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#### Abstract

A new, so called odd Gel'fand-Zetlin basis is introduced for the irreducible covariant tensor representations of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$. The related Gel'fand-Zetlin patterns are based upon the decomposition according to a particular chain of subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$. This chain contains only genuine Lie superalgebras of type $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid l)$ with $k$ and $l$ nonzero (apart from the final element of the chain which is $\mathfrak{g l}(1 \mid 0) \equiv \mathfrak{g l}(1))$. Explicit expressions for a set of generators of the algebra on this Gel'fand-Zetlin basis are determined. The results are extended to an explicit construction of a class of irreducible highest weight modules of the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$.
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## 1 Introduction

Many decades ago, Green [1] generalized standard quantum statistics describing bosons and fermions, and introduced parabosons and parafermions. Typically, the relations for paraboson and parafermion creation and annihilation operators are triple relations rather than just commutators or anticommutators. Greenberg and Messiah [2] studied mixed system consisting of parafermions $f_{j}^{ \pm}$and parabosons $b_{j}^{ \pm}$, and their relative commutation relations. As far as the underlying algebraic structure is concerned, Palev [3] proved that $k$ parafermions $f_{j}^{ \pm}$and $n$ parabosons $b_{j}^{ \pm}$with so-called relative parafermion relations generate the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{o s p}(2 k+1 \mid 2 n)$. The explicit construction of the corresponding parastatistics Fock space, which is an infinite-dimensional unitary representation of $\mathfrak{o s p}(2 k+1 \mid 2 n)$, turned out to be a very difficult problem, and was completed only recently [4]. In this construction, the branching $\mathfrak{o s p}(2 k+1 \mid 2 n) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ plays a crucial role, and allows to label the states of the parastatistics Fock space by means of Gel'fand-Zetlin (GZ) labels of covariant representations of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$.

The real interest lies in such quantum systems with infinite degrees of freedom, consisting of a mixed system of an infinite number of parafermions and parabosons $(k \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty)$. In such a case, the parastatistics Fock space could be seen as a unitary representation of some infinite rank Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{o s p}(\infty \mid \infty)$. In order to study this representation along the lines of [4-6], it

[^0]is clear that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ and its covariant representations will play a crucial role. Of course, one has to be more precise in what is meant by the infinite rank Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$, and by its class of covariant representations.

For an infinite number of parabosons (or an infinite number of parafermions, but not mixed), the problem was already investigated in [7]. Roughly speaking, for that case the GZ-basis for $\mathfrak{g l}(n)$ covariant representations could be naturally extended to "a stable GZ-pattern" for $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty)$, and that offered a way of describing the paraboson (resp. parafermion) Fock space with an infinite degree of freedom. Turning our attention to a mixed system, one might expect that the known GZ-basis [8] for covariant representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ can also quite naturally be extended to some infinite but stable GZ-patterns for $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$. However, there is a problem with this. Indeed, the natural GZ-basis for $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ [8] is based on the decomposition according to the branching

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n-1) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n-2) \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g l}(k \mid 1) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(k) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(k-1) \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g l}(2) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(1) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding GZ-basis pattern [8, eq. (3.12)] consists of a triangular array of $(k+n)$ rows (and columns), in such a way that the triangle consisting of the $k$ bottom rows corresponds to a common $\mathfrak{g l}(k)$ GZ-pattern, the triangle corresponding to the $n$ rightmost columns corresponds to a $\mathfrak{g l}(n)$ GZ-pattern, and the remaining $(k \times n)$ rectangle contains the remaining basis labels satisfying certain " $\theta$-conditions". Clearly, such a basis can not be used in the limit when both $k$ and $n$ tend to infinity. For example, when $k$ goes to infinity, the bottom triangle (with, evidently, some stability conditions) stretches to infinity and one can never get control over the labels related to the $\mathfrak{g l}(n)$ part of $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$. Similarly, when $n$ goes to infinity, the upper right triangle stretches to infinity at the left, and one cannot get hold of the labels related to the $\mathfrak{g l}(k)$ part of $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$. This problem, described schematically only, is related to the particular choice of subalgebras in the chain (1.1).

In this paper, we show that another chain of subalgebras,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n-1) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(n-1 \mid n-1) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(n-1 \mid n-2) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(n-2 \mid n-2) \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g l}(1 \mid 1) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(1) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is appropriate for considering the limit when $n$ goes to infinity, and allows us to construct stable GZ-patterns for covariant representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$. This is also related to the fact that the last chain can be "reversed",

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g l}(1)=\mathfrak{g l}(1 \mid 0) \subset \mathfrak{g l}(1 \mid 1) \subset \mathfrak{g l}(2 \mid 1) \subset \mathfrak{g l}(2 \mid 2) \subset \mathfrak{g l}(3 \mid 2) \subset \mathfrak{g l}(3 \mid 3) \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main part of the paper is thus devoted to constructing a new GZ-basis for covariant representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ according to the chain of subalgebras (1.2). Of course, the notion of "GZ-basis" does not just refer to a way of labeling the basis vectors of the representation, but also to the fact that the action of a set of generators of the algebra on these basis vectors can be given. In the current situation, it turns out that the set of generators for which the action on the new GZ-basis vectors takes the simplest form, is a set of non-distinguished simple root vectors of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ consisting of odd roots only. For this reason, the new GZ-basis will be called "the odd GZ-basis". Our main result is Theorem 4, giving the explicit action of the generators on the odd GZ-basis vectors.

The new GZ-basis for $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ is interesting on its own: it is simple, elegant, and for the first time one is dealing with a basis on which the action of a set of odd generators is simple and natural. The main importance is that the basis and generator action can be extended to a class of representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$.

There are a number of ways to define the infinite dimensional and infinite rank Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$. We follow the common definition [9] in terms of certain squared infinite matrices with
a finite number of nonzero entries, on which a grading is fixed. The GZ-patterns for $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ can be extended to infinite GZ-patterns for $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ in a rather straight way. Those infinite GZpatterns should however satisfy a certain stability condition, in order to correspond to a basis for an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$.

In Section 2 we define the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ and remind the reader of covariant tensor representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$. In Section 3, we construct the covariant tensor representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ introducing the odd Gel'fand-Zetlin basis following the decomposition (1.2). We give the action of the $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ generators on the basis and prove that the algebra relations are satisfied in these representations. The infinite-dimensional general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ is defined in Section 4. Infinite odd GZ-patterns with a so-called stability index are introduced in Section 5. We prove that the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ has an irreducible action on basis vectors labelled by these stable odd GZ-patterns.

## 2 The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ and covariant representations

The underlying vector space for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ consists of the space of $(r \times r)$ matrices, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=k+n \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ can be defined $[10,11]$ through its natural matrix realization

$$
\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)=\left\{\left.x=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B  \tag{2.2}\\
C & D
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, A \in M_{k \times k}, B \in M_{k \times n}, C \in M_{n \times k}, D \in M_{n \times n}\right\},
$$

where $M_{p \times q}$ is the space of all $p \times q$ complex matrices. The even subalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)_{\overline{0}}$ has $B=0$ and $C=0$; the odd subspace $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)_{\overline{1}}$ has $A=0$ and $D=0$. Note that $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)_{\overline{0}}=\mathfrak{g l}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{g l}(n)$. The Lie superalgebra is then defined by means of the bracket $\llbracket x, y \rrbracket=x y-(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}(x) \operatorname{deg}(y)} y x$, where $x$ and $y$ are homogeneous elements.

It will be convenient to use the ordered set $\{-k, \ldots,-2,-1 ; 1,2, \ldots n\}$ as indices for the rows and columns of the matrices in (2.2) throughout this paper. So in (2.2), the elements of $A$ have negative indices only, those of $D$ have positive indices only, etc. Furthermore, it will sometimes be convenient to write the minus sign of an index as an overlined number. So with this convention the indices $\ldots, \overline{3}, \overline{2}, \overline{1} ; 1,2,3, \ldots$ stand for $\ldots,-3,-2,-1 ; 1,2,3 \ldots$.

