fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI). The FICI is defined as synergistic where FICI ≤ 0.5, additive where 0.5 < FICI ≤ 1, indifferent where 1 < FICI < 2 and antagonistic where FICI ≥ 2 [27,28].

Fractional bactericidal concentrations:
Following determination of the MIC, 10 µl aliquots were taken from each well of the MIC plate , spot plated in triplicate onto MHA and incubated overnight at 37^{o}C to determine the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC). Using the MBC values rather than MIC, fractional bactericidal concentration index values (FBCI) were calculated and defined as for FICI.

Fractional biofilm eradication concentrations:

Overnight UPEC cultures were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.008 and 100 µl of culture was added per well to a 96-well plate prior to addition of a peg lid and incubation for 48 hours at 37^{o}C and 30 RPM to allow biofilm formation. Peg lids were then placed into an antimicrobial challenge plate, set out in the same checkerboard format as MIC assays described above. The challenge plate was incubated overnight at 37^{o}C and 100 RPM. Peg lids were then rinsed twice in 200 µl PBS per peg, placed into a recovery plate containing 200 µl MHB per well and incubated for 72 hours at 37^{o}C and 100 RPM. The MBEC was deemed the lowest concentration that completely inhibited regrowth. The fractional biofilm eradication concentration index (FBECI) was calculated as for the FICI.

Checkerboard MTT assays:

Human primary bladder smooth muscle (BSM) cells were cultured in Vascular Cell Basal Medium (ATCC) supplemented with a Vascular Smooth Muscle Cell Growth Kit (ATCC) and incubated at 37^{o}C and 5% CO_2. 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetra-zolium bromide (MTT, Sigma Aldrich) was prepared as a stock solution of 50 mg/ml in PBS and filter sterilised before use. Cytotoxicity of biocides in combination with QSIs was determined in a checkerboard

format. BSM cells were seeded at 2.5 x 10^4 cells per well in a 96-well plate and grown to > 80% confluency in 24 hours. Antimicrobials were diluted in culture medium and added to the plate, resulting in two-fold dilutions of QSI vertically and two-fold dilutions of biocide horizontally, with a total volume of 200 µl per well. Plates were incubated for 24 hours, washed twice in 200 µl PBS and 100 µl of 0.5 mg/ml MTT was added to each well before incubation at 37°C for 4 hours. The MTT containing medium was removed, the precipitated formazan product was solubilised in 200 µl isopropyl alcohol (containing 0.04 M HCl) and incubated at room temperature on an orbital shaker for 1 hour. Plates were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1000 RPM, 100 µl of supernatant was then decanted and absorbance was measured at 570 nm. All assays were performed in 6 biological replicates. Survival curves of cytotoxicity data were plotted using a sigmoidal curve of log(inhibitor) vs. response with 4 parameters (variable slope, least squares fit) fit to each single agent allowing determination of the IC_{50} value, defined as the concentration at which 50% of the cells survived [29].

RESULTS:

Combinatorial antimicrobial effects of biocides and QSIs on UPEC:

Fractional inhibitory, bactericidal and biofilm eradication concentration indices were determined by checkerboard assay against eight UPEC strains (Figure 1; Tables 1-3; Supplementary Tables 1-6). At inhibitory concentrations cinnamaldehyde and silver nitrate were synergistic against 2/8 strains, EC2 and EC11 and PHMB in combination with Furanone-C30 showed synergism against one strain, CFT073 (Figure 1; Table 1; Supplementary Tables 1-2). Antagonism was observed against 6/8 strains when triclosan was combined with either QSI; in combination with cinnamaldehyde this included EC1, EC11, EC26, EC28, EC34 and EC958 and in combination with Furanone-C30 this included EC1, EC2, EC26, EC34, EC958 and CFT073.

At bactericidal concentrations (Figure 1; Table 2; Supplementary Tables 3-4) cinnamaldehyde in combination with PHMB was synergistic against 6/8 strains; EC1, EC2, EC11, EC26, EC958 and CFT073 and was synergistic in 4/8 strains when combined with silver nitrate; EC11, EC28, EC3 and EC958. Synergism was also observed between Furanone-C30 and PHMB against 3/8 strains: EC2, EC11 and EC34 at bactericidal concentrations.

At biofilm eradication concentrations (Figure 1; Table 3; Supplementary Tables 5-6), PHMB and cinnamaldehyde showed synergism in 6/8 strains; EC1, EC2, EC11, EC26, EC28, EC958. Synergism was also observed for 5/8 strains for BAC in combination with cinnamaldehyde; EC1, EC11, EC34, EC958 and CFT073 and was observed in all eight strains for silver nitrate in combination with cinnamaldehyde. When combined with Furanone-C30 synergism was observed against 3/8 strains for PHMB; EC1, EC28 and EC958, 4/8 strains for BAC; EC1, EC28, EC958, CFT073 and 6/8 strains for silver nitrate; EC1, EC11, EC26, EC28, EC958 and CFT073.

