

# **Kent Academic Repository**

Newman, Hannah, Rudra, Sonya, Burrows, Lisa, Tromans, Sam, Watkins, Lance, Triantafyllopoulou, Paraskevi, Hassiotis, Angela, Gabrielsson, Alexandra and Shankar, Rohit (2023) *Who cares? A scoping review on intellectual disability, epilepsy and social care.* Seizure . ISSN 1059-1311.

## **Downloaded from**

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/100404/ The University of Kent's Academic Repository KAR

## The version of record is available from

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2023.03.002

## This document version

**Author's Accepted Manuscript** 

**DOI for this version** 

## Licence for this version

CC BY-ND (Attribution-NoDerivatives)

### **Additional information**

### Versions of research works

#### **Versions of Record**

If this version is the version of record, it is the same as the published version available on the publisher's web site. Cite as the published version.

## **Author Accepted Manuscripts**

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding. Cite as Surname, Initial. (Year) 'Title of article'. To be published in *Title* of *Journal*, Volume and issue numbers [peer-reviewed accepted version]. Available at: DOI or URL (Accessed: date).

## **Enquiries**

If you have questions about this document contact <a href="ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk">ResearchSupport@kent.ac.uk</a>. Please include the URL of the record in KAR. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our <a href="Take Down policy">Take Down policy</a> (available from <a href="https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies">https://www.kent.ac.uk/guides/kar-the-kent-academic-repository#policies</a>).

Who cares? A scoping review on intellectual disability, epilepsy and social care

Hannah Newman , Sonya Rudra , Lisa Burrows , Sam Tromans , Lance Watkins , Paraskevi Triantafyllopoulou , Angela Hassiotis , Alexandra Gabrielsson , Rohit Shankar

PII: S1059-1311(23)00064-X

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2023.03.002

Reference: YSEIZ 4523

To appear in: Seizure: European Journal of Epilepsy

Received date: 29 January 2023 Revised date: 25 February 2023 Accepted date: 1 March 2023



Please cite this article as: Hannah Newman, Sonya Rudra, Lisa Burrows, Sam Tromans, Lance Watkins, Paraskevi Triantafyllopoulou, Angela Hassiotis, Alexandra Gabrielsson, Rohit Shankar, Who cares? A scoping review on intellectual disability, epilepsy and social care, Seizure: European Journal of Epilepsy (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2023.03.002

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of British Epilepsy Association.

## **Highlights**

- Social care impacts on health outcome of people with intellectual disabilities (PWID)
- A significant minority of PWID have drug resistant lifelong epilepsy & social needs
- We explore key areas of social provision required in meeting needs in PWID & epilepsy
- This scoping review identifies four themes which require social care involvement
- Themes include holistic care, staff education, basic & emergency epilepsy training



#### Review

# Who cares? A scoping review on intellectual disability, epilepsy and social care

Hannah Newman<sup>1</sup>, Sonya Rudra<sup>2</sup>, Lisa Burrows<sup>1,3</sup>, Sam Tromans<sup>4,5</sup>, Lance Watkins<sup>6,7</sup>, Paraskevi Triantafyllopoulou<sup>8</sup>, Angela Hassiotis<sup>9</sup>, Alexandra Gabrielsson<sup>10</sup>, Rohit Shankar<sup>1,11,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Plymouth Peninsula School of Medicine, Plymouth, UK

<sup>2</sup>Central and North London NHS Foundation Trust London UK

<sup>3</sup>Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust Truro UK

<sup>4</sup>University of Leicester, Leicester UK

<sup>5</sup>Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust, Leicester UK

<sup>6</sup>Swansea Bay University Health Board, Port Talbot, UK

<sup>7</sup>University of South Wales, Pontypridd, UK

<sup>8</sup>Tizard centre, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK

<sup>9</sup>UCL London UK

<sup>10</sup>Hertfordshire Partnership University NHS Trust, Hatfield UK

<sup>11</sup>Cornwall Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Truro, UK

\*Corresponding author: Professor Rohit Shankar MBE, FRCPsych, Chy Govenek, Threemilestone Industrial Estate, Highertown, Truro, Cornwall, UK, TR4 9LD. Telephone: +44-1872 221553 Fax: +44-1872 240765. Email: Rohit.shankar@plymouth.ac.uk

## Acknowledgments: none

### Statements and Declarations including competing interests

RS has received institutional and research support from LivaNova, UCB, Eisai, Veriton Pharma, Bial, Angelini, UnEEG and Jazz/GW pharma outside the submitted work. He holds grants from NIHR AI, SBRI and other funding bodies all outside this work. No other author has any declared conflict of interest related to this paper.

## **Ethics Statement**

We confirm that we have read the journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

## **Funding**

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### **Author Contributions**

All authors satisfy the ICMJE guidance by substantially contributing to the design, analysis and interpretation of the work, drafting of the manuscript, final approval of the manuscript and all agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work is appropriately investigated and resolved.

#### **Data statement**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### **Abstract**

**Purpose:** Nearly a quarter of people with Intellectual disability (PwID) have epilepsy. Many have seizures across their lifetime. In the UK supporting their epilepsy linked risks and needs, particularly in professional care settings and in the community, requires significant social care input. Therefore, the interface between social and health care services is important. This study aim is to identify key intersectional areas of social provision for PWID and epilepsy.

**Methods:** A scoping review of the literature was performed in accordance with PRISMA guidance with suitable search terms. The search was completed in CINAHL, Embase, Psych INFO, SCIE, and Cochrane electronic databases by an information specialist. A quality

assessment was completed for the included studies where appropriate. The included studies were analysed qualitatively to identify key themes and provide a narrative description of the evidence by two reviewers.

**Results:** Of 748 papers screened, 94 were retrieved. Thirteen articles met the inclusion criteria with a range of methodologies. A thematic analysis generated four key categories for significant social care involvement i.e., staff training and education; emergency seizure management; holistic approach to care; and nocturnal monitoring and supervision.

**Conclusions:** PwID with epilepsy have support needs that require fulfilling by various aspects of special care provision, many within the social ambit. Inspite of evidence of these needs and recurrent calls to work jointly with social care providers this has not happened. There is limited research into social care role in epilepsy management in PwID which needs addressing.

