



biblio.ugent.be

The UGent Institutional Repository is the electronic archiving and dissemination platform for all UGent research publications. Ghent University has implemented a mandate stipulating that all academic publications of UGent researchers should be deposited and archived in this repository. Except for items where current copyright restrictions apply, these papers are available in Open Access.

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Multi Locus Sequence Analysis of root nodule isolates from *Lotus arabicus* (Senegal), *Lotus creticus, Argyrolobium uniflorum* and *Medicago sativa* (Tunisia) and description of *Ensifer numidicus* sp. nov. and *Ensifer garamanticus* sp. nov.

C. Merabet, M. Martens, M. Mahdhi, F. Zakhia, A. Sy, C. Le Roux, O. Domergue, R. Coopman, A. Bekki, M. Mars, A.Willems and P. de Lajudie.

In: International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 60 (3), 664-674, 2010.

http://ijs.sgmjournals.org/cgi/content/full/60/3/664

To refer to or to cite this work, please use the citation to the published version:

Merabet C., M. Martens, M. Mahdhi, F. Zakhia, A. Sy, C. Le Roux, O. Domergue, R. Coopman, A. Bekki, M. Mars, A.Willems and P. de Lajudie. 2010. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 60:664-674. DOI 10.1099/ijs.0.012088-0

1

IJS/2009/012088 REVISED MS

2

3 Multi Locus Sequence Analysis of root nodule isolates from Lotus arabicus 4 (Senegal), Lotus creticus, Argyrolobium uniflorum and Medicago sativa 5 (Tunisia) and description of Ensifer numidicus sp. nov. and Ensifer 6 garamanticus sp. nov. 7 C. Merabet^{1,2}, M. Martens³, M. Mahdhi^{2,4}, F. Zakhia², A. Sy⁵, C. Le Roux², O. Domergue², R. 8 Coopman³, A. Bekki¹, M. Mars⁴, A.Willems³ and P. de Lajudie^{2*}. 9 10 11 ¹Laboratoire de Rhizobiologie, Univ. Oran, Es-Senia, Algeria. 12 ²IRD, UMR 113 Symbioses Tropicales et Méditerranéennes F-34398 Montpellier, France. 13 ³Laboratorium voor Microbiologie (WE10), Universiteit Gent, Belgium. 14 ⁴Faculté des Sciences, Gabès Tunisia. 15 ⁵ IRD-UCAD-ISRA, Laboratoire Commun de Microbiologie, Dakar, Senegal, West Africa. 16 17 18 19 *Corresponding author: Philippe.Lajudie@ird.fr 20 L.S.T.M., UMR 113 IRD/CIRAD/AGRO-M/UM-II, Campus International de Baillarguet, TA A-82/J, 34398 21 22 23 MONTPELLIER Cedex 5, France. Tel.: (33) (0) 4 67 59 38 51 Fax : (33) (0) 4 67 59 38 02 24 25 **Running title**: *Ensifer numidicus* and *Ensifer garamanticus* spp. nov. 26 27 Subject category: New Taxa (subsection Proteobacteria). 28 29 The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers of new sequences are provided in Table 1. 30 31

- 32 Abstract:

Nine isolates from Argyrolobium uniflorum, Lotus creticus, Medicago sativa (Tunisia) and Lotus arabicus (Senegal) were analysed by Multilocus Sequence Analysis (MLSA) of five housekeeping genes (recA, atpD, glnA, gltA and thrC), the 16S rRNA gene and the nodulation gene nodA. Analysis of the individual gene sequences and concatenated gene sequences demonstrated that the nine strains constitute three stable, well-supported (bootstrap and sequence similarity values) monophyletic clusters A, B and C, all belonging to the Ensifer genus branch, whatever the phylogenetic reconstruction method (Maximum likelyhood, Maximum Parsimony, Neighbour Joining). The three groups were further characterized by API 100 auxanographic tests, host specificity and *nodA* gene sequence analysis. In light of all data we describe clusters A and C as two novel species within the genus Ensifer, Ensifer *numidicus* sp. nov., with ORS 1407^{T} (=LMG 24690^{T} =CIP 109850^{T}) as the type strain, and Ensifer garamanticus sp. nov., with ORS 1400^{T} (=LMG 24692^{T} =CIP 109916^{T}) as the type strain. Cluster B strains are assigned to Ensifer adhaerens genomovar A. Keywords: rhizobia, Ensifer numidicus, Ensifer garamanticus, MLSA.

