Topological properties of regular generalized function algebras

H. Vernaeve*

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 46F30.

Abstract

We investigate density of various subalgebras of regular generalized functions in the special Colombeau algebra $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ of generalized functions.

1 Introduction

M. Oberguggenberger introduced the algebra $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ of regular generalized functions in order to develop a hypoelliptic regularity theory and hyperbolic propagation of singularities in the algebra $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ of Colombeau generalized functions [13], where it takes over the role of the subalgebra of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -regular functions in the space $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ of distributions. It thus became the starting point of investigations of microlocal regularity in generalized function algebras (see [5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 16] and the references therein). More recently, various other subalgebras of regular generalized functions have been considered, from the point of view of generalized analytic functions [1], kernel theorems [3], propagation of singularities [14] and microlocal analysis [4]. We show that, in contrast with the situation of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ (and therefore maybe surprisingly), the subalgebra $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and the subalgebras $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(\Omega)$ considered in [3, 4] are not dense in the algebra $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, the subalgebra of sublinear or S-analytic generalized functions is dense in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

2 Notations

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. By $K \subset \subset \Omega$, we denote a compact subset of Ω .

For $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $K \subset \Omega$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, let $p_{\alpha,K}(u) := \sup_{x \in K} |\partial^{\alpha} u(x)|$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_{k,K}(u) := \max_{|\alpha|=k} p_{\alpha,K}(u)$.

The special algebra of Colombeau generalized functions (see e.g. [8]) is $\mathcal{G}(\Omega) := \mathcal{E}_M(\Omega)/\mathcal{N}(\Omega)$, where

$$\mathcal{E}_{M}(\Omega) = \left\{ (u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{(0,1)} : (\forall K \subset \subset \Omega) (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}) (\exists N \in \mathbb{N}) \\ (p_{\alpha,K}(u_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon^{-N}, \text{ for small } \varepsilon) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{N}(\Omega) = \left\{ (u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{(0,1)} : (\forall K \subset \subset \Omega) (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}) (\forall m \in \mathbb{N}) \\ (p_{\alpha,K}(u_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon^{m}, \text{ for small } \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

^{*}Dept. Of Mathematics, Ghent University. E-mail: hvernaev@cage.ugent.be

By $[(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}]$, we denote the generalized function with representative $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{E}_M(\Omega)$.

The subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_c(\Omega)$ of compactly supported generalized functions consists of those $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ such that for some $K \subset \subset \Omega$, the restriction of u to $\Omega \setminus K$ equals 0 (as an element of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega \setminus K)$).

For $K \subset \Omega$, the algebra $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(K)$ consists of those $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ such that for one (and hence for each) representative $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$,

$$(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})(\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d)(p_{\alpha,K}(u_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon^{-N}, \text{ for small } \varepsilon).$$

For $(z_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C}^{(0,1)}$, the valuation $v(z_{\varepsilon}) := \sup\{b \in \mathbb{R} : |z_{\varepsilon}| \leq \varepsilon^{b}, \text{ for small } \varepsilon\}$ and the so-called sharp norm $|z_{\varepsilon}|_{e} := e^{-v(z_{\varepsilon})}$. For $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, $P_{\alpha,K}(u) := |p_{\alpha,K}(u_{\varepsilon})|_{e}$ $(\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d})$ and $P_{k,K}(u) := |p_{k,K}(u_{\varepsilon})|_{e}$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$, independent of the representative $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$ of u. The ultra-pseudo-seminorms $P_{\alpha,K}$ $(\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, K \subset \subset \Omega)$ determine a topology on $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ called sharp topology [2, 6, 16]. Then $u \in \mathcal{G}^{\infty}(K)$ iff $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P_{k,K}(u) < +\infty$. Further, the algebra $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega) := \bigcap_{K \subset \subset \Omega} \mathcal{G}^{\infty}(K)$ [13].

