1	In vitro activity of ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, meropenem,
2	minocycline, tobramycin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole
3	against planktonic and sessile Burkholderia cepacia complex
4	bacteria
5	
6	Elke Peeters, Hans J. Nelis and Tom Coenye*
7	
8	Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences,
9	Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
10	
11	* Correspondence address: Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Faculty of
12	Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ghent University, Harelbekestraat 72, B-9000 Ghent,
13	Belgium. Phone +32 92648141. Fax: +32 92648195. E-mail: Tom.Coenye@Ugent.be
14	
15	Running title: Effect of six antibiotics against Bcc bacteria
16	
17	Keywords: Antibiotics, biofilms, bacteriostatic, bactericidal, cystic fibrosis
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	

Synopsis

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Objectives: The goal of the present study was to obtain a comprehensive overview of the bacteriostatic and bactericidal effects of six commonly used antibiotics on planktonic as well as on sessile Burkholderia cepacia complex cells. Methods: The bacteriostatic and bactericidal activities of ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, meropenem, minocycline, tobramycin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole were determined on 38 B. cepacia complex strains. MICs and minimal biofilm inhibitory concentrations (MBICs) were determined using a traditional broth microdilution method and a novel resazurin-based viability staining, respectively. The bactericidal effects of the investigated antibiotics (using antibiotic concentrations corresponding to 10 x MIC; except for tobramycin, for which a final concentration of 4 x MIC was tested) on stationary phase planktonic cultures and on 24 h old biofilms were evaluated using conventional plate count methods. Results: Our results confirm the innate resistance of B. cepacia complex organisms against six first-line antibiotics used to treat infected CF patients. All antibiotics showed similar bacteriostatic activities against exponentially growing B. cepacia complex planktonic cells and freshly adhered sessile cells (4 h). In addition, most of the antibiotics showed similar bactericidal effects on stationary phase planktonic cultures and on young and older biofilms. Conclusions: Despite the general assumption that sessile cells show a decreased susceptibility to antibiotics, our data indicate similar bacteriostatic and bactericidal activity of six selected antibiotics against planktonic and sessile B. cepacia complex bacteria.

48

Introduction

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

Burkholderia cepacia complex bacteria are opportunistic pathogens that can cause severe infections in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) or chronic granulomatous disease (CGD) and in immunocompromised individuals. ¹ The taxonomy of the genus Burkholderia has undergone several major revisions over the last decades. In the mid-1990s, "Burkholderia cepacia" strains were demonstrated to belong to at least five distinct species, which were collectively referred to as the *B. cepacia* complex. ² Further taxonomic analyses revealed that even more species were present within the B. cepacia complex and currently 17 B. cepacia complex species have been described. ²⁻⁵ Except for Burkholderia ubonensis, all of these species have been isolated from sputum of CF patients, 4,5 with Burkholderia cenocepacia and Burkholderia multivorans being predominant. 6 Infections with *B. cepacia* complex bacteria in CF patients are often correlated with increased morbidity and mortality and the innate resistance of these organisms to a broad range of antibiotics complicates the treatment of infected patients. ^{7,8} This panresistance is caused by various mechanisms, including limited permeability, changes in lipopolysaccharide structure and the presence of several multidrug efflux pumps, inducible chromosomal beta-lactamases and altered penicillin-binding proteins. 9 In addition, in vitro biofilm formation has been described for multiple B. cepacia complex strains and this may contribute to their ability to survive in the CF lung environment by providing additional protection from antibiotics. 1,10,11 Treatment of *B. cepacia* complex infected patients should preferably be based on the results of susceptibility tests and often includes combination therapy with two or three antibiotics showing synergistic activity. 7,12,13 In vitro susceptibility studies on B. cepacia complex strains show that breakpoint concentrations of ceftazidime,

ciprofloxacin, meropenem, tetracyclines (doxycycline or minocycline) or high doses of tobramycin have a bacteriostatic activity against a considerable fraction of these strains. 8,12,14 Consequently, these antibiotics are often used to treat *B. cepacia* complex infected CF patients. In addition, co-trimoxazole (i.e. a combination of trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole) is still frequently used in the treatment of chronic B. cepacia complex infections, although susceptibility testing of these complementary antibiotics revealed a poor activity against many B. cepacia complex strains. 13,15 Often, antibiotics showing a good in vitro activity fail in vivo. This failure is partly due to differences between the *in vitro* test conditions and the actual *in vivo* challenge. For example, in conventional susceptibility testing and multiple combination bactericidal testing (MCBT), planktonic cultures with actively-multiplying cells are used, ^{12,16} but these may poorly represent susceptibility of stationary phase or slowgrowing cultures. 17,18 In addition, bacterial cells may reside in biofilms. These consortia of microbial cells are embedded in a matrix of self-produced extracellular components and they are considered to exhibit an increased resistance compared to their free-floating planktonic counterparts. 19 Finally, inactivation of antibiotics in sputum or insufficient antibiotic concentrations in sputum, might also contribute to a poor *in vivo* activity despite a satisfactory *in vitro* activity. ²⁰ The first objective of the present study was to evaluate the growth inhibitory effect of six antibiotics (ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, meropenem, minocycline, tobramycin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole) on planktonic as well as on freshly adhered sessile cells (4 h) of all *B. cepacia* complex species. The second objective was to examine the bactericidal effect of these antibiotics on stationary phase planktonic cultures and to compare this to the bactericidal effect observed for biofilms.