60

61 During the past three decades, bacterial taxonomy was based on a polyphasic approach 62 including both phenotypic and genotypic data with 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis and 63 DNA-DNA hybridisation being considered as the cornerstones of genotypic characterisation 64 for new species description (Vandamme et al., 1996; Stackebrandt et al., 2002; Coenye et al., 65 2005). However many authors have reported drawbacks of the methods (Stackebrandt et al., 66 2002; Stackebrandt, 2003; Eardly et al., 2005; van Berkum et al., 2003; Ueda et al., 1999; 67 Schouls et al., 2003). The ad hoc committee for the re-evaluation of the species definition in 68 bacteriology (Stackebrandt et al., 2002; Gevers et al., 2005) suggested the sequence analysis 69 of a set of protein-encoding genes as alternative phylogenetic markers, so-called MLSA 70 (Multilocus Sequence Analysis). Several recent studies confirmed that sequences from 71 housekeeping genes can be used for identification at the species level (Zeigler, 2003; Wertz et 72 al., 2003), evolutionary population genetics and in taxonomy (Bailly et al., 2006; Stepkowski 73 et al., 2003; Vinuesa et al., 2005a,b). The analysis of a small number of carefully selected 74 gene sequences may equal or even surpass the precision of DNA-DNA hybridisations for 75 quantification of genome relatedness and this approach thus has the potential to replace the 76 cumbersome DNA-DNA hybridisations (Zeigler, 2003, Martens et al., 2008). Mantelin et al. 77 (2006) included MLSA in the description of four new Phyllobacterium species and Vinuesa et 78 al. (2005c) described new Bradyrhizobium species using the phylogenies of three 79 housekeeping genes atpD, glnII, recA combined with other classical genotypic and 80 phenotypic analyses. Ensifer mexicanus and S. chiapanecum were described using phenotypic 81 analysis, phylogenies of recA, gyrA, nolR, rpoB, rrs and symbiotic genes and confidence 82 intervals of sequence identity to estimate both inter and intra-species; all were in correlation 83 to DNA-DNA hybridization data (Lloret et al., 2007; Rincón-Rosales et al., 2009). Also, 84 MLSA was applied in diversity studies of Enterococcus, Aeromonas and Ensifer 85 (Sinorhizobium) (Naser et al., 2005; Soler et al., 2004, Bailly et al., 2006) in which it was 86 reported as a highly reproducible and economical method for rapid and reliable species 87 identification.

The genera *Sinorhizobium* and *Ensifer* were recently recognized to form a single phylogenetic clade (Balkwill, 2003; Willems *et al.*, 2003), and are now united and all *Sinorhizobium* species were transfered to the genus *Ensifer*, in line with rule 38 of the Bacteriological Code (Young, 2003; Judicial Commission, 2008). *Ensifer* currently includes 11 species (Wang *et al.*, 2002; Wei *et al.*, 2002; Young, 2003; Toledo *et al.* 2003). Two further species, *Ensifer* 93 *mexicanum* and *Sinorhizobium chiapanecum*, have been published but not yet validated 94 (Lloret *et al.* 2007; Rincon-Rosales et al., 2009). Martens *et al.* (2007, 2008) recently 95 demonstrated that the discriminative power of MLSA for species identification and 96 delineation is higher than 16S rDNA sequence analysis and DNA-DNA hybridization within 97 the genus *Ensifer*.

98 Here we performed MLSA to complete the characterisation of nine root nodule strains 99 isolated from several legumes in Tunisia (Argyrolobium uniflorum, Lotus creticus, Medicago 100 sativa) and Senegal (Lotus arabicus). Argyrolobium uniflorum (Tribe Genisteae, Family 101 Fabaceae) is an indigenous herb legume in the Mediterranean bassin, and is a pastoral and 102 forage legume broadly distributed in arid and semi-arid regions of Tunisia. This plant plays an 103 important role in soil fertility maintenance, coverage and dune stability (Ferchichi, 1996). 104 Lotus arabicus L. (tribe Loteae, family Fabaceae), syn. Lotus mossamedensis Welw. ex 105 Baker, is a natural annual herb legume of coastal dunes in Senegal. Medicago sativa is the 106 most widely cultivated species of lucerne in the world, and its symbiotic rhizobial strains have 107 been extensively studied. Six of the isolates have previously been analyzed by ARDRA using 108 seven enzymes, total cell protein SDS-PAGE analysis and 16S rRNA gene sequencing 109 (Zakhia et al., 2004) and results suggested their separate positions in Ensifer (syn. 110 Sinorhizobium). According to the conclusions of Martens et al. (2007, 2008) the strains were 111 subjected to MLSA using five housekeeping genes, most discriminative among 10 tested 112 housekeeping genes for taxonomic purposes in *Ensifer*. Genes were analysed individually and 113 as a concatenation using only the congruent genes estimated by ILD test (incongruence-length 114 difference (Farris et al., 1995). Trees were constructed using three algorithmic methods for 115 comparison. The characterisation was completed by auxanographic tests, DNA-DNA 116 hybridizations, host specificity and sequence analysis of the symbiotic *nodA* gene for biovar 117 description.