For $K \subset \Omega$, the algebra $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(K)$ of generalized functions of sublinear growth with slope smaller than a > 0 ($a \in \mathbb{R}$) on K consists of those $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ such that for one (and hence for each) representative $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$,

$$(\exists a' < a)(\exists b \in \mathbb{R})(\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d)(p_{\alpha,K}(u_{\varepsilon}) \le \varepsilon^{-a'|\alpha|-b}, \text{ for small } \varepsilon)$$

or, equivalently,

$$(\exists a' < a) (\exists c \in \mathbb{R}) (P_{\alpha,K}(u) \le c e^{a'|\alpha|}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d),$$

which can still be expressed concisely by $\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{\ln P_{k,K}(u)}{k} < a$. Since $P_{\alpha,K}(uv) \leq \max_{\beta \leq \alpha} (P_{\beta,K}(u)P_{\alpha-\beta,K}(v))$ by Leibniz's rule, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(K)$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. For a = 0, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(K) := \bigcap_{a>0} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(K)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(K) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(K)$.

Again, the algebras $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(\Omega) := \bigcap_{K \subset \subset \Omega} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(K)$ $(a \ge 0)$ [3, 4]. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(\Omega)$. By definition, $u = [(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ is sublinear [1, 15] iff for each $K \subset \subset \Omega$ and each $(x_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon} \in K^{(0,1)}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n + kn = \infty$ and for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $|\partial^{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon})| \le \varepsilon^{p_{|\alpha|}}$, for small ε . It can be shown [1, Thm. 5.7], [15, Thm. 10] that the algebra of sublinear generalized functions exactly contains those $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ satisfying a natural condition of analyticity (called S-real analyticity in [15]). Sublinearity can still be characterized as follows by means of the algebras $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(K)$:

Lemma 2.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. Then u is sublinear iff for each $K \subset \subset \Omega$, there exists a > 0 $(a \in \mathbb{R})$ such that $u \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(K)$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : let u be sublinear and suppose that there exists $K \subset \Omega$ such that $u \notin \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(K)$, for each a > 0. Then we find $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}$ (for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$), $\varepsilon_{n,m} \in (0, 1/m)$ (for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) (by enumerating the countable family $(\varepsilon_{n,m})_{n,m}$, we can successively choose the $\varepsilon_{n,m}$ such that they are all different) and $x_{\varepsilon_{n,m}} \in K$ such that $\left|\partial^{\alpha_n} u_{\varepsilon_{n,m}}(x_{\varepsilon_{n,m}})\right| > \varepsilon_{n,m}^{-n|\alpha_n|-n}$, for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_{\varepsilon} \in K$ arbitrary if $\varepsilon \in (0,1) \setminus \{\varepsilon_{n,m} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By assumption, there exist $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha| \geq N$, $|\partial^{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon})| \leq \varepsilon^{p_{|\alpha|}} \leq \varepsilon^{-k|\alpha|}$, for small ε . Since $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, it follows that there exists $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $|\partial^{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon})| \leq \varepsilon^{-k|\alpha|-b}$, for small ε . This contradicts the fact that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq k$ and $n \geq b$, $\lim_m \varepsilon_{n,m} = 0$ and $|\partial^{\alpha_n} u_{\varepsilon_{n,m}}(x_{\varepsilon_{n,m}})| > \varepsilon_{n,m}^{-n|\alpha_n|-n}$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$.

 $\Leftarrow: \text{ let } K \subset \subset \Omega \text{ and } (x_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon} \in K^{(0,1)}. \text{ By assumption, there exist } a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that for each } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, \ p_{\alpha,K}(u_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon^{-a|\alpha|-b}, \text{ for small } \varepsilon. \text{ Then, for } k := a+1 \text{ and } p_n := -an-b, \\ \lim_n p_n + kn = \infty \text{ and for each } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, \ |\partial^{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon})| \leq p_{\alpha,K}(u_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon^{p_{|\alpha|}}, \text{ for small } \varepsilon.$

3 $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(\Omega)$

Our method is based upon a quantitative version of an argument used in [8, Thm. 1.2.3] (cf. also [10, Prop. 1.6] and [17]), which can in fact be traced back to [11].

Proposition 3.1. Let $K \subset \subset \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for each $x \in K$, there exist d line segments of length r containing x in linearly independent directions that are contained in K. Let $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. If for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $P_{k,K}(u) > P_{k-1,K}(u)$, then $P_{k,K}^2(u) \leq P_{k-1,K}(u)P_{k+1,K}(u)$.