Cytotoxicity and biocompatibility of combined biocides and QSIs against human bladder smooth muscle cells:

Cytotoxicity of biocides and QSIs was determined via MTT assay of primary human bladder smooth muscle cells, the concentrations at which 50% of cells survived (IC_{50}) were determined (Table 4). Concentrations of biocides and QSIs that elicited inhibitory, bactericidal and biofilm eradication effects against UPEC were compared to concentrations that cause BSM cell cytotoxicity (Tables 1-3. Supplementary Tables 1-6). Both silver nitrate or PHMB in combination with cinnamaldehyde resulted in inhibitory and bactericidal values below the IC_{50}, however the concentrations required for biofilm eradication (MBEC) were above IC_{50}. Triclosan or BAC in combination with cinnamaldehyde demonstrated antimicrobial activity (MIC, MBC and MBEC)

at concentrations which exceeded the IC_{50}. All biocides in combination with Furanone-C30 showed MIC, MBC and MBEC that were above the IC_{50} range.

DISCUSSION:

Biocides and quorum sensing inhibitors demonstrated synergistic, additive and antagonistic interactions at bacteriostatic, bactericidal and biofilm eradication concentrations against UPEC dependent on the test agents combined. Cinnamaldehyde in combination with silver nitrate and Furanone-C30 in combination with PHMB, demonstrated synergism against 2/8 and 1/8 UPEC strains respectively at inhibitory concentrations. At bactericidal concentrations synergistic interactions were observed between PHMB and cinnamaldehyde or Furanone-C30, and between silver nitrate and cinnamaldehyde against 6/8, 3/8 and 4/8 strains respectively. Synergistic activity was observed at biofilm eradication concentrations where cinnamaldehyde was combined with PHMB, BAC or silver nitrate against 6/8, 5/8 and 8/8 strains respectively. Similarly, Furanone-C30 demonstrated anti-biofilm synergism with PHMB against 3/8 strains, with BAC against 4/8 strains and in 6/8 strains when combined with silver nitrate. Cytotoxic combinatorial activity was shown to be dose dependent with bacterial growth inhibitory and bactericidal activity observed below the IC_{50} for PHMB and silver in combination with cinnamaldehyde.

The bacteriostatic and bactericidal effects of combined biocides and QSIs against UPEC:

The test biocides and QSIs have a number of growth inhibitory and bactericidal modes of action which may account for the synergism observed at bacteriostatic and bactericidal concentrations. PHMB in combination with cinnamaldehyde was synergistic at bactericidal concentrations against 6/8 UPEC strains. PHMB has been shown to reduce the fluidity of the bacterial membrane due to the bridging of adjacent phospholipids by the biguanide group causing membrane fissures ultimately leading to leakage of cytoplasmic components [30–32]. It is also known to act as a decoupling agent disrupting membrane potential and impairing respiration. At bactericidal concentrations, PHMB has been shown to both cause direct cell lysis and condense DNA halting cell division [30–32]. Cinnamaldehyde has also been shown to permeabilise the cell membrane, inhibit FtsZ polymerisation thus impairing cell division, and reduce ATPase activity [33,34]. The combination of two membrane active agents may exert a cumulative disruptive effect of the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane explaining the synergistic growth inhibitory and bactericidal activity. Furthermore, increased cellular permeability would facilitate entry of the active agents into the cytoplasm enabling them to reach intracellular targets efficiently. The combined targeting of cellular replication through condensation of DNA and inhibition of FtsZ polymerisations could also contribute towards the bacteriostatic synergism observed.

Silver nitrate has been shown to inactivate microbial enzymes, such as NADH dependent dehydrogenases, through interaction with thiol groups leading to dysregulation of membrane potential and impaired respiratory capacity impacting growth and replication. It is also suggested to cause cell envelope damage due to membrane shrinkage and condensation of DNA [35–38]. The combination of inhibited ATPase activity by cinnamaldehyde [33] and further inhibited activity of respiratory enzymes by silver nitrate could contribute towards the synergistic growth inhibitory activity observed in UPEC whilst synergistic bactericidal effects may be attributed to combined disruption of the cell envelope and underlying cytoplasmic membrane.

PHMB and Furanone-C30 in combination showed synergistic capability at bacteriostatic and bactericidal concentrations. Furanones have been shown to increase membrane permeability and affect the membrane potential of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa,* however its membrane

disrupting effects in *E. coli* have not been previously documented [39]. Any increase in membrane permeability due to the actions of Furanone-C30 may increase the intracellular accessibility of PHMB facilitating contact with intracellular targets, such as DNA. Furthermore, as PHMB is a known decoupling agent, this paired with further disruption to membrane potential could impair respiration in a cumulative fashion and thus cell growth.

