

A study of $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihypers

I. Landjev and L. Storme

June 19, 2009

Abstract. In this paper, we study the weighted $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihypers. These are weighted sets of $x(q+1)$ points in $\text{PG}(2, q)$ intersecting every line in at least x points. We investigate the decomposability of these minihypers, and define a switching construction which associates to an $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihyper, with $x \leq q^2 - q$, not decomposable in the sum of another minihyper and a line, a $(j(q+1), j; 2, q)$ -minihyper, where $j = q^2 - q - x$, again not decomposable into the sum of another minihyper and a line. We also characterize particular $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihypers, and give new examples. Additionally, we show that $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihypers can be described as rational sums of lines. In this way, this work continues the research on $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihypers by Hill and Ward [9], giving further results on these minihypers.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of points of the projective geometry $\text{PG}(t, q)$. A *multiset* in $\text{PG}(t, q)$ is a mapping $\mathfrak{K}: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. This mapping is extended in a natural way to the subsets of \mathcal{P} : for any subset \mathcal{Q} of \mathcal{P} , we set $\mathfrak{K}(\mathcal{Q}) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathfrak{K}(P)$. The integer $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is called the *multiplicity* of the point P and $n = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{K}(P)$ is called the *cardinality* of \mathfrak{K} . The *support* $\text{supp } \mathfrak{K}$ of a multiset \mathfrak{K} is the set of all points of positive multiplicity. A multiset \mathfrak{K} is said to be *projective* if $\mathfrak{K}(P) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all points P . Projective multisets can be considered as sets of points by identifying them with their supports.

Conversely, given a finite set \mathcal{Q} of points in $\text{PG}(t, q)$, we define the characteristic multiset $\chi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ by:

$$\chi_{\mathcal{Q}}(P) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P \in \mathcal{Q}, \\ 0 & \text{if } P \notin \mathcal{Q}. \end{cases}$$

A multiset in $\text{PG}(t, q)$ is called an $(n, w; t, q)$ -*multiarc* if

- (a) $\mathfrak{K}(\mathcal{P}) = n$;
- (b) $\mathfrak{K}(H) \leq w$ for any hyperplane H ;

(c) there exists a hyperplane H_0 with $\mathfrak{K}(H_0) = w$.

A multiset \mathfrak{F} in $\text{PG}(t, q)$ is called an $(f, m; t, q)$ -*blocking multiset* or $(f, m; t, q)$ -*minihyper*, if

- (a) $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{P}) = f$;
- (b) $\mathfrak{F}(H) \geq m > 0$ for any hyperplane H ;
- (c) there exists a hyperplane H_0 with $\mathfrak{F}(H_0) = m$.

To avoid trivialities, we impose $m > 0$ in the definition of an $(f, m; 2, q)$ -minihyper.

We can speak of (n, w) -*multiarcs* or (f, m) -*minihypers* if the geometry we consider is clear from the context. The characteristic multiset of a subspace of dimension u in $\text{PG}(t, q)$ is a minihyper with parameters (v_{u+1}, v_u) , where $v_u = \frac{q^u - 1}{q - 1}$.

An (f, m) -minihyper \mathfrak{F} is called *minimal* if there exists no $(f - 1, m)$ -minihyper \mathfrak{F}' with $\mathfrak{F}'(P) \leq \mathfrak{F}(P)$ for all points P .

The *sum* $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_1 + \mathfrak{F}_2$ of two minihypers \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 , where \mathfrak{F}_i has parameters $(f_i, m_i; t, q)$, $i = 1, 2$, is the $(f, m; t, q)$ -minihyper, with $f = f_1 + f_2$, $m = m_1 + m_2$, and with the multiplicity of a point P in \mathfrak{F} equal to the sum of its multiplicities in \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 . As a particular example of a minihyper which is the sum of minihypers, we note that the sum of any x (not necessarily distinct) lines is a $(x(q + 1), x; 2, q)$ -minihyper.

An $(f, m; t, q)$ -minihyper \mathfrak{F} is called *indecomposable* or *irreducible* [7, Definition 2.5] if it cannot be represented as a sum $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_1 + \mathfrak{F}_2$ of two other minihypers \mathfrak{F}_i , $i = 1, 2$, where \mathfrak{F}_i has parameters $(f_i, m_i; t, q)$, $i = 1, 2$. Note again that this implies that $m_1, m_2 > 0$.

Within this introduction, we also define two important substructures of a projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q)$.

A *hyperoval* K in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even, is a set of $q + 2$ points, no three collinear. The classical example of a hyperoval in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even, is the union of a conic and its nucleus; such a hyperoval is called a *regular* hyperoval. For q even, $q \geq 16$, in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, there exist *irregular* hyperovals, i.e., hyperovals which are not the union of a conic and its nucleus. We refer to [11] for the list of the known infinite classes of hyperovals in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even.

A *maximal arc* K of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ is a set of points intersecting every line in zero or n points. For $1 < n < q$, this necessarily implies that n is a divisor of q . Ball, Blokhuis, and Mazzocca proved that such maximal arcs cannot exist for q odd [1, 2]. For q even, Denniston proved the existence of a maximal arc in $\text{PG}(2, 2^h)$ intersecting every line in 2^i points, for every i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq h - 1$ [4].

We also refer to the standard reference of Hirschfeld [10] for more information on hyperovals and maximal arcs in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even.

Consider a multiset \mathfrak{K} of $\text{PG}(2, q)$; then to \mathfrak{K} corresponds the *spectrum* $(a_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of \mathfrak{K} . The spectrum $(a_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of \mathfrak{K} is the sequence of numbers a_i , $i \geq 0$, with a_i the number of lines of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ intersecting \mathfrak{K} in i points.