- 118
- 119
- 120

121 MATERIALS AND METHODS:

122

123 Bacterial strains and culture media

124 The strains investigated are listed in Table 1. All strains were grown on yeast mannitol agar

- 125 YMA (Zakhia et al., 2004) at 28 °C. Isolates were stored at -80 °C in 50 % v/v glycerol.
- 126

127 Host specificity

128 The isolates were tested for nodulation on their original host plant. The seeds from Medicago 129 sativa and Lotus arabicus were sterilised in 3 % calcium hypochloride and scarified by 130 immersion in 96 % Sulphuric acid for 20 min, washed five times with sterile water and placed 131 in agar-water 1 % w/v at 24-25 °C for germination. After 3 to 4 days, the seedlings were 132 transferred to agar slant tubes (Bertrand, 1997) for root nodulation trials (5 plants per strain). Plants were grown under continuous light (20 Wm⁻²) at 24 °C and inoculated with 1 ml of an 133 134 exponential growth phase YM bacterial suspension. Roots were observed for nodule 135 formation during the first 4 weeks after inoculation. Infectivity of strains isolated from 136 Argyrolobium uniflorum was previously reported by Zakhia (2004). 137

138 Genomic DNA isolation:

Bacterial DNA was prepared as described by Zakhia *et al.* (2004) or alternatively by the alkaline lysis method (Baele *et al.*, 2000).

- 141
- 142

143 **DNA amplification**

144 The 16S rRNA gene, internal fragments of five housekeeping genes (atpD, recA, gltA, thrC

and *glnA*) and the *nodA* gene were amplified using primers listed in Supplementary Table S1(available in IJSEM Online).

PCR amplification of *atpD*, *recA*, *gltA*, *thrC* and *glnA* genes was performed as described by
Martens et al. (2007) except in a total volume of 25 μl reaction mixture. PCR amplification of
16S rRNA gene was performed according to Zakhia *et al.* (2004) and *nodA* gene according to
Zakhia *et al.* (2006).

151

152 Gene sequencing and phylogenetic data analysis

153 PCR products of different genes were purified and sequenced as previously described 154 (Martens et al., 2007) using primers detailed in Supplementary Table S1 (available in IJSEM 155 Online). Consensus sequences were constructed using the Autoassembler software (Applied 156 Biosystems). Interstrain sequence similarity (S) values were determined applying pairwise 157 sequence alignments using BioNumerics 4.6. software. The nucleotide sequences of partial 158 atpD, recA, gltA, glnA, thrC and SSU were independently aligned using the Clustal X 159 program, version 1.8 (Thompson et al., 1997). Neighbour-joining (NJ), Maximum-Parsimony 160 (MP) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) trees were constructed using PAUP* version 4.0b10

161 (Swoford, 2002). Reference sequences of Ensifer species were included for comparison 162 (accession numbers are listed in Martens et al., 2008). NJ analyses (Saitou & Nei, 1987) were 163 performed using the Kimura-2 correction (Kimura, 1980) and 1000 bootstrap replications; MP 164 analyses were performed using the heuristic search option. For ML analyses, the optimal 165 models of nucleotide substitution were estimated using the program MODELTEST 3.7 166 (Posada & Crandall, 1998), using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Posada & 167 Buckley, 2004). The MP trees were used as starting trees for the heuristic search procedure. 168 Bootstrap analyses were performed using 1000 replications of heuristic searches for MP and 169 100 replications for ML. The ILD test (Farris *et al.*, 1995) implemented in PAUP* and using 170 1250 replicates was used to assess incongruence between datasets. A phylogenetic tree was 171 built with the concatenated sequences of the congruent housekeeping genes.

172

173 DNA-DNA Hybridisations

DNA was prepared according to a slightly modified procedure of Marmur (1961) as described
previously (Willems *et al.*, 2001). Hybridization were carried out using the microplate method
in which unlabelled DNA, non covalently bound to the microplate, is hybridized with
biotinylated probe DNA (Ezaki *et al.*, 1989; Willems *et al.*, 2001; Goris *et al.*, 1998).
Hybridizations were performed at 45 °C in 2xSSC, 50 % formamide.

179

180 **Phenotypic tests**

181 Microscopic observations, tolerance to pH, NaCl and temperature were performed as 182 previously described (de Lajudie et al., 1994; Mahdhi et al., 2008).

API100 galleries (BioMérieux, Montalieu-Vercieu, France) were used to test the utilisation of carbon sources (carbohydrates, amino acids, organic acids) for bacterial growth. Inoculants were obtained from 36-h YMA slant cultures. After inoculation, the galleries were incubated at 30 °C, and results were determined after 1, 2, 4 and 7 days. The results of the auxanographic tests were scored as described previously (Kersters & De Ley, 1984). Jaccard similarity coefficients were calculated and an UPGMA analysis was performed using BioNumerics 4.6.

- 190 **RESULTS**
- 191

192 Nodulation tests:

193 All studied strains induce efficient nodulation on their hosts of isolation. This was already

- reported by Zakhia (2004) for ORS 1400, ORS 1401, ORS 1407, ORS 1444 (Argyrolobium
- 195 uniflorum), for ORS 1410 (Medicago sativa). Here strains ORS 2154, ORS 2133 and ORS

196 529, isolated from *Lotus arabicus*, were tested and are effective on their plant of isolation.