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

Materials and methods

100

101

Strains and culture conditions

The following strains were used: *Burkholderia cepacia* LMG 1222^T and LMG 18821; 102 B. multivorans LMG 18822, LMG 18825, LMG 13010^T and LMG 17588; B. 103 cenocepacia LMG 16656^T, LMG 18828, LMG 18829 and LMG 18830; Burkholderia 104 stabilis LMG 14294^T and LMG 14086; Burkholderia vietnamiensis LMG 18835 and 105 LMG 10929^T; Burkholderia dolosa LMG 18941 and LMG 18943^T; Burkholderia 106 ambifaria LMG 19182^T and LMG 19467: Burkholderia anthina LMG 20980^T and LMG 107 20983; Burkholderia pyrrocinia LMG 14191^T and LMG 21824; B. ubonensis LMG 108 20358^T and LMG 24263; Burkholderia latens LMG 24064^T and R-11768; 109 Burkholderia diffusa LMG 24065^T and LMG 24266; Burkholderia arboris LMG 24066^T 110 and R-132; Burkholderia seminalis LMG 24067^T and LMG 24272; Burkholderia 111 metallica LMG 24068^T and R-2712; Burkholderia lata LMG 6992 and R-9940; 112 Burkholderia contaminans LMG 16227 and R-12710. All strains were obtained from 113 114 the BCCM/LMG Bacteria Collection (Ghent, Belgium) or were kindly provided by Dr. P. Vandamme (Ghent University, Belgium). Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 115 and Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 were included as control strains and were obtained 116 from the ATCC collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were stored at -80°C using 117 Microbank vials (Prolab Diagnostics, Richmond Hill, ON, Canada) and were 118 subcultured twice on Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA; Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) before they 119 were used in any experiment. All cultures were incubated aerobically at 37°C. 120

121

122

124

Antibiotics

123 Ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, tobramycin and sulfamethoxazole were obtained from

Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Minocycline and trimethoprim were obtained

from Certa (Braine-l'Alleud, Belgium) and meropenem was obtained from AstraZeneca (London, UK).

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

Determination of the MIC

MICs were determined in duplo according to the EUCAST broth microdilution flat-bottomed 96-well microtitre plates (TPP, Trasadingen, protocol using Switzerland). ²¹ The range of antibiotic concentrations was from 0.25 mg/L to 128 mg/L for ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, meropenem and minocycline; for tobramycin, higher concentrations were tested (between 2 mg/L and 1024 mg/L). Trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole concentrations tested were between 0.25-4.75 mg/L and 128-2432 mg/L. The inoculum was standardized at appr. 5 x 10⁵ cfu/mL. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 20 h and the optical density was determined at 590 nm using a multilabel microtitre plate reader (Victor², Perkin Elmer LAS, Waltham, MA, USA). The lowest concentration of antibiotic for which a similar optical density was observed in the inoculated and blank wells was recorded as the MIC. The quality of the test results was monitored using two control strains (P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853 and E. coli ATCC 25922). CLSI-interpretative criteria for MIC testing of non-Enterobacteriaceae were used to evaluate the MIC results. 16 An adapted breakpoint MIC of 256 mg/L was also included for tobramycin. 14

144

145

146

147

148

149

Determination of the minimal biofilm inhibitory concentration (MBIC)

In order to determine the growth inhibitory effects of the antibiotics on freshly adhered sessile cells, a novel non-standard method using a resazurin-based viability staining was applied. ²² The MBIC was defined as the minimum concentration of antibiotic necessary to prevent biofilm growth and maturation (i.e. the lowest

concentration that resulted in no further increase in biofilm biomass after 4 h of adhesion).

First, an overnight culture was diluted in Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB, Oxoid) to prepare an inoculum suspension containing appr. 10^8 cfu/mL. This suspension was added to the wells of a round-bottomed 96-well microtitre plate (TPP). Following 4 h of adhesion, the supernatant (containing non-adhered cells) was removed from all wells and the plates were rinsed with physiological saline (PS; 0.9% NaCl). Plate counts performed in preliminary experiments confirmed that following this 4 h period appr. 10^5 adhered cells are present in each well. Subsequently, $200 \, \mu L$ of antibiotic-containing MHB (using identical antibiotic concentrations as in the MIC experiments) was added and plates were further incubated at 37° C. After $20 \, h$ of treatment, wells were again rinsed and finally $170 \, \mu L$ PS and $34 \, \mu L$ of a commercially available resazurin solution (CellTiter-Blue, CTB, Promega, Maddison, WI, USA) were added to all wells. Fluorescence was measured after 1 h incubation using a multilabel microtitre plate reader (λ_{ex} : $560 \, h$ nm and λ_{em} : $590 \, h$ nm). All MBIC experiments were performed *in duplo*.