2 Minihypers with parameters $(x(q+1), x)$

In [9], Hill and Ward consider minihypers with parameters $(x(q+1), x)$, $x < q$, in $\text{PG}(2, q)$. They try to characterize all indecomposable minihypers with the above parameters. Hill and Ward restrict the values of x to $x < q$ so that the associated codes are Griesmer codes. In [3], this problem is studied for $x = q$ in the terms of multiarcs. The following result describes divisibility properties of $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihypers and is a straightforward generalization of Theorem 5.1 in [3].

Theorem 1. ([9, Theorem 18]) *Let \mathfrak{F} be an $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihyper in $\Pi = \text{PG}(2, q)$, $q = p^m$, p prime, $m \geq 1$, with $x < q$, where p^f divides x . Then for each line L in Π , $\mathfrak{F}(L) \equiv x \pmod{p^{f+1}}$.*

This theorem implies two useful corollaries.

Corollary 2. ([9, Theorem 20]) *Every $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihyper in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, $q = p^m$, p prime, $m \geq 1$, with $x \leq q - \frac{q}{p}$, is a sum of x lines. In particular, if \mathfrak{F} is an indecomposable $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihyper, then $x > q - \frac{q}{p}$ or \mathfrak{F} is a line.*

We wish to stress that the preceding corollary implies that the only indecomposable $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihypers in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q prime, with $x < q$, are the $(q+1, 1; 2, q)$ -minihypers, so are the lines of $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q prime.

Corollary 3. ([9, Theorem 23]) *Let \mathfrak{K} be an indecomposable $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihyper in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, $q = p^m$, p prime, $m \geq 1$, for which $x \leq y < q$ and p^f divides y .*

- (i) *For each line L , $\mathfrak{K}(L) \leq x + q - p^{f+1}$.*
- (ii) *For each point P , $\mathfrak{K}(P) \leq x - p^{f+1}$.*
- (iii) *If $q - p + 1 \leq x \leq q - 1$ and $\mathfrak{K}(P) > x - 2p$, then $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is divisible by $\frac{q}{p} - 1$.*

A challenging problem is that of the classification of all indecomposable $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihypers, $x < q$, in projective planes over arbitrary finite fields. This problem has been solved for $\text{PG}(2, 8)$ and $\text{PG}(2, 9)$ by Hill and Ward in [9]. In the same paper, they describe five families of indecomposable $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihypers in the planes of square order.

3 Ball's construction

A nice class of indecomposable $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihypers was found by Ball in an unpublished note. The class of Ball's minihypers is explained below.

Suppose that $q = 2^m$ and consider two hyperovals \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 in $\text{PG}(2, q)$ that meet in $q + 2 - x$ points. Let $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_1 + \mathfrak{F}_2 \pmod{2}$, i.e. $\text{supp } \mathfrak{F}$ is the symmetric difference of the supports of the two hyperovals \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 . Clearly, $|\mathfrak{F}| = 2x$ and $\mathfrak{F}(L) = 0, 2$, or 4 for every line L . If we dualize and regard 4-lines as 2-points, 2-lines as 1-points, and 0-lines as 0-points, we get an $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihyper with spectrum

$$a_x = q^2 + q + 1 - 2x, \quad a_{x+\frac{q}{2}} = 2x, \quad a_i = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq x, x + \frac{q}{2}.$$

This construction works in a more general setting. Assume that we are given a multiset \mathfrak{K} with $|\mathfrak{K}| = sx$ such that $\mathfrak{K}(L) = is$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, for every line L , i.e. the multiplicity of every line is a multiple of s . We define a multiset \mathfrak{F} in the dual plane in which lines of multiplicity is become points of multiplicity i . Then \mathfrak{F} is an $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihyper. We prove this as follows.

Let $(a_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be the spectrum of \mathfrak{K} . By counting the flags (P, L) , $P \in L$, we obtain

$$sa_s + 2sa_{2s} + \cdots = sx(q+1).$$

This implies that $\sum ia_{is} = x(q+1)$, which means that \mathfrak{F} has the desired cardinality. Let P be an arbitrary point. It has to be checked that $\sum_i ib_{is}(P) \geq x$, where $b_j = b_j(P)$ denotes the number of lines through P that have multiplicity j . Assume that $\mathfrak{K}(P) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} b_s + b_{2s} + \cdots &= q + 1, \\ (s - \varepsilon)b_s + (2s - \varepsilon)b_{2s} + \cdots &= |\mathfrak{K}| - \varepsilon = sx - \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$b_s + 2b_{2s} + \cdots = x + \varepsilon \frac{q}{s}.$$

This means that each line in the dual plane has multiplicity at least x since $\varepsilon q/s \geq 0$.

Example 1. In $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even, let \mathfrak{M} be a maximal arc of degree 2^i and let L be an external line to \mathfrak{M} . Define $\mathfrak{K} = \chi_{\mathcal{P} \setminus L} - \mathfrak{M}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{K}(M) = 0$, $q - 2^i$, or q for every line M of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ and

$$|\mathfrak{K}| = q^2 - q(2^i - 1) - 2^i = 2^i \left(\frac{q^2}{2^i} - q + \frac{q}{2^i} - 1 \right).$$

If we set $s = 2^i$, $x = \frac{q^2}{2^i} - q + \frac{q}{2^i} - 1$, using Ball's construction, we get a minihyper with parameters

$$\left(\left(\frac{q^2}{2^i} - q + \frac{q}{2^i} - 1 \right) (q + 1), \frac{q^2}{2^i} - q + \frac{q}{2^i} - 1; 2, q \right).$$

Remark 4. In the general case, we do not know whether the minihypers constructed in Example 1 are indecomposable. This can however be proven in some special cases. For instance, if we start with a maximal arc of degree $q/2$, $q \geq 4$, we arrive at a minihyper with

$$x = \frac{q^2}{q/2} - q + \frac{q}{q/2} - 1 = q + 1.$$

Note that in this case, the minihyper has $q + 1$ 2-points, one 0-point, and $q^2 - 1$ 1-points. The 0-point corresponds to the fixed external line L to the maximal arc \mathfrak{M} , and the $q + 1$ 2-points correspond to the other $q + 1$ external lines to the maximal arc \mathfrak{M} . These $q + 2$ external lines to the original maximal arc \mathfrak{M} form a dual hyperoval, and so consequently, the 0-point and the $q + 1$ 2-points form a hyperoval in the plane where the $((q + 1)(q + 1), q + 1; 2, q)$ -minihyper \mathfrak{F} is embedded.