Triclosan was antagonistic in bacteriostatic and bactericidal assays when combined with both cinnamaldehyde and Furanone-C30. Within *E. coli*, triclosan inhibits enoyl acyl carrier protein reductase enzyme FabI, inhibiting fatty acid synthesis at inhibitory concentrations and causing membrane damage at bactericidal concentrations [40–42]. At subinhibitory concentrations triclosan has been shown to induce oxidative stress, cause damage to the membrane and induce expression of genes involved in the regulation of the SOS response [43]. Exposure of *E. coli* to sub-lethal concentrations of triclosan has been shown to induce tolerance to antibiotics through induction of ppGpp synthesis [44]. Studies have indicated that elevated intracellular levels of ppGpp activates the bacterial toxin-antitoxin molecule TA resulting in the bacterial cell entering a persister like state with decreased overall antimicrobial susceptibility [45,46].

Anti-biofilm effects of combined biocides and QSIs against UPEC:

At biofilm eradication concentrations, combinations of PHMB, BAC or silver nitrate with cinnamaldehyde demonstrated synergism against 6/8, 5/8 and 8/8 UPEC strains respectively, and combined with Furanone-C30 demonstrated synergy against 3/8, 4/8 and 6/8 strains respectively. Both cinnamaldehyde and Furanone-C30 are proposed to inhibit quorum sensing related genes and biofilm formation in *E. coli*. Cinnamaldehyde has been shown to inhibit biofilm formation in UPEC strains [19,21] and reduce expression of attachment associated genes including *fimA*, *fimH*, *focA*, *sfaA*, *sfaS* and *papG,* suggesting a potential mechanism to interfere with adhesin expression, thus attachment and initiation of biofilm formation [20]. Furthermore, reduced expression of AI-2 associated promoters in *Vibrio harveyii* has been observed following exposure to cinnamaldehyde [18] and AI-2 signalling has been associated with biofilm formation of *E. coli* through AI-2 control of the *mqsR* motility regulator [15]. Furanone-C30 has also been demonstrated to interrupt the AI-2 signalling of *E. coli*, reducing the expression of genes associated with chemotaxis, motility and flagellar synthesis [47] and to inhibit biofilm formation [23]. Both cinnamaldehyde and Furanone-C30 could therefore be interrupting biofilm initiation and development and the remaining residual bacteria may then be eliminated more readily by lower concentrations of biocide than would be required to eradicate a mature biofilm.

Cytotoxicity of biocides and QSIs against BSM cells at effective concentrations:

To produce an effective anti-infective catheter coating with a high level of biocompatibility we ideally require synergistic antimicrobial activity whilst avoiding synergistic cytotoxic activity. Effective antimicrobial concentrations against a panel of UPEC were compared to the cytotoxicity of the agents against a BSM cell line. The MIC and MBC for combinations of PHMB or silver nitrate with cinnamaldehyde were below the IC_{50} range whilst the MBEC was above. All other combinations of biocides and QSIs showed bacteriostatic, bactericidal or biofilm eradication activity at concentrations exceeding IC_{50}.

Both PHMB and cinnamaldehyde have membrane permeabilising activity against both bacteria and eukaryotic cells [32,33], which may increase the intracellular cytotoxic effects of these agents. However, PHMB is less readily attracted to the relatively neutrally charged mammalian cell membrane when compared to the electronegative bacterial cell [30], and whilst PHMB can enter both eukaryotic and bacterial cells, the condensation of DNA has been shown to impact only bacteria as it does not enter the eukaryotic nucleus [31]. Cinnamaldehyde has demonstrated cytotoxic effects which include induction of apoptosis and decreases in

mitochondrial membrane potential [48].The combination of PHMB and cinnamaldehyde could increase the permeability of the membrane and allow more of the biocides into the bacterial cell, facilitating access to intracellular targets, such as DNA. There would be relatively lower cytotoxic impacts due to the selective mode of action of the biocides towards the bacterial cell and inability of PHMB to enter the nucleus.

BAC and cinnamaldehyde in combination led to enhanced cytotoxic activity compared to the agents used independently with inhibitory, bactericidal or antibiofilm activity observed above IC_{50}. BAC and cinnamaldehyde both have membrane permeabilising activity [30], however, BAC, like PHMB, is cationic and is more readily attracted to the bacterial membrane [30]. In addition to membrane permeabilization, BAC has however been shown to induce apoptosis and necrosis in human cells [49–51]. The complementation of mechanisms between cinnamaldehyde and BAC against mammalian cells may account for the low concentrations required for cytotoxic effects.

Silver nitrate in combination with cinnamaldehyde demonstrated enhanced cytotoxic activity compared to the agents used independently. MIC and MBC of the combined agents in UPEC fell below IC_{50} whereas the MBEC was above. Silver nitrate has multiple targets against both bacterial and mammalian cells in addition to causing membrane permeabilization. The permeabilization of the cell membrane by both cinnamaldehyde and silver may contribute to cumulative cytotoxic effects at concentrations required to eradicate bacterial biofilms [36,37].