A basis for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ consists of matrices $E_{i j}(i, j=-k, \ldots,-2,-1 ; 1,2, \ldots, n)$, with entry 1 at position $(i, j)$ and 0 elsewhere. This is usually called the Weyl basis. The bracket for these basis elements is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\llbracket E_{a b}, E_{c d} \rrbracket=\delta_{b c} E_{a d}-(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{a b}\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(E_{c d}\right)} \delta_{a d} E_{c b} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by the elements $E_{j j}(j=-k, \ldots,-2,-1 ; 1,2, \ldots, n)$. The space dual to $\mathfrak{h}$ is $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$ and is described by the forms $\epsilon_{i}(i=-k, \ldots,-2,-1 ; 1,2, \ldots, n)$ where $\epsilon_{i}: x \rightarrow A_{i i}(i=-k,-k+1, \ldots,-1)$ and $\epsilon_{i}: x \rightarrow D_{i i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, and where $x$ is given as in (2.2). The components of an element $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ will be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
{[m]^{r} } & =\left[m_{-k, r}, \ldots, m_{-2, r}, m_{-1, r} ; m_{1 r}, m_{2 r}, \ldots, m_{n r}\right] \\
& =\left[m_{\bar{k}, r}, \ldots, m_{\overline{2}, r}, m_{\overline{1}, r} ; m_{1 r}, m_{2 r}, \ldots, m_{n r}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\sum_{\substack{i=-k \\ i \neq 0}}^{n} m_{i r} \epsilon_{i} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $m_{i r}$ are complex numbers. The elements of $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$ are called the weights. The roots of $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ take the form $\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}(i \neq j)$ and the positive roots are $\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}(i<j)$. By the index convention, $\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}$ is an even root when $i \cdot j>0$ and an odd root when $i \cdot j<0$. So the positive odd roots are of the form $\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}$ with $i<0$ and $j>0$. The distinguished set of simple roots is usually taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{-k}-\epsilon_{-k+1}, \epsilon_{-k+1}-\epsilon_{-k+2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{-1}-\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-1}-\epsilon_{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this set contains only one odd simple root. An element $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ with components $[m]^{r}$ will be called an integral dominant weight if $m_{i r}-m_{i+1, r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ for all $i \neq-1,0$ $(-k \leq i \leq n-1)$.

For every integral dominant weight $\Lambda \equiv[m]^{r}$ there exists a unique finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ module $V([\Lambda])$ with highest weight $\Lambda$. The class of representations considered here is more restrictive. We will concentrate on the covariant tensor representations (simply referred to as covariant representations), which are known to be finite-dimensional, irreducible and unitary. Berele and Regev [12] showed that the tensor product $V([1,0, \ldots, 0])^{\otimes N}$ of $N$ copies of the natural $(k+n)$ dimensional representation $V([1,0, \ldots, 0])$ of $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ is completely reducible, and the irreducible components, $V_{\lambda}$, can be labeled by a partition $\lambda$ of $N$ of length $l(\lambda)$ and weight $|\lambda|$, where $\lambda=$ $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$, with $l(\lambda)=\ell,|\lambda|=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{\ell}=N$, and $\lambda_{i} \geq \lambda_{i+1}>0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell-1$, satisfying the condition $\lambda_{k+1} \leq n$. For definitions regarding partitions, see [13]. The condition $\lambda_{k+1} \leq n$ is known as the hook condition: in terms of Young diagrams, it means that the diagram of $\lambda$ should be inside the $(k, n)$-hook [12].

Covariant representations are also highest weight representations, so to every such partition $\lambda$ there should correspond an integral dominant weight $\Lambda^{\lambda}$ such that $V_{\lambda}$ is isomorphic to the highest weight module $V\left(\left[\Lambda^{\lambda}\right]\right)$. The relation between $\Lambda^{\lambda} \equiv[m]^{r}$ (see (2.4)) and $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is such that [14]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{i r}=\lambda_{k+i+1}, \quad-k \leq i \leq-1  \tag{2.7}\\
& m_{i r}=\max \left\{0, \lambda_{i}^{\prime}-k\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime}$ is the partition conjugate [13] to $\lambda$. Conversely if $\Lambda \equiv[m]^{r}$ is integral dominant with all $m_{i r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{-1, r} \geq \#\left\{i: m_{i r}>0,1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a partition $\lambda$ such that $V([\Lambda])$ is isomorphic to the irreducible covariant tensor module $V_{\lambda}$, and the components of $\lambda$ are given explicitly by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{i}=m_{i-k-1, r}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k  \tag{2.10}\\
& \lambda_{k+i}=\#\left\{j: m_{j r} \leq i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that (2.9) can also be written in an alternative form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if } m_{-1, r}=p \text { then } m_{i r}=0 \text { for all } i>p \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main property of irreducible covariant tensor modules of $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ is that their characters are known explicitly [12, 15]: they are given by supersymmetric Schur functions. Explicitly, with the common notation

$$
x_{i}=e^{\epsilon_{i}} \quad(-k \leq i \leq-1), \quad y_{i}=e^{\epsilon_{i}} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)
$$

one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{char} V\left(\left[\Lambda^{\lambda}\right]\right)=s_{\lambda}\left(x_{\bar{k}}, \ldots, x_{\overline{2}}, x_{\overline{1}} \mid y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s_{\lambda}$ a supersymmetric Schur function $[12,13,15,16]$.
In this paper, the decomposition of covariant representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n)$ according to $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n) \supset$ $\mathfrak{g l}(k-1 \mid n)$ or to $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(k \mid n-1)$ will be crucial for the construction of a proper Gel'fand-Zetlin basis. Such decompositions, which are multiplicity-free, follow from properties of supersymmetric Schur functions [8, 16]. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}\left(x_{\bar{k}}, x_{\overline{k-1}}, \ldots, x_{\overline{2}}, x_{\overline{1}} \mid y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\sum_{\sigma} x_{\bar{k}}^{|\lambda|-|\sigma|} s_{\sigma}\left(x_{\overline{k-1}}, \ldots, x_{\overline{2}}, x_{\overline{1}} \mid y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\sigma$ in the $(k-1, n)$-hook such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \geq \sigma_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{l-1} \geq \lambda_{l} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ stands for the length of $\lambda$. In terms of the notions introduced in [13], (2.15) means that $\lambda-\sigma$ is a horizontal strip. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}\left(x_{\bar{k}}, \ldots, x_{\overline{2}}, x_{\overline{1}} \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)=\sum_{\sigma} s_{\sigma}\left(x_{\bar{k}}, \ldots, x_{\overline{2}}, x_{\overline{1}} \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right) \cdot y_{n}^{|\lambda|-|\sigma|} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is now over all partitions $\sigma$ in the $(k, n-1)$-hook such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{\prime} \geq \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{2}^{\prime} \geq \sigma_{2}^{\prime} \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{\ell-1}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{\ell}^{\prime} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell=\lambda_{1}$ stands for the length of $\lambda^{\prime}$. Following the terminology of [13], $\lambda-\sigma$ is a vertical strip.

## 3 The odd GZ-basis for covariant representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$

The purpose of this section is to construct a new GZ-basis for covariant representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$. In this construction, the labelling arising from the decomposition of the representation according to the subalgebra chain

$$
\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n-1) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(n-1 \mid n-1)
$$

will be used. For the decomposition itself, it is clear that the Schur function identities of the previous section give all necessary information. The second part of this section is devoted to computing the action of a set of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ generators on the GZ-basis vectors.

