Effects of emotion and memory on scene recognition 1

1	Running head: EFFECTS OF EMOTION AND MEMORY ON SCENE RECOGNITION
2	
3	Multiple synergistic effects of emotion and memory on proactive
4	processes leading to scene recognition
5	Antonio Schettino ^{1,2} , Tom Loeys ³ , & Gilles Pourtois ¹
6	
7	¹ Department of Experimental-Clinical and Health Psychology, Ghent University, Ghent,
8	Belgium
9	² Institute of Psychology I, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany
10	³ Department of Data Analysis, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
11	
12	Corresponding author:
13	Antonio Schettino
14	Institute of Psychology I
15	University of Leipzig
16	Seeburgstraße 14-20
17	04103 Leipzig
18	Germany
19	Phone: +49 (0)341 973 95 44
20	Email: antonio.schettino@uni-leipzig.de

```
21
```

Abstract

Visual scene recognition is a proactive process through which contextual cues and top-down 22 expectations facilitate the extraction of invariant features. Whether the emotional content of the 23 scenes exerts a reliable influence on these processes or not, however, remains an open question. 24 Here, topographic ERP mapping analysis and a distributed source localization method were used 25 26 to characterize the electrophysiological correlates of proactive processes leading to scene 27 recognition, as well as the potential modulation of these processes by memory and emotion. On each trial, the content of a complex neutral or emotional scene was progressively revealed, and 28 29 participants were asked to decide whether this scene had previously been encountered or not (delayed match-to-sample task). Behavioral results showed earlier recognition for old compared 30 to new scenes, as well as delayed recognition for emotional vs. neutral scenes. 31 Electrophysiological results revealed that, ~400 ms following stimulus onset, activity in ventral 32 object-selective regions increased linearly as a function of accumulation of perceptual evidence 33 34 prior to recognition of old scenes. The emotional content of the scenes had an early influence in these areas. By comparison, at the same latency, the processing of new scenes was mostly 35 achieved by dorsal and medial frontal brain areas, including the anterior cingulate cortex and the 36 37 insula. In the latter region, emotion biased recognition at later stages, likely corresponding to decision making processes. These findings suggest that emotion can operate at distinct and 38 39 multiple levels during proactive processes leading to scene recognition, depending on the extent 40 of prior encounter with these scenes.

41 Keywords: scene recognition, emotion, high density ERP, sLORETA, parahippocampal gyrus,

42 fusiform gyrus, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, insula.

43

Multiple synergistic effects of emotion and memory

44

on proactive processes leading to scene recognition

45 1. Introduction

Visual scene recognition results from dynamic and reciprocal interactions between bottom-up 46 sensory processing and top-down modulatory influences, including selective attention (Treisman 47 48 and Kanwisher, 1998), contextual information (Oliva and Torralba, 2007), and prior expectations (Summerfield and Egner, 2009). Interestingly, it has been proposed that the differential sensory 49 processing of low (LSF) and high (HSF) spatial frequency information could underlie reciprocal 50 51 interaction effects between bottom-up sensory processing and top-down expectations (Bar, 2007, 2004, 2003). In this framework, the rapid extraction of LSF information via dedicated 52 magnocellular pathways may serve to quickly generate coarse predictions regarding the most 53 probable content of the visual scene. This process would later be assisted and refined by the 54 extraction of HSF information. 55

56 Whether these dynamic perceptual processes are differentially engaged depending on the (perceived) emotional content of the scenes, however, has received little attention so far. 57 Mounting evidence shows that emotion exerts strong biases on visual perception (Öhman et al., 58 59 2001; Pourtois et al., 2012; Todd et al., 2012; Vuilleumier, 2005), including at early stages of recognition (Damaraju et al., 2009; Halgren et al., 2000; Pourtois et al., 2004). Thus, emotion is 60 61 no longer seen as a byproduct of perception but, instead, as a core determinant of it (Pourtois et 62 al., 2012). Accordingly, proactive processes during scene recognition are unlikely to be immune to emotion, defined here as the acquired valence and arousal values of the stimulus (Barrett et al., 63 64 2007; Lang, 1995; Russell, 1980). More specifically, the speed and extent of iterative processes 65 between bottom-up processing and top-down expectations during scene recognition are probably

subject to variations depending on the rapidly extracted emotional meaning of the stimulus. 66 Consistent with this assumption, we recently reported evidence for the modulation of scene 67 recognition processes by emotion (Schettino et al., 2011). In this study, participants were 68 presented with series of pictures whose content was progressively revealed by increasing, in up 69 to six sequential steps, the amount of LSF and HSF information. This progressive unfolding task 70 was used to mimic a "coarse-to-fine" decomposition of the retinal input, presumably fostering 71 the online generation of guesses regarding the most likely identity of the stimulus (Bar, 2003; 72 Bullier, 2001; Hegdé, 2008). Participants were asked to discriminate the content of the stimulus 73 74 progressively revealed by performing an orthogonal animacy judgment task. Crucially, the scenes used in this experiment were neutral, pleasant, or unpleasant. Behavioral results showed a 75 delayed recognition for emotional compared to neutral scenes. At the electrophysiological level, 76 we found reliable ERP differences starting at approximately 280 ms after stimulus onset, 77 depending on the amount of perceptual evidence accumulated. Estimated activity in the posterior 78 cingulate cortex (PCC) and the parahippocampal gyrus (PHG) showed a response profile 79 characterized by a linear and monotonic accumulation of perceptual evidence. Conversely, 80 categorical recognition effects were evidenced in medial frontal regions, including the dorsal 81 82 anterior cingulate cortex (dACC). However, none of these brain effects, albeit consistent with previous neuroimaging results (Carlson et al., 2006; James et al., 2000; Ploran et al., 2011, 83 2007), was found to be influenced by the emotional content of the scenes. 84 85 This lack of modulatory effect of emotion on these brain mechanisms was imputed to two main factors. First, it was hypothesized that the elected procedure did not effectively promote the 86 87 generation of unambiguous predictions regarding the likely identity of the scenes progressively 88 revealed, since participants were mainly presented with visually impoverished versions of

pictures that they had never encountered before. Second, the use of an orthogonal animacy 89 judgment task might have strongly reduced the salience of the emotional features of the scenes. 90 Several studies showed that (early and automatic) affective stimulus processing is substantially 91 reduced when concurrent, non-affective semantic stimulus dimensions become task-relevant 92 (Everaert et al., 2011; Pessoa, 2008; Pessoa et al., 2002; Spruyt et al., 2007). To overcome these 93 94 limitations, we devised a modified version of the progressive unfolding task (Schettino et al., 2012). In this latter study, to promote the generation and use of a restricted number of predictions 95 regarding the identity of the scenes progressively revealed, we introduced a standard delayed 96 97 match-to-sample manipulation (Courtney et al., 1997; Goldman-Rakic, 1990; Henson et al., 2005; Rugg et al., 1998). On each trial, participants were first asked to encode a complex 98 colorful scene having either a neutral or emotional meaning. After a constant time interval, the 99 content of either the same or a novel scene was progressively revealed (similarly to Schettino et 100 al., 2011), and participants were asked to perform a delayed match-to-sample task. In addition, 101 102 we occasionally asked participants to rate the emotional content of the scenes (besides the delayed match-to-sample task), thereby ensuring that the emotion features were directly attended 103 throughout the whole experimental session. Behavioral results revealed earlier recognition for 104 105 old compared to new scenes in all emotion conditions, providing evidence that participants used restricted (short-term) memory representations to perform the task. Notably, delayed recognition 106 107 was observed for emotional relative to neutral scenes, replicating our previous behavioral results 108 (Schettino et al., 2011).

The present paper reports the electrophysiological results of the aforementioned behavioral
study (Schettino et al., 2012). Our main objective was to compare, using advanced EEG
methods, the processing of previously encountered ("old") vs. "new" scenes, in order to evaluate

112 whether any influence of emotion on brain mechanisms underlying scene recognition was depending on the (short-term) memory status of these complex visual stimuli. To verify that our 113 short-term memory manipulation was effective, we analyzed a distinctive ERP signature of 114 recollection processes, a late positive ERP waveform typically recorded from centro-parietal 115 sites starting at approximately 500 ms post-stimulus onset (Curran and Cleary, 2003; Curran and 116 117 Doyle, 2011; Rugg and Curran, 2007; Voss and Paller, 2006). Based on previous literature, we expected to observe more positive ERPs after correctly recognized old pictures compared to 118 correctly classified new pictures, the so-called 'old/new effect' (Rugg and Curran, 2007; Voss 119 120 and Paller, 2008; Wilding et al., 1995). Topographic analysis and complementary source 121 localization methods were subsequently employed to investigate whether dissociable response profiles could be evidenced as a function of memory status and emotional valence of the scenes. 122 123 Similarly to our previous study (Schettino et al., 2011), reliable topographical differences were expected to be observed in a time window following the low-level visual discrimination of the 124 stimulus (i.e., after the N1 and P2 ERP components). In addition, we used a standard distributed 125 126 source localization method to estimate the configuration of the neural generators of the main topographical maps identified by the preceding analysis. We assessed whether differential 127 128 activation profiles in specific ventral brain areas as opposed to dorsal/medial frontal regions might be found, reflecting accumulation of evidence and decision-related processes, respectively. 129

130 2. Methods

131 2.1. Participants

Twenty-two undergraduate psychology students (all women, mean age 21 years, range 18-26)
participated in the study, which was approved by the local Ethics Committee. All participants
were native Dutch speaking, right-handed, had normal or corrected-to-normal vision, with no

history of neurological or psychiatric disorders. The data of one participant could not be saved properly because of technical problems, whereas the EEG data of three other participants were discarded due to excessive artifacts and a low signal-to-noise ratio. Thus, the final sample consisted of 18 participants. All volunteers gave informed written consent prior to their participation, and were compensated $30\in$.

140 2.2. *Stimuli*

141 The visual stimuli were selected from the International Affective Picture System (IAPS; Lang et al., 2008). Three-hundred and sixty pictures were pre-selected, equally divided into three 142 143 emotion categories according to their pre-defined valence scores: *neutral*, *unpleasant*, and *pleasant* (see Table 1 in Schettino et al., 2012). Of note, these pictures were selected on the basis 144 of mean valence and arousal ratings reported by female responders (Lang et al., 2008), because 145 only women eventually participated in the experiment (see above). Similar to Schettino et al. 146 (2011), we selected scenes that were neither highly pleasant (i.e., erotic situations) nor highly 147 unpleasant (i.e., mutilations), because these categories were associated with specific emotion 148 149 reactions in previous research (Schupp et al., 2006, 2007). We also included 16 additional neutral 150 pictures that were only used during the practice session (not included in the subsequent statistical 151 analyses). Furthermore, 36 supplementary neutral scenes were scrambled (i.e., each scene was divided into arbitrary grids of 255x255 pixels, whose locations were randomly shuffled 10 times) 152 153 and, as a result, their content was made meaningless. Thus, in total, participants were presented with 412 IAPS scenes¹. 154

The selected IAPS pictures were resized to 922 x 691 pixels (90% of the original 1024 x 768 pixel size), and they were presented at the beginning of each trial (see details below). For the progressive unfolding procedure, a separate pre-processing was carried out on the original IAPS

1

See Schettino, et al. (2012) for a complete list of the IAPS pictures selected for the experiment.