Assume that $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_1 + \mathfrak{F}_2$, with \mathfrak{F}_1 an $(f_1, x_1; 2, q)$ -minihyper and \mathfrak{F}_2 an $(f_2, x_2; 2, q)$ -minihyper. Since there exists a 0-point to \mathfrak{F} , then \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 also have a 0-point. Considering all lines of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ through this 0-point, implies that $f_1 \geq x_1(q + 1)$ and $f_2 \geq x_2(q + 1)$, with $q + 1 = x_1 + x_2$. Since $(q + 1)(q + 1) = f_1 + f_2 \geq (q + 1)(q + 1)$, necessarily $f_1 = x_1(q + 1)$ and $f_2 = x_2(q + 1)$. So \mathfrak{F}_1 is an $(x_1(q + 1), x_1; 2, q)$ -minihyper and \mathfrak{F}_2 an $(x_2(q + 1), x_2; 2, q)$ -minihyper.

Moreover, since $x_1 + x_2 = q + 1$, necessarily $x_1 \leq q/2$ or $x_2 \leq q/2$. Assume that $x_1 \leq q/2$. Then Corollary 2 implies that \mathfrak{F}_1 is the sum of x_1 lines. Let L_1 be one of these lines. Then reducing the weight of every point of \mathfrak{F}_1 on L_1 by one, a new $((x_1 - 1)(q + 1), x_1 - 1; 2, q)$ -minihyper \mathfrak{F}'_1 is obtained. But then $\mathfrak{F}'_1 + \mathfrak{F}_2$ is a $(q(q + 1), q; 2, q)$ -minihyper. This is only possible if originally for L_1 , $\mathfrak{F}(L_1) \geq q + 1 + q = 2q + 1$. But L_1 can contain at most two points of weight two, so $\mathfrak{F}(L_1) \leq q + 1 + 2$. This contradicts $q \geq 4$. So \mathfrak{F} is indecomposable.

Example 2. Take a $(q+t, t)$ -arc \mathcal{K}' of type $(0, 2, t = 2^i)$. These $(q+t, t)$ -arcs of type $(0, 2, t = 2^i)$ have been studied in detail by Korchmáros and Mazzocca [12], and by Gács and Weiner [6]. For instance, a particular property of a $(q+t, t)$ -arc \mathcal{K}' of type $(0, 2, t = 2^i)$ is that all the t -secants pass through a common point.

Let L be an external line to \mathcal{K}' . Set $\mathcal{K} = \chi_{\mathcal{P} \setminus L} - \mathcal{K}'$. Then

$$|\mathcal{K}| = q^2 - q - 2^i = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{q^2}{2} - \frac{q}{2} - 2^{i-1} \right).$$

We have one 0-line and secants of multiplicity $q, q-2$, and $q-2^i$. By the Ball construction, we get a minihyper with $x = \frac{q^2}{2} - \frac{q}{2} - 2^{i-1}$.

Example 3. The complement of a unital. Consider $\text{PG}(2, q)$, where q is a square. Let \mathcal{U} be a unital and set $\mathcal{K} = \chi_{\mathcal{P}} - \mathcal{U}$. Here

$$|\mathcal{K}| = \sqrt{q}(q\sqrt{q} - q + \sqrt{q})$$

with line multiplicities $q - \sqrt{q}$ and q . By Ball's construction, we get a minihyper with parameters

$$((q\sqrt{q} - q + \sqrt{q})(q+1), q\sqrt{q} - q + \sqrt{q}; 2, q).$$

In order to describe the fourth example, we need to define a linear blocking set in $\text{PG}(2, q)$. We first of all introduce the notion of a Desarguesian spread.

By what is sometimes called *field reduction*, the points of $\text{PG}(2, q)$, $q = p^h$, p prime, $h \geq 1$, correspond to $(h-1)$ -dimensional subspaces of $\text{PG}(3h-1, p)$, since a point of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ is a 1-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q , and so an h -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_p . In this way, we obtain a partition \mathcal{D} of the point set of $\text{PG}(3h-1, p)$ by $(h-1)$ -dimensional subspaces. In general, a partition of the point set of a projective space by subspaces of a given dimension k is called a *spread*, or a *k-spread* if we want to specify the dimension. The spread we have obtained here is called a *Desarguesian spread*. Note that the Desarguesian spread satisfies the property that each subspace spanned by two spread elements is again partitioned by spread elements.

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{D} be the Desarguesian $(h-1)$ -spread of $\text{PG}(3h-1, p)$, corresponding to the points of $\text{PG}(2, p^h)$ and let U be a subset of $\text{PG}(3h-1, p)$, then $\mathcal{B}(U) = \{R \in \mathcal{D} \mid U \cap R \neq \emptyset\}$. We identify the spread elements of $\mathcal{B}(U)$ with the corresponding points of $\text{PG}(2, p^h)$.

Definition 2. We denote the $(h-1)$ -dimensional spread element of $\text{PG}(3h-1, p)$ corresponding to a point P of $\text{PG}(2, p^h)$ by $\mathcal{S}(P)$. If U is a subspace of $\text{PG}(2, p^h)$, then $\mathcal{S}(U) := \{\mathcal{S}(P) \mid P \in U\}$.