Let $V\left([m]^{r}\right), r=2 n$ be an irreducible covariant tensor module of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ determined by its highest weight, given by the nonnegative integer $r$-tuple

$$
\begin{equation*}
[m]^{r}=\left[m_{-n, r}, \ldots, m_{-2, r}, m_{-1, r} ; m_{1 r}, m_{2 r}, \ldots, m_{n r}\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i r}-m_{i+1, r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \quad i=-n, \ldots,-2 ; 1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{-1, r} \geq \#\left\{i: m_{i r}>0,1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Within a given $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ module $V\left([m]^{r}\right)$ the numbers (3.1) are fixed.
Proposition 1 Consider the $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ module $V\left([m]^{r}\right)$ as a $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n-1)$ module. Then $V\left([m]^{r}\right)$ can be represented as a direct sum of covariant simple $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n-1)$ modules,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left([m]^{r}\right)=\sum_{i} \oplus V_{i}\left([m]^{r-1}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
I. All $V_{i}\left([m]^{r-1}\right)$ carry inequivalent representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n-1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[m]^{r-1}=\left[m_{-n, r-1}, \ldots, m_{-1, r-1} ; m_{1, r-1}, \ldots, m_{n-1, r-1}\right]} \\
& m_{i, r-1}-m_{i+1, r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, i=-n, \ldots,-2,1, \ldots, n-2 \\
& m_{-1, r-1} \geq \#\left\{i: m_{i, r-1}>0,1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$I I$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 1. } m_{i r}-m_{i, r-1}=\theta_{i, r-1} \in\{0,1\}, \quad-n \leq i \leq-1,  \tag{3.5}\\
& \text { 2. } \quad m_{i r}-m_{i, r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \text {and } m_{i, r-1}-m_{i+1, r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The above conditions follow from (2.16), with $\lambda$ the partition corresponding to the $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ highest weight $[m]^{r}$. The conditions I come from the fact that the partition $\sigma$ in (2.16) determines a covariant representation of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n-1)$, with highest weight denoted by $[m]^{r-1}$. The conditions II follow from (2.17), or from the fact that $\lambda-\sigma$ is a vertical strip. When these "interlacing" conditions for $\lambda$ and $\sigma$ are rewritten in terms of the corresponding highest weights $[m]^{r}$ and $[m]^{r-1},(3.5)$ arises.

Proposition 2 Consider a covariant $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n-1)$ module $V\left([m]^{r-1}\right)$ as a $\mathfrak{g l}(n-1 \mid n-1)$ module. Then $V\left([m]^{r-1}\right)$ can be represented as a direct sum of simple $\mathfrak{g l}(n-1 \mid n-1)$ modules $V\left([m]^{r-2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left([m]^{r-1}\right)=\sum_{i} \oplus V_{i}\left([m]^{r-2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
I. All $V_{i}\left([m]^{r-2}\right)$ carry inequivalent representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(n-1 \mid n-1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[m]^{r-2}=\left[m_{-n+1, r-2}, \ldots, m_{-1, r-2} ; m_{1, r-2}, \ldots, m_{n-1, r-2}\right]} \\
& m_{i, r-2}-m_{i+1, r-2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, i=-n+1, \ldots,-2,1, \ldots, n-2 \\
& m_{-1, r-2} \geq \#\left\{i: m_{i, r-2}>0,1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

II.

1. $m_{i, r-2}-m_{i, r-1}=\theta_{i, r-2} \in\{0,1\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1$,
2. $m_{i, r-1}-m_{i+1, r-2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $m_{i+1, r-2}-m_{i+1, r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \quad-n \leq i \leq-2$.

Proof. The proof is the same as for the previous proposition, but now we use (2.14). The "interlacing" conditions (2.15) for $\lambda$ and $\sigma$, rewritten in terms of the corresponding highest weights $[m]^{r-1}$ and $[m]^{r-2}$, give rise to (3.7).

Since in each step of the reduction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n-1) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(n-1 \mid n-1) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(n-1 \mid n-2) \supset \mathfrak{g l l}(n-2 \mid n-2) \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g l}(1 \mid 1) \supset \mathfrak{g l}(1) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the decomposition of $V\left([m]^{r}\right)$ is multiplicity-free and follows simple rules, this gives rise to an interesting GZ-basis for the basis vectors of $V\left([m]^{r}\right)$. Hence Propositions 1 and 2 , and the fact that representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(1)$ are one-dimensional, imply:

## Proposition 3 The set of vectors

$\mid m)^{r}=$


## satisfying the conditions

1. $m_{i r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$are fixed and $m_{j r}-m_{j+1, r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, j \neq-1,0,-n \leq j \leq n-1$,
$m_{-1, r} \geq \#\left\{i: m_{\text {ir }}>0,1 \leq i \leq n\right\} ;$
2. $m_{i, 2 p}-m_{i, 2 p-1} \equiv \theta_{i, 2 p-1} \in\{0,1\}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq n ;-p \leq i \leq-1$;
3. $m_{i, 2 p}-m_{i, 2 p+1} \equiv \theta_{i, 2 p} \in\{0,1\}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq n-1 ; 1 \leq i \leq p$;
4. $m_{-1,2 p} \geq \#\left\{i: m_{i, 2 p}>0,1 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq p\right\}$;
5. $m_{-1,2 p-1} \geq \#\left\{i: m_{i, 2 p-1}>0,2 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq p-1\right\}$;
6. $m_{i, 2 p}-m_{i, 2 p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $m_{i, 2 p-1}-m_{i+1,2 p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$,
$2 \leq p \leq n, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p-1 ;$
7. $m_{i, 2 p+1}-m_{i+1,2 p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $m_{i+1,2 p}-m_{i+1,2 p+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, $1 \leq p \leq n-1, \quad-p-1 \leq i \leq-2$.
constitute a basis in $V\left([m]^{r}\right)$.
Conditions 2 and 3 are referred to as " $\theta$-conditions". Conditions 6 and 7 are often referred to as "in-betweenness conditions." Note that the arrows in this pattern have no real function, and can be omitted. We find it useful to include them, just in order to visualize the conditions. When there is an arrow $a \rightarrow b$ between labels $a$ and $b$, it means that either $b=a$ or else $b=a+1$ (a $\theta$-condition). We will also refer to "rows" and "columns" of the GZ-pattern. Rows are counted from the bottom: row 1 is the bottom row in (3.9), and row $r$ is the top row in (3.9). In an obvious way, columns $1,2,3 \cdots$ refer to the columns to the right of the dashed line in (3.9), and columns -1 , $-2,-3, \cdots$ (or $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \cdots$ ) to the columns to the left of this dashed line. For two consecutive rows in the GZ-pattern (3.9), about half of the labels involve $\theta$-conditions, and the other half involves in-betweenness conditions. Note that a row in the GZ-pattern always corresponds to the highest weight labels of some subalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(k \mid l)$ in the chain (3.8). The dashed line in (3.9) also has no function, but is there just to distinguish between the labels on the left (corresponding to $\mathfrak{g l}(k)$ ) and those to the right (corresponding to $\mathfrak{g l}(l)$ ).

We shall refer to the basis (3.9) as the odd GZ-basis for the covariant $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ representations. The task is now to give the explicit action of a set of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ generators on the basis vectors (3.9).

When describing actions of a Lie algebra or Lie superalgebra on some GZ-basis vector, this is usually not done for all algebra basis elements but only for a set of generators. The actions of the remaining algebra elements then follows by applying the Lie (super)algebra brackets. For the case of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$, if one works in the traditional GZ-basis [8], one is describing the action of the following generators:

- the basis elements $E_{i i}(i=-n, \ldots,-1 ; 1, \ldots, n)$ of the Cartan subalgebra,
- the Chevalley generators $E_{-1,1}, E_{i, i+1}(i=-n, \ldots,-2 ; 1, \ldots, n-1)$, corresponding to the set of simple roots (2.6),
- the Chevalley generators $E_{1,-1}, E_{i+1, i}(i=-n, \ldots,-2 ; 1, \ldots, n-1)$, corresponding to the negatives of the simple roots.