**Keywords:** Social care, SUDEP, basic epilepsy training, emergency seizure management, staff training, nocturnal monitoring

### 1. Background

Epilepsy is one of the more common neurological disorders in the general population, affecting around 50 million people worldwide (1). It affects 22 2% of people with intellectual disabilities (PwID) (2). PwID and epilepsy often have seizures that are less well-controlled, of multiple types and are more likely to be resistant to single-drug treatments (3). This population has increased levels of physical and psychological comorbidity (4-6). Polypharmacy is common, including psychotropic and anti-seizure medications (4-6). Their health risks also increase with ageing. PwID and epilepsy who are over 40 years old have higher levels of risk factors associated with comorbidities, polypharmacy and iatrogenic harm (7).

Premature mortality is increased in PwID and is particularly higher in people with both epilepsy and ID (8). The risk of Sudden Unexpected Death in Epilepsy (SUDEP) is increased in PwID, and epilepsy compared to epilepsy alone (9). Epilepsy is also one of the most common reasons for avoidable hospital admissions in PwID (10). There are additional care needs for those with co-existing epilepsy, including managing epilepsy risk factors, ensuring good seizure reporting, and having a good governance framework to ensure medications are given safely.

PwID are entitled to the support they need to enable them to attain a good quality of life. Social care professionals in the UK work according to the principles of the Care Act to support the complex needs of PwID in the community (11) using a person-centred approach which promotes independence, autonomy and social inclusion and aims to reduce health inequalities (12) by working as a conduit between PwID and proactive healthcare. The social care role also includes offering assessments, care planning and information relating to finances, housing and other areas essential to everyday life (12). This scoping review aims to identify the key intersectional areas of social care provision for PWID and epilepsy.

#### 2. Methods

A scoping review of the literature was performed and reported in accordance with PRISMA guidance. The search method details are provided in supplementary information 1.

#### 2.1. Search strategy

The search strategy was designed by the project team and implemented by a health information specialist using CINAHL (EBSCO), Embase (Ovid), PsycINFO (ProQuest), SCIE, Cochrane, and Google Scholar. A further search of SocINDEX did not identify any further relevant papers. Text terms and subject headings were combined with Boolean operators, with subject headings adjusted for each database. No limits were applied. The terms were developed around the health conditions of interest, i.e., epilepsy and Intellectual

disabilities (e.g., epilepsy, seizure, learning disabilities, intellectual disabilities, developmental disorder) and terms relating to social care (e.g., social care, social support, (epilepsy) care plan / rescue plan / awareness training / risk assessment). The full search strategy can be found in supplementary information 2.

#### 2.2. Article selection

Following the removal of duplicates, the remaining articles were screened for relevance, i.e., that they discussed the following topics: 1) intellectual disability, 2) epilepsy/seizures, and 3) social care. This first screen was performed by one reviewer. The second and third screens were performed by two reviewers. Articles were excluded where the aforementioned topics were not a primary focus (Reason 1). There was no limit for language at the search stage. However, during article selection it was not possible for the reviewers to read two articles for which the full text was only available in a different language (German and Norwegian), which were thus excluded from the review (Reason 2). Conference abstracts and presentations were also excluded, where there was no accompanying paper (Reason 3). Where there was discrepancy, this was discussed between the two reviewers and the senior author consulted for any conflicts. The reference lists for the included articles were then screened by the first reviewer and those felt to be relevant screened again by both reviewers. FLOWCHART (supplementary information 1)

## 2.3. Quality assessment

The articles included non-empirical articles, mixed methods studies, and quantitative descriptive studies. The quality of the data of the empirical articles was assessed using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool, which was the most appropriate scoring system given the heterogeneous nature of the articles. This was visualised as a star system, from one star (lowest quality) to five stars (highest). The non-empirical articles, as identified by the screening questions of the tool were not assessable, because there was not a clear research question.

#### 3. Results (Table 1)

The search identified 895 articles, which were reduced to 815 following removal of duplicates using Endnote, and again to 748 following manual removal of the remaining 67 duplicates. The first screen reduced this number to 94. One article (a case report) could not be found by the first reviewer nor their institutional library, and thus could not be included in the further screening process. The second and third screens identified 10 articles to be included. Three further articles were identified through screening of the reference lists.

The 13 articles identified comprised of a literature review, four educational articles each summarising a report or guidance, three service evaluations/audits and five empirical studies. The designs of the five empirical studies were a case-control study, a cross-sectional analytic study, two cross-sectional surveys, and a cohort study. Nine of the articles were from the United Kingdom, two articles were from the Netherlands, and one was from Germany. Table 1 provides details of the article, article type, study population and the assessed quality of the publication.

Post content analysis, coding and agreement between reviewers, four themes emerged from the articles relevant to the topic of social care i.e., staff training and education, emergency seizure management in the community, holistic care and nocturnal supervision and monitoring. Where multiple themes have been identified, papers are discussed under their dominant theme.

It was agreed that the term "social care professional" is used for those who are specifically employed by the State to co-ordinate and deliver on statutory social need. Anyone else in a non-clinical paid care role are referred to as "care staff or paid professionals".

### 3.1. Staff training and education

Five articles primarily discussed the need for training and education on epilepsy for social care staff: a literature review(13), a summary of the guidance developed by an epilepsy specialist group to support carers of PwID and epilepsy (14), an opinion piece positing the role of epilepsy specialist nurses in such education(15), a report on the recommendations made following an international online survey of professionals and family members by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) and International Bureau for Epilepsy (IBE) (16), and a summary of recent advances in epilepsy and their implications on health and social care for PwID (17). The articles suggest that the training include general epilepsy education, education on the risk factors posed by epilepsy in PwID and how to manage them and seizure management protocols.

### 3.2. Emergency seizure management in the community

Three papers focussed on the need for training in emergency seizure management in the community. Two papers utilised cross-sectional surveys to evaluate their training programmes for social care staff on epilepsy awareness and the use of rectal diazepam (18, 19). These articles mainly described their service improvement programmes rather than providing empirical data. Further, these studies are pre-2005. Since then, buccal midazolam is now the primary medication for community emergency seizure management. The Care Quality Commission UK currently states that care home staff where PwID and epilepsy live should be trained to administer both (20).

The third article utilised a telephone survey of the managers of care homes for PwID to assess whether their staff were trained in the emergency administration of seizure rescue medication (21).