Determination of the bactericidal effect of antibiotics on biofilms

For all strains, the bactericidal effect of the antibiotics on cells present in biofilms, which were grown for 24 h (4 h of adhesion and 20 h of biofilm formation), was determined using antibiotic concentrations corresponding to 10 x MIC, except for tobramycin, for which a final concentration of 4 x MIC was tested. In addition, the bactericidal effect of tobramycin on sessile cells in older biofilms (grown for 76 h [4 h adhesion, 72 h growth] or 100 h [4 h adhesion, 96 h growth]) was also evaluated.

Biofilms were grown on silicone discs (Q7-4735; Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA) placed in the wells of a 24-well microtitre plate (TPP). Three discs were included per tested antibiotic and three untreated discs served as controls. Previous (unpublished) data from our own research group indicated that the number of cells present in the B. cepacia complex biofilms increased exponentially during appr. the first 16 h of biofilm development and remained constant afterwards. Starting from an overnight culture, an inoculum suspension containing appr. 108 cfu/mL in MHB was prepared. Subsequently, 1 mL of this suspension was added to the wells. After 4 h of adhesion, all wells were rinsed three times using PS. Then, fresh sterile MHB was added and the biofilms were allowed to grow for an additional 20 h period. After 4 h adhesion and 20 h biofilm formation, all discs were rinsed once and subsequently transferred to the wells of a microtitre plate containing antibiotics (in PS). After a 20 h treatment period, all discs were again rinsed and transferred to 10 mL MHB. Sessile cells were removed from the discs by 3 cycles of vortexing (30s) and sonication (30s; Branson 3510, Branson Ultrasonics Corp, Danbury, CT, USA) and the number of cells was determined using conventional plate count methods.²³ Older biofilms (76 h and 100 h) were grown and treated similarly; but an additional

192

193

194

195

196

197

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

Determination of the bactericidal effect of antibiotics on stationary phase planktonic cultures

refreshment of medium was performed every 24 h.

The bactericidal effect of all antibiotics on stationary phase planktonic cells was determined for each strain using antibiotic concentrations corresponding to $10 \times MIC$ (or $4 \times MIC$ for tobramycin).

Starting from an overnight culture, an inoculum suspension containing appr. 10⁸ cfu/mL in MHB was prepared. This inoculum suspension was grown aerobically for 24 h (stationary phase planktonic cultures) in a shaking warm water bath at 37°C. Subsequently, these cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed three times and diluted in PS until a suspension was obtained containing (per mL) twice the number of cfu present in the corresponding untreated biofilms. 500 µL of the latter suspension was added to 500 µL of double-concentrated antibiotic solutions (in PS). After 20 h of exposure to the antibiotics, the number of surviving cells in the treated and untreated planktonic cultures was determined using conventional plate count methods.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)

The effects of all antibiotics on *B. cenocepacia* LMG 16656 biofilm morfology were visualized using CLSM. To this end, 1 μ l of Syto9 (λ_{exc} : 480 nm; λ_{em} : 500 nm; 3.34mM in DMSO) and 1 μ l of propidium jodide (λ_{exc} : 490 nm; λ_{em} : 635 nm; 20 mM in DMSO) (LIVE/DEAD BacLight bacterial viability kit L7012; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were added to the biofilm supernatant. After a 15 min incubation period at room temperature, the biofilms were visualized with a Nikon C1 confocal laser scanning module attached to a motorized Nikon TE2000-E inverted microscope (Nikon Benelux, Brussels, Belgium) equipped with a Plan Apochromat 60.0x/1.20/0.22 water immersion objective.

Results

MIC and MBIC experiments

The results of the broth microdilution MIC tests are shown in Table 1. In general, the MICs observed for ciprofloxacin, tobramycin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole varied widely, with MICs ranging from <0.25 mg/L to 128 mg/L, from 2 mg/L to 1024 mg/L and from 0.25-4.75 mg/L to >128-2432 mg/L, respectively. The MICs for meropenem were between 0.5 mg/L and 32 mg/L, among the tested antibiotics representing the narrowest range. Meropenem, minocycline and ceftazidime were the most active antibiotics as only 39.5%, 15.8% and 39.5% of the tested strains, respectively, showed no growth-inhibition at breakpoint antibiotic concentrations. Although low concentrations of tobramycin (≤ 4 mg/L) were only able to inhibit growth of *B. contaminans* R-12710, high concentrations of tobramycin (> 256 mg/L) were active against 34 out of the 38 strains tested (89.5%). Among the antibiotics tested, ciprofloxacin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole had the lowest activity, as 47.4% and 76.3% of the tested strains continued growing in the presence of breakpoint concentrations of these antibiotics. In general, the MICs observed for planktonic cells and the MBICs observed for freshly adhered (4 h) sessile cells were highly similar; only in 3.9% of the cases the MBICs showed more than a four fold difference compared to the corresponding MICs (data not shown). A scatter plot illustrating the high similarity between the minimal concentrations of ciprofloxacin necessary to prevent growth of planktonic and freshly adhered sessile cells is presented in Figure 1. Similar scatter plots were obtained for all other antibiotics (data not shown).