These form indeed a set of generating elements. The reason for this choice of generators is the following: amongst all basis elements $E_{i j}$ with $i \neq j$, the above Chevalley generators have the "simplest" action on a traditional GZ-basis element [8]. This simplicity is evident from the fact that by the action of the generators the GZ-labels change only in one row of the GZ-pattern.

Currently, we are dealing with a different GZ-basis, the odd GZ-basis for $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$. So it is not surprising that the choice of generators, in order to describe the action on the GZ-basis elements, is different. Of course, the "diagonal action" by means of the Cartan subalgebra basis elements will still remain. For the other generators, it is natural to make the following choice:

- the positive root vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{-1,1}, E_{-2,1}, E_{-2,2}, E_{-3,2}, E_{-3,3}, \ldots, E_{-n, n-1}, E_{-n, n} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

- the negative root vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1,-1}, E_{1,-2}, E_{2,-2}, E_{2,-3}, E_{3,-3}, \ldots, E_{n-1,-n}, E_{n,-n} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that these would correspond to the root vectors $E_{ \pm \alpha}$ for the following choice of simple roots:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{-1}-\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{-2}, \epsilon_{-2}-\epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{-3}, \ldots, \epsilon_{-n+1}-\epsilon_{n-1}, \epsilon_{n-1}-\epsilon_{-n}, \epsilon_{-n}-\epsilon_{n} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This set of simple roots contains odd roots only, which is the reason to refer to our new GZ-basis as "the odd GZ-basis". From the relation with this set of simple roots (3.13), it also follows that the elements (3.11)-(3.12) (together with the $E_{i i}$ ) form indeed a set of generators.

The following theorem is one of the two main results of this paper. It describes the action of the new set of generators on the odd GZ-basis vectors. In this theorem we use some further notation $(\varphi=0,1 ; k=1, \ldots, n)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i, 2 k-\varphi}=m_{i, 2 k-\varphi}-i \quad(-k \leq i \leq-1) ; \quad l_{p, 2 k-\varphi}=-m_{p, 2 k-\varphi}+p \quad(1 \leq p \leq k-\varphi) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\mid m)_{ \pm(i j)}^{r}$ is the pattern obtained from $\left.\mid m\right)^{r}$ by replacing the entry $m_{i j}$ by $m_{i j} \pm 1$.

Theorem 4 The transformation of the irreducible covariant tensor module $V\left([m]^{r}\right)$ under the action of the $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ generators is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.E_{-i,-i} \mid m\right)^{r}=\left(\sum_{j \neq 0=-i}^{i-1} m_{j, 2 i-1}-\sum_{j \neq 0=-i+1}^{i-1} m_{j, 2 i-2}\right) \mid m\right)^{r}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n ;  \tag{3.15}\\
& \left.\left.E_{i i} \mid m\right)^{r}=\left(\sum_{j \neq 0=-i}^{i} m_{j, 2 i}-\sum_{j \neq 0=-i}^{i-1} m_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \mid m\right)^{r}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n ;  \tag{3.16}\\
& \left.E_{-i, i} \mid m\right)^{r}=\sum_{k=-i}^{-1} \theta_{k, 2 i-1} S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=-i}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\frac{\prod_{j=-i+1}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i-2}-1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq k=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i-2}-1\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{+(k, 2 i-1)}^{r} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \theta_{k, 2 i-2} S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-2} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-2}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(-\frac{\prod_{j=-i+1}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-2}-l_{k, 2 i-1}+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{j, 2 i}-l_{k, 2 i-1}\right)}{\prod_{j=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i}-l_{k, 2 i-1}\right) \prod_{j \neq k=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-2}-l_{k, 2 i-1}+1\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{+(k, 2 i-1)}^{r}, \\
& 1 \leq i \leq n ;  \tag{3.17}\\
& \left.E_{-i-1, i} \mid m\right)^{r}=\sum_{k=-i}^{-1} \theta_{k, 2 i-1} S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=-i}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(-\frac{\prod_{j=-i-1}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i+1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i-1}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq k=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i+1}+1\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{-(k, 2 i)}^{r} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{i} \theta_{k, 2 i} S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\frac{\prod_{j=-i-1}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i+1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-1}-l_{k, 2 i}\right)}{\prod_{j=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}+1\right) \prod_{j \neq k=1}^{i}\left(l_{j, 2 i+1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{-(k, 2 i)}^{r}, \\
& 1 \leq i \leq n-1 ;  \tag{3.18}\\
& \left.E_{i,-i} \mid m\right)^{r}=\sum_{k=-i}^{-1}\left(1-\theta_{k, 2 i-1}\right) S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=-i}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\frac{\prod_{j=-i+1}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i-2}-1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq k=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i-2}-1\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{-(k, 2 i-1)}^{r} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{i-1}\left(1-\theta_{k, 2 i-2}\right) S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-2} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-2}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(-\frac{\prod_{j=-i+1}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-2}-l_{k, 2 i-1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{j, 2 i}-l_{k, 2 i-1}-1\right)}{\prod_{j=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i}-l_{k, 2 i-1}-1\right) \prod_{j \neq k=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-2}-l_{k, 2 i-1}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{-(k, 2 i-1)}^{r}, \\
& 1 \leq i \leq n ; \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.E_{i,-i-1} \mid m\right)^{r}=\sum_{k=-i}^{-1}\left(1-\theta_{k, 2 i-1}\right) S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=-i}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(-\frac{\prod_{j=-i-1}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i+1}+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i-1}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq k=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i+1}+1\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{+(k, 2 i)}^{r} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(1-\theta_{k, 2 i}\right) S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\frac{\prod_{j=-i-1}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i+1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-1}-l_{k, 2 i}+1\right)}{\prod_{j=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}+1\right) \prod_{j \neq k=1}^{i}\left(l_{j, 2 i+1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{+(k, 2 i)}^{r}, \\
& 1 \leq i \leq n-1 . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above expressions, $\sum_{j \neq l=m}^{p}$ means that $j$ takes all values from $m$ to $p$ with $j \neq l$. We have also used the abbreviation $S(a)=(-1)^{a}$ when the expression $a$ is lengthy.

All vectors $\mid m)^{r}$ of the module $V\left([m]^{r}\right)$ are weight vectors, so the action of the elements $E_{i i}$ on $\mid m)^{r}$ is diagonal. In fact, (3.15) and (3.16) just yield the weight of the corresponding vector. The action of the other generators on $\mid m)^{r}$ is "simple", in the sense that the action changes only one label of the GZ-pattern by +1 or -1 , and all changes appear in one row of the pattern only.

We shall only give an outline of the proof of Theorem 4, since it is very technical. The main part of the proof consist of showing that the composition of the actions (3.17)-(3.20) by means of (anti)commutators yield the correct action. So one has to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(E_{-i, i} E_{i,-i}+E_{i,-i} E_{-i, i} \mid m\right)^{r}=\left(E_{-i,-i}+E_{i i} \mid m\right)^{r}, 1 \leq i \leq n,  \tag{3.21}\\
& \left.\left.\left(E_{-i-1, i} E_{i,-i-1}+E_{i,-i-1} E_{-i-1, i}\right) \mid m\right)^{r}=\left(E_{-i-1,-i-1}+E_{i i}\right) \mid m\right)^{r}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1 . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

In such computations, the left hand side becomes extremely complicated, whereas the right hand side is simple. The simplification of the left hand side involves several steps, and it is instructive to explain these steps by means of a generic example. It should be clear that the arguments used in the example hold in general.