197

198 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis:

Nearly full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences (1340bp) were determined for strains ORS 1410, ORS 2133, ORS 529, ORS 2154, ORS 1401 and STM 354. The 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic trees constructed using three methods (ML, MP, NJ) resulted in the same groupings. Therefore, only the resulting ML tree is shown in Fig. 1. All studied isolates were placed unambiguously within the AlphaProteobacteria and within the *Ensifer* reference species clade. They were subdivided into three clusters (clusters A, B and C) supported by high bootstrap values and displaying high intra-cluster sequence similarity.

Cluster A (Bootstrap [BT] value 97 %) includes the isolates ORS 1444, ORS 1410 and ORS1407 and formed a homogeneous group (sequence similarity values 100 %) equally distant to *E. arboris, E. medicae* and *E. meliloti* (99.7 % sequence similarity).

209 Cluster B strains (ORS 529, ORS 2154 and ORS 2133) also shared identical 16S rRNA gene

210 sequences and formed a significant cluster. This clade grouped together with the different *E*.

211 adhaerens genomovars (more than 99.6-99.8 % sequence similarity) and "S. morelense"

- 212 (99.0-99.2 % sequence similarity) reference strains, although low BT values were displayed
- 213 for this group (BT value 35 %).

The strains ORS 1400, ORS 1401 and STM 354 formed a homogeneous group (Cluster C, 100 % interstrain sequence similarity values) supported by a bootstrap value of 85 %, and clustered in the vicinity of *E. terangae* (48 % BT value and 98,8 % sequence homology). The type strain of *E. mexicanum* LMG 23932^{T} which was described recently (Lloret *at al.*, 2007) is related to these taxa. It was not yet included in our analyses, but forms a distinct subclade, sharing sequence similarity values of 97.8 to 98.8 % with clusters A, B and C and 99.5 % with *E. terangae* strains.

220 with *E. terangae* strains.

221

222 Sequence analysis of individual genes.

223 The five housekeeping gene fragments examined in this study had different lengths: glnA 224 (977bp), gltA (681bp), recA (550bp), atpD (461bp), thrC (636bp). Within each group A, B or 225 C, the three strains of the group had identical sequences for all genes tested (except for ORS 226 1410 of group A for which we could not amplify *thrC*). Sequence analysis of the five 227 individual housekeeping genes resulted in different tree topologies (Fig. 2). However, the 228 same three separate clusters A, B and C were revealed with high bootstrap support for all 229 clusters in all gene analyses, including 16S rRNA gene. These clusters occupied different 230 positions relative to the reference species depending on the gene considered.

- In the *atpD* tree topology, *A. tumefaciens* grouped with "*S. morelense*", the 3 genomovars of *E. adhaerens*, and all strains from cluster B (100 % BT) although this cluster had low BT support (BT value 32 %). A second cluster (BT value 43 %) contained all other *Ensifer* species and clusters A and C (both clusters 100 % BT value).
- 235 In the case of gltA, all Ensifer strains together with clusters A, B and C, formed a single
- separate but poorly supported clade (BT value 38 %). Within this clade, all strains from
- 237 cluster C formed a significant subclade with *E. terangae* (100 % BT). Another well-supported
- subgroup (BT value 98 %) was formed by all strains from cluster B and E. adhaerens gv. A.
- 239 In the glnA analysis, all Ensifer strains (and clusters A, B and C) were grouped together with
- high BT support (75 %) and the same two significant subclusters as in the *gltA* tree topology
- were found (BT values 100 and 95 % for cluster C/*E. terangae* and cluster B/*E. adhaerens* gv.
 A respectively).
- Also in the *thrC* gene tree, all *Ensifer* strains together with clusters A, B and C, formed a
 single, separate but less supported clade (BT value 48 %), in which the same two subclades
 were again distinguished (BT values 100 and 98 % for cluster C/*E. terangae* and cluster B/*E. adhaerens* gv. A respectively). In the final single gene tree, the *recA* tree, again all *Ensifer*strains and clusters A, B and C did form a separate but poorly supported clade (BT value 27
 %). A well-supported subgroup (BT value 95 %) was formed by all strains from cluster B and *E. adhaerens* gv. A.
- Thus, cluster A formed a separate, well-supported group (BT value of 100 %) in all single gene phylogenies with identical sequences for the three strains (100 %). Cluster A was located at different positions in comparison to the *Ensifer* reference species and no significant clusterings were apparent. The highest observed sequence similarities between cluster A strains and the reference species ranged between 86.9 % with *E. saheli* and *E. kostiensis* for the *thrC* gene and 94.3 % with reference strains *E. meliloti* and *E. medicae* for the *atpD* gene.