158 scenes (1024 x 768 pixels) (Schettino et al., 2011). First, a grayscale conversion was applied using the algorithm included in Adobe Photoshop 6.0 (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA). 159 Afterwards, six bandpass spatial frequency filters were applied on each and every picture using 160 the fast Fourier transform (FFT) included in ImageJ v1.44 (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/; for a 161 similar procedure, see Delplanque et al., 2007). As a result, six distinct levels of filtering were 162 163 obtained for every IAPS scene, each containing a different amount of low and high spatial frequency information². All these modified pictures were finally resized to 768 x 576 pixels 164 (75% of the original IAPS pictures). Importantly, the grayscale and resize picture conversions 165 166 relative to the colorful picture were performed to discourage participants to use a purely perceptual, pixel-to-pixel matching strategy to retrieve the content of the initial picture during the 167 memory matching task (see Procedure below). 168

169 Each neutral, unpleasant, and pleasant scene was arbitrarily paired with another one from the same emotion category based on low-level visual similarities, as assessed by systematic visual 170 inspection (see Table 2 in Schettino et al., 2012). More specifically, pictures with a clear 171 distinction between a central figure and a homogeneous background were paired together (e.g., a 172 coffee mug on a table vs. a pocket watch on a dark background). The same strategy was applied 173 174 for more complex scenes (e.g., a traffic jam vs. a woman in the crowd). Of note, this procedure was applied on the fourth image level of each IAPS scene (Image4), given that the behavioral 175 results of our previous study (Schettino et al., 2011) showed that participants could reliably 176 177 recognize these complex visual scenes using the spatial frequency information content provided in this specific image level. 178

179 2.3. *Procedure*

² *Image1*: 256-1024 pixels/cycles; *Image2*: 128-1024 pixels/cycles; *Image3*: 64-1024 pixels/cycles; *Image4*: 32-1024 pixels/cycles; *Image5*: 16-1024 pixels/cycles; *Image6*: 0-1024 pixels/cycles. The numbers in the pair denote the pixels between which the cycles were kept after FFT (Delplanque et al., 2007).

180 Participants were individually tested in a small, dimly lit room, and seated at a viewing distance of 75 cm in front of a 19" CRT computer screen (refresh rate: 100 Hz). After filling out 181 the informed consent, they were presented with task instructions, followed by a practice block 182 containing 16 trials (with neutral pictures). Then, they moved on to the main experimental 183 184 session, which was divided into twelve blocks (separated by short breaks), each containing 33 185 trials (Schettino et al., 2012; see also Fig. 1A). Each trial began with a 1500ms presentation of a colorful, fully detailed picture subtending 18.5° x 13.9° of visual angle, followed by a 2000ms 186 grayscale mask. At the offset of the mask, the unfolding sequence started. A fixation cross 187 188 appeared in the center of the screen for 250 ms. The first grayscale, blurred image level of a given picture (subtending 15.4° x 11.6° of visual angle) was then presented for 500 ms, followed 189 by a 250ms blank screen. Next, the second image level of the same picture (containing slightly 190 more HSF and LSF information) was displayed for 500 ms, plus the 250ms blank screen, and the 191 same procedure was repeated until the presentation of the sixth, non-filtered image level. The 192 193 inter-trial interval was set at 1000 ms. Participants were required to provide two separate and 194 consecutive manual responses (see also Schettino et al., 2011, for a similar dual response 195 procedure). First, they were asked to press, with their right index finger, a pre-defined button on 196 a response box (Cedrus RB-730; http://www.cedrus.com/responsepads/rb730.htm) as soon as 197 they gathered enough perceptual evidence to decide whether the content of the unfolded scene was either the same as the one displayed during the encoding phase, a new one, or a scrambled 198 picture (Response1)³. Pressing the button immediately interrupted the presentation of the 199 stimulus sequence. Although instructions emphasized accuracy, participants were encouraged to 200 201 stop the sequence as soon as they felt they could recognize the content of the scene, which

³ These scrambled pictures, for which a separate response was required, were used as "catch" trials to ensure that participants reliably attended to the content of the scenes before responding, as well as an additional control to prevent the use of a strategy primarily based on the detection of specific low-level details.

202 occurred before the end of the sequence for a vast majority of trials (see Schettino et al., 2012). 203 After 500 ms, participants were required to validate their Response1 by pressing, on a standard AZERTY keyboard, the "o" key if the unfolded scene was the same as the colorful one 204 previously presented ("old" condition), the "n" key if these two scenes were different ("new" 205 condition), or the "s" key if the unfolded scene was displaying a meaningless content 206 ("scrambled" condition). All these responses, for which no time limit was imposed, were coded 207 as Response2. This dual response procedure was used for three main reasons: (i) to dissociate 208 early visual recognition effects (Response1) from the overt discrimination and comparison in 209 210 short-term memory of the scenes (Response2); (ii) to remove recognition errors (based on 211 accuracy of Response2) from the behavioral and ERP analyses; (iii) to minimize the potential contamination of ERP data by the activation of competing motor responses across the three 212 213 different conditions, since Response1 always required to press a single button shared across conditions. To further prevent the use of a recognition strategy solely based on low-level visual 214 features, the content of half of the "old" scenes was unpredictably flipped along the horizontal 215 216 axis between encoding and retrieval. Participants were informed that an "old" response was to be given for these "flipped" trials, since the memory matching task had to be performed primarily 217 218 based on the *content* of the scenes. For the statistical analyses of the behavioral and EEG data reported hereafter, "old flipped" and "old unflipped" trials were combined into a single "old" 219 condition, and these "old" trials were eventually compared to "new" trials (see also Schettino et 220 221 al., 2012). Hence, using this procedure, for each emotion category (neutral, pleasant, unpleasant), two trial types were compared to each other: "old", in which the identity of the colorful picture 222 was identical to the scene progressively unfolded; "new", meaning that the identities of the 223 224 colorful and unfolded scene were different (although matched as far as possible in terms of lowlevel visual properties). Importantly, for "new" scenes, no change in terms of emotional content
ever occurred between the colorful picture and the gradually unfolded scene. In other words, a
neutral colorful picture was always followed by the unfolding of a neutral scene, and the same
occurred for emotion-laden stimuli (pleasant-pleasant; unpleasant-unpleasant; see Table 2 in
Schettino et al., 2012).

230 Finally, we aimed at increasing the task-relevance of the emotional features of the scenes presented throughout the experiment, in order to increase the likelihood to find reliable 231 232 differences at the electrophysiological level between emotional and neutral scenes. Therefore, 233 additional ratings of the emotional valence of the colorful scene presented at the beginning of 234 each trial were occasionally asked after the registration of Response2. A standard 9-point Self-235 Assessment Manikin (SAM; Bradley and Lang, 1994) was used for this purpose, with anchor 1 236 corresponding to "very unpleasant" and anchor 9 to "very pleasant". This additional emotion classification task, included in 10% of the total number of trials, also served as additional 237 verification that the emotional content of the IAPS pictures selected in our study was perceived 238 239 by our participants in accordance with the normative ratings (Lang et al., 2008).

Stimulus presentation and behavioral response recordings were controlled using E-Prime 2.0
(http://www.pstnet.com/products/e-prime/).

242 2.4. Recording and pre-processing of EEG data

243 Electroencephalographic (EEG) activity was continuously recorded using a BIOSEMI Active-

244 Two system (BioSemi, Inc., The Netherlands; http://www.biosemi.com) by means of 128

245 Ag/AgCl electrodes fitted into a stretching cap and following the BioSemi ABCD position

246 system (i.e., electrode positions are radially equidistant from CZ;

247 http://www.biosemi.com/headcap.htm). Two additional electrodes, the common mode sense

(CMS) active electrode and the driven right leg (DRL) passive electrode, were used as reference and ground electrodes, respectively (http://www.biosemi/faq/cms_and_drl.htm). Vertical electrooculograms (vEOG) were monitored using two additional electrodes placed on the inferior and superior areas of the left orbit, whereas horizontal EOG (hEOG) were recorded by means of two electrodes situated symmetrically on the outer canthus of each eye. EEG and EOG recordings were sampled at 512 Hz.

254 ERPs of interest were computed offline using Brain Vision Analyzer 2.0 (Brain Products[™] 255 GmbH, Munich, Germany; www.brainproducts.com). First, a topographic interpolation 256 (interpolation by spherical splines; Perrin et al., 1989) was applied on noisy channels 257 (interpolated channels across 18 subjects: M = 3.78, SD = 4.28, range 0-11), and a common average reference was then applied. Afterwards, the continuous EEG signal was segmented into 258 individual epochs, excluding trials corresponding to errors (based on accuracy on Response2). 259 Similar to our previous study (Schettino et al., 2011), four main epochs were computed around 260 261 stimulus onset (using an interval of 200 ms prior to and 750 ms after this event), enabling us to look backwards at visual ERPs progressively elicited prior to Response1: (1) segments in which 262 Responsel occurred from 0 to 750 ms after stimulus presentation ("recognition"); (2) segments 263 264 in which Response 1 occurred from 750 to 1500 ms after stimulus presentation ("one image before" recognition); (3) segments in which Response 1 occurred from 1500 to 2250 ms after 265 stimulus presentation ("two images before" recognition); (4) segments in which Response1 266 267 occurred from 2250 to 3000 ms after stimulus presentation ("three images before" recognition). A segment length of 750 ms was used in order to include stimulus presentation duration (500 ms) 268 269 as well as the following 250ms blank screen. These parameters minimized the possibility that 270 late ERPs for the preceding image level could substantially contaminate the pre-stimulus

271 baseline of the current image level (see Figs 3 and 4A). All these individual segments were then 272 baseline corrected using the entire pre-stimulus interval of 200 ms, before a standard ocular correction was performed (Gratton et al., 1983). Artifact rejection was then carried out 273 $(-80/+80\mu V \text{ amplitude scale across participants})$ to remove segments contaminated by artifacts, 274 including residual eye blinks and muscle activity. Finally, stimulus-locked ERP averages were 275 276 computed, separately for each condition (memory: 2 levels; emotion: 3 levels; recognition times: 277 4 levels), resulting in 24 individual ERP averages. However, because no difference in recognition 278 between unpleasant and pleasant pictures was observed at the behavioral level (see also 279 Schettino et al., 2012), these two emotion conditions were collapsed in order to increase the 280 signal-to-noise ratio, leaving a total number of 16 individual ERP averages (percentage of segments kept after pre-processing: $\sim 79\%$)⁴. These averages were eventually low-pass filtered 281 282 using a 30 Hz cutoff (slope 12dB/oct). 283 To provide additional evidence for the overt processing of the emotional dimension of the 284 stimuli, we used a standard ERP marker of emotional processing. More specifically, in an

auxiliary analysis, we computed visual ERPs time-locked to the onset of the colorful picture
(epoch length: -200/+1500 ms) that was presented at the beginning of the trial and had to be
encoded in short-term memory. We sought to establish whether emotional scenes were perceived