So let us take for a moment $n=4, i=2$, and consider the action of the left hand side of (3.22) on a general vector with GZ-labels $(r=8)$

$$
\left.\mid m)^{8}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccc:cccc}
m_{\overline{4} 8} & m_{\overline{3} 8} & m_{\overline{2} 8} & m_{\overline{1} 8} & m_{18} & m_{28} & m_{38} & m_{48}  \tag{3.23}\\
m_{\overline{4} 7} & m_{\overline{3} 7} & m_{\overline{2} 7} & m_{\overline{1} 7} & m_{17} & m_{27} & m_{37} & \\
& m_{\overline{3} 6} & m_{\overline{2} 6} & m_{\overline{1} 6} & m_{16} & m_{26} & m_{36} & \\
& m_{\overline{3} 5} & m_{\overline{2} 5} & m_{\overline{1} 5} & m_{15} & m_{25} & & \\
& & m_{\overline{2} 4} & m_{\overline{1} 4} & m_{14} & m_{24} & & \\
& & m_{\overline{2} 3} & m_{\overline{1} 3} & m_{13} & & & \\
& & & m_{\overline{1} 2} & m_{12} & &
\end{array}\right.\right) .
$$

In particular, because of the $\theta$-conditions, one can write this vector as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll:lll}
m_{\overline{4} 7}+\theta_{\overline{4} 7} & m_{\overline{3} 7}+\theta_{\overline{3} 7} & m_{\overline{2} 7}+\theta_{\overline{2} 7} & m_{\overline{1} 7}+\theta_{\overline{1} 7} & m_{18} & m_{28} & m_{38}  \tag{3.24}\\
m_{\overline{4} 7} & m_{\overline{3} 7} & m_{\overline{2} 7} & m_{\overline{1} 7} & m_{17} & m_{27} & m_{37} \\
& m_{\overline{3} 5}+\theta_{\overline{3} 5} & m_{\overline{2} 5}+\theta_{\overline{2} 5} & m_{\overline{1} 5}+\theta_{\overline{1} 5} & m_{17}+\theta_{16} & m_{27}+\theta_{26} & m_{37}+\theta_{36} \\
& m_{\overline{3} 5} & m_{\overline{2} 5} & m_{\overline{1} 5} & m_{15} & m_{25} & \\
& & m_{\overline{2} 3}+\theta_{\overline{2} 3} & m_{\overline{1} 3}+\theta_{\overline{1} 3} & m_{15}+\theta_{14} & m_{25}+\theta_{24} & \\
& & m_{\overline{2} 3} & m_{\overline{1} 3} & m_{13} & & \\
& & & m_{\overline{1} 1}+\theta_{\overline{1} 1} & m_{13}+\theta_{12} & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

where essentially each $\theta$ can be 0 or 1 . Compute now the action $\left.\left(E_{\overline{3} 2} E_{2 \overline{3}}+E_{2 \overline{3}} E_{\overline{3} 2}\right) \mid m\right)^{8}$ on the vector (3.24), using (3.18) and (3.20), and collect the terms with the same GZ-pattern. It is fairly easy (but technical) to verify that all non-diagonal terms (i.e. the terms with a GZ-pattern that is different from $\mid m)^{8}$ ) cancel automatically because of the sign-factors $S(\cdot)$. The coefficient of the diagonal term (i.e. the term in $\mid m)^{8}$ itself) yields, using the expressions (3.18) and (3.20):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left(m_{\overline{3} 5}-m_{\overline{1} 3}+1\right)\left(m_{\overline{2} 5}-m_{\overline{1} 3}\right)\left(m_{\overline{1} 3}-m_{\overline{1} 5}+1\right)\left(m_{\overline{1} 3}+m_{13}\right)}{\left(m_{\overline{1} 3}+m_{15}+1\right)\left(m_{\overline{1} 3}+m_{25}\right)\left(m_{\overline{2} 3}-m_{\overline{1} 3}+1\right)} \\
& +\frac{\left(m_{\overline{3} 5}+m_{15}+2\right)\left(m_{\overline{2} 5}+m_{15}+1\right)\left(m_{\overline{1} 5}+m_{15}\right)\left(m_{15}-m_{13}+1\right)}{\left(m_{\overline{2} 3}+m_{15}+2\right)\left(m_{\overline{1} 3}+m_{15}+1\right)\left(m_{15}-m_{25}+1\right)} \\
& +\frac{\left(m_{\overline{3} 5}+m_{25}+1\right)\left(m_{\overline{2} 5}+m_{25}\right)\left(m_{\overline{1} 5}+m_{25}-1\right)\left(m_{13}-m_{25}\right)}{\left(m_{\overline{2} 3}+m_{25}+1\right)\left(m_{\overline{1} 3}+m_{25}\right)\left(m_{15}-m_{25}+1\right)} \\
& +\frac{\left(m_{\overline{3} 5}-m_{\overline{2} 3}\right)\left(m_{\overline{2} 3}-m_{\overline{2} 5}+1\right)\left(m_{\overline{2} 3}-m_{\overline{1} 5}+2\right)\left(m_{\overline{2} 3}+m_{13}+1\right)}{\left(m_{\overline{2} 3}+m_{15}+2\right)\left(m_{\overline{2} 3}+m_{25}+1\right)\left(m_{\overline{2} 3}-m_{\overline{1} 3}+1\right)} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that this coefficient is independent of the $\theta$-values, so that reduces the number of cases to be considered. In order to understand the structure of such coefficients, let us make the following substitutions in this last expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\overline{2} 3}=-x_{0}, m_{\overline{1} 3}=-x_{1}+1, m_{15}=x_{2}-2, m_{25}=x_{3}-1 \\
& m_{\overline{3} 5}=-a_{0}, m_{\overline{2} 5}=-a_{1}+1, m_{\overline{1} 5}=-a_{2}+2, m_{13}=a_{3}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left(x_{1}-a_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-a_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)}+\frac{\left(x_{2}-a_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-a_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-a_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}-a_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)} \\
& \frac{\left(x_{3}-a_{0}\right)\left(x_{3}-a_{1}\right)\left(x_{3}-a_{2}\right)\left(x_{3}-a_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{3}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)}+\frac{\left(x_{0}-a_{0}\right)\left(x_{0}-a_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-a_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-a_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{3}\right)} \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression simplifies (see soon), and yields

$$
x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}-a_{0}-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{3}=m_{\overline{3} 5}+m_{\overline{2} 5}+m_{\overline{1} 5}+m_{15}+m_{25}-m_{\overline{2} 3}-m_{\overline{1} 3}-m_{13}
$$

With (3.15)-(3.16), one also finds

$$
\left.\left.\left(E_{\overline{3} \overline{3}}+E_{22}\right) \mid m\right)^{8}=\left(m_{\overline{3} 5}+m_{\overline{2} 5}+m_{\overline{1} 5}+m_{15}+m_{25}-m_{\overline{2} 3}-m_{\overline{1} 3}-m_{13}\right) \mid m\right)^{8}
$$

So we have shown, for this example, that the anticommutator relation $\left\{E_{\overline{3} 2}, E_{2 \overline{3}}\right\}=E_{\overline{3} \overline{3}}+E_{22}$ holds when acting on an arbitrary vector of the form (3.23).

For the general verification of relations (3.21)-(3.22), the arguments are the same. The nondiagonal terms arising in the left hand side cancel, and the coefficient of the diagonal term is of the
form (3.25). After making appropriate substitutions, the coefficient always reduces to an expression of the form (3.26). For the simplification of such expressions, one can make use of the following rational function identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\prod_{\substack{j=0}}^{n}\left(x_{i}-a_{j}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of such identities is standard [17], and uses the Lagrange interpolation formula. For completeness, we present a short proof in the Appendix.