#### 3.3. Holistic care

A cohort study assessed the effectiveness of a residential rehabilitation programme in Germany for young adults with epilepsy and mild ID (22). This study utilised rating scales to operationalise subjective concepts, such as quality of life, and undertook statistical significance testing. The scales used were based on the PErformance, SOciodemographic aspects, Subjective evaluation questionnaire (previously developed in the Bethel Institute), the D-S' (Depressivitäts-Skala) depression scale and the German version of the Symptom Checklist 90-R (SCL-90-R). However, there was no control group, and it is difficult to know if the results would be generalisable. Intelligence quotients (IQ) were only available for 13 of the clients and the average was 74.6. This is above the usual IQ scores (55 -70) usually used to consider mild ID (23). There were no subgroup data reported for PwID with IQ less than 70.

The ILAE (2018) undertook a survey of healthcare professionals working with PwID and epilepsy to gain insights to the provision of care for this population (24). This sample did not include social care professionals. This survey had a wide scope and highlighted the impact of epilepsy on the quality of life of PwID, the need for collaboration with epilepsy specialist nurses to provide training and for up to date and easily accessible resources for carers.

#### 3.4. Nocturnal supervision and monitoring

A service evaluation survey of family and residential carers for PwID and epilepsy under a specialist adult ID and epilepsy service in Cornwall UK investigated the retention of SUDEP risk advice and the use of nocturnal monitoring (25). The authors were concerned that their findings could reflect a lack of awareness of SUDEP risk factors among care home staff and that, as a result, PwID and epilepsy in residential care may be more likely to be failed than those living with their families. This survey relied on carer reporting for consistency. However, this could particularly influence the residential group due to the likelihood of multiple care staff looking after one person.

Two studies by a Dutch group looked at nocturnal supervision in residential care settings for PwID and epilepsy (26, 27). The nested case-control study in two residential units (27)

selected 198 controls for the 60 cases of SUDEP found that were matched for age and residential unit, with a view to increasing the statistical confidence and for reducing bias. The retrospective technique had limitations in that there could be gaps in records. There was a significant difference between the supervision levels in the two centres (p = 0.001) and the centre with the lower level of supervision had the higher number of SUDEP cases (35 compared to 25). However, there did not seem to be an analysis to determine if the difference in the number of SUDEP cases between the centres was statistically significant. The second paper assessed the value of overnight video monitoring in detecting nocturnal seizures in a unit that provides care for people with refractory epilepsy and severe ID (26). The sample was representative of their target population i.e., all 46 residents who had been recommended by the Dutch Health and Care Inspectorate for use of video monitoring were asked to participate (of the overall 340 residents in the unit) and 41 participated. The authors concluded that while video monitoring facilitated nocturnal surveillance, the cost of providing it (compared to acoustic detection systems and bed motion sensors already in use) outweighed its clinical value. Further, the authors speculated that human error may be inherent when multitasking to monitor several screens and performing any additional duties. It is not clear whether the video monitoring was used according to its recommendations – for example, the staff to monitor ratio.

### 4. Discussion

During the screening process, it became evident that there were papers on one or two of the key areas of social provisions, community care, PwID and epilepsy, but few on all together. The articles were generally of a lower quality of evidence due to the inherent limitations of their study designs and the majority were non-empirical. The empirical studies were of small samples, usually confined to one geographical area or institution, and it is therefore difficult to know if their results are generalisable. Noticeably, the papers included originated from the UK and countries in Western Europe. There were no papers from countries with other healthcare systems including United States of America or Australia. The study of international professionals (24) and the article reporting on a similar survey (16) acknowledged that their respondents were mainly from the UK. Additionally, the response rates were low, increasing the risk of bias in the sample towards those with a greater interest in the subject.

These articles draw attention to the social care needs of PwID and epilepsy and the lack of research in this area. There has been seemingly little progress in the last 20 years, with articles at the start of this period and till more recently calling for more attention in the same areas such as, adequate training for care staff, which remains a prevalent theme. This was established in this review as part of a larger theme of the importance of communication and collaboration between health and social care services in delivering effective holistic care. A lack of competence and training in administering emergency antiseizure medications by non-clinical care staff caring for PwID and epilepsy was highlighted. It is recommended that residential care homes who care for people with epilepsy have staff trained in the administration of both buccal midazolam and rectal diazepam (20). This was shown to often not be the case in the papers discussed. The lack of research on care providers and training involving buccal midazolam, which is preferred and effective is glaring (28). The two papers written in collaboration with epilepsy and ID groups such as the ILAE cover several themes including education, training and holistic care (16, 24). They recommend that professional groups take responsibility for developing and administrating such training and information. Delivering and maintaining training would require active involvement of social care professionals. Increasing awareness of the greater risks faced by those with PwID and epilepsy may help promote engagement. A collaborative approach and information sharing between specialist healthcare and social care teams would be helpful, both for the individuals

in their care and to enable effective education. It is worth mentioning the lack of social care professional input into the ILAE survey (24). Perhaps this is representative of the more widespread problem of omitting social care from discussion around physical health and epilepsy-related issues in PwID.

A systematic and comprehensive approach is required to standardise training. Utilising technology could help to achieve this (29). Previous guidance on the delivery of such training by the Joint Epilepsy Council, which was disbanded in 2016, was not robust enough to allow for consistency in quality of the training received (29). A UK-wide consensus process of expert groups developed national guidelines on basic epilepsy awareness and seizure medication to address this gap (30). This could also be applied to the training of staff who care for PwID.

Nocturnal monitoring is an important modifiable risk factor for SUDEP (31). The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence recommends that clinicians discuss the risk of SUDEP with all people with epilepsy. It is recommended to discuss introducing or increasing night-time supervision for those at a higher risk of epilepsy-related death or have nocturnal seizures (32). This is of even greater importance in PwID and epilepsy due to their higher risk of SUDEP (9).

Awareness of SUDEP and its association with nocturnal seizures and mitigation via nocturnal surveillance are potentially modifiable risk factors. It is therefore of vital importance for PwID and epilepsy in residential care settings that this risk be communicated and mitigated. A recent study in a London Borough looking at 137 PwID and epilepsy identified that of the 103 contacted none (patient and/or carer) was SUDEP aware. The study also showed that 45% (n = 46) had nocturnal seizures and over a fifth (22%) did not have any nocturnal surveillance of any kind. (5). Another multicentre study across England and Wales found that only 61% of 904 PwID and epilepsy had a documented discussion regarding SUDEP, and this was less likely in those with mild ID or with their care stakeholders (4).