243

244

245

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

Determination of the bactericidal effect of antibiotics on stationary phase planktonic cultures and on biofilms

The bactericidal effect of all antibiotics was determined on stationary phase planktonic cultures, which were grown for 24 h, as well as on 24 h old biofilms. In addition, the bactericidal effect of tobramycin on older planktonic cultures and biofilms (grown for 76 h or for 100 h) was also determined for three selected strains (B. multivorans LMG 18825, B. cenocepacia LMG 16656 and B. dolosa LMG 18943). In order to allow a comparison under similar conditions, the number of planktonic cells was adjusted to be equal to the number of sessile cells present in the corresponding biofilms. In general, the bactericidal effect of most antibiotics was comparable for all tested strains. Box plots representing an overview of the number of cfu/ (disc or mL) in the untreated controls and in the treated planktonic cultures and biofilms are shown in Figure 2. On average, less than a 90% reduction in the number of surviving cells was observed after treating planktonic cultures and biofilms with trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole, minocycline or ceftazidime. Among the tested antibiotics, tobramycin showed the highest bactericidal activity against planktonic B. cepacia complex cells, despite the fact that the tested concentrations were only four times higher than the corresponding MICs. In some cases, treatment with tobramycin even resulted in a total eradication of all planktonic cells. For 36 of the tested strains (94.7%), tobramycin had the highest bactericidal activity of the tested antibiotics against sessile cells. CLSM images of untreated and ceftazidime-, ciprofloxacine-, meropenem-, minocycline- and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole-treated B. cenocepacia LMG 16656 biofilms revealed that no changes in biofilm morphology were induced by the latter treatments (Figure 3A and 3B and data not shown). The large reductions in cells numbers following a treatment with tobramycin were also confirmed (Figure 3C).

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

For the majority of antibiotics tested, the fraction of surviving planktonic and sessile cells was similar (representative results for two strains are shown in Figure 4); after treatment with ciprofloxacin, minocycline or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, the fraction of surviving sessile and planktonic cells showed less than a 10-fold difference for all strains. Treatment with ceftazidime or meropenem led to more than a 10-fold difference in reduction between planktonic cultures and biofilms for 3 (7.9%) and 6 (15.8%) strains, respectively. For 24 of the strains tested (63.2%), the fraction of sessile cells surviving a tobramycin treatment showed more than a 10-fold difference compared to the fraction of surviving planktonic cells.

Treatments with tobramycin on older biofilms and on older planktonic cultures resulted in similar reductions as seen for the biofilms and the stationary phase

planktonic cultures that were grown for only 24 h (Figure 5).

Discussion

Antibiotic resistance is considered an important virulence factor of *B. cepacia* complex organisms. ¹ Although therapy is usually guided by antimicrobial susceptibility testing, eradication of *B. cepacia* complex organisms is rarely achieved. ²⁴ Multiple hypotheses have been formulated to explain this failure, including inadequate antibiotic concentrations or inactivation of the antibiotic in sputum, impaired host defences in CF patients, biofilm formation, 'inoculum' effect and *in vivo* growth rate of these organisms. ¹⁸ In the present study, we have focussed on the growth inhibitory and bactericidal effects of ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, meropenem, minocycline, tobramycin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole on 38 *B. cepacia* complex strains belonging to 17 species.

Growth inhibitory concentration of antibiotics for exponentially growing planktonic cultures and for freshly adhered sessile cells

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

In general, our results confirm the previously reported high intrinsic resistance of B. cepacia complex strains against a broad variety of antibiotics. 8,12,13 Meropenem. minocycline and ceftazidime showed the best growth inhibitory activity at clinically relevant concentrations. Although B. cepacia complex organisms are typically resistant against aminoglycosides, ⁹ high doses of tobramycin inhibited the majority of tested strains. Nebulized tobramycin yielding high peak concentrations in sputum, are increasingly used for treating CF patients. 17,25,26 Consequently, these higher concentrations should be taken into account when evaluating the usefulness of this antibiotic. Several reports confirm that nebulized tobramycin shows great promise in the treatment of *B. cepacia* complex infected CF patients: for example, Middleton et al. recently described the complete eradication of B. cepacia complex organisms from the lungs of CF patients by using a combination of nebulized tobramycin and amiloride. 24 In addition, a combination therapy with nebulized and intravenous meropenem and tobramycin also resulted in the successful treatment of a female CFpatient suffering from cepacia syndrome, although the sputum samples of the latter patient remained positive for *B. cenocepacia*. ²⁷ Multiple studies have reported a decreased susceptibility to certain antibiotics (e.g. meropenem, ceftazidime) of *P. aeruginosa* and *B. cepacia* complex isolates grown as biofilms. 28-31 However, the results of the present study indicate that the growth inhibitory concentrations for exponentially growing *B. cepacia* complex planktonic cells and for freshly adhered sessile cells are similar. This discrepancy between our results and those from previous studies may be due to pronounced differences in experimental approach. For example, some studies compared the bacteriostatic