Cluster B grouped with *Ensifer adhaerens*, more specifically *E. adhaerens* gv. A with high
BT values in all housekeeping gene analyses, except for *atpD*. Sequence similarities with *E. adhaerens* gv. A strains ranged between 96.4 % for *thrC* to 98.4 % for *recA* gene. With both
other genomovars the range was lower at 90.5% (gltA) to 95.3% (glnA).

- 260 The strains ORS 1400, ORS 1401 and STM 354 formed the monophyletic Cluster C in all 261 gene phylogenies (BT values ranging from 98 to 100 %; sequence similarity values 100 % for 262 all genes). This cluster displays sequence similarities with its closest neighbour *E. terangae* in 263 the range of 92,2 % (for recA) to 96,4 % (for gltA). Cluster C together with E. terangae was also related to the *E. mexicanum* type strain LMG 23932^{T} in the analysis of three 264 265 housekeeping genes (glnA, gltA and recA) (not included in Fig. 2). The sequence similarities 266 between Cluster C strains and E. mexicanum ranged from 92.2 % for recA, over 93.7 % for 267 gltA, to 95.8 % for glnA. Between E. terangae and E. mexicanum somewhat comparable 268 interspecies sequence similarity values amounted to 96.6 % for recA, 93.5 % for gltA and 96.2 269 % for glnA. This indicates that E. mexicanum, E. terangae and the isolates from Cluster C all 270 represent distinct genomic species.
- 271

272 Sequence analysis of concatenated housekeeping genes.

273 The *atpD* gene was found not to be congruent with the other genes (p-value<0, 01) while all 274 other housekeeping genes showed compatible phylogenetic signals (data not shown). A tree 275 was constructed from the concatenated sequences of the four congruent genes recA, glnA, 276 gltA, and thrC (p-value>0, 01) estimated by ILD test (Farris et al., 1995). The ILD test values 277 ranged from 0.0120 for thrC and recA to 0.6288 for thrC and glnA. In view of the ILD test 278 results, we concatenated the aligned sequences for recA, thrC, gltA and glnA and obtained an 279 alignment of 2704 nucleotides (comprising 1486 invariable sites, 237 variable but parsimony 280 uninformative sites and 981 parsimony informative sites).

281 The combined analysis showed a significant cluster (BT value 97 %), comprising all Ensifer 282 strains together with clusters A, B and C, which was subdivided into two closely related 283 subclusters. One well-supported subcluster (BT-value 100 %) includes "S. morelense", the 284 three E. adhaerens genomovars and cluster B. As in most single gene analysis, cluster B 285 formed a significant subclade together with E. adhaerens gv. A. The second major subcluster 286 (BT value 99%) comprised all other *Ensifer* strains and clusters A and C. In analogy to most 287 single gene trees, Cluster C formed a significant sub-branch with E. terangae (BT value 100 288 %). Cluster A strains ORS 1407 and ORS 1444 also formed a reliable cluster (BT value 100 289 %), which was well separated from all other genomic species. Since we did not obtain a thrC

290 sequence for ORS 1410, this strain could not be included in the concatenated housekeeping 291 gene analysis. As indicated, all mentioned clusters were supported by higher BT values in the 292 concatenated tree than in the single gene trees and are therefore more robust.

293

294 **DNA-DNA Hybridization**

295 To determine to which *E. adhaerens* genomovar Cluster B could be designated, we performed 296 DNA-DNA hybridisations between two strains (ORS 529 and ORS 2133) of this cluster and 297 three *E. adhaerens* reference strains, representing the three different genomovars (Willems *et* 298 al., 2003) (Table 2). In agreement with the gene sequence analyses, ORS 529 and ORS 2133 299 display high DNA-DNA hybridisation values (99 %), proving that the strains represent the 300 same genomic species. The hybridisation values of strains ORS 529 and ORS 2133 with E. 301 adhaerens gy. B and C strains are below 70 %, indicating that the two strains from Cluster B 302 are not E. adhaerens gv. B nor E. adhaerens gv. C. However, DNA-DNA hybridisation 303 results between cluster B strains and the *E. adhaerens* gy. A reference strain, exceed the 70 % 304 value which confirms the assumption drawn from MLSA that cluster B strains are E. 305 adhaerens gv. A strains.

306

307 *nodA* gene sequencing

308 The *nodA* gene was sequenced in strains representing both the different clusters and host 309 plants of isolation: two cluster C strains (ORS 1400/ Argyrolobium uniflorum and STM 354/ 310 Medicago sativa), one cluster B strain ORS529 (isolated from Lotus arabicus, like the two 311 other strains of the cluster) and two Cluster A strains (ORS 1444/ Argyrolobium uniflorum 312 and ORS1410/Lotus creticus). The nodA gene could not be amplified in strain ORS 1407. The 313 analysis of nodA sequences by neighbour-joining method (Fig. 3), shows that strains isolated 314 from Argyrolobium uniflorum, Lotus arabicus and Medicago sativa form a separate cluster. 315 This cluster is grouped with the nodA of E. meliloti and E. medicae branch with high 316 bootstrap support. In this study we report for the first time the position of *nodA* sequences of 317 isolates from Argyrolobium uniflorum.