As was acknowledged by the Dutch study monitoring does have financial implications (26), and the type of monitoring and the implementation of this should be on an individual basis after a thorough risk assessment.

Recommendations for future research following this scoping review are summarised in Table 2.

## 4.1. Limitations

Limitations to this scoping review included the language barrier, which meant that two articles were not able to be screened further and potentially included in the review. Given that the search terms were also in English, relevant articles in other languages that did not include an English abstract or keywords would not have been identified. However, it unlikely any substantial index linked work would have been missed.

The search terms were compiled to be as inclusive and broad as possible, and this generated a large number of articles. However, it is possible that other terms were not selected that may have cast a wider net. Using broad terms resulted in many articles in the field of healthcare rather than social care, which were not directly relevant to this review, however this increased the likelihood of picking some relevant articles as well. Articles that only discussed the caregiver burden were not included; however, this is another important area to consider. Four additional papers not selected for the review but of supportive interest focus on the development of nocturnal monitoring devices and their accuracy (33-36). The populations for these studies were PwID and epilepsy in residential care. These papers were not included in this review because they were focussed on the technology itself and not sufficiently focussed on its application in social care to meet our review criteria. However, they are important and linked to this topic. Also, no papers which investigated core social matters such as housing and finance were identified specific to PwID and epilepsy.

#### 5. Conclusion

This scoping review highlights the small number of voices calling for greater attention to the social care needs of PwID and epilepsy, who are at high risk of multi-morbidity, polypharmacy and premature mortality. There needs to be more high-quality research into reducing the community-based risks for this vulnerable population. Greater awareness of their risks and engagement in community and mitigating them is required by the social care sector. Social care professionals are well placed to advocate for their clients' needs and alert specialist healthcare teams to changes in their status. Consistent training of social care professionals and collaboration between health and social care would help to allow these risks to be mitigated. Good social care provision could ultimately reduce unnecessary hospitalisations, healthcare costs and premature mortality, as well as improve quality of life in this vulnerable group.

#### References

- 1. Epilepsy Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022 [cited 2023 15 January]. Available from: <a href="https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/epilepsy">https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/epilepsy</a>.
- 2. Robertson J, Hatton C, Emerson E, Baines S. Prevalence of epilepsy among people with intellectual disabilities: A systematic review. Seizure. 2015;29:46-62.
- 3. Matthews T, et a. A general practice-based prevalence study of epilepsy among adults with intellectual disabilities and of its association with psychiatric disorder, behaviour disturbance and carer stress. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research. 2008;52(2):163-73.
- 4. Sun JJ, Perera B, Henley W, Angus-Leppan H, Sawhney I, Watkins L, et al. Epilepsy related multimorbidity, polypharmacy and risks in adults with intellectual disabilities: a national study. Journal of Neurology. 2022.
- 5. Sun JJ, Perera B, Henley W, Ashby S, Shankar R. Seizure and Sudden Unexpected Death in Epilepsy (SUDEP) characteristics in an urban UK intellectual disability service. Seizure. 2020;80:18-23.
- 6. Snoeijen-Schouwenaars FM, Young C, Rowe C, van Ool JS, Schelhaas HJ, Shankar R. People with epilepsy and intellectual disability: More than a sum of two conditions. Epilepsy Behav. 2021;124:108355.
- 7. Watkins LV, Henley W, Sun JJ, Perera B, Angus-Leppan H, Sawhney I, et al. Tackling increased risks in older adults with intellectual disability and epilepsy: Data from a national multicentre cohort study. Seizure. 2022;101:15-21.
- 8. Robertson J, Hatton C, Emerson E, Baines S. Mortality in people with intellectual disabilities and epilepsy: A systematic review. Seizure. 2015;29:123-33.
- 9. Young C, Shankar R, Palmer J, Craig J, Hargreaves C, McLean B, et al. Does intellectual disability increase sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP) risk'. Seizure. 2015;25:112-6.
- 10. Glover G, Evison F. Hospital admissions that should not happen: admissions for ambulatory care sensitive conditions for people with learning disabilities in England. United Kingdom: Improving Health and Lives Learning Disabilities Observatory; 2013 [cited 2022 25 November]. Available from: <a href="https://www.ndti.org.uk/assets/files/IHAL-2013-02-Hospital\_admissions\_that\_should\_not\_happen\_ii.pdf">https://www.ndti.org.uk/assets/files/IHAL-2013-02-Hospital\_admissions\_that\_should\_not\_happen\_ii.pdf</a>.
- 11. Care Act 2014. In: Health Do, editor. London: Department of Health; 2014.
- 12. Better health and care for all: National Institute for Health Research Dissemination Centre; 2020 [Available from: <a href="https://content.nihr.ac.uk/nihrdc/themedreview-04326-BCAHFA/Better-Health\_Care-For-FINALWEB.pdf">https://content.nihr.ac.uk/nihrdc/themedreview-04326-BCAHFA/Better-Health\_Care-For-FINALWEB.pdf</a>.
- 13. Bowley C, Kerr M. Epilepsy and intellectual disability. J Intellect Disabil Res. 2000;44 ( Pt 5):529-43.
- 14. Codling M, Burns K, Vasset B, Franks K. Development of epilepsy risk assessment guidance for carers and services. Learning Disability Practice. 2019;22(4):25-9.