So far, we have described the proof of (3.21)-(3.22), and this does not yet prove Theorem 4 completely. To continue, one should consider the remaining basis elements of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ and their action on $\mid m)^{r}$. The action of other basis elements is determined by consecutive actions of the generators, e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.E_{-n,-n+1} \mid m\right)^{r}=\left(E_{-n, n-1} E_{n-1,-n+1}+E_{n-1,-n+1} E_{-n, n-1}\right) \mid m\right)^{r} \\
& \left.\left.E_{-n+1,-n+2} \mid m\right)^{r}=\left(E_{-n+1, n-2} E_{n-2,-n+2}+E_{n-2,-n+2} E_{-n+1, n-2}\right) \mid m\right)^{r}, \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Once this has been achieved, it remains to verify that the defining relations (2.3) hold when acting on an arbitrary vector $\mid m)^{r}$. This, however, is easier than it sounds: the validity of the corresponding equation follows from consecutive use of the Jacobi-identity, from the definition in terms of the generators themselves, from the equations (3.21)-(3.22), or from simple cancellations due to the sign factors $S(\cdot)$ in (3.17)-(3.20). So this completes the outline of the proof of Theorem 4.

We end this section by some further remarks. First of all, note that the action of the original Chevalley generators corresponding to the positive even simple roots follows from $(i=1, \ldots, n-1)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.E_{i, i+1} \mid m\right)^{r}=\left(E_{i,-i-1} E_{-i-1, i+1}+E_{-i-1, i+1} E_{i,-i-1}\right) \mid m\right)^{r} \\
& \left.\left.E_{-i-1,-i} \mid m\right)^{r}=\left(E_{-i-1, i} E_{i,-i}+E_{i,-i} E_{-i-1, i}\right) \mid m\right)^{r} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, every even Chevalley generator makes changes in two consecutive rows of the GZ-pattern (with already fairly complicated matrix elements). The odd Chevalley generators $E_{\overline{1} 1}$ and $E_{1 \overline{1}}$ are part of the set of generators of Theorem 4, and they make a change in row 1 only.

Secondly, the highest weight vector of $V\left([m]^{r}\right)$ is given by the pattern in which the labels of the top row are appropriately repeated,

$$
v_{\Lambda}=\left(\begin{array}{llll:lllll}
m_{\bar{n} r} & \cdots & m_{\overline{2} r} & m_{\overline{1} r} & m_{1 r} & m_{2 r} & \cdots & m_{n-1, r} & m_{n r}  \tag{3.29}\\
\uparrow & \cdots & \uparrow & \uparrow & & & & & \\
m_{\bar{n} r} & \cdots & m_{\overline{2} r} & m_{\overline{1} r} & m_{1 r} & m_{2 r} & \cdots & m_{n-1, r} & \\
& \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \\
& & m_{\overline{2} r} & m_{\overline{1} r} & m_{1 r} & m_{2 r} & & \\
& & \uparrow & \uparrow & & & & \\
& & m_{\overline{2} r} & m_{\overline{1} r} & m_{1 r} & & & \\
& & & & \downarrow & & & \\
& & & m_{\overline{1} r} & m_{1 r} & & & \\
& & & \uparrow & & & \\
& & & m_{\overline{1} r} & & & & \\
& & & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is indeed easy to see by means of the previous observation (and the basic actions of Theorem 4) that $E_{\overline{1} 1} v_{\Lambda}=E_{i, i+1} v_{\Lambda}=0(i=-n, \ldots,-2 ; 1, \ldots, n-1)$. Note that with the diagonal action (3.15)(3.16) one finds

$$
E_{i i} v_{\Lambda}=m_{i r} v_{\Lambda} \quad(i=-n, \ldots,-1 ; 1, \ldots, n)
$$

## 4 The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$

In this section and in the next one we shall define the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ and construct a class of $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ representations.

Consider the set of all squared infinite matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc:ccc} 
& \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots &  \tag{4.1}\\
\cdots & a_{\overline{2} \overline{2}} & a_{\overline{2} \overline{1}} & a_{\overline{2} 1} & a_{\overline{2} 1} & \cdots \\
\cdots & a_{\overline{1} \overline{2}} & a_{\overline{1} \overline{1}} & a_{\overline{1} 1} & a_{\overline{12}} & \cdots \\
\hdashline \cdots & a_{1 \overline{2}} & a_{1 \overline{1}} & a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots \\
\cdots & a_{2 \overline{2}} & a_{2 \overline{1}} & a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots &
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the indices take values in the set $\{\ldots,-3,-2,-1 ; 1,2,3, \ldots\}=\mathbb{Z}^{*} \equiv \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. The infinitedimensional general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)=\mathfrak{g l}_{\overline{0}}(\infty \mid \infty) \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{\overline{1}}(\infty \mid \infty)$ can be defined [9] as the set of all squared infinite matrices of the form (4.1) such that each matrix has only a finite number of nonzero entries, and with grading determined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{g l}_{\overline{0}}(\infty \mid \infty)=\left\{\left(a_{i j}\right) \mid \text { a finite number of } a_{i j} \in \mathbb{C} \text { are nonzero, and } a_{i j}=0 \text { when } i \cdot j<0\right\}  \tag{4.2}\\
& \mathfrak{g l}_{\overline{1}}(\infty \mid \infty)=\left\{\left(a_{i j}\right) \mid \text { a finite number of } a_{i j} \in \mathbb{C} \text { are nonzero, and } a_{i j}=0 \text { when } i \cdot j>0\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

A basis for $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ is given by all Weyl matrices $E_{i j}\left(i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}\right)$. The multiplication 【, 】on $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ is a linear extension of the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\llbracket E_{i j}, E_{k l} \rrbracket=\delta_{j k} E_{i l}-(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{i j}\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(E_{k l}\right)} \delta_{i l} E_{k j}, \quad i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{*} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}$ of $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ is spanned by the elements $E_{j j}\left(j \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}\right)$ with a natural order $E_{i i}<E_{j j}$ if $i<j$. Denote by $\epsilon_{i}\left(i \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}\right)$ the dual basis $\epsilon_{i}\left(E_{j j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. The correspondence between root vectors and roots reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i j} \leftrightarrow \epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j} \quad\left(i \neq j, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of elements $\left\{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j} \mid i<j\left(i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}\right)\right\}$ (respectively, $\left\{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j} \mid i>j\left(i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}\right)\right\}$ ) are the positive (respectively, negative) roots. The linear span of all positive root vectors $\left\{E_{i j} \mid i<j\right\}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{n}^{+}$; the linear span of all negative root vectors $\left\{E_{i j} \mid i<j\right\}$ by $\mathfrak{n}^{-}$. So $\mathfrak{n}^{+}$(respectively $\mathfrak{n}^{-}$) consists of all strictly upper (respectively lower) triangular matrices of the form (4.1).

A representation (or module) $V$ of $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ is said to be a highest weight module if there exists a vector $v_{\Lambda}$ (a highest weight vector) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{n}^{+} v_{\Lambda}=0, \quad E_{i i} v_{\Lambda}=m_{i} v_{\Lambda} \quad\left(i \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infinite sequence $\left[\ldots, m_{-2}, m_{-1} ; m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots\right]$ is said to be the highest weight of $V$.
It is beyond our purpose to study all irreducible highest weight representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$. But in the next section we will consider an important subclass: these are infinite-dimensional analogs of the covariant representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$. We shall refer to this class as the "covariant representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ ".