Combinations of triclosan and cinnamaldehyde had antagonistic effects on both bacteria and BSM cells when compared to the agents used independently. The effective antimicrobial concentrations all fell above the IC_{50} values. Triclosan has been shown to be cytotoxic through impairment of mitochondrial activity, loss of membrane stability and is thought to lead to direct cell apoptosis [52]. Both triclosan and cinnamaldehyde have poor solubility, the combination of both agents may antagonise this effect and lead to poor bioavailability of the active agents, hence the antagonistic effects observed in both cytotoxicity and antimicrobial assays.

All cytotoxic concentrations of Furanone-C30 were below those required for antimicrobial activity when combined with all four biocides. Furanones have been shown to be cytotoxic and as such they are often a focus of anti-cancer drug discovery [53]. Efforts have been made to reduce the toxicity of the naturally occurring Furanones, and derivatives including Furanone-C30 [54]. However, the exact mechanism of Furanone-C30 toxicity against mammalian cells is currently unknown.

CONCLUSIONS:

Existing anti-infective catheter coatings often use a single biocidal agent in an attempt to prevent bacterial colonisation of the catheter surface. This study investigated the combinatorial potential of biocides and QSIs in impairing UPEC growth and biofilm establishment in parallel to evaluating cytotoxicity against bladder smooth muscle cells. Promising combinations of cinnamaldehyde with either PHMB or silver show active antimicrobial synergism against UPEC below cytotoxic concentrations suggesting potential as an anti-infective catheter coating.

REFERENCES

[1] Jacobsen SM, Stickler DJ, Mobley HLT, Shirtliff ME. Complicated Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections Due to *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis*. Clin Microbiol Rev 2008;21:26–59. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00019-07.

[2] Li F, Song M, Xu L, Deng B, Zhu S, Li X. Risk factors for catheter-associated urinary tract infection among hospitalized patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. J Adv Nurs 2019;75:517–27. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13863.

[3] Letica-Kriegel AS, Salmasian H, Vawdrey DK, Youngerman BE, Green RA, Furuya EY, *et al*. Identifying the risk factors for catheter-associated urinary tract infections: A large cross-sectional study of six hospitals. BMJ Open 2019;9. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022137.

[4] Tartof SY, Solberg OD, Manges AR, Riley LW. Analysis of a uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* clonal group by multilocus sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:5860–4. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.12.5860-5864.2005.

[5] Terlizzi ME, Gribaudo G, Maffei ME. UroPathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) Infections: Virulence Factors, Bladder Responses, Antibiotic, and Non-antibiotic Antimicrobial Strategies. Front Microbiol 2017;8:1566. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01566.

[6] Mandakhalikar KD, Chua RR, Tambyah PA. New Technologies for Prevention of Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infection. Curr Treat Options Infect Dis 2016;8:24–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40506-016-0069-5.

[7] Johnson JR, Kuskowski MA, Wilt TJ. Systematic review: antimicrobial urinary catheters to prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infection in hospitalized patients. Ann Intern Med 2006;144:116–26. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-144-2-200601170-00009.

[8] Menezes FG, Corrêa L, Medina-Pestana JO, Aguiar WF, Camargo LFA. A randomized clinical trial comparing Nitrofurazone-coated and uncoated urinary catheters in kidney transplant recipients: Results from a pilot study. Transplant Infectious Disease 2019;21. https://doi.org/10.1111/tid.13031.

[9] Singha P, Locklin J, Handa H. A review of the recent advances in antimicrobial coatings for urinary catheters. Acta Biomater 2017;50:20–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2016.11.070.

[10] Forbes S, Latimer J, Bazaid A, McBain AJ. Altered Competitive Fitness, Antimicrobial Susceptibility, and Cellular Morphology in a Triclosan-Induced Small-Colony Variant of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015;59:4809–16. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00352-15.

[11] Curiao T, Marchi E, Viti C, Oggioni MR, Baquero F, Martinez JL, *et al*. Polymorphic variation in susceptibility and metabolism of triclosan-resistant mutants of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical strains obtained after exposure to biocides and antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015;59:3413–23. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00187-15.

[12] Henly EL, Dowling JAR, Maingay JB, Lacey MM, Smith TJ, Forbes S. Biocide Exposure Induces Changes in Susceptibility, Pathogenicity, and Biofilm Formation in Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2019;63. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01892-18.

[13] Roy V, Adams BL, Bentley WE. Developing next generation antimicrobials by intercepting AI-2 mediated quorum sensing. Enzyme Microb Technol 2011;49:113–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2011.06.001.

[14] Papenfort K, Bassler BL. Quorum sensing signal-response systems in Gram-negative bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016;14:576–88. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.89.