318

319 Numerical analysis of auxanographic tests

All strains were tested for use of 99 substrates as sole carbon source for growth, using API Biotype100 galleries (Supplementary Table S2). Some discriminative features could be observed.

In contrast to its closest phylogenetic neighbours E. medicae LMG 19920^T, E. meliloti LMG 323 6133^T and E. arboris LMG 14919^T, cluster A strains do not grow on xylitol and DL-324 325 glycerate. Results for other substrates were variable between members of this cluster. About 326 28 substrates were differently utilized by strains ORS 1444, ORS 1410 and ORS 1407 and 13 327 substrates were only metabolised by ORS 1407 (dulcitol, D-lyxose, 1-O-methyl- α -D-328 glucopyranoside, 3-O-Methyl-D-glucopyranose, D-gluconate, L-histidine, succinate, 329 fumarate, ethanolamine, DL- β -hydroxybutyrate, L-aspartate, L-alanine, propionate).

330

Cluster B strains used putrescine in contrast to the three genomovars (A, B, C) of *E. adhaerens*. Seven substrates (L (+) sorbose, dulcitol, D-tagatose, citrate, 5-keto-D-gluconate,
D-gluconate, glutarate) were not metabolised by Cluster B members and diversely used
among the *E. adhaerens* three genomovars.

335

Cluster C, close to *E. terangae* in phylogenetic analysis, differed from this species in ten
substrates assimilated by *E. terangae* LMG 7834^T and not by any member of Cluster C.
However, malonate is metabolised by all Cluster C strains and not by *E. terangae* LMG
7834^T.

340

Auxanographic data were analysed numerically (data not shown). Clusters A and C formed two related clusters but separate from the other *Ensifer species* and Cluster B was included in *E. adhaerens* as observed in our genotypic analysis, with strain ORS 2154 close to *Ensifer* adhaerens gv B strain R-7457.

345

346

347

348 **DISCUSSION**

349

In this study we performed MLSA to characterise nine strains from Tunisia and Senegal isolated from root nodules of several legumes. Previously, six of them had been partially characterised by ARDRA, SDS-PAGE and 16S rRNA gene sequencing (Zakhia *et al.* 2004). Genes for MLSA were chosen according to previous taxonomic and phylogenetic studies: *recA* (Recombinase A) and *atpD* (ATP synthase beta subunit) were used in phylogenetic study of *Agrobacterium* and *Rhizobium* (Gaunt *et al.*, 2001); *glnA* (Glutamine synthase) was used by Turner & Young (2000) and *gltA* (Citrate synthase) was used by Hernandez-Lucas *et* 357 al. (2004). In a study evaluating the taxonomic potential of 10 housekeeping genes, Martens 358 et al. (2007, 2008) found these genes and additionally thrC (threonine synthase) usefull for 359 identification and inference of phylogenetic relationships of *Ensifer* species. Phylogenetic 360 analyses of the five housekeeping genes were compared with the 16SrRNA gene tree using 361 three algorithmic methods (ML, MP and NJ). We completed the characterisation with 362 auxanographic tests and *nodA* gene sequences for biovar determination. The *nodA* gene is a 363 key gene for establishment of symbiosis; it is present as a single copy and has a size of 590 to 364 660 bp (Moulin et al., 2004). Except for some photosynthetic bradyrhizobia (Giraud et al., 365 2007) all bacteria described thus far capable of establishing a symbiosis with legumes, 366 harbour nodulation (nod) genes and nitrogen fixation (nif, fix) genes, opening the prospect of 367 screening these genes as an alternative to nodulation tests. The encoded $\alpha\beta$ -unsaturated Nod 368 factor protein is a key enzyme for Nod Factor synthesis, transferring an acyl chain on a chitin 369 oligomer, resulting in a biologically active NodA molecule. nodA gene sequences provide 370 information on the symbiotic characteristics of the rhizobium and may be predictive for the 371 type of Nod factor produced and the host specificity (Lortet et al., 1996; Debellé et al., 2001). 372 Because of its frequent plasmid-borne nature and its propension to lateral gene transfer, its 373 sequence is usually indicative of biovar rather than species affiliation (Haukka et al., 1998). 374

All phylogenies applying any of the three algorithmic methods unambiguously placed the nine strains within the Alphaproteobacteria, in the *Ensifer* clade. The nine strains were subdivided into three monophyletic clusters with high intra-species sequence similarity of 100 % for all gene sequence analyses.