289 emotional relative to neutral scenes (Foti et al., 2009; Schupp et al., 2006, 2004a, 2004b, 2003).

and processed differently compared to neutral scenes, as indicated by a larger LPP amplitude for

288

⁴ Number of segments after pre-processing, separately for each condition: (1) new, recognition, neutral: M = 52, range 44-58; (2) new, recognition, emotional: M = 103, range 78-114; (3) old, recognition, neutral: M = 47, range 34-58; (4) old, recognition, emotional: M = 90, range 68-111; (5) new, one image before, neutral: M = 50, range 41-59; (6) new, one image before, emotional: M = 103, range 83-116; (7) old, one image before, neutral: M = 41, range 30-52; (8) old, one image before, emotional: M = 87, range 68-106; (9) new, two images before, neutral: M = 52, range 40-58; (10) new, two images before, emotional: M = 104, range 81-116; (11) old, two images before, neutral: M = 47, range 37-58; (12) old, two images before, emotional: M = 90, range 74-111; (13) new, three images before, neutral: M = 49, range 37-57; (14) new, three images before, emotional: M = 100, range 76-115; (15) old, three images before, neutral: M = 41, range 32-52; (16) old, three images before, emotional: M = 84, range 67-105.

290 2.5. Analysis of ERP data

First, we analyzed the average amplitude of the LPP component time-locked to the onset of the colorful scene -- extracted from a 500-1000ms time window following stimulus onset -- from an array of centro-parietal electrodes, where this component reached its maximum amplitude. Mean amplitudes of the LPP were analyzed by means of repeated measures ANOVAs, and t-tests were employed as post-hoc comparisons.

296 Second, to investigate the classical old/new effect (Rugg and Curran, 2007; Voss and Paller, 2008; Wilding et al., 1995), we analyzed the average amplitude of the centro-parietal ERP 297 298 component (recorded from electrode A19, which corresponds to Pz in the 10/20 international EEG system) time-locked to the time of recognition, extracted from a 500-750ms time window 299 post-stimulus onset (Paller et al., 2003; Voss and Paller, 2006)⁵. Paired-samples t-tests were used 300 to verify a mean amplitude difference of this late positive potential between old and new scenes. 301 302 To examine the ERP data recorded during the progressive unfolding sequence, reference-free 303 topographic analyses were used, similarly to our previous study (Schettino et al., 2011). This 304 method allows to summarize a complex ERP data set into a smaller number of dominant scalp 305 topographies (i.e., global configuration of the electric field across all 128 channels at each time 306 frame) (Lehmann and Skrandies, 1980; Michel and Murray, 2012; Michel et al., 2001, 1999; Murray et al., 2008; Pourtois et al., 2008). These analyses enable to assess how the distribution 307 308 and expression of these dominant topographies vary in time across experimental conditions, 309 irrespective of changes in the strength of the ERP signal. Topographic analyses were performed using CARTOOL software (version 3.43; http://brainmapping.unige.ch/Cartool.htm; see also 310

⁵ As a control analysis, we also calculated the mean amplitude of the old/new ERP effect recorded from an array of parietal electrodes located in the left (A5, A6, A7, A8, D16, D17, D27, D28) and right (A31, A32, B2, B3, B4, B17, B18, B19) hemispheres (Curran and Doyle, 2011; Weymar et al., 2009; Wilding et al., 1995)(Curran and Doyle, 2011; Weymar et al., 2009; Wilding et al., 1995), and we obtained a similar statistical outcome. Therefore, for ease of presentation, only the results of Pz are reported.

311 Brunet et al., 2011). The dominant scalp maps were identified in the grand-average ERP data for each main condition (recognition, one image before, two images before, and three images before) 312 over a wide time window spanning from 0 to 600 ms after stimulus onset. To this end, a specific 313 spatiotemporal clustering algorithm, the "Atomize and Agglomerate Hierarchical Clustering" 314 (AAHC; for a detailed description, see Murray et al., 2008; Tibshirani and Walther, 2005), was 315 used. The optimal number of dominant maps best "explaining" the ERP dataset was determined, 316 following standard practice, using a cross-validation criterion (Pascual-Marqui et al., 1995). 317 Next, the spatial correlation between single-subject ERPs and the aforementioned template maps 318 identified by the AAHC clustering algorithm was calculated (a procedure called "fitting"; 319 Brandeis et al., 1995; Murray et al., 2008), in order to obtain a quantitative estimate of each 320 map's relative expression across subjects and conditions, as defined by the Global Explained 321 Variance (GEV, or goodness of fit). GEV values were entered in repeated measures ANOVAs, 322 with recognition level, memory, and emotion as within-subject factors. Paired t-tests were used 323 as post-hoc comparisons between conditions, when required to back up significant interaction 324 325 effects. Whenever Mauchly's test indicated that the assumption of sphericity had been violated, the degrees of freedom were corrected using Greenhouse-Geisser estimates. The alpha level was 326 327 set at p < 0.05.

328 2.6. Source localization analysis

To estimate the putative neural generators underlying the dominant voltage topographies identified at the scalp level by the previous analyses, we used sLORETA (Pascual-Marqui, 2002). sLORETA is a distributed linear inverse solution based on the neurophysiological assumption of coherent co-activation of neighboring cortical areas, known to have highly synchronized activity (Silva et al., 1991). Accordingly, it estimates multiple simultaneously 334 active sources without any *a priori* assumption on the number and position of the underlying 335 dipoles (for a mathematical validation of this localization technique, see Sekihara et al., 2005). 336 sLORETA solutions are computed within a three-shell spherical head model co-registered to the MNI152 template (Mazziotta et al., 2001), restricted to the gray matter and the hippocampus. 337 The source locations were therefore given as (x, y, z) coordinates (x from left to right; y from 338 339 posterior to anterior; z from inferior to superior). The estimation of the three-dimensional 340 intracerebral current density distribution is performed in 6239 voxels, each containing an equivalent current dipole. The head model for the inverse solution uses the electric potential lead 341 342 field computed with a boundary element method applied to the MNI152 template (Fuchs et al., 2002). Scalp electrode coordinates on the MNI brain are derived from the international 5% 343 344 system (Jurcak et al., 2007). The calculation of all reconstruction parameters was based on the computed common average reference. 345

sLORETA units were scaled to amperes per square meter (A/m²), normalized across subjects, and nonparametric statistics were then applied on log-transformed data. Direct statistical comparisons between conditions were performed in this inverse solution space using repeated measures ANOVAs and post-hoc paired t-tests. The level of significance for all these analyses was set at p < 0.05.

351 3. Results

352 3.1. *Emotion classification task*

Results of the emotion classification task showed high (i.e., more pleasant) ratings for pleasant (M = 6.14, SD = 0.81) scenes, followed by neutral (M = 4.89, SD = 0.58) and unpleasant (M = 3.45, SD = 1.06) scenes. A one-way ANOVA performed on these mean ratings disclosed a highly significant effect of emotion [F(2, 34) = 39.94, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .701$]. Paired samples ttests carried out on these mean ratings confirmed highly significant differences between neutral and unpleasant pictures [t(17) = 4.83, p < .001], as well as between neutral and pleasant [t(17) =-7.47, p < .001] and unpleasant and pleasant [t(17) = -6.81, p < .001] scenes. Thus, participants rated the emotional valence of the pre-selected stimuli in accordance with the published normative scores (Lang et al., 2008). These results also confirmed that participants correctly attended to the actual emotional content of the scenes throughout the experiment.

363 3.2. Accuracy for the progressive unfolding task

Cumulative percentages of correct responses (i.e., Responses1 only when Responses2 were 364 365 correct) are shown in Fig. 1B (for a detailed overview, see Schettino et al., 2012). A mixed proportional odds model with memory (old, new) and emotion (neutral, emotional) as fixed 366 factors, and participant as random effect revealed an overall earlier recognition for old compared 367 to new scenes, in both neutral and emotional conditions (all ps < .001). Interestingly, pairwise 368 comparisons revealed a shift of the psychometric curve as a function of the emotional content of 369 370 the scene, indicated by an earlier recognition when the scenes contained a neutral as opposed to an emotional content (all ps < .01). No significant interaction effect was found between memory 371 and emotion (p = .632). 372

373 3.3. LPP during stimulus encoding

Fig. 2 shows the grand-average ERPs recorded from an array of medial centro-parietal electrode sites (A8, A20, B5). These electrodes were selected for illustration purposes after an initial 2 (emotion) x 9 (electrode) repeated measures ANOVA revealed no significant interaction effect between these two factors (p > .05). The analysis performed on the mean amplitude of the LPP showed a larger sustained positive component for emotional ($M = 5.86 \mu$ V, SD = 4.32) relative to neutral ($M = 4.91 \mu$ V, SD = 4.47) scenes. Paired t-tests confirmed a significant 380 amplitude difference between neutral and emotional scenes [t(17) = -3.75, p = .002]. Thus, these

381 results provided additional evidence for the differential processing of the emotional content of

382 the scenes encoded in short-term visual memory prior to unfolding. We also performed

383 correlation analyses between the LPP amplitude and task performance (i.e., memory accuracy

384 during the progressive unfolding task), separately for new, old, neutral, and emotional scenes.

385 However, no significant correlation was observed (all ps > .05).

386 3.4. Old/new ERP effects at time of recognition

387 The time course of the centro-parietal old/new ERP component recorded at time of

388 recognition is shown in Fig. 3. The analysis performed on the mean amplitude of this component

389 (measured in a 500-750ms time window post-stimulus onset) showed significantly larger

390 positive values for old ($M = 1.44 \mu V$, SD = 2.41) relative to new ($M = 0.40 \mu V$, SD = 1.77)

391 scenes [t(17) = -3.04, p = .007]. These results are consistent with previous ERP studies (Curran

392 and Cleary, 2003; Curran and Doyle, 2011; Sanquist et al., 1980; Wilding and Rugg, 1996;

Wilding et al., 1995), and provide converging evidence that our short-term memory manipulationwas successful.

395 3.5. Topographic analysis of ERPs recorded during the unfolding task

The spatiotemporal cluster analysis revealed that eight distinct dominant field topographies explained 91.34% of the total variance (see Figs. 4A and 4B). Similarly to our previous study (Schettino et al., 2011), we found a reliable topographical change across recognition levels immediately following the exogenous N1 and P2 ERP components (Fig. 4B)⁶. Because this topographical alteration necessarily indicates changes in the configuration of the underlying intracranial generators (Lehmann and Skrandies, 1980; Michel and Murray, 2012), a detailed

⁶ No difference in GEV was found for the N1 and P2 scalp topographies as a function of time of recognition. Therefore, these early maps will not be discussed further.