activity of antibiotics against planktonic and dispersed cells with the bactericidal activity against sessile cells (Minimal Biofilm Eradication Concentration; MBEC). 30,31 Other studies compared the minimal growth inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics on actively growing planktonic cultures with biofilm inhibitory concentrations (BICs) obtained after treating 20 h-old biofilms. 28,29 Yet, in order to allow a correct comparison between the susceptibility of planktonic and sessile *B. cepacia* complex cells, experimental conditions (including growth phase) should be identical. In fact, previous research on a *B. cepacia* strain revealed a dramatic decrease in susceptibility for ceftazidime and ciprofloxacin during the progression of the exponential growth phase. An increase of the resistance was observed for both planktonic cultures and biofilms of this strain during the later stages of the exponential growth phase, compared to the earlier stages of exponential growth. 18 Consequently, in the present study, we aimed to compare the growth inhibitory effects of antibiotics against planktonic and sessile *B. cepacia* complex cells under similar experimental conditions.

Bactericidal effect of antibiotics on stationary phase planktonic cultures and on biofilms

The bactericidal effect of all antibiotics, at a concentration of 10 x MIC (4 x MIC for tobramycin), was evaluated for planktonic cultures grown for 24 h as well as for biofilms obtained after 24 h (4 h of adhesion and 20 h of biofilm formation). Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and minocycline, both bacteriostatic agents, yielded the lowest reductions in cell numbers under these test conditions. Tobramycin had the highest bactericidal effect among the antibiotics tested: on average reductions of

345 more than 99.999% and 99.98% were observed when treating planktonic cultures 346 and biofilms, respectively. 347 For the majority of strains, treatment with ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, meropenem, minocycline or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole yielded similar reductions in the 348 349 number of planktonic and sessile cells. In addition, CLSM images revealed that no changes in biofilm morphology were induced by the latter treatments. Treatment with 350 351 tobramycin mostly resulted in a higher reduction in the number of planktonic cells 352 compared to that observed in biofilms; yet, for some strains, including B. dolosa and B. anthina strains, similar reductions were observed under both conditions. 353 354 Previously, Spoering and Lewis reported similar bactericidal activity of carbenicillin, ofloxacin and tobramycin on sessile and planktonic P. aeruginosa PAO1 cells. 17 355 356 They concluded that the general assumption about sessile cells showing an 357 increased tolerance against antibiotics relative to stationary-phase planktonic 358 cultures is unwarranted. The minor differences in reductions in the antibiotic-treated 359 planktonic cultures and biofilms observed for the large majority of *B. cepacia* complex 360 strains in the present study are in agreement with their observations. Yet, unlike their observations for P. aeruginosa PAO1, we did observe a decreased susceptibility of 361 sessile cells towards tobramycin for multiple B. cepacia complex strains. 362 363 decreased susceptibility could be due to binding of the cationic tobramycin to biofilm 364 components, resulting in retarded penetration. Yet, other biofilm specific factors may also play a role. 32 365 366 In order to evaluate a possible increase in resistance towards tobramycin in older 367 biofilms and planktonic cultures compared to their younger counterparts, we also 368 determined the bactericidal effect of tobramycin on biofilms and planktonic cultures grown for 76 h and 100 h. In previous studies on the susceptibility of P. aeruginosa 369

biofilms, an increased resistance of older biofilms against this antibiotic was reported.³³ Yet, in the present study no meaningful differences in susceptibility between the older biofilms and planktonic cultures, on the one hand, and their younger counterparts, on the other hand, were observed (Figure 5). A possible explanation for this unexpected result may lie in the differences of the used growth conditions and consequently, in the differences in observed growth patterns. Unlike in some previous studies, cell numbers did not increase further in the older *B. cepacia* complex biofilms compared to the younger biofilms (grown after 24 h). ^{33, 34} In fact, the impact of these variations in growth pattern, which are the result of differences in nutritional limitations, can influence greatly the susceptibility to antibiotics. ³⁵

In conclusion, under the conditions used in the present study, our results show similar bacteriostatic activities of the antibiotics tested against exponentially growing planktonic *B. cepacia* complex cells and freshly adhered sessile cells. In addition, similar bactericidal activities were observed against planktonic cultures and biofilms for the majority of antibiotics tested. The results of the present study support the hypothesis that the selection of antibiotics for the treatment of *B. cepacia* complex infected CF patients should not only be based on conventional culturing techniques for planktonic cells. In fact, the lack of correlation between the conventional *in vitro* susceptibility tests and the clinical response caused by these antibiotics *in vivo*, suggests that other methods focussing on the bactericidal effect of antibiotics against stationary phase planktonic cells or biofilms may provide a better alternative for clinicians to select the best possible treatment. ^{29,36,37}

395 Acknowledgements

- The authors are indebted to Marleen De Clercq for excellent technical assistance and
- 397 to D. Vercauteren for the assistance with the CLSM.