Proof. Let first k = 1. Let $x \in K$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be linearly independent unit vectors such that the line segments $[x, x + \frac{r}{2}e_j] \subseteq K$. Denote the directional derivative in the direction e_j by ∂_{e_j} . Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, a > 0. For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, by Taylor's formula there exist $\theta_{\varepsilon} \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\partial_{e_j} u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-a} u_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon^a e_j) - \varepsilon^{-a} u_{\varepsilon}(x) + \frac{\varepsilon^a}{2} \partial_{e_j}^2 u_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon^a \theta_{\varepsilon} e_j).$$

Hence for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ (where ε_0 does not depend on $x \in K$),

$$\left|\partial_{e_j} u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-a} \sup_{y \in K} \left|u_{\varepsilon}(y)\right| + \varepsilon^{a} \sup_{y \in K} \left|\partial_{e_j}^2 u_{\varepsilon}(y)\right| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-a} p_{0,K}(u_{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon^{a} p_{2,K}(u_{\varepsilon}).$$

Since e_1, \ldots, e_d are linearly independent, we can write $\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_d$ as a linear combination (with coefficients independent of ε and x) of $\partial_{e_1}, \ldots, \partial_{e_d}$. Thus there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p_{1,K}(u_{\varepsilon}) \leq C\varepsilon^{-a}p_{0,K}(u_{\varepsilon}) + C\varepsilon^{a}p_{2,K}(u_{\varepsilon})$, and $P_{1,K}(u) \leq \max(e^a P_{0,K}(u), e^{-a}P_{2,K}(u))$. Should $P_{2,K}(u) \leq P_{0,K}(u)$, then letting $a \to 0$ would yield $P_{1,K}(u) \leq P_{0,K}(u)$, contradicting the hypotheses. Hence $P_{2,K}(u) > P_{0,K}(u)$, and we can choose a > 0 such that $e^{2a} = P_{2,K}(u)/P_{0,K}(u)$ (since the case $P_{0,K}(u) = 0$ is trivial).

If $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ arbitrary, the same reasoning can be applied to all $\partial^{\alpha} u$ with $|\alpha| = k - 1$ instead of u.

Corollary 3.2. (cf. [8, Thm. 1.2.3]) Let $K \subset \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for each $x \in K$, there exist d line segments of length r containing x in linearly independent directions that are contained in K. Let $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. If for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_{k,K}(u) = 0$, then $P_{l,K}(u) = 0$, $\forall l \geq k$.

Proof. If $P_{k+1,K}(u) \neq 0$, then $P_{k+1,K}(u)^2 \leq P_{k,K}(u)P_{k+2,K}(u) = 0$ by proposition 3.1, a contradiction. The result follows inductively.

Proposition 3.3. Let $K \subset \Omega$ satisfy the hypothesis of proposition 3.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(K)$. Then $P_{k,K}(u)$ are decreasing in k, and

$$\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(K) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(K) = \{ u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega) : P_{k,K}(u) \le P_{0,K}(u), \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(K)$ is closed in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. If $P_{k,K}(u)$ are not decreasing in k, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $P_{k,K}(u) > P_{k-1,K}(u) > 0$ by corollary 3.2. Let $r := P_{k,K}(u)/P_{k-1,K}(u) > 0$ 1. By proposition 3.1, $P_{k+1,K}(u) \ge rP_{k,K}(u)$ (in particular, $P_{k+1,K}(u) > P_{k,K}(u)$). Inductively, $P_{k+n,K}(u) \ge r^n P_{k,K}(u)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n+k,K}(u)}{n+k} \ge r^n P_{k,K}(u)$ $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\ln(r^n P_{k,K}(u))}{n+k} = \ln r > 0, \text{ and } u \notin \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(K). \text{ In particular, } u \notin \mathcal{G}^{\infty}(K).$ The fact that $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(K)$ is closed follows by continuity of $P_{k,K}$.