- 15. Graydon M. Do learning disability services need epilepsy specialist nurses? Seizure. 2000;9(4):294-6.
- 16. Kerr M, Linehan C, Thompson R, Mula M, Gil-Nagal A, Zuberi SM, et al. A White Paper on the medical and social needs of people with epilepsy and intellectual disability: The Task Force on Intellectual Disabilities and Epilepsy of the International League Against Epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2014;55(12):1902-6.
- 17. Kerr M, Linehan C. Responding to the needs of people with intellectual disability and epilepsy: Shared priorities. Journal of Intellectual & Developmental Disability. 2015;40(3):248-53.
- 18. Pointu A, Cole C. An education programme for social care staff: improving the health of people who have a learning disability and epilepsy. British Journal of Learning Disabilities. 2005;33(1):39-43.
- 19. Sterrick M, Foley J. Educating lay carers of people with learning disability in epilepsy awareness and in the use of rectal diazepam: a suggested teaching protocol for use by healthcare personnel. Health Bulletin. 1999;57(3):198-204.
- 20. Medicines for seizures England: Care Quality Commission; 2022 [Available from: <a href="https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/adult-social-care/medicines-seizures">https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/adult-social-care/medicines-seizures</a>.
- 21. Deepak S, Obe E, Attavar R. Administration of emergency antiepileptics in staffed care homes for people with intellectual disabilities in High Wycombe: survey of staff training status. Advances in Mental Health and Intellectual Disabilities. 2012;6(1):41-6.
- 22. Endermann M. Rehabilitation for young adults with epilepsy and mild intellectual disabilities: results of a prospective study with repeated measurements. Seizure. 2015;26:72-80.
- 23. Disorder of intellectual development, mild Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019 [11th:[Available from: <a href="https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en#/http%3a%2f%2fid.who.int%2ficd%2fentity%2f1207960454">https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en#/http%3a%2f%2fid.who.int%2ficd%2fentity%2f1207960454</a>.
- 24. Kerr MP, Watkins LV, Angus-Leppan H, Corp A, Goodwin M, Hanson C, et al. The provision of care to adults with an intellectual disability in the UK. A Special report from the intellectual disability UK chapter ILAE. Seizure. 2018;56:41-6.
- 25. Young C, Shankar R, Henley W, Rose A, Cheatle K, Sander JW. SUDEP and seizure safety communication: Assessing if people hear and act. Epilepsy & Behavior. 2018;86:200-3.
- 26. van der Lende M, Cox FM, Visser GH, Sander JW, Thijs RD. Value of video monitoring for nocturnal seizure detection in a residential setting. Epilepsia. 2016;57(11):1748-53.
- 27. van der Lende M, Hesdorffer DC, Sander JW, Thijs RD. Nocturnal supervision and SUDEP risk at different epilepsy care settings. Neurology. 2018;91(16):e1508-e18.
- 28. Shankar R, Goodwin M, Toland J, Boyle A, Grant A, Pearson J, et al. Oro-mucosal midazolam maleate: Use and effectiveness in adults with epilepsy in the UK. Epilepsy and Behavior. 2021;123:108242.
- 29. Shankar R, Jory C, Ashton J, McLean B, Walker M. Epilepsy emergency rescue training. BMJ Qual Improv Rep. 2015;4(1).
- 30. Tittensor P, Tittensor S, Chisanga E, Bagary M, Jory C, Shankar R. UK framework for basic epilepsy training and oromucosal midazolam administration. Epilepsy Behav. 2021;122:108180.
- 31. Shankar R, Walker M, McLean B, Laugharne R, Ferrand F, Hanna J, et al. Steps to prevent SUDEP: the validity of risk factors in the SUDEP and seizure safety checklist: a case control study. J Neurol. 2016;263(9):1840-6.
- 32. Epilepsies in children, young people and adults United Kingdom: National Institute For Health and Care Excellence; 2022 [Available from:

https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng217/chapter/10-Reducing-the-risk-of-epilepsy-related-death-including-sudden-unexpected-death-in-epilepsy.

- 33. Shankar R, Jory C, Hagnow K, Cox D, Tripp M. The use of just checking (JC) motion passive infra red (PIR) sensors as assistive technology to screen for nocturnal seizures (NS) in patients with severe intellectual disability (ID)whowere unable to give informed consent or at risk of becoming behaviourly distressed when attempts were made to have overnight eegs. Epilepsia. 2014;55(SUPPL. 2):195-6.
- 34. Shankar R, Jory C, Tripp M, Hagenow K. Monitoring nocturnal seizure in vulnerable patients. Learning Disability Practice. 2013;16(9):36-8.
- 35. Arends J, Thijs RD, Gutter T, Ungureanu C, Cluitmans P, Van Dijk J, et al. Multimodal nocturnal seizure detection in a residential care setting: A long-term prospective trial. Neurology. 2018;91(21):e2010-e9.
- 36. Arends JB, van Dorp J, van Hoek D, Kramer N, van Mierlo P, van der Vorst D, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of audio-based seizure detection in patients with severe epilepsy and an intellectual disability. Epilepsy & Behavior. 2016;62:180-5.

**Table 1:** Selected studies results and quality marking

Article	Article	Study P	Themes	_	ndings	Ke	e <b>y</b>	Quality
	type	population				m	essages	Assessm
						re	levant to	ent
				1		thi	is review	
Bowley &	Review	Not	1. Staff	•	There is	•	Epilepsy	Not
Kerr,	(Non-	applicable	training		a lack		can be a	assessabl
2000	empirical)		and		of		barrier to	e
(Wales)			education		research		accessing	
(13)			2.		on care		healthcare	
			Emergen		provisio	•	Important	
			cy		n for		areas for	
			seizure		PwID		research	
			managem		and		include	
		~0	ent in the		epilepsy		staff	
			communi				training	
			ty				needs and	
							acute	
							seizure	
4							managem	
							ent	
							protocols	
Codling	Professiona	Not	1. Staff	•	Not	•	Summaris	Not
et al, 2019	1	applicable	training		applica		ed	assessabl
(UK) (14)	communica		and		ble		guidance	e
	tion (non-		education				for carers,	
	empirical)						including	
							on risk	
							factors	
						•	It is	
							important	
							to try to	
							include	
							service	
							users in	

					risk assessme	
Deepak et al., 2012 (England) (21)	Cross-sectional survey (quantitative descriptive)	Managers of care homes for people with ID (n = 21) in one UK region (High Wycombe)	1. Emergen cy seizure managem ent in the communi ty 2. Staff training and education	Of the 11 care homes had resident s with epilepsy, only five had staff trained in the emerge ncy adminis tration of seizure rescue medicat ion Two had staff who were trained to adminis ter both buccal midazol am and rectal diazepa m Ten homes did not have a person with epilepsy and did not have any	assessme nts  Care home staff require better awarenes s and training on epilepsy, particular ly on the administr ation of emergenc y seizure medicatio n	***