Analogously to the correspondence between the points of $\text{PG}(2, q)$, $q = p^h$, and the elements of a Desarguesian spread \mathcal{D} in $\text{PG}(3h-1, p)$, we obtain the correspondence between the lines of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ and the $(2h-1)$ -dimensional subspaces of $\text{PG}(3h-1, p)$ spanned by two elements of \mathcal{D} . With this in mind, it is clear that any subspace U of dimension at least h of $\text{PG}(3h-1, p)$ defines a blocking set $\mathcal{B}(U)$ in $\text{PG}(2, q)$. A blocking set constructed in this way is called a *linear blocking set*. Linear blocking sets were first introduced by Lunardon [14], although there a different approach is used. For more on the approach explained here, we refer to [13].

Example 4. The complement of a linear blocking set in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, $q = p^h$, p prime, $h \geq 1$.

Such a linear blocking set $\mathcal{B}(U)$ in $\text{PG}(2, q)$ intersects every line in $1 \pmod{p}$ points. Suppose that e is the maximal integer such that every line of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ intersects $\mathcal{B}(U)$ in $1 \pmod{p^e}$ points. Then the complement of $\mathcal{B}(U)$ intersects every line in $0 \pmod{p^e}$ points. If $|\mathcal{B}(U)| = q + k + 1$, then the complement has size $q^2 - k = p^e(q^2/p^e - k/p^e)$, so defines a $\{(q^2/p^e - k/p^e)(q + 1), q^2/p^e - k/p^e; 2, q\}$ -minihyper.

4 Rational sums of lines

It has been noted that an $(x(q + 1), x)$ -minihyper is not necessarily a sum of lines. However this is always the case if we assume rational multiplicities for the points.

Theorem 5. *Let \mathfrak{F} be an $(x(q + 1), x)$ -minihyper in $\text{PG}(2, q)$. Then there exist lines L_1, \dots, L_s and positive rational numbers c_1, \dots, c_s , such that*

$$\mathfrak{F} = c_1\chi_{L_1} + \dots + c_s\chi_{L_s},$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^s c_i = x$.

Proof. Assume that there exists a line L with $\mathfrak{F}(L) \geq x + q$. Then $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F} - \chi_L$ is an $((x - 1)(q + 1), x - 1)$ -minihyper and we get the result by induction on x . Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that all lines have multiplicity at most $x + q - 1$.

Let P be a point of multiplicity ε . Denote by a_i the number of lines through P that have multiplicity i . We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_{x+i} = q + 1,$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (x + i - \varepsilon) a_{x+i} = x(q + 1) - \varepsilon,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \frac{i}{q} a_{x+i} = \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{F} = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{L: \mathfrak{F}(L)=x+i} \frac{i}{q} \chi_L,$$

which had to be proven. \square

Example 5. Consider $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even. Then this projective plane contains hyperovals.

Consider a dual hyperoval $\{L_1, \dots, L_{q+2}\}$ in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even. Then the rational sum

$$\frac{1}{2}L_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{2}L_{q+2}$$

is a $((\frac{q}{2} + 1)(q + 1), \frac{q}{2} + 1; 2, q)$ -minihyper in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even.

We know from Theorem 1 that if \mathfrak{F} is an $(x(q + 1), x)$ -minihyper in $\Pi = \text{PG}(2, q)$, $q = p^m$, p prime, $m \geq 1$, with $x < q$ where p^f divides x , then for each line L in Π , $\mathfrak{F}(L) \equiv x \pmod{p^{f+1}}$.

This allows us to describe more in detail the rational coefficients of the rational sum.

Corollary 6. *Let \mathfrak{F} be an indecomposable $(x(q + 1), x)$ -minihyper in $\Pi = \text{PG}(2, q)$, $q = p^m$, p prime, $m \geq 1$, with $x < q$ where p^f is the maximal power of p that divides x , then*

$$\mathfrak{F} = \sum_{L^{(1)}} \frac{1}{p^{m-f-1}} \chi_{L^{(1)}} + \sum_{L^{(2)}} \frac{2}{p^{m-f-1}} \chi_{L^{(2)}} + \dots +$$

$$\sum_{L^{(p^{m-f-1}-1)}} \frac{p^{m-f-1} - 1}{p^{m-f-1}} \chi_{L^{(p^{m-f-1}-1)}},$$

with $L^{(1)}$ the lines intersecting \mathfrak{F} in $x + p^{f+1}$ points, with $L^{(2)}$ the lines intersecting \mathfrak{F} in $x + 2p^{f+1}$ points, \dots , and with $L^{(p^{m-f-1}-1)}$ the lines intersecting \mathfrak{F} in $x + (p^{m-f-1} - 1)p^{f+1}$ points.

5 A construction for $x = \frac{3q}{4}$

If \mathfrak{K} is a $(q^2 + q + 2, q + 2)$ -arc in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, then $\mathfrak{K}(P) \leq 2$ for all points P [3]. Thus the correspondence $\mathfrak{K} \leftrightarrow 2\chi_{\mathcal{P}} - \mathfrak{K}$ establishes a one-to-one correspondence between such arcs and $(q(q + 1), q; 2, q)$ -minihypers \mathfrak{F} for which $\mathfrak{F}(P) \leq 2$ for all points P . Thus the examples of [2, Section 2] provide $(q(q + 1), q; 2, q)$ -minihypers having this added restriction. In particular, the 3-line construction from [3, Theorem 2.3] leads to the following results.

Theorem 7. *Let G be a subgroup of the additive group $(\mathbb{F}_q, +)$. Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , and \mathcal{C} be cosets of G in $(\mathbb{F}_q, +)$ with $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C} \neq G$. Set*

$$\mathcal{A}' = -\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}, \quad \mathcal{B}' = -\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{C}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}' = -\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}.$$

Define in the following way a multiset \mathfrak{K} in $\text{PG}(2, q)$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{2-points} & (a, 0, -1) & \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A}', \\ & (b, -1, 0) & \text{for } b \in \mathcal{B}', \\ & (c, 1, 1) & \text{for } c \in \mathcal{C}', \\ \text{0-points} & (a, 0, -1) & \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A}, \\ & (b, -1, 0) & \text{for } b \in \mathcal{B}, \\ & (c, 1, 1) & \text{for } c \in \mathcal{C}, \\ & (1, 0, 0) & \end{array}$$

1-points the remaining points.