Theorem 3.4. $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(\Omega)$ is closed in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. In particular, $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is not dense in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Proof. $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega) = \bigcap_{K} \mathcal{G}^{\infty}(K)$, where K runs over all compact subsets of Ω that are a finite union of d-dimensional cubes parallel with the coordinate axes (hence satisfying the hypothesis of proposition 3.1), and similarly for $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(\Omega)$. The conclusions follow from proposition 3.3.

$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(\Omega), a > 0$ 4

Proposition 4.1. Let $K \subset \Omega$ satisfy the hypothesis of proposition 3.1. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \geq 1$. Then

$$\{u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega) : (\exists c \in \mathbb{R}) (P_{k,K}(u) \le ca^k, \forall k \in \mathbb{N})\} = \{u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega) : P_{k+1,K}(u) \le aP_{k,K}(u), \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

In particular, this describes a closed subset of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. If $P_{k+1,K}(u) > aP_{k,K}(u)$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $P_{k,K}(u) > 0$ by corollary 3.2. Let $r := P_{k+1,K}(u)/P_{k,K}(u) > a$. By proposition 3.1, $P_{k+n,K}(u) \ge r^n P_{k,K}(u)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_{n,K}(u)/a^n \ge \limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{r^{n-k}P_{k,K}(u)}{a^n} =$ $+\infty$.

The other inclusion is clear.

Theorem 4.2. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, a > 0. Then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(\Omega)$ is not dense in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Proof. $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_a}(\Omega) \subseteq \bigcap_K \{ u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega) : (\exists c \in \mathbb{R}) (P_{k,K}(u) \leq ce^{ak}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}) \} =: \mathcal{A}, \text{ where }$ K runs over all compact subsets of Ω that are a finite union of d-dimensional cubes parallel with the coordinate axes. The set \mathcal{A} is closed by proposition 4.1 and is a strict subset of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Sublinear generalized functions 5

In order to investigate the density of the algebra of sublinear generalized functions, we start with the following proposition (see also [16, Prop. 4.3.1]):

Proposition 5.1. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\psi(x) = 0$ if $|x| \ge 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi = 1$. Denote by $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) := \varepsilon^{-d} \psi(x/\varepsilon)$, for each $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. If $u = [(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} [(u_{\varepsilon} \star u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}]$ $\psi_{\varepsilon^n})_{\varepsilon}] = u.$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K \subset \subset \Omega$. Then $u_{\varepsilon} \star \psi_{\varepsilon^n}(x) = \int_{|t| \leq \varepsilon^n} u_{\varepsilon}(x-t)\psi_{\varepsilon^n}(t) dt$ is well-defined as soon as $d(x, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega) > \varepsilon^n$. For small ε , $d(K, \mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega) > \varepsilon^n$ and thus $(u_{\varepsilon} \star \psi_{\varepsilon^n})_{|K}$ can be extended to a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ -function. Independent of the extension, by the mean value theorem,

$$p_{k,K}(u_{\varepsilon} \star \psi_{\varepsilon^{n}} - u_{\varepsilon}) = \sup_{x \in K, |\alpha| = k} \left| (\partial^{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon}) \star \psi_{\varepsilon^{n}}(x) - \partial^{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon}(x) \right|$$
$$= \sup_{x \in K, |\alpha| = k} \left| \int_{|t| \le \varepsilon^{n}} (\partial^{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon}(x - t) - \partial^{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon}(x)) \psi_{\varepsilon^{n}}(t) dt \right| \le \varepsilon^{n} p_{k+1,K+r}(u_{\varepsilon}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\psi|$$

 $\text{for small } \varepsilon, \text{ where } r > 0 \ (r \in \mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } K + r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : d(x, K) \leq r\} \subset \subset \Omega. \quad \Box$

Proposition 5.2. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all $u = [(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{G}_{c}(\Omega)$ for which

$$(\exists N \in \mathbb{N}) (\forall K \subset \subset \Omega) (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) (p_{k,K}(u_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon^{-Nk-N}, \text{ for small } \varepsilon).$$