## 5 A class of irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$

In this section, we shall extend the results from Section 3 to the case $n \rightarrow \infty$. Most aspects of this extension are straightforward, but some arguments need more attention. The basic idea is that the GZ-patterns (3.9) consisting of $2 n$ rows can be extended to GZ-patterns with an infinite number of rows. However, not all such infinite GZ-patterns are eligible: only the "stable" ones are appropriate as basis vectors of an irreducible module.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
[m] \equiv\left[\ldots, m_{-k}, \ldots, m_{-2}, m_{-1} ; m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}, \ldots\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a sequence of nonnegative integers such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{i}-m_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \quad(i \in\{\ldots,-3,-2 ; 1,2, \ldots\}), \\
& m_{-1} \geq \#\left\{i: m_{i}>0, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right\} . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The last condition is equivalent with

$$
\text { if } m_{-1}=p \text { then } m_{i}=0(\forall i>p) .
$$

The sequence (5.1) will be considered as the highest weight of a $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ representation $V([m])$. The basis vectors of $V([m])$ will consist of infinite GZ-patterns of the type (3.9) with the sequence (5.1) as top row. These GZ-patterns should - apart from other conditions - satisfy a stability condition. Before giving a precise definition of the infinite stable GZ-patterns, let us give an example. Consider the pattern

$$
\left.\begin{array}{|cccccc:cccccc}
\cdots & 9 & 8 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots  \tag{5.3}\\
& \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
& & 8 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 0 & & \\
& & 8 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & & & \\
& & & 5 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & & & \\
& & & 5 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & & & & \\
& & & & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & & & & \\
& & & & 3 & 1 & 3 & & & & & \\
& & & & & 2 & 3 & & & & & \\
& & & & & & & & &
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Such a pattern is called stable with stability index $N=5$ because for all rows with index $i>N$ (recall that we count rows from bottom to top) the entries in each column $k\left(k \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}\right)$ are the same.

We are now in a position to state the extension of the main result of Section 3.

Proposition 5 To each sequence (5.1) (see (5.2)) there corresponds an irreducible $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ module
$V([m])$ with basis $\Upsilon([m])$, consisting of vectors $\mid m)$ labelled by infinite stable GZ-patterns: $\mid m)=$

satisfying the conditions

1. for each table $\mid m$ ) there exists a nonnegative (depending on $\mid m)$ ) integer $N[\mid m)]$, called stability index, such that

$$
\left.m_{i, 2 k-\varphi}=m_{i}, \forall 2 k-\varphi>N[\mid m)\right], \varphi \in\{0,1\}, i \in\{-k, \ldots,-1,1, \ldots, k-\varphi\} ;
$$

2. $m_{i, 2 k-\varphi} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \varphi \in\{0,1\}, i \in\{-k, \ldots,-1,1, \ldots, k-\varphi\}$;
3. $m_{i, 2 k-\varphi}-m_{i+1,2 k-\varphi} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \varphi \in\{0,1\}, i \in\{-k, \ldots,-2,1, \ldots, k-\varphi-1\}$;
4. $m_{i, 2 k}-m_{i, 2 k-1} \equiv \theta_{i, 2 k-1} \in\{0,1\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, i \in\{-k, \ldots,-1\}$
5. $m_{i, 2 k}-m_{i, 2 k+1} \equiv \theta_{i, 2 k} \in\{0,1\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$,
6. $m_{-1,2 k-\varphi} \geq \#\left\{i: m_{i, 2 k-\varphi}>0, \varphi \in\{0,1\}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k-\varphi\}\right.$,
7. $m_{i, 2 k}-m_{i, 2 k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $m_{i, 2 k-1}-m_{i+1,2 k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, k \in\{2,3, \ldots\}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k-1\}$,
8. $m_{i, 2 k+1}-m_{i+1,2 k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $m_{i+1,2 k}-m_{i+1,2 k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, i \in\{-k-1,-k, \ldots,-2\}$.

Using the same convention as in (3.14), and denoting by $\mid m)_{ \pm(i j)}$ the pattern obtained from $\mid m$ ) by replacing the entry $m_{i j}$ by $m_{i j} \pm 1$, the transformation of the basis under the action of the $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ generators is as follows ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ )

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left.E_{-i,-i} \mid m\right)=\left(\sum_{j \neq 0=-i}^{i-1} m_{j, 2 i-1}-\sum_{j \neq 0=-i+1}^{i-1} m_{j, 2 i-2}\right) \mid m\right) ;  \tag{5.6}\\
\left.\left.E_{i i} \mid m\right)=\left(\sum_{j \neq 0=-i}^{i} m_{j, 2 i}-\sum_{j \neq 0=-i}^{i-1} m_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \mid m\right) ;  \tag{5.7}\\
\left.E_{-i, i} \mid m\right)=\sum_{k=-i}^{-1} \theta_{k, 2 i-1} S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=-i}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \\
\left.\left.\times\left(\frac{\prod_{j=-i+1}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i-2}-1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq k=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i-2}-1\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{+(k, 2 i-1)}^{i-1} \\
+\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \theta_{k, 2 i-2} S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-2} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-2}\right) \\
\left.\left.\times\left(-\frac{\prod_{j=-i+1}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-2}^{-1}-l_{k, 2 i-1}+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{j, 2 i}-l_{k, 2 i-1}\right)}{\prod_{j=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i}-l_{k, 2 i-1}\right) \prod_{j \neq k=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-2}-l_{k, 2 i-1}+1\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{+(k, 2 i-1)}, \tag{5.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.E_{-i-1, i} \mid m\right)=\sum_{k=-i}^{-1} \theta_{k, 2 i-1} S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=-i}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(-\frac{\prod_{j=-i-1}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i+1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i-1}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq k=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i+1}+1\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{-(k, 2 i)} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{i} \theta_{k, 2 i} S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\frac{\prod_{j=-i-1}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i+1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-1}-l_{k, 2 i}\right)}{\prod_{j=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}+1\right) \prod_{j \neq k=1}^{i}\left(l_{j, 2 i+1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{-(k, 2 i)} ;  \tag{5.9}\\
& \left.E_{i,-i} \mid m\right)=\sum_{k=-i}^{-1}\left(1-\theta_{k, 2 i-1}\right) S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=-i}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{\prod_{j=-i+1}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i-2}-1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq k=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i-2}-1\right)}\right)^{1 / m)_{-(k, 2 i-1)}} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{i-1}\left(1-\theta_{k, 2 i-2}\right) S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-2} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-2}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(-\frac{\prod_{j=-i+1}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-2}-l_{k, 2 i-1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{j, 2 i}-l_{k, 2 i-1}-1\right)}{\prod_{j=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i}-l_{k, 2 i-1}-1\right) \prod_{j \neq k=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-2}-l_{k, 2 i-1}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{-(k, 2 i-1)} ; \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left.E_{i,-i-1} \mid m\right)=\sum_{k=-i}^{-1}\left(1-\theta_{k, 2 i-1}\right) S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=-i}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i-1}\right)
$$

$$
\left.\left.\times\left(-\frac{\prod_{j=-i-1}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i}-l_{j, 2 i+1}+1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i-1}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq k=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i-1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(l_{k, 2 i-1}-l_{j, 2 i+1}+1\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{+(k, 2 i)}
$$

$$
+\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(1-\theta_{k, 2 i}\right) S\left(\sum_{s=1}^{i} \sum_{j=-s}^{-1} \theta_{j, 2 s-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \theta_{j, 2 s}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_{j, 2 i}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\times\left(\frac{\prod_{j=-i-1}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i+1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-1}-l_{k, 2 i}+1\right)}{\prod_{j=-i}^{-1}\left(l_{j, 2 i-1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}+1\right) \prod_{j \neq k=1}^{i}\left(l_{j, 2 i+1}-l_{k, 2 i+1}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \right\rvert\, m\right)_{+(k, 2 i)} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The highest weight vector $\mid \hat{m})$ is the vector (5.4) for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i, 2 j-\varphi}=m_{i}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \quad \varphi \in\{0,1\}, \quad i \in\{-j, \ldots,-1 ; 1, \ldots, j-\varphi\} . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has stability index $N[\mid \hat{m})]=0$.