Then the multiset \mathfrak{K} is a $(q^2 + q, q)$ -minihyper. Conversely, every $(q^2 + q, q)$ -minihyper for which the 2-points lie on three lines meeting in a 0-point is isomorphic to \mathfrak{K} .

Now consider the special case $q = 2^r$, $r \geq 2$. Let G be a subgroup of $(\mathbb{F}_q, +)$ of order 2^{r-1} . If \mathfrak{K} is the minihyper defined in Theorem 7, the lines in $\text{PG}(2, q)$ have the types described in Table 1 below.

	multiplicity	# of such lines	# of 2-pts	# of 1-pts	# of 0-pts
(A)	q	3	$q/2$	0	$q/2 + 1$
(B)	q	$3q^2/4$	1	$q - 2$	2
(C)	q	$q - 2$	0	q	1
(D)	$q + 4$	$q^2/4$	3	$q - 2$	0

Table 1

The points of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ can be divided into four classes with respect to the types of the lines they are incident with. The possible types of the points are described in Table 2.

	multiplicity	# of such points	# lines of type			
			(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
(α)	2	$3q/2$	1	$q/2$	0	$q/2$
(β)	1	$q^2 - 2q$	0	$3q/4$	1	$q/4$
(γ)	0	$3q/2$	1	q	0	0
(δ)	0	1	3	0	$q - 2$	0

Table 2

Now we define a new multiset \mathfrak{F} in the dual plane of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ by

$$\mathfrak{F}(l) = \begin{cases} q/4 & \text{if } l \text{ is of type (A);} \\ 1 & \text{if } l \text{ is of type (B);} \\ 0 & \text{if } l \text{ is of type (C) or (D).} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Theorem 8. *The multiset \mathfrak{F} is a 2-weight minihyper with parameters $(\frac{3q}{4}(q+1), \frac{3q}{4})$ and spectrum*

$$a_{3q/4} = q^2 - \frac{q}{2} + 1, \quad a_{5q/4} = \frac{3q}{2}, \quad a_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq \frac{3q}{4}, \frac{5q}{4}.$$

Proof. The proof is immediate from Table 2. Points of type (α) , (β) , and (δ) become lines of multiplicity $3q/4$, while lines of type (γ) become lines of multiplicity $5q/4$. Table 2 implies also the values of $a_{3q/4}$ and $a_{5q/4}$. \square

For $r = 2$, this construction gives a projective $(15, 3)$ -minihyper with 3- and 5-lines which is the complement of the hyperoval in $\text{PG}(2, 4)$. For $r = 3$, the theorem gives a $(54, 6)$ -minihyper with 6- and 10-lines which is an ‘‘orphan’’ minihyper obtained in [9, Theorem 31]. For $r = 4$, the construction gives a $(204, 12)$ -minihyper with lines of multiplicity 12 and 20. For $r \geq 4$, these minihypers do not come from Ball’s symmetric difference construction since they have points of multiplicity $2^{r-2} > 2$, while in Ball’s construction all points have multiplicity 0, 1, and 2.

This construction can be reversed: from a minihyper with three collinear points of multiplicity $q/4$ (the remaining points on the line being 0-points), $3q^2/4$ points of multiplicity 1 and $q^2/4 + q - 2$ points of multiplicity 0, one can obtain back the $(q(q+1), q)$ -minihyper and the $(q^2 + q + 2, q + 2)$ -arc from the 3-line construction.

6 Indecomposable minihypers

In [9], Hill and Ward consider plane $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihypers with $x < q$ only. The reason is that such minihypers give rise to Griesmer codes via the well-known construction of Hamada [5, 8]. If \mathfrak{F} is an $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihyper and s is the maximal multiplicity of a point, then $s\chi_{\mathcal{P}} - \mathfrak{F}$ is an $(s(q^2 + q + 1) - x(q+1), s(q+1) - x; 2, q)$ -arc. The code associated to this arc has parameters $[s(q^2 + q + 1) - x(q+1), 3, sq^2 - xq]$ and is easily checked to meet the Griesmer bound for $x < q$.

In what follows, we consider minimal, indecomposable $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihypers without imposing an explicit restriction on x . It turns out that the indecomposability requirement implies an upper bound on x .

Theorem 9. *Every $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihyper, with $x \geq q^2 - q + 1$, is decomposable.*

Proof. Assume that \mathfrak{F} is an indecomposable $(x(q+1), x)$ -minihyper. There exists a point P in $\text{PG}(2, q)$ that is of multiplicity 0. Otherwise \mathfrak{F} can be represented as the sum of $\chi_{\mathcal{P}}$ and an $((x-q-1)(q+1)+q, x-q-1)$ -minihyper, a contradiction to the indecomposability condition.

Note that all lines through the 0-point P are x -lines. Moreover the multiplicity of any line L cannot be larger than $x+q-1$ (otherwise, the minihyper is represented as the sum of χ_L and an $((x-1)(q+1), x-1)$ -minihyper). Counting the flags (P', L') , with $P' \in L'$, we get

$$x(q+1)^2 \leq x(q+1) + q^2(x+q-1).$$

This implies $x \leq q^2 - q$. □

Theorem 10. *For an indecomposable $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihyper with $x \leq q^2 - q$, all points of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ have weight at most $q-1$.*

Proof. Consider a point P of weight e , and now consider all the lines through P . Since they all have weight at most $x+q-1$, we obtain the inequality

$$qe + x(q+1) \leq q(x+q-1) + x,$$

where we used the fact that every line has weight at most $x+q-1$, and there is at least one x -secant through P . Namely, there is at least one point P' having weight zero, and the line PP' is an x -secant to the minihyper.