Then \mathcal{A} is dense in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{G}_c(\Omega)$. Then there exists a representative $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$ of u and $L \subset \subset \Omega$ such that supp $u_{\varepsilon} \subseteq L$, for each ε . For each $K \subset \subset \Omega$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$p_{k,K}(u_{\varepsilon} \star \psi_{\varepsilon^n}) = \sup_{x \in K, |\alpha| = k} |u_{\varepsilon} \star \partial^{\alpha}(\psi_{\varepsilon^n})| \\ \leq \varepsilon^{-nk} \sup_{x \in L} |u_{\varepsilon}(x)| \max_{|\alpha| = k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^{\alpha}\psi| \leq \varepsilon^{-nk-1} \sup_{x \in L} |u_{\varepsilon}(x)|,$$

for small ε . Thus $[(u_{\varepsilon} \star \psi_{\varepsilon^n})_{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{A}$. By proposition 5.1, \mathcal{A} is dense in $\mathcal{G}_c(\Omega)$. Further, $\mathcal{G}_c(\Omega)$ is dense in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ (for $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, $u = \lim_{n \to \infty} u\chi_n$, where $\chi_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ with $\chi_n(x) = 1, \forall x \in K_n$, where $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a compact exhaustion of Ω).

Theorem 5.3. The subalgebra of sublinear generalized functions is dense in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Proof. With the notations of proposition 5.2, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega) : u \text{ is sublinear}\}$.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to D. Scarpalézos for very useful discussions.

References

- J. Aragona, R. Fernandez, S. O. Juriaans, A discontinuous Colombeau differential calculus, Monatsh. Math. 144, 1329 (2005).
- [2] H. Biagioni, A Nonlinear Theory of Generalized Functions, Lec. Notes Math. 1421, Springer, 1990.
- [3] A. Delcroix, Generalized integral operators and Schwartz kernel type theorems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 306(2), 481–501 (2005).
- [4] A. Delcroix, Regular rapidly decreasing nonlinear generalized functions. Application to microlocal regularity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 327(1), 564–584 (2007).

- [5] N. Dapić, S. Pilipović and D. Scarpalézos, Microlocal analysis of Colombeau's generalized functions: propagation of singularities, J. Analyse Math. 75, 51–66 (1998).
- [6] C. Garetto, Topological structures in Colombeau algebras: topological C-modules and duality theory, Acta Appl. Math. 88(1), 81–123 (2005).
- [7] C. Garetto, G. Hörmann, Microlocal analysis of generalized functions: pseudodifferential techniques and propagation of singularities, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. 48, 603–629 (2005).
- [8] M. Grosser, M. Kunzinger, M. Oberguggenberger, R. Steinbauer, *Geometric the*ory of generalized functions with applications to general relativity, Kluwer, 2001.
- [9] G. Hörmann, M. Kunzinger, Microlocal properties of basic operations in Colombeau algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 261, 254–270 (2001).
- [10] G. Hörmann, M. Oberguggenberger, S. Pilipović, Microlocal hypoellipticity of linear partial differential operators with generalized functions as coefficients, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358, 3363–3383 (2006).
- [11] E. Landau, Einige Ungleichungen f
 ür zweimal differentiierbare Funktionen, Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2, 13, 43–49 (1913–1914).
- [12] M. Nedeljkov, S. Pilipović, D. Scarpalézos, The linear theory of Colombeau generalized functions, Pitman Res. Not. Math. 385, Longman Sci. Techn., 1998.
- [13] M. Oberguggenberger, Multiplication of distributions and applications to partial differential equations, Pitman Res. Not. Math. 259, Longman Sci. Techn., 1992.
- [14] M. Oberguggenberger, Regularity theory in Colombeau algebras, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts (Cl. Sci. Math. Natur.) T. CXXXIII 31, 147–162 (2006).
- [15] S. Pilipović, D. Scarpalézos, V. Valmorin, Real analytic generalized functions, Monatsh. Math. 156(1), 85–102 (2009).
- [16] D. Scarpalézos, Topologies dans les espaces de nouvelles fonctions généralisées de Colombeau. C-modules topologiques, Université Paris 7, 1992.
- [17] H. Vernaeve, Pointwise characterizations in generalized function algebras, Monatsh. Math. 158(2), 195–213 (2009).