	1					1		<u> </u>
					staff			
					trained			
					to			
					adminis			
					ter			
					emerge			
					ncy			
					seizure			
					medicat			
					ions			
Enderma	Cohort	Young	1.	•	After	•	Rehabilit	***
nn, 2015	study	adults with	Holistic		complet		ation	
(German	(quantitativ	epilepsy &	care		ing the		program	
y) (22)	e	mild ID (n			progra		mes such	
	descriptive)	= 97)			mme,		as this	
	,	attending a			clients		can	
		rehabilitati			reported		improve	
		on			improve		the	
		programme			ment in		quality of	
		in					life of	
				A	their			
		Germany			activitie		people	
		between			s of		with	
		1999 and			daily		epilepsy	
		2011	. (/)		living		and mild	
					(p =		ID,	
					0.001),		including	
					aspects		more	
					of their		independ	
					quality		ent living	
					of life		and better	
					(e.g.,		seizure	
							control	
					epilepsy		Control	
					-			
					specific			
					fear, p			
					=			
					0.002),			
					and			
					signific			
					antly			
					reduced			
					seizure			
					frequen			
					cy(p =			
					0.003)			
				•	These			
				•				
					persiste			
					d at two			
					years in			
					the 51			

Graydon, Review 2000 (England) (15)   Not applicable empirical)   Not applicable education   Not communit y rather than large institution services and profession als in the communit y specialist nurses are well-placed to support elections are serviced to the communit to applicable election which were available e for follow-up		T		T	T			
Graydon, Review 2000 (non-cempirical) (15)   Not applicable end of PwID now live in the communit y rather than large institution s the communit y rather than large institution s of carers and profession nals in the communit y specialist nurses are well-placed to several to available end for follow-up of these, 56.8% (n = 22) moved to support ed housing and 43.1% (n = 22) moved to applica ble end to applica ble end to applica ble end to applica institution s of PwID now live in the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the communit y rather than large institution s end to applicate the community and the community and the community and the community are the community and the community and the community and the community are the community and the community are the community are the community and the community and the community are the community are the community and the community are the community are the community and the community are the community are the community ar					who			
Graydon, Review (non-que) empirical)   The majority of PwID (non-que) empirical)   The communit y rather than large institution for carers and profession nals in the communit y elements of the communit y rather than large institution for carers and profession nals in the communit y elements of the communit y elemen					were			
Graydon, 2000 (non-empirical)  (15)  Review (non-empirical)  (15)  Review (non-education)  Review (non					availabl			
Graydon, 2000 (non-empirical)  (15)  Review (non-empirical)  (15)  Review (non-education)  Review (non					e for			
Graydon, 2000 (Ingland) (If)   Not assessable education								
Graydon, (non-mpirical)  Review (non-mpirical)  (15)  Review (non-mpirical)  Review (non-mp								
Craydon, 2000 (non-cempirical)   Review (n								
Graydon, 2000 (non-empirical)   Review (non-empirical)   I. Staff application   I. Staff								
Graydon, 2000 (non-empirical)   Not applicable education   Not applica   Not								
Graydon, 2000 (non- (England) (15)  Review (non- empirical)  Review (non- empirical)  Review (non- education  Not training and and education  1. Staff training and education  Not applica ble empirical ble effective in the communit y rather than large institution s  • There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to					56.8%			
Graydon, 2000 (non- (England) (15)  Review (non- empirical)  Review (non- empirical)  Review (non- education  Not training and and education  1. Staff training and education  Not applica ble empirical ble effective in the communit y rather than large institution s  • There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to					(n = 29)			
Graydon, 2000 (non- (England) (15)  Review (non- (england) (15)  Review (non- (england) (15)  Review (non- (applicable empirical)  Review (non- (applicable education)  Review (non- (applicable edu								
Graydon, 2000 (non-compirical)  (15)  Review (non-compirical)  (15)  Review (non-compirical)  (15)  Not applicable and education  1. Staff training and education  2. There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and profession nals in the community y  2. Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
Graydon, 2000 (non- empirical)  (15)  Review (non- empirical)  Applicable education  Not applicable education  Not applicable education  Not applicable education  The majority of PwID now live in the communit y rather than large institution s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to								
Graydon, 2000 (non- (England) (15)  Review (non- (inon- empirical)  Review (non- empirical)  I. Staff training and education  Not assessable e e  The majority of PwID on own live in the communit y rather than large institution s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to								
Graydon, 2000 (non- empirical) (15)  Review (non- empirical) (15)								
Graydon, 2000 (non- (England) (15)  Review (non- (empirical) (15)  Not applicable and education  Not applicable and education  Not applica ble empirical)  The majority of PwID now live in the communit y rather than large institution so a need for epilepsy education for carers and profession nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to								
Graydon, 2000 (non-empirical) (15)  Review (non-empirical) (15)  Review (non-empirical) (15)  Review (non-empirical) (15)  Review (non-empirical) (non-empirical)  Not applica ble viaining and education  Not applica ble viaining and education  Not applica ble viaining and education  Not assessable e  The majority of PwID now live in the communit y rather than large institution s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and profession nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
Graydon, 2000 (non-empirical)  (15)  Review (non-empirical)  (15)  Not applicable taining and education  Not applicable taining and education  The majority of PwID now live in the communit y rather than large institution s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
Graydon, 2000 (non- (England) (15)  Review (non- empirical)  (15)  Review (non- empirical)  (15)  Not applicable training and education  1. Staff training and education  2. Not assessable e  2. The majority of PwID now live in the communit y rather than large institution s  3. There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and profession nals in the communit y  4. Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
Graydon, 2000 (non- (England) (15)  Review (non- empirical)  (I5)  Not applicable and education  Not applicable ble of PwID now live in the communit y rather than large institution so a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y representation and profession nals in the communit y profession nals in the communit y rather than large institution so so the communit y rather than large institution so so the communit y rather than large institution so so the communit y rather than large institution so so the communit y rather than large institution so so the communit y rather than large institution so so the communit y rather than large institution so the community of PwID and the community rather than large institution so th					moved			
Graydon, 2000 (non- (England) (15)  Review (non- empirical)  Review (non- empirical)  Not applicable and education  1. Staff training and education  of PwID now live in the communit y rather than large institution s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to					to			
Graydon, 2000 (non- (England) (15)  Review (non- empirical)  Review (non- empirical)  Not applicable and education  1. Staff training and education  of PwID now live in the communit y rather than large institution s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to					further			
Graydon, 2000 (England) (15)  Review (non-empirical) (15)  Not applicable and education  I. Staff taining and education  In Staff taining and education  In Staff taining and education  I. Staff taining and education  In Staff taining applica ble education  In Staff taining and education  In Staff taining applica ble education  In Staff taining and education  In Staff taining and education  In Staff taining applica ble education  In Staff taining appl								
Graydon, 2000 (England) (15)  Review (non-empirical)  (I5)  Review (non-empirical)  Review (non-empiri								
Condition   Continue   Compute   Continue   Compute   Continue   Compute   Continue	Gravdan	Review	Not	1 Staff	~		The	Not
(England) (15)  empirical)  and education  ble  of PwID  now live in the communit y rather than large institution s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to								
education  now live in the communit y rather than large institution s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to			applicable					
in the communit y rather than large institution s  • There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to		empiricai)		1	bie			е
communit y rather than large institution s  • There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to	(15)			education				
y rather than large institution s  • There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
than large institution s  • There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to							communit	
institution s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to			~'0'				y rather	
institution s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to							than large	
s  There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
There is a need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
need for epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
epilepsy education for carers and professio nals in the communit y • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to						•		
education for carers and professio nals in the communit y • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to								
for carers and professio nals in the communit y • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to								
and professio nals in the communit y  • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
professio nals in the communit y • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to								
nals in the communit y  • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
nals in the communit y  • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to							professio	
the communit y  • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
communit y  Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to								
y • Epilepsy specialist nurses are well- placed to								
• Epilepsy specialist nurses are well-placed to								
specialist nurses are well- placed to								
nurses are well-placed to						•		
well-placed to								
placed to								
	i .							
Support							placed to	