This leads to $e \leq q-1$. □

Corollary 11. *There is no indecomposable $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihyper with $x = q^2 - q$.*

Proof. Assume otherwise and let \mathfrak{F} be an indecomposable $((q^2 - q)(q+1), q^2 - q; 2, q)$ -minihyper. Then by the counting argument from Theorem 9, we get that all points other than the 0-point P have multiplicity $q - 1$ and $\mathfrak{F} = (q - 1)\chi_{\mathcal{P} \setminus \{P\}}$. But $\chi_{\mathcal{P} \setminus \{P\}}$ itself is a $(q(q+1), q; 2, q)$ -minihyper, a contradiction to our initial assumption. \square

Remark 12. Using the same arguments, we can improve on the bound for x if we assume the existence of a certain number of 0-points. For example, if we assume that there are two 0-points, then the number of x -lines becomes at least $2q + 1$ and the double counting argument gives

$$x(q+1)^2 \leq x(2q+1) + (q^2 - q)(x + q - 1),$$

whence $x \leq q^2 - 2q + 1$.

7 A switching construction

Consider an indecomposable $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihyper with $x \leq q^2 - q - 1$. Then all points have weight smaller than or equal to $q - 1$ (Theorem 10), and for every line L , $\mathfrak{F}(L) \leq x + q - 1$. Then this minihyper has at least one 0-point; see the proof of Theorem 9. Let us fix such a 0-point, P say. All the lines through P are of multiplicity x . Set $x = q^2 - q - y$, $0 < y$, and define a new minihyper \mathfrak{F}' in the following way:

$$\mathfrak{F}'(Q) = \begin{cases} q - 1 - \mathfrak{F}(Q) & \text{if } Q \neq P; \\ 0 & \text{if } Q = P. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We say that \mathfrak{F}' is obtained from \mathfrak{F} by using *switching with respect to P* . We have

$$|\mathfrak{F}'| = \sum_{Q: Q \neq P} (q - 1 - \mathfrak{F}(Q)) = (q^2 + q)(q - 1) - \sum_{Q: Q \neq P} \mathfrak{F}(Q) \quad (3)$$

$$= (q^2 + q)(q - 1) - x(q + 1) = y(q + 1). \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, all lines through P have multiplicity $y = q(q - 1) - x$. For the remaining lines L , one has

$$\mathfrak{F}'(L) \geq (q + 1)(q - 1) - (x + q - 1) = q(q - 1) - x = y.$$

Hence, \mathfrak{F}' is a $(y(q + 1), y)$ -minihyper.

It is clear that switching \mathfrak{F}' with respect to P , we again obtain \mathfrak{F} .

Lemma 13. *Let \mathfrak{F} be an $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihyper, with $x \leq q^2 - q$, having a 0-point P and such that $\mathfrak{F}(L) \leq x + q - 1$ for every line L . Let \mathfrak{F}' be the $(y(q+1), y; 2, q)$ -minihyper, $y = q^2 - q - x$, obtained from \mathfrak{F} by switching with respect to P . Then $\mathfrak{F}'(L) \leq y + q - 1$ for every line L . In particular, \mathfrak{F}' is not a sum of lines.*

Proof. For every point Q , $\mathfrak{F}'(Q) \leq q - 1 - \mathfrak{F}(Q)$, so

$$\mathfrak{F}'(L) \leq (q+1)(q-1) - \mathfrak{F}(L) \leq q^2 - 1 - x = y + q - 1.$$

□

Theorem 14. *Every $(x(q+1), x; 2, q)$ -minihyper, with $x \geq q^2 - 2q + \frac{q}{p}$, is decomposable.*

Proof. Assume otherwise and let \mathfrak{F} be an indecomposable minihyper with parameters $(x(q+1), x)$, $x \geq q^2 - 2q + \frac{q}{p}$. Then $x \leq q^2 - q$ by Theorem 9. By the switching construction, we get a $(y(q+1), y)$ -minihyper \mathfrak{F}' with $y \leq (q^2 - q) - (q^2 - 2q + \frac{q}{p}) = q - \frac{q}{p}$. Since \mathfrak{F} is indecomposable, $\mathfrak{F}(L) \leq x + q - 1$ and, by Lemma 13, $\mathfrak{F}'(L) \leq y + q - 1$. This contradicts Corollary 2. □

8 Two characterization results

8.1 A first characterization result

Consider $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even; then there are two known ways to construct $((\frac{q}{2} + 1)(q+1), \frac{q}{2} + 1; 2, q)$ -minihypers. First of all, there is the sum $L_1 + \dots + L_{q/2+1}$ of $q/2 + 1$ lines $L_1, \dots, L_{q/2+1}$, and secondly there is the rational sum $\frac{1}{2}(L_1 + \dots + L_{q+2})$, where $\{L_1, \dots, L_{q+2}\}$ is a dual hyperoval of $\text{PG}(2, q)$.

We now show that all $((\frac{q}{2} + 1)(q+1), \frac{q}{2} + 1; 2, q)$ -minihypers arise from these two constructions.

Theorem 15. *Every $((\frac{q}{2} + 1)(q+1), \frac{q}{2} + 1; 2, q)$ -minihyper \mathfrak{K} in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even, is either:*

- (1) *a sum $L_1 + \dots + L_{q/2+1}$ of $q/2 + 1$ lines $L_1, \dots, L_{q/2+1}$, or*
- (2) *a rational sum $\frac{1}{2}(L_1 + \dots + L_{q+2})$, where $\{L_1, \dots, L_{q+2}\}$ is a dual hyperoval.*

Proof. Let $x = q/2 + 1$ and $y = 3q/4$. Assume first of all that \mathfrak{K} is indecomposable. This implies in particular that the weight $\mathfrak{K}(L)$ of every line L is at most $q/2 + 1 + q - 1$. Then the following properties are valid.