398

399

Funding

- 400 This research was supported financially by the BOF of Ghent University and FWO-
- 401 Vlaanderen.

402

403

Transparency declaration

404 None to declare

405

406

References

- 407 1. Mahenthiralingam E, Urban TA, Goldberg JB. The multifarious, multireplicon
- 408 Burkholderia cepacia complex. Nat Rev Microbiol 2005; 3: 144-56.
- 2. Vandamme P, Holmes B, Vancanneyt M et al. Occurrence of multiple genomovars
- 410 of Burkholderia cepacia in cystic fibrosis patients and proposal of Burkholderia
- 411 *multivorans* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1997; **47**: 1188-200.
- 3. Coenye T, Vandamme P, Govan JRW et al. Taxonomy and identification of the
- 413 Burkholderia cepacia complex. J Clin Microbiol 2001; 39: 3427-36.
- 4. Vanlaere E, LiPuma JJ, Baldwin A et al. Burkholderia latens sp. nov., Burkholderia
- 415 diffusa sp. nov., Burkholderia arboris sp. nov., Burkholderia seminalis sp. nov. and
- 416 Burkholderia metallica sp.nov., novel species within the Burkholderia cepacia
- 417 complex. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2008; **58**: 1580-90.

- 418 5. Vanlaere E, Baldwin A, Gevers D et al. Taxon K, a complex within the
- 419 Burkholderia cepacia complex, comprises at least two novel species, Burkholderia
- 420 contaminans sp. nov. and Burkholderia lata sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2009;
- 421 **59**: 102-11.
- 422 6. Mahenthiralingham E, Baldwin A, Vandamme P. Burkholderia cepacia complex
- infection in patients with cystic fibrosis. *J Med Microbiol* 2002; **51**: 533-8.
- 7. Speert DP. Advances in Burkholderia cepacia complex. Paediatr Respir Rev 2002;
- 425 **3**: 230-5.
- 426 8. Nzula S, Vandamme P, Govan JRW. Influence of taxonomic status on the *in vitro*
- 427 antimicrobial susceptibility of the Burkholderia cepacia complex. J Antimicrob
- 428 *Chemother* 2002; **50**, 265-269.
- 9. Burns JL. Antibiotic resistance of *Burkholderia* spp. In: Coenye T, Vandamme P,
- 430 eds. Burkholderia: Molecular microbiology and genomics. Norfolk: Horizon
- 431 Bioscience, 2007; 81-91.
- 432 10. Conway BAD, Venu V, Speert DP. Biofilms formation and acyl homoserine
- lactone production in the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex. *J Bacteriol* 2002; **184**: 5678-
- 434 **85**.
- 435 11. Tomlin KL, Clark SRD, Ceri H. Green and red fluorescent protein vectors for use
- 436 in biofilm studies of the intrinsically resistant Burkholderia cepacia complex. J
- 437 *Microbiol Methods* 2004; **57**: 95-106.
- 438 12. Aaron SD, Ferris W, Henry DA et al. Multiple combination bactericidal antibiotic
- 439 testing for patients with cystic fibrosis infected with Burkholderia cepacia. Am J
- 440 Respir Crit Care Med 2000; **161**: 1206-12.

- 13. Zhou J, Chen Y, Tabibi S et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility and synergy studies of
- 442 Burkholderia cepacia complex isolated from patients with cystic fibrosis. Antimicrob
- 443 Agents Chemother 2007; **51**: 1085-8.
- 14. Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Ferris W et al. Combination antibiotic susceptibility
- testing to treat exacerbations of cystic fibrosis associated with multiresistant bacteria:
- a randomised, double-blind, controlled clinical trial *Lancet* 2005; **366**: 463-71.
- 447 15. Cystic Fibrosis Trust. Antibiotic treatment for cystic fibrosis, Report of the UK
- 448 cystic fibrosis trust antibiotic group, second edition. Cystic Fibrosis Trust, Bromley,
- 449 Kent, UK, 2002.
- 450 16. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for
- 451 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Fourteenth Informational Supplement M100-S14.
- 452 CLSI, Wayne, PA, USA, 2004.
- 453 17. Spoering AL, Lewis K. Biofilms and planktonic cells of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
- have similar resistance to killing by antimicrobials. *J Bacteriol* 2001; **183**: 6746-51.
- 455 18. Desai M, Bühler T, Weller PH et al. Increasing resistance of planktonic and
- 456 biofilm cultures of *Burkholderia cepacia* to ciprofloxacin and ceftazidime during
- exponential growth. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1998; **42**: 153-160.
- 458 19. Dunne WM. Bacterial adhesion: seen any good biofilms lately? Clin Microbiol Rev
- 459 2002; **15**: 155-66.
- 460 20. Moriarty TF, McElnay JC, Elborn JS *et al.* Sputum antibiotic concentrations:
- Implications for treatment of cystic fibrosis lung infection. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2007; **42**:
- 462 1008-17.
- 463 21. Hasselmann C. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of
- antibacterial agents by broth dilution. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2003; **9**: ix-xv.