[15] González Barrios AF, Zuo R, Hashimoto Y, Yang L, Bentley WE, Wood TK. Autoinducer 2 controls biofilm formation in *Escherichia coli* through a novel motility quorum-sensing regulator (MqsR, B3022). J Bacteriol 2006;188:305–16. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.188.1.305-316.2006.

[16] Brackman G, Defoirdt T, Miyamoto C, Bossier P, Van Calenbergh S, Nelis H, *et al*. Cinnamaldehyde and cinnamaldehyde derivatives reduce virulence in Vibrio spp. by decreasing the DNA-binding activity of the quorum sensing response regulator LuxR. BMC Microbiol 2008;8:149. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-8-149.

[17] Brackman G, Celen S, Hillaert U, van Calenbergh S, Cos P, Maes L, *et al*. Structure-activity relationship of cinnamaldehyde analogs as inhibitors of AI-2 based quorum sensing and their effect on virulence of Vibrio spp. PLoS One 2011;6. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016084.

[18] Niu C, Afre S, Gilbert ES. Subinhibitory concentrations of cinnamaldehyde interfere with quorum sensing. Lett Appl Microbiol 2006;43:489–94. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2006.02001.x.

[19] Amalaradjou MAR, Narayanan A, Baskaran SA, Venkitanarayanan K. Antibiofilm Effect of Trans-Cinnamaldehyde on Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli.* Journal of Urology 2010;184:358–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2010.03.006.

[20] Amalaradjou MAR, Narayanan A, Venkitanarayanan K. Trans-cinnamaldehyde decreases attachment and invasion of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in urinary tract epithelial cells by modulating virulence gene expression. Journal of Urology 2011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2010.11.078.

[21] Kot B, Wicha J, Piechota M, Wolska K, Gruzewska A. Antibiofilm activity of trans-cinnamaldehyde, p-coumaric, and ferulic acids on uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Turk J Med Sci 2015;45:919–24. https://doi.org/10.3906/sag-1406-112.

[22] Brackman G, Coenye T. Quorum sensing inhibitors as anti-biofilm agents. Curr Pharm Des 2015;21:5–11. https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612820666140905114627.

[23] Ren D, Sims JJ, Wood TK. Inhibition of biofilm formation and swarming of *Escherichia coli* by (5Z)-4-bromo-5-(bromomethylene)-3- butyl-2(5H)-furanone. Environ Microbiol 2001;3:731–6. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2001.00249.x.

[24] Henly EL, Norris K, Rawson K, Zoulias N, Jaques L, Chirila PG, *et al*. Impact of long-term quorum sensing inhibition on uropathogenic *Escherichia coli.* Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2021:dkaa517. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa517.

[25] Guo J-L, Li B-Z, Chen W-M, Sun P-H, Wang Y. Synthesis of Substituted 1H-Pyrrol-2(5H)-ones and 2(5H)-Furanones as Inhibitors of *P. aeruginosa* Biofilm. Lett Drug Des Discov 2009;6:107–13. https://doi.org/10.2174/157018009787582642.

[26] Orhan G, Bayram A, Zer Y, Balci I. Synergy Tests by E Test and Checkerboard Methods of Antimicrobial Combinations against *Brucella melitensis.* J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:140. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.1.140-143.2005.

[27] Hall MJ, Middleton RF, Westmacott D. The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index as a measure of synergy. J Antimicrob Chemother 1983;11:427–33. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/11.5.427.

[28] European Committee for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID). Terminology relating to methods for the determination of susceptibility of bacteria to antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiol and Infect 2000;6:503–8. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-0691.2000.00149.x.

[29] Zheng S, Wang W, Aldahdooh J, Malyutina A, Shadbahr T, Tanoli Z, *et al*. SynergyFinder Plus: Toward Better Interpretation and Annotation of Drug Combination Screening Datasets. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GPB.2022.01.004.

[30] Gilbert P, Moore LE. Cationic antiseptics: Diversity of action under a common epithet. J Appl Microbiol 2005;99:703–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02664.x.

[31] Chindera K, Mahato M, Kumar Sharma A, Horsley H, Kloc-Muniak K, Kamaruzzaman NF, *et al*. The antimicrobial polymer PHMB enters cells and selectively condenses bacterial chromosomes. Sci Rep 2016;6. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23121.

[32] Sowlati-Hashjin S, Carbone P, Karttunen M. Insights into the Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) Mechanism of Action on Bacterial Membrane and DNA: A Molecular Dynamics Study. J Phys Chem 2020;2020:4487–97. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c02609.

[33] Doyle AA, Stephens JC. A review of cinnamaldehyde and its derivatives as antibacterial agents. Fitoterapia 2019;139:104405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2019.104405.

[34] Domadia P, Swarup S, Bhunia A, Sivaraman J, Dasgupta D. Inhibition of bacterial cell division protein FtsZ by cinnamaldehyde. Biochem Pharmacol 2007;74:831–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2007.06.029.