Add a sum of $q/4 - 1$ lines to \mathfrak{K} to obtain a $((3q/4)(q+1), 3q/4; 2, q)$ -minihyper \mathfrak{K}' . Then Theorem 1 implies that for every line L , $\mathfrak{K}'(L) \equiv 3q/4 \pmod{q/2}$. Subtracting the contribution of the sum of the $q/4 - 1$ lines in $\mathfrak{K}' - \mathfrak{K}$, this implies that for every line L , $\mathfrak{K}(L) \equiv x \equiv q/2 + 1 \pmod{q/2}$. Since for every line L , $\mathfrak{K}(L) \equiv x \pmod{q/2}$, and since $\mathfrak{K}(L) \leq x + q - 1$, this implies that $\mathfrak{K}(L) \in \{q/2 + 1, q + 1\}$.

Again, since $x = q/2 + 1 \leq y = 3q/4 = q/2 + q/4$ and since $q/4$ divides y , for every point P , $\mathfrak{K}(P) \leq q/2 + 1 - q/2 = 1$ (Corollary 3). So \mathfrak{K} only has points of weight one.

Let P be a point of \mathfrak{K} , let P belong to α $(q/2 + 1)$ -secants and to β $(q + 1)$ -secants to \mathfrak{K} , then

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \beta & = q + 1, \\ \alpha \cdot \frac{q}{2} + \beta \cdot q & = (\frac{q}{2} + 1)(q + 1) - 1 = \frac{q^2}{2} + \frac{3q}{2}. \end{cases}$$

This implies that $\beta = 2$. So every point of \mathfrak{K} belongs to two $(q+1)$ -secants to \mathfrak{K} . This implies that there are in total $2(q/2 + 1)(q + 1)/(q + 1) = q + 2$ different $(q + 1)$ -secants. Denote them by L_1, \dots, L_{q+2} . Then since every point of \mathfrak{K} belongs to two of the lines L_1, \dots, L_{q+2} , the lines L_1, \dots, L_{q+2} necessarily define a dual hyperoval of $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even.

Assume now that \mathfrak{K} is decomposable. Then the same arguments as in Remark 4 show that $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}_1 + \mathfrak{K}_2$, with \mathfrak{K}_1 an $(x_1(q+1), x_1; 2, q)$ -minihyper and with \mathfrak{K}_2 an $(x_2(q+1), x_2; 2, q)$ -minihyper with $x_1 + x_2 = x = q/2 + 1$. But since $x_1 \leq q/2$ and $x_2 \leq q/2$, \mathfrak{K}_1 and \mathfrak{K}_2 are respectively a sum of x_1 and x_2 lines (Corollary 2), so \mathfrak{K} is a sum of $x = q/2 + 1$ lines. \square

8.2 A second characterization result

Consider again $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even. Then we have already three constructions for $((q/2 + 2)(q + 1), q/2 + 2; 2, q)$ -minihypers. The first construction is via a sum of $q/2 + 2$ lines, the second construction via a $(q + 4, 4)$ -arc of type $(0, 2, 4)$ (Example 2), and the third construction is via the sum of a line and a $((\frac{q}{2} + 1)(q + 1), \frac{q}{2} + 1; 2, q)$ -minihyper arising from a dual hyperoval in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even (Example 1).

We now prove that these are the only three constructions for $((q/2 + 2)(q + 1), q/2 + 2; 2, q)$ -minihypers.

Theorem 16. *Every $((\frac{q}{2} + 2)(q + 1), \frac{q}{2} + 2; 2, q)$ -minihyper \mathfrak{K} in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, q even, $q \geq 8$, is either:*

- (1) a sum $L_1 + \dots + L_{q/2+2}$ of $q/2 + 2$ lines $L_1, \dots, L_{q/2+2}$, or

(2) a $((\frac{q}{2}+2)(q+1), \frac{q}{2}+2; 2, q)$ -minihyper constructed via a $(q+4, 4)$ -arc of type $(0, 2, 4)$, or

(3) the sum of a line and a $((\frac{q}{2}+1)(q+1), \frac{q}{2}+1; 2, q)$ -minihyper arising from a dual hyperoval in $PG(2, q)$, q even.

Proof. Assume first of all that \mathfrak{K} is indecomposable, then again in particular, the weight of every line is at most $q/2+2+q-1$. Then the following properties are valid.

We again use that $x = q/2+2 \leq y = 3q/4 = q/2+q/4$. Since $q/4$ divides y , for every point P , $\mathfrak{K}(P) \leq q/2+2 - q/2 = 2$ (Corollary 3). So \mathfrak{K} only has points of weight one and two.

Using the same technique as in the proof of the preceding theorem, Theorem 1 implies that for every line L , $\mathfrak{K}(L) \equiv q/2+2 \pmod{q/2}$, and since $\mathfrak{K}(L) \leq q/2+2+q-1$, this implies that $\mathfrak{K}(L) \in \{q/2+2, q+2\}$. We first determine the numbers $a_{q/2+2}$ and a_{q+2} of $(q/2+2)$ -secants and $(q+2)$ -secants. The standard equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{q/2+2} + a_{q+2} &= q^2 + q + 1, \\ (q/2+2)a_{q/2+2} + (q+2)a_{q+2} &= (q/2+2)(q+1)^2, \end{aligned}$$

leading to $a_{q/2+2} = q^2 - 3$ and $a_{q+2} = q + 4$.

The third standard equation is [9]:

$$(q/2+2)^2 a_{q/2+2} + (q+2)^2 a_{q+2} = (q+1)^2 (q/2+2)^2 + q(p_1 + 4p_2),$$

where p_1 is the number of points in \mathfrak{K} of weight one and p_2 the number of points in \mathfrak{K} of weight two. This leads to $p_1 + 4p_2 = q^2/2 + 3q + 4$.

But we also have the equations

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 + p_1 + p_2 &= q^2 + q + 1, \\ p_1 + 2p_2 &= (q/2+2)(q+1), \end{aligned}$$

leading to $p_0 = q^2/2 - 5q/4$, $p_1 = q^2/2 + 2q$, and $p_2 = q/4 + 1$.