402 characterization of this topographical change starting ~400 ms following stimulus onset is403 provided.

404 At the offset of the P2 scalp map, for three, two, and one image before recognition, an occipital positivity with a concurrent, broad frontal negativity was evidenced. Conversely, an 405 occipital positivity accompanied by a more focal frontal positivity was observed one image 406 407 before recognition, being then predominant at time of recognition (Fig. 4B). These two 408 distinctive dominant topographical components were then fitted back to the individual ERP data. Based on the outcome of the spatiotemporal analysis, we selected a large time interval lasting 409 410 120 ms, namely from 402 to 522 ms after stimulus onset, during which these topographic differences were most obvious (Fig. 4B). A 2 (map configuration: occipital positivity/frontal 411 negativity, occipital positivity/frontal positivity) x 4 (recognition level: recognition, one image 412 before, two images before, three images before) repeated measures ANOVA on the GEV values 413 obtained for these two specific topographies after fitting revealed significant main effects of map 414 configuration [$F(1, 17) = 7.40, p = .015, \eta_p^2 = .303$] and recognition level [$F(3, 51) = 10.69, p < .015, \eta_p^2 = .015$] 415 .001, $\eta_p^2 = .386$], as well as a highly significant map configuration x image level interaction [F(3, 416 $(51) = 9.53, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .359$]. Interestingly, pairwise comparisons showed that the GEV of the 417 418 occipital positivity/frontal positivity map progressively increased from three images before recognition to actual recognition (all ps < .05, except two images vs. three images before 419 recognition, p = .079) (see Fig. 4D). By comparison, the GEV of the occipital positivity/frontal 420 421 negativity showed a different pattern (Fig. 4C). Paired t-tests revealed a sharp increase in GEV from three to two images before recognition [t(17) = -3.88, p = .001], followed by a gradual 422 decrease between two images before and time of recognition [t(17) = 3.21, p = .005], as well as 423 424 between one image before and actual recognition [t(17) = 2.67, p = .016]. Thus, this latter

425 topographical scalp map explained most of the variance already two images before recognition,
426 but then gradually decreased and was replaced by the concurrent occipital positivity/frontal
427 positivity.

428 Next, we investigated whether these two dominant topographical maps were differentially 429 influenced by memory, emotional content, or both factors concurrently. Regarding the occipital positivity/frontal negativity topography, a 2(emotion) x 2 (memory) x 4 (recognition level) 430 repeated measures ANOVA showed a significant memory x recognition level interaction [F(3,431 51) = 3.28, p = .028, $\eta_p^2 = .162$], but no significant effect of emotion [*F*(1, 17) = 1.01, p = .330, 432 $\eta_p^2 = .056$]. A similar 2 x 2 x 4 ANOVA on the GEV values of the occipital positivity/frontal 433 positivity map disclosed a significant main effects of memory [F(1, 17) = 20.30, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 =$ 434 .544], and a memory x recognition level interaction [F(3, 51) = 3.36, p = .026, $\eta_p^2 = .165$] but no 435 significant effect of emotion [$F(1, 17) = 0.29, p = .596, \eta_p^2 = .017$]. 436

437 Given the lack of statistical significance for the emotion factor, we collapsed GEV values for 438 neutral and emotional scenes and further investigated the modulatory role of memory. A 2 439 (memory) x 4 (recognition level) repeated measures ANOVA on the GEV values of the occipital 440 positivity/frontal negativity map disclosed a significant memory x recognition level interaction $[F(3, 51) = 3.28, p = .028, \eta_p^2 = .162]$. Post-hoc comparison showed higher GEV for new 441 compared to old condition two images before recognition [t(17) = 2.52, p = .022] (Fig. 4C). 442 Thus, this analysis revealed a similar pattern of activity in new and old conditions for this 443 444 occipital positivity/frontal negativity topographical map, with higher GEV values for new relative to old condition two images before recognition. 445

A comparable 2 x 4 ANOVA was used for the GEV values obtained for the concurrent
occipital positivity/frontal positivity topography. This analysis showed a significant main effect

of memory $[F(1, 17) = 20.30, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .544]$, as well as a significant memory x recognition level interaction $[F(3, 51) = 3.36, p = .026, \eta_p^2 = .165]$. Post-hoc paired t-test revealed higher GEV values for new relative to old condition, both one image before [t(17) = 3.41, p = .003] and at recognition level [t(17) = 4.12, p = .001] (Fig. 4D). Thus, a comparable, gradual increase in GEV was observed for new and old scenes, with higher values for new relative to old condition one image before, as well as at time of recognition.

454 3.6. Source localization results

455 Using sLORETA (Pascual-Marqui, 2002) we performed statistical non-parametric mapping 456 (SnPM) analyses on the average activity estimated during the 402-522ms post-stimulus onset interval, during which the main topographic change took place across the four recognition levels 457 (from three images before up to recognition). We first compared ERP activity for three images 458 before recognition to actual recognition (see Schettino et al., 2011, for a similar approach), 459 separately for new and old scenes. This analysis revealed, for new scenes, a stronger activation 460 for recognition relative to three images before in the dACC ($\pm 10x$, $\pm 15y$, $\pm 35z$) [t(17) = 2.75, p =461 .014], whereas symmetrically stronger activity three images before compared to recognition was 462 found in the insula $(\pm 40x, \pm 15y, 0z)$ [t(17) = -3.29, p = .004] (Fig. 5, upper panel). On the other 463 464 hand, the SnPM analysis for old scenes showed stronger activity during recognition relative to three images before recognition in a non-overlapping network of brain regions, including the 465 PHG ($\pm 29x$, -49y, -6z) [t(17) = 4.46, p < .001] and the fusiform gyrus (FG; $\pm 41x$, -45y, -19z) 466 [t(17) = 4.02, p = .001] (Fig. 5, lower panel). Therefore, these four brain areas (dACC, insula, 467 PHG, and FG) were defined as regions of interest (ROIs) for further analyses⁷. For each ROI 468 469 (defined as a sphere of 5 mm radius centered around the coordinates reported above), we

⁷ None of these ROIs showed differential lateralization effects, as confirmed by 2 (side) x 4 (recognition level) repeated measures ANOVAs. Accordingly, for each ROI, activity from both hemispheres was combined.

extracted the mean amplitude (current density) value during the same time interval (402-522 ms
following stimulus onset) and assessed, at the statistical level, effects of recognition level and
emotion.

473 3.6.1. dACC and insula (new scenes)

Regarding the dACC (Fig. 6A), the 2 (emotion) x 4 (recognition level) ANOVA performed on the mean current density extracted during the 402-522ms interval post-stimulus onset revealed a significant main effect of recognition level [F(3, 51) = 5.84, p = .002, $\eta_p^2 = .256$]. Post-hoc t-tests revealed higher activity for late vs. early recognition levels, as evidenced by a significant difference between two images and one image before recognition [t(17) = -2.74, p = .014]. Activity remained stable between three images and two images before recognition, as well as

480 between one image before and time of recognition (ps > .05) (Fig. 6A).

481 Inverse solution results obtained for the insula in the new condition revealed a similar 482 response profile (Fig. 6B). However, the emotional content of the scenes visibly influenced reconstructed activity in this ROI, unlike the dACC. A 2 (emotion) x 4 (recognition level) 483 ANOVA disclosed a significant emotion x recognition level interaction [F(3, 51) = 3.75, p =484 .016, $\eta_p^2 = .181$]. Post-hoc analyses showed, for neutral pictures, only a significant difference 485 486 between three images before and time of recognition [t(17) = -2.34, p = .032]. Therefore, activity in the insula for neutral pictures was relatively stable until recognition. By contrast, emotional 487 488 scenes elicited significantly higher activity one image relative to two images before recognition 489 [t(17) = -3.17, p = .006]. Activity remained stable between three images and two images before recognition, as well as between one image before and time of recognition (ps > .05) (Fig. 6B). 490 491 3.6.2. PHG and FG (old scenes)

492 The response profile of the PHG and FG during recognition of old scenes was reliably different compared to the two previous ROIs (i.e., dACC and insula) identified primarily for the 493 processing of new scenes. Unlike a categorical difference between early vs. late stages of 494 accumulation of perceptual evidence, a linear increase in current source density was observed 495 when moving from three images before to actual recognition in the PHG and FG. A 2 (emotion) x 496 497 4 (recognition level) ANOVA on the extracted amplitude values for the PHG revealed significant main effects of emotion [F(1, 17) = 6.33, p = .022, $\eta_p^2 = .271$] and recognition level [F(3, 51) =498 17.06, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .501$]. Post-hoc comparisons showed higher activity three images before 499 recognition for neutral compared to emotional scenes [t(17) = 2.96, p = .009] (Fig. 6C). 500 501 The same analyses performed on the extracted amplitude values for the FG yielded comparable results. The 2 (emotion) x 4 (recognition level) ANOVA showed significant main 502 effects of emotion $[F(1, 17) = 8.71, p = .009, \eta_p^2 = .339]$ and recognition level $[F(3, 51) = 11.75, p = .009, \eta_p^2 = .339]$ 503 p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .409$], as well as a significant emotion x recognition level interaction [F(3, 51) =504 3.62, p = .019, $\eta_p^2 = .176$]. As was the case for the PHG, the FG showed higher activity for 505 506 neutral vs. emotional scenes three images before recognition [t(17) = 5.62, p < .001] (Fig. 6D).