							this	
							education	
Kerr et	Review	Paid	1. Staff	•	A key	•	Greater	Not
al., 2014	(non-	caregivers,	training		concern		interagen	assessabl
(UK) (16)	empirical)	professiona	and		of		cy	e
	of	ls and	education		particip		collaborat	
	internationa	family	2.		ants		ion is	
	1 survey	members	Holistic		was the		needed	
		of PwID	care		lack of	•	A	
		and			support		Working	
		epilepsy			for		Group	
					family		should be	
					and		formed to	
					paid		provide	
					caregiv		guidance	
					ers from		on	
					epilepsy		minimisin	
					services		g	
				•	Another		epilepsy-	
				4	key		related	
					concern		risks	
				U	was a	•	Training	
					lack of		manuals	
					commu		should be	
					nication		develope	
					between		d for non-	
					epilepsy		specialist	
					services and		communit	
					family		y services	
					or paid		by the Internatio	
					carers		nal	
					carcis		League	
							Against	
							Epilepsy	
							(ILAE)	
							and the	
							Internatio	
							nal	
							Bureau	
							for	
							Epilepsy	
Kerr &	Review	Not	1. Staff	•	Not	•	Presented	Not
Linehan,	(non-	applicable	training		applica		recent	assessabl
2015	empirical)		and		ble		advances	e
(UK) (17)			education				in	
			2.				epilepsy	
			Emergen				and their	
			cy				implicatio	
			seizure				ns on the	