We now check how the secants pass through a point of weight zero, one, or two. A 0-point only lies on $(q/2+2)$ -secants. Suppose that a 1-point lies on $x_{q/2+2}$ different $(q/2+2)$ -secants and on x_{q+2} different $(q+2)$ -secants. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_{q/2+2} + x_{q+2} &= q + 1, \\ (q/2+2)x_{q/2+2} + (q+2)x_{q+2} &= (q/2+2)(q+1) + q, \end{aligned}$$

leading to $x_{q+2} = 2$ and $x_{q/2+2} = q - 1$. So a point of weight one lies on exactly two of the $(q + 2)$ -secants.

Suppose that a 2-point lies on $x'_{q/2+2}$ different $(q/2 + 2)$ -secants and on x'_{q+2} different $(q + 2)$ -secants. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x'_{q/2+2} + x'_{q+2} &= q + 1, \\ (q/2 + 2)x'_{q/2+2} + (q + 2)x'_{q+2} &= (q/2 + 2)(q + 1) + 2q, \end{aligned}$$

leading to $x'_{q+2} = 4$ and $x'_{q/2+2} = q - 3$.

A $(q + 2)$ -line is completely contained in \mathfrak{K} , and hence contains one point of weight two and q points of weight one.

This all leads to the conclusion that a point of weight zero lies on zero of the $(q + 2)$ -lines, a point of weight one lies on exactly two of the $(q + 2)$ -secants, and a point of weight two lies on exactly four of the $(q + 2)$ -secants. This implies that the $(q + 2)$ -secants form a dual $(q + 4, 4)$ -arc of type $(0, 2, 4)$. This shows that the minihyper arises from the construction of Example 2.

Assume now that \mathfrak{K} is decomposable, then $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}_1 + \mathfrak{K}_2$, with \mathfrak{K}_1 an $(x_1(q + 1), x_1; 2, q)$ -minihyper and with \mathfrak{K}_2 an $(x_2(q + 1), x_2; 2, q)$ -minihyper with $x_1 + x_2 = x = q/2 + 2$. Assume that $x_1 \geq x_2$. If $x_2 \geq 2$, then $x_1 \leq q/2$ and $x_2 \leq q/2$, so \mathfrak{K}_1 and \mathfrak{K}_2 are a sum of respectively x_1 and x_2 lines, implying that \mathfrak{K} is a sum of $x = x_1 + x_2 = q/2 + 2$ lines. If $x_1 = q/2 + 1$ and $x_2 = 1$, then \mathfrak{K}_1 is as described in the preceding theorem and \mathfrak{K}_2 is a line. \square

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the Project *Combined algorithmic and theoretical study of combinatorial structures* between the Fund for Scientific Research Flanders-Belgium and the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences. This research is also part of the FWO-Flanders project nr. G.0317.06 *Linear codes and cryptography*.

The authors also wish to thank the referees for their suggestions for a clearer exposition of the results discussed in this article.

References

- [1] S. Ball and A. Blokhuis, An easier proof of the maximal arcs conjecture. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), 3377–3380.
- [2] S. Ball, A. Blokhuis, and F. Mazzocca, Maximal arcs in Desarguesian planes of odd order do not exist. *Combinatorica* **17** (1997), 31–41.

- [3] S. Ball, R. Hill, I. Landjev, and H. Ward, On $(q^2 + q + 2, q + 2)$ -arcs in the projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **24** (2001), 205–224.
- [4] R.H.F. Denniston, Some maximal arcs in finite projective planes. *J. Comb. Theory* **6** (1969), 317–319.
- [5] S. Ferret and L. Storme, A classification result on weighted $\{\delta v_{\mu+1}, \delta v_{\mu}; N, p^3\}$ -minihypers. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **154** (2006), 277–293.
- [6] A. Gács and Zs. Weiner, On $(q + t, t)$ -arcs of type $(0, 2, t)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **29** (2003), 131–139.
- [7] N. Hamada, A characterization of some $[n, k, d; q]$ -codes meeting the Griesmer bound using a minihyper in a finite projective geometry. *Discrete Math.* **116** (1993), 229–268.
- [8] N. Hamada and F. Tamari, On a geometrical method of construction of maximal t -linearly independent sets. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **25** (1978), 14–28.
- [9] R. Hill and H. Ward, A geometric approach to classifying Griesmer codes. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **44** (2007), 169–196.
- [10] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, Projective geometries over finite fields. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford: Clarendon Press 1998.
- [11] J.W.P. Hirschfeld and L. Storme, The packing problem in statistics, coding theory and finite projective spaces: update 2001. *Developments in Mathematics* Vol. **3**, Kluwer Academic Publishers. *Finite Geometries, Proceedings of the Fourth Isle of Thorns Conference* (Chelwood Gate, July 16–21, 2000) (Eds. A. Blokhuis, J.W.P. Hirschfeld, D. Jungnickel and J.A. Thas), pp. 201–246.
- [12] G. Korchmáros and F. Mazzocca, On $(q + t, t)$ -arcs of type $(0, 2, t)$ in a desarguesian plane of order q . *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **108** (1990), 445–459.
- [13] M. Lavrauw, Scattered spaces with respect to spreads, and eggs in finite projective spaces. PhD Dissertation, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, 2001. viii+115 pp.
- [14] G. Lunardon, Normal spreads. *Geom. Dedicata* **75** (1999), 245–261.

IVAN LANDJEV ivan@moi.math.bas.bg
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences,
8, Acad. G. Bonchev, 1113 Sofia, BULGARIA
New Bulgarian University i.landjev@nbu.bg
21 Montevideo str., 1618 Sofia, BULGARIA

LEO STORME ls@cage.ugent.be
Department of Pure Mathematics and Computer Algebra,
Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281-S22, Ghent 9000, BELGIUM
<http://cage.ugent.be/~ls>