378

379 Cluster A formed a separate cluster with variable positions relative to the *Ensifer* reference 380 species in the phylogenies of the 16S rRNA and all housekeeping genes. In the combined 381 gene sequence analysis Cluster A formed a single, seperate cluster which was clearly 382 distinguished from all other clusters. The sequence homologies between Cluster A strains and 383 strains of related reference species supported this segregation. The sequence similarity values 384 between cluster A and the other genotypic clusters ranged between 82-94.3 % in the different 385 gene analyses (Fig. 2). S. chiapanecum sp. nov., related to S. terangae, was reported during 386 the process of revision of the present work (Rincón-Rosales et al., 2009). We thus retrieved 387 the available recA and 16S rRNA gene sequences of this species and observed that both genes 388 place S. chiapanecum, S. terangae and S. mexicanus in a cluster (96.3-96.9% (recA) and 99.3-389 99.7% (16S RNA gene) internal similarity values), away from cluster A, with interspecies 390 similarity values ranging from 92.2 to 92.6% (recA) and 98.4 to 98.9% (16S RNA).

These values illustrate a clear gap for sequence similarity levels within cluster A strains and between cluster A and other reference strains, corresponding to the inter- and intra-species sequence similarity value gap observed by Martens *et al.* (2007, 2008) in their comparison of MLSA data and DNA-DNA hybridizations. This indicates that cluster A strains are well distinguished from the *Ensifer* reference species and therefore represent a new genomic species.

397 The auxanographic tests confirmed that Cluster A clearly formed a novel *Ensifer* species. We 398 also analysed the nodA sequences of the Cluster A representative strain ORS 1444 to get some 399 information on the Nod factor of Argyrolobium uniflorum microsymbionts. The results 400 showed that it grouped in a separate cluster close to E. meliloti/E. medicae nodA group. In 401 view of our phenotypic and genotypic results, and those obtained in previous work (Zakhia et 402 al., 2004), we propose to create a novel *Ensifer* species for Cluster A strains with the name 403 Ensifer numidicus sp. nov., with ORS 1407 as the type strain. In a parallel and independent 404 work, Mahdhi et al. (2008) described root nodule isolates from Argyrolobium uniflorum in the 405 same region of Tunisia. Among the most effective strains (potential candidates for inoculant 406 production), 13 formed a homogeneous and separate group on the Ensifer branch by 16S 407 rDNA PCR-RFLP. Three representative strains of this group were sequenced, and they shared 408 identical sequence with strain ORS 1444. This group may thus be considered as E. 409 numidicus.

410 Cluster B formed a monophyletic group in all phylogenies and grouped seperately with E. 411 adhaerens gy. A in the majority of the gene phylogenies. In the 16S rRNA gene tree, Cluster 412 B strains were grouped together with the *E. adhaerens* genomovars and "S. morelense". In the 413 single and combined housekeeping gene analyses, Cluster B grouped with *Ensifer adhaerens* 414 gv. A, supported by high bootstrap values. The analysis of the sequence similarities placed 415 Cluster B in the gap between intra and interspecies sequence similarities values, confirming 416 that cluster B belongs to E. adhaerens species but with uncertain genomovar position. 417 Following the recommendation of Willems et al. (2003) for genomovar discrimination, we 418 performed DNA/DNA hybridisations. The results confirmed that Cluster B is different from 419 genomovars B and C but belongs to E. adhaerens genomovar A. Phenotypic and genotypic 420 analyses clearly indicate that cluster B groups with E. adhaerens gv. A. All strains from 421 Cluster B nodulate their plant of isolation *Lotus arabicus*. This is in contrast to all known 422 members of the *E. adhaerens* species (Casida, 1982; Willems *et al.*, 2003), even though Rogel 423 et al. (2001) demonstrated that they may acquire nodulation capacity upon introduction of 424 symbiotic plasmids.

426 Cluster C formed a separate monophyletic group in all phylogenies, essentially in the E. 427 terangae / E. mexicanum clade. A similar grouping was obtained using the four congruent 428 genes (glnA, gltA, thrC and recA), in individual gene and in the concatenated gene trees. 429 Sequence similarity values indicate a clear gap between similarity levels within cluster C 430 strains and between cluster C and other reference strains. Values between cluster C strains and 431 the reference strains were comparable with those at the interspecies level found by Martens et 432 al. (2008) in their study comparing MLSA data and DNA-DNA hybridizations. This indicates 433 that Cluster C is distinct and forms a separate genospecies. Also the numerical analysis of 434 auxanographic results confirmed that cluster C is separate from all *Ensifer* species. Cluster C 435 strains can use malonate, but not p-hydroxybenzoate in contrast to its closest neighbour E. terangae LMG 7834^T. Two representative strains of cluster C, ORS 1400 and STM 354, 436 437 isolated from two different legumes, exhibited *nodA* sequences which grouped together (99 % 438 bootstrap value) with those from Cluster A and B, in E. meliloti and E. medicae branch. The 439 combination of the results obtained by Zakhia et al. (2004) by SDS-PAGE of whole cell 440 protein and 16S rRNA ARDRA and in this study by MLSA and additional 16S rRNA and 441 nodA gene sequence analyses, auxanographic test indicate that cluster C represents a novel 442 genospecies in the *E. terangae*, *E. mexicanum* clade, for which we propose the name: 443 Ensifer garamanticus sp. nov., with ORS 1400 as the type strain.