	managem		optimisati
	ent in the		on of
	communi		health
			and social
	ty 3.		care for
	Holistic		
			PwID
	care		• Care
			providers
			should
			ensure
			that a risk
			assessme
			nt is
			performe
			d when
		*	perceived
			risks
			exclude
			and
		10	individual
			from an
			activity
			• Carers
	. (/)		and
			families
			require
			education
			on
			epilepsy
~~~			and its
			impact on
			social
			inclusion
			• Emergenc
			y seizure
			medicatio
			ns need to
			be made
			available
			in the
			communit
			y to
			reduce
			unnecessa
			ry
			hospitalis
			ations
			Health
			and social
			care

	Т						2 .	
							professio	
							nals need	
							to work	
							together	
Kerr et	Cross-	Internation	1.	•	Two of	•	Easily	***
al., 2018	sectional	al	Holistic		the four		accessible	
(UK) (24)	survey	healthcare	care		emerge		standardis	
	(mixed	professiona	2. Staff		nt		ed risk	
	methods –	ls working	training		themes		assessme	
	qualitative	with PwID	and		particul		nts for	
	and	and	education		arly		PwID and	
	quantitative	epilepsy (n			relevant		epilepsy	
	descriptive)	= 54):			to social		need to	
		neurologist			care		be	
		s, ID			were		develope	
		psychiatrist			'risk'		d	
		s, epilepsy			and	•	There is a	
		specialist			'broade		need for	
		nurses, ID			r impact		research	
		specialist			upon		into the	
		nurses, and			quality		use of	
		'other'			of life'.		monitorin	
		professiona					g devices	
		ls	()			•	There is a	
							need for	
							education	
							and	
							training	
							by the	
							ILAE, in	
							collaborat	
							ion with	
							epilepsy	
							nurses,	
· ·							for	
							healthcare	
							providers	
							who	
							support	
							the	
							delivery	
							of rescue	
							medicatio	
							n and	
							accurate	
							seizure	
							recording	
						•	The ILAE	
							should	
							keep their	

	T .	I		1			1	
							website	
							up to date	
							with user-	
							friendly	
							informati	
							on,	
							including	
							for carers	
Pointu et	Cross-	Social care	1.	•	Nearly	•	This	Not
al., 2005	sectional	staff who	Emergen		all the		education	assessabl
(England)	survey /	care for	cy		staff		al	e
(18)	Audit (non-	PwID and	seizure		member			
(10)	empirical)	epilepsy in	managem		s found		program	
	Cinpiricar)	one UK	ent in the				me	
					the		develope	
		region	communi		training		d the	
			ty		appropr		skills of	
			2. Staff		iate or		the local	
		1996 audit:	training		relevant		social	
		n = 173	and		to their		care	
			education	4	practice		workforc	
					(99% of		e to be	
					97		able to	
					particip		support	
			. (7)		ants)		PwID and	
				•	Nearly		epilepsy	
		2002 audit:			all felt			
		n = 97			confide			
					nt to			
					adminis			
					ter			
					rectal			
					diazepa			
		Ť			m after			
					the			
					training			
					(98% of			
					97			
					particip			
					ants)			

	1	1	I			ı		1
Sterrick	Cross-	Care staff	1.	•	Nearly	•	There is a	Not
et al.,	sectional	for PwID	Emergen		all the		need for	assessabl
1999	survey /	(private	cy		staff		healthcare	e
(Scotland	Audit (non-	social	seizure		member		personnel	
) (19)	empirical)	organisatio	managem		s found		to be	
		ns, respite	ent in the		the		prepared	
		units, day	communi		training		to	
		centres and	ty		appropr		respond	
		care	2. Staff		iate or		to	
		homes)	training		relevant		requests	
		,	and		to their		from lay	
			education		practice		carers for	
					(96% of		teaching	
					Ì61		in	
					particip		epilepsy	
		n = 500			ants)		and rectal	
		n = 300					diazepam	
							administr	
							ation	
				1		•	This	
							course	
			4		•		was	
							received	
			.01				positively	
							and could	
							be	
							adapted	
							and	
							implemen	
		_'()					ted by	
	_^						other	
							services	

Von den	Cross	Doomlo	1.		<b>a</b> .		771	****
Van der	Cross-	People		•	Seizure	•	The	of of other traff
Lende et	sectional	with severe	Nocturnal		S		monitorin	
al., 2016	analytic	ID and	supervisi		detected		g required	
(The	study	refractory	on and		only on		the	
Netherlan		epilepsy in	monitorin		video		employm	
ds) (26)		residential	g		and not		ent of	
		care who			via the		extra care	
		were			other		staff	
		recommen			monitor	•	Human	
		ded for			ing		error may	
		video			method		be	
		monitoring			s were		inherent	
		by the			less		when	
		Dutch			likely to		multitaski	
		Health and			require		ng to	
		Care			interven		monitor	
		Inspectorat			tion.		several	
		e			Only	Ť	screens	
					10%		and	
				4	(39 out		performin	
					of 393)		g any	
			4		of		additional	
					seizures		duties	
			. (7)		detected	•	Video	
					only by		monitorin	
		n=41			video		g	
					required		facilitated	
					interven		nocturnal	
					tion,		surveillan	
		~'0			versus		ce, but	
					16%		the cost	
					(128 out		of	
					of 687,		providing	
					p =		it	
•					0.006)		(compare	
					of those		d to	
					also		acoustic	
					detected		detection	
					by other		systems	
					means.		and bed	
				•	The		motion	
					cost per		sensors	
					video		already in	
					monitor		use)	
					ing-		outweigh	
					identifie		ed its	
					d		clinical	
					seizure		value	
					that	•	Reliable	
					required		seizure	
	l	İ		l	1	<u> </u>	2012010	

				an interven tion was 7035 euros		detection devices need to be develope d	
Van der Lende et al., 2018 (The Netherlan ds) (27)	Case-control study	PwID and epilepsy in two residential care settings, retrospectively over a 25-year period	1. Nocturnal supervisi on and monitorin g	There were 60 cases of SUDEP Cases were more likely to have nocturn al convuls ive seizures (p = 0.001) There was no signific ant differen ce in the level of nocturn al supervis ion between the cases and controls	•	Different levels of nocturnal supervisi on may account for some of the difference in incidence found in SUDEP between the two centres studied Reliable nocturnal seizure detection systems for different populations are important	***

	T			1		1		
				•	There			
					was a			
					signific			
					ant			
					differen			
					ce in			
					supervis			
					ion			
					level			
					between			
					the two			
					centres			
					(p =			
					<i>φ</i> – 0.001).			
					The			
					centre			
					with the			
					lower			
					level of			
					supervis			
					ion had			
					the			
					higher			
			.(/)		number			
					of			
					SUDEP			
					cases			
					(35			
					compar			
		~'0			ed to			
					25)			
Young et	Cross-	Family and	1.	•	Advice	•	There	Not
al., 2018	sectional	residential	Nocturnal		given		may be a	assessabl
(England)	survey/	carers of	supervisi		on		lack of	e
(25)	service	PwID and	on and		nocturn		awarenes	
	evaluation	epilepsy in	monitorin		al		s of	
	(non-	Cornwall,	g		monitor		SUDEP	
	empirical)	UK			ing had		risk	
					been		factors	
					implem	•	PwID and	
					ented in		epilepsy	
					those		in	
							-44	

	Г		
n = 121		who had not	residentia l care
		previou	may be
		sly had	more
		such	likely to be failed
		equipm ent in	than those
		place ( <i>n</i>	living
		=42,	with their families
		35%)	
		• This	• Audio
		had	monitorin
		identifie	g may be
		d	a useful
		previou	strategy
		sly	to
		unknow	improve
		n	detection of
		nocturn al	nocturnal
		seizures	seizures
		in 76%	and
		(n = 32)	reduce
		of these	risk of
	JU	individu	harm for
		als	PwID.
		<ul><li>Awaren</li></ul>	•
	>	ess of	
		SUDEP	
		risk was	
		generall	
		y good	
>		• Carers	
		in	
		resident	
		ial	
		settings	
		were	
		less	
		likely to	
		recall	
		person-	
		centred	
		risk	
		discussi	
		ons than	
		family	
		carers	
		(59% n)	
		= 22/56	

		versus 63% n =42/65, p = 0.006),	
		Š	
		3,000	
3			

**Table 2:** Future research priorities

Area of research	Recommendations for future research		
Risks in PwID and epilepsy living in the community	Studies on the risks faced by PwID and epilepsy living in the community and strategies for the mitigation of these risks		
	<ul> <li>Ideally multiregional, high quality and involving experts by experience</li> </ul>		
Training of social care professionals who care for PwID and epilepsy	The development of and assessment of training and education programmes across different regions and		

	<ul> <li>community settings</li> <li>Key educational areas are general epilepsy awareness and acute seizure management protocols, especially the administration of buccal midazolam</li> <li>The opinions of social care professionals should be included in this research</li> </ul>
Seizure detection / nocturnal monitoring devices	<ul> <li>The development of effective seizure detection and nocturnal monitoring devices</li> <li>Multicentre and multiregional studies of their effectiveness and practical use in the community</li> </ul>

Conflict of interest

No known conflict of interest exists for any of the authors involved in this manuscript.