[35] Furr JR, Russell AD, Turner TD, Andrews A. Antibacterial activity of Actisorb Plus, Actisorb and silver nitrate. Journal of Hospital Infection 1994;27:201–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/0195-6701(94)90128-7.

[36] Woo KJ, Hye CK, Ki WK, Shin S, So HK, Yong HP. Antibacterial activity and mechanism of action of the silver ion in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008;74:2171–8. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02001-07.

[37] Feng QL, Wu J, Chen GQ, Cui FZ, Kim TN, Kim JO. A mechanistic study of the antibacterial effect of silver ions on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Biomed Mater Res 2000;52:662–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4636(20001215)52:4<662::AID-JBM10>3.0.CO;2-3.

[38] Majeed A, Sagar F, Latif A, Hassan H, Iftikhar A, Darouiche RO, *et al*. Does antimicrobial coating and impregnation of urinary catheters prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infection? A review of clinical and preclinical studies. Expert Rev Med Devices 2019;16:809–20. https://doi.org/10.1080/17434440.2019.1661774.

[39] Zhang Y, Lin Y, Zhang X, Chen L, Xu C, Liu S, *et al*. Combining Colistin with Furanone C-30 Rescues Colistin Resistance of Gram-Negative Bacteria in Vitro and in Vivo. Microbiol Spectr 2021;9:e0123121. https://doi.org/10.1128/Spectrum.01231-21.

[40] Russell AD. Whither triclosan? Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2004;53:693–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh171.

[41] Levy SB, McMurry LM, Oethinger M. Triclosan targets lipid synthesis. Nature 1998;394:531–2. https://doi.org/10.1038/28970.

[42] Stewart MJ, Parikh S, Xiao G, Tonge PJ, Kisker C. Structural basis and mechanism of enoyl reductase inhibition by triclosan. J Mol Biol 1999;290:859–65. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1999.2907.

[43] Lu J, Wang Y, Li J, Mao L, Nguyen SH, Duarte T, *et al*. Triclosan at environmentally relevant concentrations promotes horizontal transfer of multidrug resistance genes within and across bacterial genera. Environ Int 2018:1217–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVINT.2018.10.040.

[44] Westfall C, Flores-Mireles AL, Robinson JI, Lynch AJL, Hultgren S, Henderson JP, *et al*. The Widely Used Antimicrobial Triclosan Induces High Levels of Antibiotic Tolerance In Vitro and Reduces Antibiotic Efficacy up to 100-Fold In Vivo 2019. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02312-18.

[45] Kanjee U, Ogata K, Houry WA. Direct binding targets of the stringent response alarmone (p)ppGpp. Mol Microbiol 2012;85:1029–43. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2958.2012.08177.X.

[46] Kester JC, Fortune SM. Persisters and beyond: Mechanisms of phenotypic drug resistance and drug tolerance in bacteria. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 2014;49:91–101. https://doi.org/10.3109/10409238.2013.869543.

[47] Ren D, Bedzyk LA, Ye RW, Thomas SM, Wood TK. Differential gene expression shows natural brominated furanones interfere with the autoinducer-2 bacterial signaling system of *Escherichia coli*. Biotechnol Bioeng 2004;88:630–42. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.20259.

[48] Zhang J, Liu L, He Y, Kong W, Huang S. Cytotoxic effect of trans-cinnamaldehyde on human leukemia K562 cells. Acta Pharmacol Sin 2010;31:861–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/aps.2010.76.

[49] Debbasch C, Rat P, Warnet J, saint Jean M de, Baudouin C, Pierre-Jean P. Evaluation of the Toxicity of Benzalkonium Chloride on the Ocular Surface. J Toxicol Cutaneous Ocul Toxicol 2000;19:105–15. https://doi.org/10.3109/15569520009051506.

[50] Deutschle T, Porkert U, Reiter R, Keck T, Riechelmann H. In vitro genotoxicity and cytotoxicity of benzalkonium chloride. Toxicology in Vitro 2006;20:1472–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TIV.2006.07.006.

[51] Chang C, Zhang AQ, Kagan DB, Liu H, Hutnik CML. Mechanisms of benzalkonium chloride toxicity in a human trabecular meshwork cell line and the protective role of preservative-free tafluprost. Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2015;43:164–72. https://doi.org/10.1111/CEO.12390.

[52] Guidony NS, Scaini JLR, Oliveira MWB, Machado KS, Bastos C, Escarrone AL, *et al*. ABC proteins activity and cytotoxicity in zebrafish hepatocytes exposed to triclosan.

Environmental Pollution 2021;271:116368. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2020.116368.

[53] Kim Y, Nam NH, You YJ, Ahn BZ. Synthesis and Cytotoxicity of 3,4-Diaryl-2(5H)-furanones. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2002;12:719–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-894X(01)00831-9.