- 465 22. Peeters E, Nelis HJ, Coenye T. Comparison of multiple methods for quantification
- of microbial biofilms grown in microtiter plates. *J Microbiol Methods* 2008; **72**: 157-65.
- 467 23. Heersink J. Basic biofilm analytical methods. In: Hamilton M, Heersink J,
- Buckingham-Meyer K, Goeres D, Eds. The biofilms laboratory: Step-by-step
- 469 protocols for experimental design, analysis, and data interpretation. Bozeman:
- 470 Cytergy Publishing, 2003; 16-23.
- 471 24. Middleton PG, Kidd TJ, Williams B. Combination aerosol therapy to treat
- 472 Burkholderia cepacia complex. Eur Respir J 2005; **26**: 305-8.
- 25. Eisenberg J, Pepe M, Williams-Warren J et al. A comparison of peak sputum
- 474 tobramycin concentration in patients with cystic fibrosis using jet and ultrasonic
- 475 nebulizer systems. *Chest* 1997; **111**: 955-62.
- 476 26. Geller DE, Rosenfeld M, Waltz DA et al. Efficiency of pulmonary administration of
- 477 tobramycin solution for inhalation in cystic fibrosis using an improved drug delivery
- 478 system. *Chest* 2003; **123**: 28-36.
- 479 27. Weidmann A, Webb AK, Dodd ME et al. Successful treatment of cepacia
- 480 syndrome with combination nebulised and intravenous antibiotic therapy. J Cyst
- 481 *Fibros* 2008; **7**: 409-11.
- 482 28. Caraher E, Reynolds G, Murphy P et al. Comparison of antibiotic susceptibility of
- 483 Burkholderia cepacia complex organisms when grown planktonically or as biofilm in
- 484 vitro. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2007; **26**: 213-6.
- 485 29. Moskowitz SM, Foster JM, Emerson J et al. Clinically feasible biofilm
- susceptibility assay for isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from patients with cystic
- 487 fibrosis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004; **42**: 1915-22.
- 488 30. Ceri H, Olson ME, Stremick C et al. The Calgary biofilm device: new technology
- for rapid determination of antibiotic susceptibilities. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999; **37**: 1771-6.

- 490 31. Hill D, Rose B, Pajkos A et al. Antibiotic susceptibilities of Pseudomonas
- 491 aeruginosa isolates derived from patients with cystic fibrosis under aerobic,
- anaerobic and biofilm conditions. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005; **43**: 5085-90.
- 493 32. Walters MC, Roe F, Bugnicourt A et al. Contributions of antibiotic penetration,
- 494 oxygen limitation and low metabolic activity to tolerance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
- 495 biofilms to ciprofloxacin and tobramycin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002; 47:
- 496 317-23.
- 497 33 Anwar H, Costerton JW. Enhanced activity of combination of tobramycin and
- 498 piperacillin for eradication of sessile biofilm cells of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
- 499 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1990; **34**: 1666-71
- 500 34. Sauer K, Camper AK, Ehrlich GD et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa displays
- multiple phenotypes during development as a biofilm. *J Bacteriol* 2002; **184**: 1140-54.
- 502 35. Brown MRW, Collier PJ, Gilbert P. Influence of growth rate on susceptibility to
- antimicrobial agents: modification of the cell envelope and batch and continuous
- 504 culture studies. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1990; **34**: 1623-8.
- 36. Anwar H, Strap JL, Chen K et al. Dynamic interactions of biofilms of mucoid
- 506 Pseudomonas aeruginosa with tobramycin and piperacillin. Antimicrob Agents
- 507 *Chemother* 1992; **36**: 1208-14.
- 508 37. Keays T, Ferris W, Vandemheen KL et al. A retrospective analysis of biofilm
- antibiotic susceptibility testing: a better predictor of clinical response in cystic fibrosis
- exacerbations. J Cyst Fibros 2009; 8: 122-7.

Table1: MIC of six antibiotics for 38 *B. cepacia* complex strains and two control strains.