507 4. Discussion

Using advanced EEG methods, we characterized the electrophysiological correlates of proactive processes active during the recognition of complex visual scenes and conveying either a neutral or an emotional (pleasant or unpleasant) content. We designed a task suited to explore the temporal dynamics of these accumulation of evidence processes, and eventually assessed whether the emotional content of the scenes could reliably modulate their expression or not. Each trial started with the presentation of a colorful neutral or emotional scene to be encoded in short-term memory, followed by the gradual unfolding of the same scene content, a new one, or a

515 scrambled picture. Participants had to decide whether the progressively unfolded scene had the same identity than the one shown at encoding or not. Moreover, we occasionally asked 516 517 participants to explicitly rate the emotional valence of the pictures, a manipulation that was meant to promote the overt processing of the emotional content of the scenes throughout the 518 519 experiment. These ratings confirmed that participants correctly discriminated the emotional 520 content of the scenes. Likewise, results obtained for the LPP component at encoding further corroborated this conclusion (see Fig. 2). Interestingly, a number of important new results 521 emerge from this study regarding possible modulatory effects of emotion on the online 522 523 generation of guesses or predictions during overt scene recognition, as discussed here below. 524 4.1. Prolonged exploration for emotional compared to neutral scenes Behavioral results revealed that old scenes were recognized systematically earlier than new 525 scenes (Fig. 1B), providing direct evidence for the use of abstract visual representations during 526 unfolding, which were directly shaped by information provided to participants at encoding. 527 528 Moreover, we replicated our previous results (Schettino et al., 2011) and found that, regardless of 529 the memory status of the scenes (old vs. new), participants dwelt longer on emotional compared 530 to neutral scenes (Fig. 1B). As argued in Schettino et al. (2012), delayed recognition for 531 emotional relative to neutral scenes could be explained by differential motivational drives between these two categories, including positivity offset (Cacioppo and Gardner, 1999; Cacioppo 532 et al., 1999, 1997; Norris et al., 2010). According to this general motivational account, 533 534 participants are usually inclined to engage in exploratory behavior when encountering emotionladen stimuli (especially pleasant ones), presumably because of their intrinsic rewarding value. 535

536 Interestingly, the use of mildly arousing scenes in our study, as opposed to mutilations or erotica,

537 may have boosted this asymmetry in motivational exploratory strategies between emotional and

538 neutral scenes. This motivational effect found in a sample of healthy women was similar for old 539 and new scenes, suggesting that effects of emotion on proactive guesses during scene recognition probably occurred either before or after the input stimulus was compared against existing visual 540 representations stored in (short-term) memory. Alternatively, emotion could somehow interfere 541 with these accumulation of evidence processes (at different moments depending either on the 542 543 presence or absence of an existing short-term memory trace for these scenes), in the sense that the emotional content of the scenes would exert an extra source of variability and/or uncertainty 544 on these processes, compared to neutral scenes. In this view, the compelling processing of the 545 546 emotional content of the scenes (at encoding), which is reinforced by the use of an emotion categorization task (based on the valence), leads to the activation of mental processes that are 547 probably shared in part with brain processes responsible for the accumulation of evidence, 548 549 eventually slowing down the recognition of these emotional scenes. Our new source localization results indirectly confirm this assumption (see below). 550

4.2. New and old scenes recruit non-overlapping brain networks during proactive visual
processes

553 The control analysis performed on the mean amplitude of the centro-parietal old/new ERP 554 component recorded at time of recognition in a 500-750ms time window post-stimulus onset 555 showed significantly larger positive values for old relative to new scenes. These results are consistent with previous studies (Curran and Cleary, 2003; Curran and Doyle, 2011; Weymar et 556 557 al., 2009; Wilding and Rugg, 1996; Wilding et al., 1995), and provide converging evidence that our short-term memory manipulation was successful. However, neither the main effect of 558 559 emotion nor the emotion x memory interaction were significant (all ps > .05), indicating 560 comparable old/new effects for neutral and emotional scenes. This result might be explained by 561 the use of a short retention interval in the present experimental paradigm, as opposed to previous ERP studies using intermediate (e.g., 1 week; Weymar et al., 2010, 2009) or long (e.g., 1 year; 562 Bradley et al., 1992; Dolcos et al., 2005; Weymar et al., 2011) retention intervals. Moreover, 563 interacting effects of emotion and memory were revealed in our study, but when considering 564 global topographical changes and their estimated generators in the inverse solution space. 565 566 The use of high-density EEG further allowed us to gain insight into the putative brain networks giving rise to proactive processes during scene recognition. Four hundred ms after 567 stimulus onset, we found that the topography of the evoked electric field reliably changed 568 569 depending on the amount of perceptual evidence accumulated, unambiguously revealing changes in the configuration of the underlying brain networks (Lehmann and Skrandies, 1980; Michel 570 and Murray, 2012; Michel et al., 1999; Pourtois et al., 2008). Moreover, these topographical 571 effects were different for old vs. new scenes. Two images before recognition, we found that the 572 dominant occipital positivity/frontal negativity topographical map was decreased for old 573 compared to new scenes, this memory effect later influencing the concurrent occipital 574 positivity/frontal positivity scalp map. These topographies are thought to reflect memory-related 575 processes that are shaped both by the amount of perceptual evidence accumulated at a given 576 577 moment in time, as well by specific top-down mechanisms, including affective processes (as suggested by the activity pattern of the estimated neural sources). These results suggest that 578 memory could rapidly alter the expression of accumulation of evidence processes taking place 579 580 prior to overt recognition, probably via the activation of non-overlapping brain structures during the processing of old vs. new scenes (Ranganath and Rainer, 2003). By contrast, the temporal 581 582 dynamic of these two dominant topographical maps was not reliably influenced by the emotional 583 content of the scenes, replicating our previous results (Schettino et al., 2011).

584 4.3. Modulatory effect of emotion depends on memory

585 In agreement with the assumption of non-overlapping brain networks between new and old scenes, our complementary source localization analysis confirmed that the processing of old 586 scenes was mostly achieved by a distributed network of ventral brains regions, including the 587 PHG and FG, whereas the processing of new scenes involved mainly neural changes in more 588 589 dorsal brain regions at the same latency, including the dACC and the insula. Interestingly, we found that activity extracted in these ROIs was influenced by the emotional content of the 590 scenes, though at different stages during the accumulation of evidence depending on the actual 591 592 memory status of these scenes. These results suggest that emotion may exert pervasive effects on these proactive processes during scene recognition. Accordingly, the estimation of the neural 593 sources of the ERP signal using a linear distributed inverse space turned out to be more sensitive 594 to capture subtle changes related to the processing of the emotional content of the scenes, 595 compared to the topographical mapping analyses carried out using 128 channels (Esslen et al., 596 2004; Pascual-Marqui et al., 2002). This dissociation is not surprising though, given the 597 enhanced spatial sensitivity of distributed source localization methods (including sLORETA) that 598 599 enables to reveal subtle differences between experimental conditions, which may be otherwise 600 difficult to capture based on local amplitude measurements performed at the sensor level (Brodbeck et al., 2011; Lantz et al., 2001; Michel and Murray, 2012). 601 602 4.4. Enhanced uncertainty during the processing of new scenes

Activity in the dACC for neutral scenes was low and close to baseline until one image before recognition, when a sharp increase took place (see Fig. 6A). This specific response profile for the dACC, which is consistent with the involvement of this medial frontal region in higher-order decision making processes (Bush et al., 2002; Ridderinkhof et al., 2004; Seo and Lee, 2007), was 607 already found in previous imaging studies looking at accumulation of evidence processes taking place during object or scene recognition (Ploran et al., 2011, 2007; Wheeler et al., 2008). This 608 sharp increase in the dACC close to recognition could reflect either uncertainty or conflict, given 609 the urge to stop the stimulus sequence and take a decision before the sequence comes to an end, 610 while the accumulated sensory evidence may not be completed yet (Anderson et al., 2009; 611 612 Philiastides and Sajda, 2007; Sohn et al., 2007). Interestingly, a similar effect in the dACC was already reported in our previous ERP study, though based on a different task (Schettino et al., 613 2011). Hence, this region may be involved in the coding of conflict or uncertainty during 614 perceptual decision making tasks at a more general level (Ridderinkhof et al., 2004; Ullsperger et 615 al., 2004), particularly when recognition targets are embedded in an emotional context (Kanske 616 and Kotz, 2011a, 2011b). 617

618 Not only the dACC, but also the insula showed increased activity in response to new scenes, although it additionally showed a modulation according to the emotional content of the scenes 619 620 (Fig. 6B). Activation of the insula has previously been reported for a variety of tasks and stimuli (Craig, 2009), including when exogenous sensory stimuli acquire salience (Seeley et al., 2007), 621 as well as in response to challenging and uncertain perceptual tasks (Grinband et al., 2006; 622 623 Philiastides and Sajda, 2007). Likewise, previous ERP work based on a similar approach as used here already source-localized the insula during the early processing of response errors during a 624 speeded go/no-go task (Dhar et al., 2011). Presumably, similar effects could explain the 625 626 involvement of the insula in our task during the accumulation of perceptual evidence prior to recognition. Whereas uncertainty likely decreased as a function of accumulation of evidence, at 627 628 time of recognition this process is perhaps not completed yet. Noteworthy, emotional stimuli 629 could somehow exacerbate this process in the insula, thereby shifting the neural response earlier

630 in time -- probably because of their intrinsic motivational salience -- compared to neutral stimuli.

631 Presumably, the emotional content of the stimulus might actively interfere with task demands

632 (memory matching task), resulting in an earlier experience of uncertainty or conflict for

633 emotional compared to neutral scenes.

634 More generally, the parallel involvement of the insula and dACC in our study during the

635 processing of new scenes is not surprising, but consistent with many previous findings showing

636 that these two regions likely operate together and eventually form a functional network activated

637 across a variety of stimuli and tasks (Ploran et al., 2007; Seeley et al., 2007; Sterzer and

638 Kleinschmidt, 2010), including the implementation of task sets (Dosenbach et al., 2008; Nelson

639 et al., 2010), awareness processes (Craig, 2009; Dhar et al., 2011; Mayr, 2004), as well as

640 performance monitoring (Ito et al., 2003; Ridderinkhof et al., 2004; Wheeler et al., 2008).

641 4.5. Emotion-dependent monotonic accumulation of perceptual evidence in ventral object-

642 selective regions during the processing of old scenes

643 While the processing of new scenes was selectively associated with specific neural effects in 644 the dACC and insula starting 400 ms post-stimulus onset, a different picture emerged for the processing of old scenes at the same latency, where ventral object-sensitive brain regions were 645 646 found to be significantly more active. Among them, activity in the PHG increased linearly as a function of accumulation of evidence, with the lowest amplitude values three images before 647 recognition and the highest at time of recognition (see Fig. 6C). Given the ubiquitous 648 649 involvement of this specific brain area in the processing of contextual information needed for successful scene recognition (Bar and Aminoff, 2003; Bar et al., 2008; Kveraga et al., 2011), we 650 interpreted our new results as reflecting similar mechanisms. The linear trend found in this 651 652 region during accumulation of evidence prior to recognition (see also Schettino et al., 2011) is

consistent with the assumption that this region is rapidly involved in the analysis of diagnostic 653 contextual information, primarily conveyed by magnocellular input (Bar, 2009, 2007, 2004). 654 Interestingly, we found that this response profile was not identical for emotional compared to 655 neutral scenes. More specifically, during early stages of accumulation of evidence (i.e., three 656 images before recognition), the amplitude of the PHG was significantly lower for emotional 657 compared to neutral scenes. An early interference effect probably took place for emotional 658 scenes, eventually preventing the initiation of the generative accumulation of evidence process 659 (presumably based on contextual information carried by LSF cues) taking place in this region. 660 However, because at time of recognition this amplitude difference between neutral and emotional 661 scenes was no longer significant, it is likely that a more rapid accumulation of evidence took 662 place in this region for emotional compared to neutral scenes. Hence, emotional factors would 663 initially interfere with the processing of diagnostic contextual information (based on the 664 extraction of LSF cues), but later boost this same process when more evidence is timely gathered 665 and accumulated (Fig. 6C), presumably based on the concurrent processing of HSF information. 666 Such an interpretation is compatible with mounting evidence showing the importance of 667 contextual visual information during emotional scene recognition (Barrett and Kensinger, 2010; 668 669 Barrett et al., 2011; de Gelder et al., 2006; Righart and de Gelder, 2008, 2006; Righart and De Gelder, 2008). Thus, due to their enhanced motivational relevance, emotional scenes led to a 670 steeper accumulation of evidence in the PHG relative to neutral scenes. 671

A comparable outcome was found for the FG (Fig. 6D). Previous imaging studies already reported the involvement of the FG in the gradual accumulation of perceptual evidence (James et al., 2000; Malach et al., 1995). Presumably, a similar accumulation of evidence mechanism took place in the FG in our study, although one may argue that the diagnostic visual information used by this region may be different compared to the PHG. More specifically, the FG could be
involved in the accumulation of perceptual evidence based on the rapid extraction of invariant
object features or viewpoint information, as opposed to contextual information in the PHG
(Epstein et al., 1999; Grill-Spector and Malach, 2004; Malach et al., 1995; Pourtois et al., 2010,
2005).