Proof. The proof follows ideas developed for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty)$ [18]. Let

$$
\left.\mid m) \equiv \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
{[m]}  \tag{5.13}\\
\vdots \\
{[m]^{2 n}} \\
\vdots \\
{[m]^{2}} \\
{[m]^{1}}
\end{array}\right.\right) \in \Upsilon([m])
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
[m]^{2 n} \equiv\left[m_{-n}, \ldots, m_{-1} ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right], n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the $2 n^{\text {th }}$ signature of $\left.\mid m\right)$ and

$$
\left.\left.(m)^{\operatorname{up}(2 n)} \equiv \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
{[m]}  \tag{5.15}\\
\vdots \\
{[m]^{j}} \\
\vdots \\
{[m]^{2 n+2}} \\
{[m]^{2 n+1}}
\end{array}\right.\right), \quad \mid m\right)^{\operatorname{low}(2 n)} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{l}
{[m]^{2 n}} \\
\vdots \\
{[m]^{i}} \\
\vdots \\
{[m]^{2}} \\
{[m]^{1}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

are said to be the $2 n^{\text {th }}$ upper and the $2 n^{t h}$ lower part of $\mid m$ ), respectively. Consider the subalgebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)=\left\{E_{i j} \mid i, j=-n, \ldots,-1 ; 1, \ldots n\right\} \subset \mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty) . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $e$ be any $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ generator or polynomial expression of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ generators. Then $e \mid m), \mid m) \in$ $\Upsilon([m])$ is a linear combination of vectors from $\Upsilon([m])$ with one and the same $2 n^{t h}$ upper part $\mid m)^{\text {up }(2 n)}$.

Denote the set of all vectors (5.4) with one and the same signature $[m]^{i}, i \geq 2 n$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right) \subset \Upsilon([m]) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the linear span of $\Upsilon\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right) \subset V([m]) . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the subspace $V\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right)$ is $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ invariant (see (5.6)-(5.11)), it will be convenient to define a truncation of vectors $\mid \mu)$ from $\Upsilon\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right)$ to their $2 n^{t h}$ lower part. So we denote:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g(\mid \mu))=\mid \mu)^{\operatorname{low}(2 n)}, \quad \forall \mid \mu\right) \in \Upsilon\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right) \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\Upsilon\left([m]^{2 n}\right)=\{g(\mid \mu)) \text { such that } \mid \mu\right) \in \Upsilon\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right)\right\} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $g$ is a bijection from $\Upsilon\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right)$ to $\Upsilon\left([m]^{2 n}\right)$. It is straightforward to see that $\Upsilon\left([m]^{2 n}\right)$ is an odd GZ basis of a covariant tensor representation of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ with highest weight $[m]^{2 n}$. Define the
action of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ on $\mid m) \in \Upsilon\left([m]^{2 n}\right)$ by (3.15)-(3.20). Now the linear span $V\left([m]^{2 n}\right)$ of $\Upsilon\left([m]^{2 n}\right)$ is a covariant tensor representation of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ with highest weight $[m]^{2 n}$. Comparing the relations (5.6)(5.11) with (3.15)-(3.20) we have that $V\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right) \subset V([m])$ is a covariant tensor representation of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ with signature $[m]^{2 n}$ and an odd GZ basis $\Upsilon\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right)$.

Let $E_{i j}, E_{k l}$ be any two generators of $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ and $\left.\mid m\right) \in \Upsilon([m])$ be an arbitrary vector. For $n \geq \max (|i|,|j|,|k|,|l|)$, consider $E_{i j}, E_{k l}$ as generators of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n) \subset \mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$. Then $\left.\mid m\right)$ will be a vector from the $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ covariant tensor module $V\left([m]^{i} \mid i \geq 2 n\right) \subset V([m])$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left(E_{i j} E_{k l}-(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{i j}\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(E_{k l}\right)} E_{k l} E_{i j}\right) \mid m\right)=\left(\delta_{j k} E_{i l}-(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{i j}\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(E_{k l}\right)} \delta_{i l} E_{k j}\right) \mid m\right) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In such a way one concludes that $V([m])$ is a $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ module.
Next, we wish to prove irreducibility of $V([m])$. Consider any two vectors $x, y \in V([m])$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.x=\sum_{i=1}^{j} \alpha_{i} \mid m^{i}\right), \quad y=\sum_{i=j+1}^{p} \alpha_{i} \mid m^{i}\right), \quad \mid m^{i}\right) \in \Upsilon([m]), \quad \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, i \in 1, \ldots p . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\tilde{N}=\max \left\{N\left[\mid m^{i}\right)\right] \mid i=1, \ldots, p\right\} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then all vectors $\left.\mid m^{i}\right)$ with $i=1, \ldots, p$ have one and the same $k$ signatures, for $k>\tilde{N}$ and $\left.\mid m^{i}\right) \in V\left([m]^{k} \mid k>\tilde{N}\right) \subset V([m])$. Therefore $x, y \in V\left([m]^{k} \mid k>\tilde{N}\right)$. The space $V\left([m]^{k} \mid k>\tilde{N}\right)$ is a covariant tensor module of $\mathfrak{g l}(\tilde{N} \mid \tilde{N})$ and hence there exists a polynomial expression $P$ in the generators of $\mathfrak{g l}(\tilde{N} \mid \tilde{N})$ such that $y=P x$. The conclusion is that $V([m])$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ module.

Consider the vector $\mid \hat{m}) \in \Upsilon([m])$ (see (5.12)). From (5.6)-(5.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.E_{i i} \mid \hat{m}\right)=m_{i}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^{*} . \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition (see the arguments in the proof of Theorem 4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.E_{\overline{1} 1} \mid \hat{m}\right)=E_{i, i+1} \mid \hat{m}\right)=0 \text { for all } i=\ldots,-3,-2 ; 1,2, \ldots \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following (4.6), the irreducible $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ module $V([m])$ is a highest weight module with highest weight vector $\mid \hat{m})$.

To summarize the paper, we have developed a new GZ-basis for covariant representations of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ according to the chain of Lie superalgebras (1.2). The corresponding GZ-patterns have a nice structure with a left and a right triangular array playing an equivalent role. The set of generators of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ for which an action on the GZ-basis can be computed consists of odd root vectors only. The main characteristic of the new GZ-basis is that it can easily be extended to the infinite rank Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$, which is not the case for the familiar GZ-basis [8] of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$.

There is one final property of the class of covariant representations of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ or $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ that we have not mentioned yet, and that is unitarity. Indeed, introduce an inner product $\langle$,$\rangle in$ every $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n)$ module $V\left([m]^{r}\right)$ or in every $\mathfrak{g l}(\infty \mid \infty)$ module $V([m])$, by imposing that the GZ-basis is orthonormal. Then it follows from the explicit actions (3.15)-(3.20) or (5.6)-(5.11) that these modules are unitary with respect to the star relation

$$
E_{i j}^{*}=E_{j i}
$$

for all indices $i$ and $j$. Unitarity is an important property in a physical context, in particular for the application that we have in mind: the description of a parastatistics Fock space with an infinite number of parafermions and parabosons.

## Appendix

The identity (3.27) can easily be proved using standard interpolation theory. For the notation and results, see any elementary book on interpolation, e.g. [19].

For a function $f(x)$, the interpolation polynomial $p_{n}(x)$, of degree $n$ in $x$, through the distinct points $\left(x_{0}, f\left(x_{0}\right)\right),\left(x_{1}, f\left(x_{1}\right)\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right) L_{i}(x), \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $L_{i}(x)$ are the Lagrange polynomials of degree $n$ :

$$
L_{i}(x)=\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \frac{\left(x-x_{j}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)}
$$

When the function $f$ is at least $(n+1)$ times differentiable, the error term (or remainder term) is written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=p_{n}(x)+\frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right) \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ belongs to the interval containing the data points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$.
Now, let $f$ be the function

$$
f(x)=\prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(x-a_{i}\right)
$$

which is itself a polynomial of degree $n+1$. Then $f^{(n+1)}(x)=(n+1)$ !, and (A.1)-(A.2) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(x-a_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n}\left(x_{i}-a_{j}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)}\right) \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{n}\left(x-x_{j}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right) \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the coefficient of $x^{n}$ in the left and right hand side gives

$$
-\left(a_{0}+a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\frac{\prod_{\substack{j=0}}^{n}\left(x_{i}-a_{j}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)}\right)-\left(x_{0}+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right),
$$

yielding (3.27).
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