[54] Yang S, Abdel-Razek OA, Cheng F, Bandyopadhyay D, Shetye GS, Wang G, *et al*. Bicyclic brominated furanones: A new class of quorum sensing modulators that inhibit bacterial biofilm formation. Bioorg Med Chem 2014;22:1313. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BMC.2014.01.004.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: The authors thank The Healthcare Infection Society and the Biomolecular Sciences Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University, UK for funding this research.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT: No conflicts to declare

FUNDING STATEMENT:
This work was funded by the Healthcare infection Society and the Biomolecular Sciences Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University, UK.

Table 1. Minimum inhibitory concentration and Fractional inhibitory concentrations of biocides and quorum sensing inhibitors in UPEC

Combination	Independent (μg/ml)				Combined (μg/ml)				FICI	
	Biocide		QSI		Biocide		QSI			
	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range
PHMB/ Cin	2.6 (0.9)	2-4	526 (199.1)	375- 1000	1 (0.2)	0.8-1.3	221.4 (56.7)	166.7-333.3	0.9 (0.2)	0.7-1.3
BAC/ Cin	14.2 (1.8)	11.7-15.6	463.5 (60.7)	416.7- 583.3	9.4 (2.4)	7.2-13.4	278.7 (61.9)	187.5- 375	1.3 (0.2)	1.1-1.6
SN/ Cin	22.6 (8.3)	9.8-31.3	484.3 (31)	416.7- 500	7.8 (4.6)	3.6-15.6	138 (22.1)	125-187.5	0.6 (0.2)	0.4-0.8
Triclosan/ Cin	0.1 (0.1)	0.1-0.3	642.9 (244)	500- 1000	0.2 (0.1)	0.1-0.3	500 (221.6)	291.7-1000	2.5 (1.)	1.1-4
PHMB/ F-C30	2.5 (0.7)	1.8-3.7	197.9 (129.9)	125- 500	1.1 (0.4)	0.7-2	60.2 (17.4)	28.7-80.7	0.8 (0.2)	0.5-1.1
BAC/ F-C30	11.1 (3.5)	7.8-15.6	177.1 (53.4)	125- 250	8.3 (2.6)	5.9-14.3	116.5 (34.1)	57.3-166.7	1.5 (0.3)	1-1.8
SN/ Cin	19 (9.2)	7.8-31.3	270.8 (131.3)	125- 500	10 (4)	3.9-15.6	124.4 (65.6)	62.5-250	1.1 (0.3)	0.7-1.5
Triclosan/ F-C30	0.2 (0.1)	0.1-0.3	224 (32.9)	166.7- 250	0.2 (0.1)	0.1-0.4	141.9 (56.5)	93.8-250	2.3 (0.5)	1.5-3

MIC (μg/ml) and FIC in 8 UPEC strains. Data shows average concentration and concentration range for biocides and QSIs both independently and in combination. Standard deviation is given in parenthesis. Polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB), benzalkonium chloride (BAC), Silver nitrate (SN), cinnamaldehyde (Cin), Furanone-C30 (F-C30).

Table 2. Minimum bactericidal concentration and Fractional bactericidal concentrations of biocides and quorum sensing inhibitors in UPEC

Combination	Independent (µg/ml)				Combined (µg/ml)				FICI	
	Biocide		QSI		Biocide		QSI			
	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range
PHMB/ Cin	7.7 (3.9)	4-14	1625 (688.63)	1000-3000	1.3 (0.4)	0.9-2	283.9 (64.9)	166.67-333.33	0.4 (0.12)	0.3-0.6
BAC/ Cin	18 (4)	14.3-26.4	1291.7 (292.1)	1000- 1666.7	9.8 (3.3)	4.6-15.6	599 (309.4)	125-1083.3	1.1 (0.3)	0.7-1.4
SN/ Cin	29.2 (12.1)	14.97 - 52.08	1343.8 (328.7)	833.3-1833.3	10.2 (4.3)	3.9-18.9	218.8 (62)	145.8-291.7	0.58 (0.2)	0.3-0.9
Triclosan/ Cin	2.7 (1.4)	01-4.7	1895.8 (234.7)	1333.3-2000	5.4 (2.7)	2.8-9.3	1291.7 (452.1)	1000-2000	4.3 (4.9)	1.8- 16.4
PHMB/ F-C30	11 (4.9)	6.3-21.3	268.2 (105.3)	125-500	2.2 (1.6)	0.3-5	90.2 (33)	44.3-130.2	0.6 (0.1)	0.4-0.7
BAC/ F-C30	21.2 (7.1)	10.4-33.9	234.4 (42.8)	145.8-291.7	9.7 (2.8)	7.2-15.63	126.6 (56.4)	73-218.8	1.1 (0.4)	0.6-1.7
SN/ Cin	28.3 (12.6)	11.7-52.1	328.1 (136.3)	125-500	84.3 (202.8)	5.9-586	113.9 (35.6)	57.3-156.3	0.9 (0.2)	0.6-1.1
Triclosan/ F-C30	3.9 (2.07)	1.3-6.7	322.9 (101.5)	250-500	3.7 (2.1)	1.38-6	237 (100.2)	125-416.7	1.8 (0.5)	1.2-2.4

MBC (µg/ml) and FBC in 8 UPEC strains. Data shows average concentration and concentration range for biocides and QSIs both independently and in combination. Standard deviation is given in parenthesis. Polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB), benzalkonium chloride (BAC), Silver nitrate (SN) cinnamaldehyde (Cin) and Furanone-C30 (F-C30).