681 Although all these effects were obtained for female participants only, we surmise that similar results could be obtained in male participants, given the specifics of our procedure and stimulus 682 selection. In fact, the IAPS pictures used in our study did not include highly arousing pictures 683 (e.g., mutilations or erotica), for which gender differences might likely arise (Lithari et al., 2010; 684 Proverbio et al., 2009; Schupp et al., 2007, 2006). Moreover, future studies are needed to assess 685 whether the reported ERP effects may lead to differential long-term memory effects for 686 emotional compared to neutral scenes (Dolcos and Cabeza, 2002; Kaestner and Polich, 2011). 687 688 4.6. Conclusion

689 The results of this study shed light on the electrophysiological correlates (and the estimated brain sources) of accumulation of perceptual evidence prior to scene recognition. Four hundred 690 691 ms following stimulus onset, regions in the dACC and insula were selectively active prior to 692 overt recognition of new scenes, likely translating either enhanced uncertainty or conflict monitoring during perceptual decision making. Interestingly, activity in the insula was elicited 693 earlier for emotional compared to neutral scenes, suggesting prioritized processing for this class 694 695 of stimuli due to their enhanced motivational salience, particularly in perceptually ambiguous tasks. By contrast, at the same latency following stimulus onset, old scenes recruited primarily 696 ventral object-selective regions during accumulation of perceptual evidence, including the PHG 697 698 and FG. Interestingly, an early modulation of their response profile as a function of the emotional

699 content of the scenes was observed. Accordingly, even though neural mechanisms underlying accumulation of evidence during scene recognition are multiple and can be dissociated based on 700 memory (i.e., old vs. new scenes), it is striking to observe that emotion exerts pervasive 701 702 interference effects on these proactive processes during fairly early stages of accumulation of perceptual evidence (when the retinal input is still minimal or impoverished). Our new ERP 703 findings are consistent with a recent theoretical proposal (Barrett and Bar, 2009) suggesting that 704 705 the affective properties of the visual input are intrinsically embedded in the predictions generated during the rapid extraction of its gist. Given the direct anatomical projections from specific 706 medial frontal brain areas (including the ACC and insula) -- active during recognition processes--707 to autonomic and endocrine output centers in the hypothalamus, midbrain, and brainstem, 708 internal affective states of the organism might potentially be one feature of the set of predictions 709 710 used to facilitate recognition (Bar and Aminoff, 2003; Bar, 2004; Barrett and Bar, 2009). 711 Acknowledgements

This work is supported by grants from the European Research Council (Starting Grant
#200758) and Ghent University (BOF Grant #05Z01708).

References

- Anderson, Anderson, J.F., Ferris, J.L., Fincham, J.M., Jung, K.J., 2009. Lateral inferior prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex are engaged at different stages in the solution of insight problems. P Natl Acad Sci USA 106, 10799–10804.
- Bar, M., 2003. A cortical mechanism for triggering top-down facilitation in visual object recognition. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 15, 600–609.
- Bar, M., 2004. Visual objects in context. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 5, 617–629.
- Bar, M., 2007. The proactive brain: using analogies and associations to generate predictions. Trends Cogn Sci 11, 280–9.
- Bar, M., 2009. The proactive brain: memory for predictions. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 364, 1235–1243.
- Bar, M., Aminoff, E., 2003. Cortical analysis of visual context. Neuron 38, 347–358.
- Bar, M., Aminoff, E., Schacter, D.L., 2008. Scenes unseen: The parahippocampal cortex intrinsically subserves contextual associations, not scenes or places per se. Journal of Neuroscience 28, 8539–8544.
- Barrett, L.F., Bar, M., 2009. See it with feeling: affective predictions during object perception. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 364, 1325–34.
- Barrett, L.F., Kensinger, E.A., 2010. Context Is Routinely Encoded During Emotion Perception. Psychological Science 21, 595–599.
- Barrett, L.F., Mesquita, B., Gendron, M., 2011. Context in Emotion Perception. Current Directions in Psychological Science 20, 286–290.
- Barrett, L.F., Mesquita, B., Ochsner, K.N., Gross, J.J., 2007. The experience of emotion. Annual Review of Psychology 58, 373–403.

- Bradley, M.M., Greenwald, M.K., Petry, M.C., Lang, P.J., 1992. Remembering Pictures: Pleasure and Arousal in Memory. Journal of Experimental Psychology-Learning Memory and Cognition 18, 379–390.
- Bradley, M.M., Lang, P.J., 1994. Measuring Emotion: The Self-Assessment Mannequin and the Semantic Differential. Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry 25, 49– 59.
- Brandeis, D., Lehmann, D., Michel, C.M., Mingrone, W., 1995. Mapping event-related brain potential microstates to sentence endings. Brain Topography 8, 145–59.
- Brodbeck, V., Spinelli, L., Lascano, A.M., Wissmeier, M., Vargas, M.I., Vulliemoz, S., Pollo, C., Schaller, K., Michel, C.M., Seeck, M., 2011. Electroencephalographic source imaging: a prospective study of 152 operated epileptic patients. Brain 134, 2887–2897.
- Brunet, D., Murray, M.M., Michel, C.M., 2011. Spatiotemporal Analysis of Multichannel EEG: CARTOOL. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience 2011.
- Bullier, J., 2001. Integrated model of visual processing. Brain Res Rev 36, 96–107.
- Bush, G., Vogt, B.A., Holmes, J., Dale, A.M., Greve, D., Jenike, M.A., Rosen, B.R., 2002. Dorsal anterior cingulate cortex: A role in reward-based decision making. P Natl Acad Sci USA 99, 523–528.
- Cacioppo, J.T., Gardner, W.L., 1999. Emotion. Annual Review of Psychology 50, 191–214.
- Cacioppo, J.T., Gardner, W.L., Berntson, G.G., 1997. Beyond Bipolar Conceptualizations and Measures: The Case of Attitudes and Evaluative Space. Personality and Social Psychology Review 1, 3–25.

- Cacioppo, J.T., Gardner, W.L., Berntson, G.G., 1999. The affect system has parallel and integrative processing components: Form follows function. J Pers Soc Psychol 76, 839– 855.
- Carlson, T., Grol, M.J., Verstraten, F.A.J., 2006. Dynamics of visual recognition revealed by fMRI. Neuroimage 32, 892–905.
- Courtney, S.M., Ungerleider, B.G., Keil, K., Haxby, J.V., 1997. Transient and sustained activity in a distributed neural system for human working memory. Nature 386, 608–611.
- Craig, A.D., 2009. How do you feel now? The anterior insula and human awareness. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 10, 59–70.
- Curran, T., Cleary, A.M., 2003. Using ERPs to dissociate recollection from familiarity in picture recognition. Cognitive Brain Research 15, 191–205.
- Curran, T., Doyle, J., 2011. Picture Superiority Doubly Dissociates the ERP Correlates of Recollection and Familiarity. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 23, 1247–1262.
- Damaraju, E., Huang, Y.M., Barrett, L.F., Pessoa, L., 2009. Affective learning enhances activity and functional connectivity in early visual cortex. Neuropsychologia 47, 2480–2487.
- De Gelder, B., Meeren, H.K.M., Righart, R., Van den Stock, J., Van de Riet, W.A.C., Tamietto,
 M., 2006. Beyond the face: exploring rapid influences of context on face processing.
 Visual Perception, Pt 2: Fundamentals of Awareness: Multi-Sensory Integration and
 High-Order Perception 155, 37–48.
- Delplanque, S., N'diaye, K., Scherer, K., Grandjean, D., 2007. Spatial frequencies or emotional effects? A systematic measure of spatial frequencies for IAPS pictures by a discrete wavelet analysis. Journal of Neuroscience Methods 165, 144–150.

- Dhar, M., Wiersema, J.R., Pourtois, G., 2011. Cascade of Neural Events Leading from Error Commission to Subsequent Awareness Revealed Using EEG Source Imaging. Plos One 6.
- Dolcos, F., Cabeza, R., 2002. Event-related potentials of emotional memory: encoding pleasant, unpleasant, and neutral pictures. Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci 2, 252–63.
- Dolcos, F., LaBar, K.S., Cabeza, R., 2005. Remembering one year later: role of the amygdala and the medial temporal lobe memory system in retrieving emotional memories. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102, 2626–2631.
- Dosenbach, N.U.F., Fair, D.A., Cohen, A.L., Schlaggar, B.L., Petersen, S.E., 2008. A dualnetworks architecture of top-down control. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 12, 99–105.
- Epstein, R., Harris, A., Stanley, D., Kanwisher, N., 1999. The parahippocampal place area: recognition, navigation, or encoding? Neuron 23, 115–25.
- Esslen, M., Pascual-Marqui, R.D., Hell, D., Kochi, K., Lehmann, D., 2004. Brain areas and time course of emotional processing. Neuroimage 21, 1189–1203.
- Everaert, T., Spruyt, A., De Houwer, J., 2011. On the (Un)conditionality of Automatic Attitude Activation: The Valence Proportion Effect. Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology-Revue Canadienne De Psychologie Experimentale 65, 125–132.
- Foti, D., Hajcak, G., Dien, J., 2009. Differentiating neural responses to emotional pictures: Evidence from temporal-spatial PCA. Psychophysiology 46, 521–530.
- Fuchs, M., Kastner, J., Wagner, M., Hawes, S., Ebersole, J.S., 2002. A standardized boundary element method volume conductor model. Clin Neurophysiol 113, 702–712.
- Goldman-Rakic, P.S., 1990. Cellular and circuit basis of working memory in prefrontal cortex of nonhuman primates. Prog Brain Res 85, 325–35; discussion 335–6.