	MIC (mg/L)					
Strain	CAZ (8 ^a)	CIP (1 ^a)	MEM (4 ^a)	MIN (4 ^a)	TOB (4 ^a or 256 ^b)	SXT (2-38 ^a)
B. cepacia LMG 18821	64	16	16	16	512	8-152
<i>B. cepacia</i> LMG 1222 [™]	32	1	8	2	32	8-152
B. multivorans LMG 18822	32	4	16	4	128	16-304
B. multivorans LMG 18825	4	4	8	1	128	8-152
B. multivorans LMG 13010 ^T	4	4	4	2	64	4-76
B. multivorans LMG 17588	4	1	4	2	64	8-152
B. cenocepacia LMG 16656 ^T	128	8	32	16	256	>128-2432
B. cenocepacia LMG 18828	32	128	8	4	256	128-2432
B. cenocepacia LMG 18829	8	4	4	8	128	32-608
B. cenocepacia LMG 18830	8	16	4	1	1024	8-152
B. stabilis LMG 14294 [™]	8	32	4	1	128	>128-2432
B. stabilis LMG 14086	4	0,5	2	1	32	2-38
B. vietnamiensis LMG 18835	4	1	2	2	64	8-152
B. vietnamiensis LMG 10929 ^T	4	1	1	1	16	8-152
B. dolosa LMG 18943 ^T	>128	64	32	4	128	32-608
B. dolosa LMG 18941	32	64	8	4	256	16-304
<i>B. ambifaria</i> LMG 19182 [™]	4	<0.25	2	1	16	2-38
B. ambifaria LMG 19467	2	2	2	2	128	4-76
B. anthina LMG 20980 ^T	2	<0.25	1	<0.25	16	4-76
B. anthina LMG 20983	4	<0.25	2	<0.25	32	1-19
B. pyrrocinia LMG 14191 ^T	16	1	8	2	64	8-152
B. pyrrocinia LMG 21824	16	2	4	4	512	8-152
B. ubonensis LMG 20358 ^T	4	1	8	2	64	4-76
B. ubonensis LMG 24263	8	1	16	2	64	2-38
B. latens LMG 24064 ^T	4	4	2	4	32	8-152
B. latens R-11768	16	8	16	8	512	16-304
B. diffusa LMG 24065 ^T	32	2	4	1	128	4-76
B. diffusa LMG 24266	32	2	4	1	64	4-76
B. arboris LMG 24066 ^T	4	1	2	2	64	1-19
B. arboris R-132	8	<0.25	8	<0.25	128	1-19
B. seminalis LMG 24067 ^T	8	2	4	16	128	4-76
B. seminalis LMG 24272	4	1	2	2	64	8-152
B. metallica LMG 24068 ^T	32	0.5	8	4	64	4-76
B. metallica R-2712	16	0.5	8	2	64	2-38
B. lata LMG 6992	2	0.25	0.5	0.5	32	0.25-4.75
B. lata R-9940	2	0.25	1	1	16	2-38
B. contaminans LMG 16227	16	1	4	8	32	8-152
B. contaminans R-12710	8	0.25	2	1	2	4-76
P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853	2	0.5	0.5	-	0.5	16-304
E. coli ATCC 25922	0.25	0.008	0.016	0.5	0.5	≤0.5-9.5

^a Breakpoint concentrations CLSI guidelines non-Enterobacteriaceae

- b Breakpoint for high concentrations of tobramycin achieved by nebulization
- 516 CAZ: ceftazidime; CIP: ciprofloxacin; MEM: meropenem; MIN: minocycline; TOB:
- 517 tobramycin; SXT: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1-19)

- 519 Figure 1: Scatter plot of the minimal concentrations of ciprofloxacin necessary to
- 520 inhibit growth of planktonic cultures (MIC) and freshly adhered sessile cells (4 h,
- 521 MBIC) of the 38 tested *B. cepacia* complex strains.
- 522 Figure 2: Boxplot illustrating the distribution of the number of cfu/ (disc or mL)
- recovered from the untreated and treated planktonic cultures (white) and biofilms
- 524 (grey) for all 38 tested *B. cepacia* complex strains.
- 525 UC: untreated control; CAZ: ceftazidime; CIP: ciprofloxacin; MEM: meropenem; MIN:
- 526 minocycline; TOB: tobramycin; SXT: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1-19)
- Figure 3: Representative images of 24 h old *B. cenocepacia* LMG 16656 biofilms,
- which were treated with saline (A), ciprofloxacin (80 mg/L; B) or tobramycin (1024)
- mg/L; C) during 20 h. The scale bar represents 20 μm.
- Figure 4: Average numbers of cells (log) present in treated and untreated B.
- 531 multivorans LMG 18825 and B. cenocepacia LMG 16656 planktonic cultures (white
- bars) and biofilms (grey bars). Error bars represent standard deviations.
- 533 UC: untreated control; CAZ: ceftazidime; CIP: ciprofloxacin; MEM: meropenem; MIN:
- minocycline; TOB: tobramycin; SXT: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1-19)
- Figure 5: Average numbers of cells (log) present in untreated and tobramycin treated
- 536 B. multivorans LMG 18825, B. cenocepacia LMG 16656 and B. dolosa LMG 18943
- 537 planktonic cultures (untreated: black bars; treated: white bars) and biofilms
- (untreated: shaded bars; treated: grey bars) which were first grown for 24 h, 76 h or
- 539 100 h. Error bars represent standard deviations.