Table 3. Minimum Biofilm Eradication Concentrations and Fractional Biofilm Eradication Concentrations of biocides and quorum sensing inhibitors in UPEC

Combination	Independent (µg/ml)				Combined (µg/ml)				FICI	
	Biocide		QSI		Biocide		QSI			
	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range
PHMB/ Cin	116.2 (29.6)	78.1-166.7	1854.2 (392.8)	1166.7-2333.3	20.1 (13.6)	6.5 - 41.7	460.9 (90.8)	270.8 - 583.3	0.5 (0.2)	0.3 - 0.9
BAC/ Cin	120.5 (47.7)	46.9-197.9	1645.8 (207.7)	1333.3-2000	22.9 (9.4)	11.7 - 33.8	466.2 (93.1)	312.5 - 583.3	0.5 (0.1)	0.4 - 0.6
SN/ Cin	2324.2 (886.1)	520.8-3333.3	1583.3 (356.4)	1000-2000	278.7 (223)	41.7 - 781.3	354.2 (115.2)	229.2 - 583.3	0.3 (0.1)	0.2 - 0.4
Triclosan/ Cin	5.5 (3.8)	1.7-13.3	2041.7 (1143.5)	1000-4666.7	9.3 (5.5)	2.3 - 17.3	1500 (671.1)	583.3 - 2833.3	2.5 (0.5)	1.7 - 3.2
PHMB/ F-C30	159.8 (93)	44.3-312.5	295.6 (151.3)	125-500	34.6 (28.1)	5.9 - 83.3	81.7 (38)	26 - 125	0.6 (0.3)	0.3 - 1.1
BAC/ F-C30	96.4 (42.6)	41.7-177.1	307.3 (138.5)	125-500	21.4 (9.3)	12.37 - 33.9	59.6 (17)	36.5 - 93.8	0.5 (0.1)	0.3 - 0.6
SN/ Cin	3374.4 (1503.6)	1380.2-5833.3	260.4 (96.4)	125- 416.7	346.8 (314.1)	52.1 - 925.8	58.9 (30.1)	15.6 - 114.6	0.3 (0.2)	0.2 - 0.6
Triclosan/ F-C30	4.1 (3.1)	0.8-8	294.3 (111.6)	125 - 458.3	3.5 (2.6)	0.7 - 8	231.8 (49.1)	125 - 291.7	1.8 (0.4)	1.2 - 2.4

MBEC (µg/ml) and FBC in 8 UPEC strains. Data shows average concentration and concentration range for biocides and QSIs both independently and in combination. Standard deviation is given in parenthesis. Polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB), benzalkonium chloride (BAC), Silver nitrate (SN), cinnamaldehyde (Cin), Furanone-C30 (F-C30).

Table 1. IC_{50} concentrations of biocides and QSIs against bladder smooth muscle cells.

Combination	IC_{50} µg/ml	
	Biocide	QSI
PHMB and cinnamaldehyde	10.9 (7.6 - 15.7)	1574 (906.4 - 2735)
BAC and cinnamaldehyde	3.3 (2.7 - 4)	7.6 (0.01 - 4504)
Silver nitrate and cinnamaldehyde	17.3 (14.3 - 20.8)	675.2 (546.8 - 833.7)
Triclosan and cinnamaldehyde	16.7 (8.4 - 33)	234.4 (188.2 - 292.1)
PHMB and furanone-C30	5.3 (4.7 - 6)	6.7 (4.1 - 10.9)
BAC and furanone-C30	1.9 (0.9 - 4)	15.2 (12.5 - 18.5)
Silver nitrate and furanone-C30	5.4 (1.5 - 19.8)	17.1 (13.5 - 21.5)
Triclosan and furanone-C30	9.8 (7.6 - 12.6)	21.6 (12.9 - 36.1)

Data shows average IC_{50} and 95% confidence intervals in brackets (n=6).



Figure 1. Fractional inhibitory index values of biocides, PHMB, BAC, silver nitrate and triclosan in combination with (a) cinnamaldehyde and (b) furanone-C30. All results are an average of 2 biological replicates, each with 3 technical repeats. Error bars represent ± 1 standard deviation. Shaded grey area represents antagonistic interactions where fractional concentration indices > 2 and below the dotted line represents synergistic interactions at fractional concentration indices of ≤ 0.5.