- Gratton, G., Coles, M.G.H., Donchin, E., 1983. A New Method for Off-Line Removal of Ocular Artifact. Electroen Clin Neuro 55, 468–484.
- Grill-Spector, K., Malach, R., 2004. The human visual cortex. Annu Rev Neurosci 27, 649–77.
- Grinband, J., Hirsch, J., Ferrera, V.P., 2006. A neural representation of categorization uncertainty in the human brain. Neuron 49, 757–763.
- Halgren, E., Raij, T., Marinkovic, K., Jousmaki, V., Hari, R., 2000. Cognitive response profile of the human fusiform face area as determined by MEG. Cerebral Cortex 10, 69–81.
- Hegdé, J., 2008. Time course of visual perception: Coarse-to-fine processing and beyond. Prog Neurobiol 84, 405–439.
- Henson, R.N.A., Hornberger, M., Rugg, M.D., 2005. Further dissociating the processes involved in recognition memory: An fMRI study. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 17, 1058– 1073.
- Ito, S., Stuphorn, V., Brown, J.W., Schall, J.D., 2003. Performance monitoring by the anterior cingulate cortex during saccade countermanding. Science 302, 120–122.
- James, T.W., Humphrey, G.K., Gati, J.S., Menon, R.S., Goodale, M.A., 2000. The effects of visual object priming on brain activation before and after recognition. Curr Biol 10, 1017–1024.
- Jurcak, V., Tsuzuki, D., Dan, I., 2007. 10/20, 10/10, and 10/5 systems revisited: Their validity as relative head-surface-based positioning systems. Neuroimage 34, 1600–1611.
- Kaestner, E.J., Polich, J., 2011. Affective recognition memory processing and event-related brain potentials. Cognitive Affective & Behavioral Neuroscience 11, 186–198.

- Kanske, P., Kotz, S.A., 2011a. Emotion Triggers Executive Attention: Anterior Cingulate Cortex and Amygdala Responses to Emotional Words in a Conflict Task. Human Brain Mapping 32, 198–208.
- Kanske, P., Kotz, S.A., 2011b. Emotion Speeds up Conflict Resolution: A New Role for the Ventral Anterior Cingulate Cortex? Cerebral Cortex 21, 911–919.
- Kveraga, K., Ghuman, A.S., Kassam, K.S., Aminoff, E.A., Hamalainen, M.S., Chaumon, M., Bar, M., 2011. Early onset of neural synchronization in the contextual associations network. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108, 3389–3394.
- Lang, P.J., 1995. The Emotion Probe: Studies of Motivation and Attention. American Psychologist 50, 372–385.
- Lang, P.J., Bradley, M.M., Cuthbert, B.N., 2008. International affective picture system (IAPS): Affective ratings of pictures and instruction manual. Technical Report A-8. University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
- Lantz, G., Menendez, R.G.D., Andino, S.G., Michel, C.M., 2001. Noninvasive localization of electromagnetic epileptic activity. II. Demonstration of sublobar accuracy in patients with simultaneous surface and depth recordings. Brain Topography 14, 139–147.
- Lehmann, D., Skrandies, W., 1980. Reference-Free Identification of Components of Checkerboard-Evoked Multichannel Potential Fields. Electroen Clin Neuro 48, 609–621.
- Malach, R., Reppas, J.B., Benson, R.R., Kwong, K.K., Jiang, H., Kennedy, W.A., Ledden, P.J.,
 Brady, T.J., Rosen, B.R., Tootell, R.B.H., 1995. Object-Related Activity Revealed by
 Functional Magnetic-Resonance-Imaging in Human Occipital Cortex. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 92, 8135–8139.

Mayr, U., 2004. Conflict, consciousness, and control. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 8, 145–148.

Mazziotta, J., Toga, A., Evans, A., Fox, P., Lancaster, J., Zilles, K., Woods, R., Paus, T., Simpson, G., Pike, B., Holmes, C., Collins, L., Thompson, P., MacDonald, D., Iacoboni, M., Schormann, T., Amunts, K., Palomero-Gallagher, N., Geyer, S., Parsons, L., Narr, K., Kabani, N., Le Goualher, G., Boomsma, D., Cannon, T., Kawashima, R., Mazoyer, B., 2001. A probabilistic atlas and reference system for the human brain: International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM). Philos T R Soc B 356, 1293–1322.

- Michel, C.M., Murray, M.M., 2012. Towards the utilization of EEG as a brain imaging tool. Neuroimage 61, 371–385.
- Michel, C.M., Seeck, M., Landis, T., 1999. Spatiotemporal dynamics of human cognition. News Physiol Sci 14, 206–214.
- Michel, C.M., Thut, G., Morand, S., Khateb, A., Pegna, A.J., De Peralta, R.G., Gonzales, S., Seeck, M., Landis, T., 2001. Electric source imaging of human brain functions. Brain Res Rev 36, 108–118.
- Murray, M.M., Brunet, D., Michel, C.M., 2008. Topographic ERP analyses: A step-by-step tutorial review. Brain Topogr 20, 249–264.
- Nelson, S.M., Dosenbach, N.U.F., Cohen, A.L., Wheeler, M.E., Schlaggar, B.L., Petersen, S.E., 2010. Role of the anterior insula in task-level control and focal attention. Brain Structure & Function 214, 669–680.
- Norris, C.J., Gollan, J., Berntson, G.G., Cacioppo, J.T., 2010. The current status of research on the structure of evaluative space. Biol Psychol 84, 422–436.
- Öhman, A., Flykt, A., Esteves, F., 2001. Emotion drives attention: Detecting the snake in the grass. Journal of Experimental Psychology-General 130, 466–478.

- Oliva, A., Torralba, A., 2007. The role of context in object recognition. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 11, 520–527.
- Paller, K.A., Hutson, C.A., Miller, B.B., Boehm, S.G., 2003. Neural manifestations of memory with and without awareness. Neuron 38, 507–516.
- Pascual-Marqui, R.D., 2002. Standardized low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (sLORETA): Technical details. Method Find Exp Clin 24, 5–12.
- Pascual-Marqui, R.D., Esslen, M., Kochi, K., Lehmann, D., 2002. Functional imaging with lowresolution brain electromagnetic tomography (LORETA): A review. Methods and Findings in Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology 24, 91–95.
- Pascual-Marqui, R.D., Michel, C.M., Lehmann, D., 1995. Segmentation of Brain Electrical Activity into Microstates: Model Estimation and Validation. Ieee T Bio-Med Eng 42, 658–665.
- Perrin, F., Pernier, J., Bertrand, O., Echallier, J.F., 1989. Spherical Splines for Scalp Potential and Current-Density Mapping. Electroen Clin Neuro 72, 184–187.
- Pessoa, L., 2008. On the relationship between emotion and cognition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 9, 148–158.
- Pessoa, L., Kastner, S., Ungerleider, L.G., 2002. Attentional control of the processing of neutral and emotional stimuli. Cognitive Brain Research 15, 31–45.
- Philiastides, M.G., Sajda, P., 2007. EEG-informed fMRI reveals spatiotemporal characteristics of perceptual decision making. Journal of Neuroscience 27, 13082–13091.
- Ploran, E.J., Nelson, S.M., Velanova, K., Donaldson, D.I., Petersen, S.E., Wheeler, M.E., 2007. Evidence accumulation and the moment of recognition: Dissociating perceptual recognition processes using fMRI. Journal of Neuroscience 27, 11912–11924.

- Ploran, E.J., Tremel, J.J., Nelson, S.M., Wheeler, M.E., 2011. High Quality but Limited Quantity Perceptual Evidence Produces Neural Accumulation in Frontal and Parietal Cortex. Cerebral Cortex 21, 2650–2662.
- Pourtois, G., Delplanque, S., Michel, C., Vuilleumier, P., 2008. Beyond conventional eventrelated brain potential (ERP): Exploring the time-course of visual emotion processing using topographic and principal component analyses. Brain Topogr 20, 265–277.
- Pourtois, G., Grandjean, D., Sander, D., Vuilleumier, P., 2004. Electrophysiological correlates of rapid spatial orienting towards fearful faces. Cerebral Cortex 14, 619–633.
- Pourtois, G., Schettino, A., Vuilleumier, P., 2012. Brain mechanisms for emotional influences on perception and attention: What is magic and what is not. Biological Psychology.
- Pourtois, G., Schwartz, S., Seghier, M.L., Lazeyras, F., Vuilleumier, P., 2005. Portraits or people? Distinct representations of face identity in the human visual cortex. J Cognitive Neurosci 17, 1043–1057.
- Pourtois, G., Spinelli, L., Seeck, M., Vuilleumier, P., 2010. Modulation of Face Processing by Emotional Expression and Gaze Direction during Intracranial Recordings in Right Fusiform Cortex. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 22, 2086–2107.
- Ranganath, C., Rainer, G., 2003. Neural mechanisms for detecting and remembering novel events. Nat Rev Neurosci 4, 193–202.
- Ridderinkhof, K.R., Ullsperger, M., Crone, E.A., Nieuwenhuiss, S., 2004. The role of the medial frontal cortex in cognitive control. Science 306, 443–447.
- Righart, R., De Gelder, B., 2006. Context influences early perceptual analysis of faces An electrophysiological study. Cerebral Cortex 16, 1249–1257.

- Righart, R., De Gelder, B., 2008. Rapid influence of emotional scenes on encoding of facial expressions: an ERP study. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience 3, 270–278.
- Righart, R., De Gelder, B., 2008. Recognition of facial expressions is influenced by emotional scene gist. Cognitive Affective & Behavioral Neuroscience 8, 264–272.
- Rugg, M.D., Curran, T., 2007. Event-related potentials and recognition memory. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 11, 251–257.
- Rugg, M.D., Mark, R.E., Walla, P., Schloerscheidt, A.M., Birch, C.S., Allan, K., 1998.
 Dissociation of the neural correlates of implicit and explicit memory. Nature 392, 595–598.
- Russell, J.A., 1980. A Circumplex Model of Affect. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 39, 1161–1178.
- Sanquist, T.F., Rohrbaugh, J.W., Syndulko, K., Lindsley, D.B., 1980. Electrocortical signs of levels of processing: perceptual analysis and recognition memory. Psychophysiology 17, 568–76.
- Schettino, A., Loeys, T., Bossi, M., Pourtois, G., 2012. Valence-Specific Modulation in the Accumulation of Perceptual Evidence Prior to Visual Scene Recognition. PloS One 7, e38064.
- Schettino, A., Loeys, T., Delplanque, S., Pourtois, G., 2011. Brain dynamics of upstream perceptual processes leading to visual object recognition: A high density ERP topographic mapping study. Neuroimage 55, 1227–1241.
- Schupp, H., Flaisch, T., Stockburger, J., Junghöfer, M., 2006. Emotion and attention: Eventrelated brain potential studies. Journal of Psychophysiology 20, 115–115.

- Schupp, H.T., Cuthbert, B.N., Bradley, M.M., Hillman, C.H., Hamm, A.O., Lang, P.J., 2004a. Brain processes in emotional perception: Motivated attention. Cognition & Emotion 18, 593–611.
- Schupp, H.T., Junghöfer, M., Weike, A.I., Hamm, A.O., 2004b. The selective processing of briefly presented affective pictures: An ERP analysis. Psychophysiology 41, 441–449.
- Schupp, H.T., Stockburger, J., Bublatzky, F., Junghöfer, M., Weike, A.I., Hamm, A.O., 2003. The selective processing of affective pictures: Effects of emotion and attention. Journal of Psychophysiology 17, 178–178.
- Schupp, H.T., Stockburger, J., Codispoti, M., Junghöfer, M., Weike, A.I., Hamm, A.O., 2007. Selective visual attention to emotion. Journal of Neuroscience 27, 1082–1089.
- Seeley, W.W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A.F., Keller, J., Glover, G.H., Kenna, H., Reiss, A.L., Greicius, M.D., 2007. Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. Journal of Neuroscience 27, 2349–2356.
- Sekihara, K., Sahani, M., Nagarajan, S.S., 2005. Localization bias and spatial resolution of adaptive and non-adaptive spatial filters for MEG source reconstruction. Neuroimage 25, 1056–1067.
- Seo, H., Lee, D., 2007. Temporal filtering of reward signals in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex during a mixed-strategy game. J Neurosci 27, 8366–8377.
- Silva, L.R., Amitai, Y., Connors, B.W., 1991. Intrinsic oscillations of neocortex generated by layer 5 pyramidal neurons. Science 251, 432–5.
- Sohn, M.H., Albert, M.V., Jung, K.J., Carter, C.S., Anderson, J.R., 2007. Anticipation of conflict monitoring in the anterior cingulate cortex and the prefrontal cortex. P Natl Acad Sci USA 104, 10330–10334.

- Spruyt, A., De Houwer, J., Hermans, D., Eelen, P., 2007. Affective priming of nonaffective semantic categorization responses. Experimental Psychology 54, 44–53.
- Sterzer, P., Kleinschmidt, A., 2010. Anterior insula activations in perceptual paradigms: often observed but barely understood. Brain Structure & Function 214, 611–622.
- Summerfield, C., Egner, T., 2009. Expectation (and attention) in visual cognition. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 13, 403–409.
- Tibshirani, R., Walther, G., 2005. Cluster validation by prediction strength. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics 14, 511–528.
- Todd, R.M., Cunningham, W.A., Anderson, A.K., Thompson, E., 2012. Affect-biased attention as emotion regulation. Trends Cogn Sci 16, 365–72.
- Treisman, A.M., Kanwisher, N.G., 1998. Perceiving visually presented objects: recognition, awareness, and modularity. Curr Opin Neurobiol 8, 218–226.
- Ullsperger, M., Volz, K.G., Von Cramon, D.Y., 2004. A common neural system signaling the need for behavioral changes. Trends Cogn Sci 8, 445–446.
- Voss, J.L., Paller, K.A., 2006. Fluent conceptual processing and explicit memory for faces are electrophysiologically distinct. Journal of Neuroscience 26, 926–933.
- Voss, J.L., Paller, K.A., 2008. Brain substrates of implicit and explicit memory: the importance of concurrently acquired neural signals of both memory types. Neuropsychologia 46, 3021–9.
- Vuilleumier, P., 2005. How brains beware: neural mechanisms of emotional attention. Trends Cogn Sci 9, 585–94.
- Weymar, M., Löw, A., Hamm, A.O., 2011. Emotional memories are resilient to time: evidence from the parietal ERP old/new effect. Hum Brain Mapp 32, 632–640.

- Weymar, M., Low, A., Melzig, C.A., Hamm, A.O., 2009. Enhanced long-term recollection for emotional pictures: evidence from high-density ERPs. Psychophysiology 46, 1200–7.
- Weymar, M., Löw, A., Modess, C., Engel, G., Gründling, M., Petersmann, A., Siegmund, W., Hamm, A.O., 2010. Propranolol selectively blocks the enhanced parietal old/new effect during long-term recollection of unpleasant pictures: a high density ERP study. Neuroimage 49, 2800–2806.
- Wheeler, M.E., Petersen, S.E., Nelson, S.M., Ploran, E.J., Velanova, K., 2008. Dissociating Early and Late Error Signals in Perceptual Recognition. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 20, 2211–2225.
- Wilding, E.L., Doyle, M.C., Rugg, M.D., 1995. Recognition Memory with and without Retrieval of Context an Event-Related Potential Study. Neuropsychologia 33, 743–767.
- Wilding, E.L., Rugg, M.D., 1996. An event-related potential study of recognition memory with and without retrieval of source. Brain 119, 889–905.

715

Figure captions

716 Figure 1. (A) Procedure and task. Colorful neutral, unpleasant, and pleasant IAPS scenes (not 717 shown here for copyright reasons) were randomly presented to participants at the beginning of each trial. After a mask, a grayscale version of the same scene, a new one, or a scrambled picture 718 was progressively revealed using six sequential steps. Each step added new low and high spatial 719 frequency information to the previous one, in a parametric fashion. Participants were required to 720 721 stop the sequence -- by pressing a button on the response box (Response1) -- as soon as they could decide whether the content of the gradually unfolded scene was the one seen at the 722 beginning of the trial (i.e., colorful picture), a new one, or a scrambled picture. Participants 723 subsequently validated their choice and confirmed whether the scene was "old", "new", or 724 "scrambled" (Response2). (B) Behavioral results. Cumulative percentage of correct Responses1 725 as a function of the six image levels, separately for new (gray lines), old (black lines), neutral 726 727 (solid lines), and emotional (dashed lines) scenes. Vertical bars correspond to standard errors of the mean. Results show that participants were able to perform the memory task significantly 728 729 earlier for old relative to new scenes, suggesting that less perceptual evidence was needed for the 730 former compared to the latter. Neutral scenes were recognized reliably earlier compared to 731 emotional scenes. No significant interaction effect between memory and emotion was found (see 732 main text).

733

Figure 2. Grand-average LPP recorded from a posterior parietal cluster of electrodes (average of activity recorded from electrodes A8, A20, B5), separately for neutral (black line) and emotional (red line; pleasant and unpleasant merged) scenes. The black vertical dashed line indicates the onset of the colorful picture. The gray area indicates the time window during which the mean amplitude of the LPP was measured (500-1000 ms post-stimulus onset). LPP wassignificantly larger for emotional compared to neutral scenes.

740

Figure 3. Grand-average ERP (at electrode A19/Pz) showing the classical old/new effect (new scenes: black line; old scenes: gray line). A 0.5 Hz high-pass filter has been applied for visualization purposes. The black vertical dashed line indicates the onset of the picture that was subsequently recognized (time of recognition). The gray area indicates the time window during which the mean amplitude of this centro-parietal positive component was measured (500-750 ms post-stimulus onset). Amplitude values were more positive for correctly recognized old scenes compared to correctly recognized new scenes, in line with previous ERP studies.

748

749 Figure 4. Topographic mapping results. (A) Grand-average (N = 18) ERP waveforms, obtained for the level corresponding to actual recognition (new scenes in black, old scenes in 750 red), for all 128 electrodes concurrently (butterfly). The green dashed vertical line indicates the 751 onset of the visual stimulus. The gray area indicates the time window (400-522 ms) during which 752 753 a reliable topographical change occurred. (B) Results of the spatiotemporal cluster analysis (0-754 600 ms after stimulus onset) for the four main conditions (recognition, one image before, two images before, and three images before recognition). A main solution with eight dominant 755 topographic maps was found to explain > 90% of the variance (for illustrative purposes, only the 756 757 most salient maps are highlighted). Scalp topographies of the N1 (in black) and P2 (in gray) ERP components were shared across the four conditions, suggesting a similar low-level processing of 758 759 the visual stimulus. By contrast, in a time window spanning 402-522 ms following stimulus 760 onset, reliable topographic changes were observed between the four recognition levels. An

761 occipital positivity with a broad frontal negativity (in green) explained most of the variance up to one image before recognition. Conversely, an occipital positivity with a focal frontal positivity 762 (in red) was already evidenced one image before recognition, being then predominant at time of 763 recognition. (C) Statistical results, obtained after the fitting procedure, for the GEV of the 764 occipital positivity/frontal negativity scalp map identified in the 402-522 ms time window 765 following stimulus onset (separately for new vs. old scenes). Results showed a sharp increase in 766 GEV from three images to two images before recognition, followed by a progressive decrease 767 until recognition. Furthermore, a higher GEV for new relative to old scenes was evidenced two 768 769 images before recognition. (D) On the other hand, a linear increase in GEV as a function of recognition level was evident for the concurrent occipital positivity/frontal positivity topography. 770 A higher GEV was observed for new compared to old scenes one image before recognition, as 771 well as at recognition. * p < .05; ** p < .01; *** p < .001. Vertical bars correspond to standard 772 errors of the mean. 773

774

775 Figure 5. Source localization results. (Upper panel) For new scenes, comparing actual recognition to three images before recognition during the 402-522 ms post-stimulus onset 776 777 interval revealed a highly significant effect in the dACC (ROI #1: $\pm 10x$, $\pm 15y$, $\pm 35z$). The reverse contrast (three images before vs. recognition) revealed a highly significant effect in the 778 insula (ROI #2: $\pm 40x$, $\pm 15y$, 0z). (Lower panel) For old scenes, nonparametric analyses 779 780 contrasting actual recognition to three images before recognition revealed increased activity in the PHG (ROI #3: $\pm 29x$, -49y, -6z), as well as the FG (ROI #4: $\pm 41x$, -45y, -19z). L: left; R: 781 782 right; A: anterior; P: posterior.

783

784 Figure 6. (A) Estimated current density values for the dACC (new scenes) as a function of recognition level. A categorical increase in activity was observed between two images and one 785 image before recognition. (B) Activity in the insula for new scenes, separately for neutral and 786 787 emotional pictures, as a function of time of recognition. A stable pattern of activity was evidenced in the insula in response to neutral pictures, confirmed by a lack of statistically 788 significant differences among all four recognition levels, except between three image before and 789 790 recognition. By contrast, insula activity sharply increased between two images and one image before recognition for emotional scenes. (C) and (D) Estimated current density values for the 791 792 PHG (C) and the FG (D) (old scenes). A monotonic increase of activity in these regions as a 793 function of accumulation of perceptual evidence was observed. Moreover, higher activity for neutral (dark gray bars) vs. emotional (light gray bars) scenes was found three images before 794 recognition. * p < .05; ** p < .01; *** p < .001. Vertical bars correspond to standard errors of the 795 796 mean.

Figure 1A Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 1B Click here to download high resolution image

В







Figure 4A Click here to download high resolution image

A





Figure 4C Click here to download high resolution image















Figure 6C Click here to download high resolution image

С



Figure 6D Click here to download high resolution image

D