444

425

445 We did not perform additional DNA-DNA hybridizations to establish the separate species 446 status of clusters A and C because of the clear MLSA evidence. In this study the highest 447 sequence similarity level with other *Ensifer* species we obtained for cluster A was 94.3% 448 (atpD) and for cluster C this was 96.4% (gltA). In view of the finding that strains of cluster 449 B which had housekeeping gene similarity levels of 96.4 to 98.4% with E. adhaerens 450 genomovars B and C, yield DNA-binding levels below the species threshold (Table 2, 47 to 451 60%), there will be even less DNA-binding between strains that have lower sequence 452 similarity levels in the housekeeping genes. This information is also in line with a previous 453 elaborate comparison of housekeeping gene sequences and DNA-DNA hybridizations 454 (Martens et al., 2008) where it was shown that MLSA of selected housekeeping genes can 455 accurately predict relations between closely related organims. In view of all these findings we 456 conclude from our data that cluster A and C represent two new *Ensifer* species, for which we 457 propose the names of *E. numidicus* and *E. garamanticus* respectively.

458

459 Description of *Ensifer numidicus* sp. nov. (Cluster A)

460 *Ensifer* numidicus (nu.mi'di.cus. L. masc. adj. numidicus, pertaining to the country of 461 Numidia, Numidian*numidicus*numidicus, the roman denomination of the region in North-462 West Africa from which the majority of the organisms were isolated).

- Short, aerobic, Gram-negative, non-spore-forming rods. Strains grow on Yeast Mannitol
 medium, on which they form white slightly mucuous colonies after a growth period of three
 days at 28 °C.
- 466 At the molecular level, this species can be differentiated by phylogenetic analysis based on 467 several housekeeping gene (recA, glnA, gltA, thrC, atpD) and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Its 468 phylogenetic neighbors are E. medicae, E. meliloti and E. arboris. Detailed phenotypic 469 features for all strains are given in Supplementary Table S2. Ensifer numidicus can be 470 distinguished from E. medicae, E. meliloti and E. arboris by differential use of a combination 471 of growth substrates. Ensifer numidicus strains do not grow on xylitol and DL-glycerate. 472 Results for other substrates were variable between members of this cluster. About 28 473 substrates were differently used by strains ORS 1444, ORS 1410 and ORS 1407 and 13 474 substrates were only metabolised by ORS 1407 (dulcitol, D-lyxose, 1-O-methyl- α -D-475 glucopyranoside, 3-O-Methyl-D-glucopyranose, D-gluconate, L-histidine, succinate, 476 fumarate, ethanolamine, $DL-\beta$ -hydroxybutyrate, L-aspartate, L-alanine, propionate). Thus 477 Ensifer numidicus strains cannot be identified by biochemical and physiological characters 478 alone. Strains can nodulate Argyrolobium uniflorum (ORS 1444 and ORS 1407) and Lotus 479 creticus (ORS 1410). The nodulation gene nodA differs in sequence compared to those of 480 other Ensifer species but is most closely related to those of the E. meliloti and E. medicae 481 clade.
- 482 The type strain of this species is ORS 1407^{T} (LMG 24690^{T} , CIP 109850^{T}). The %GC content 483 of its DNA is 62.8 % (HPLC).
- 484 A group of 13 Sinorhizobium sp. strains reported by Mahdhi et al. (2008), AB1, AB3, AB5,

485 AB6, AB10, AM1, AM2, AM3, AS1, AS2, AS3, AS4, AS5, may be considered as Ensifer 486 numidicus and included as members of this species. These strains were independently 487 isolated from Argyrolobium uniflorum in South Tunisia. These strains form a homogeneous 488 group by PCR-RFLP of 16S rRNA gene. Three representative strains of this group STM 489 4034 (AB1), STM 4036 (AB3), STM 4039 (AS1), share identical 16S rRNA gene sequence 490 with E. numidicus strains. The three latter strains form the most effective symbiosis with 491 Argyrlobium uniflorum with STM 4036 as the most efficient one. They tolerate pH 6-9, 1-2 % NaCl and 40°C for growth. They are sensitive to ampicillin (100 µg ml⁻¹) and 492

493 Streptomycin (100 μ g ml⁻¹) but resistant to Kanamycin (100 μ g ml⁻¹) and nalixidic Acid (100 μ g ml⁻¹).

495

496

497 Description of *Ensifer garamanticus* sp. nov. (Cluster C)

498 Ensifer garamanticus (ga.ra.man.'ti.cus. L. masc. adj. garamanticus, pertaining to Garamante,

499 Garamantian, garamanticus, "the country of Garamantes". In Roman times, Garamantes were

500 inhabitants living in the region South Numidia (Tunisia). Garamantis was their eponym hero.

501 Strains were isolated in this region, which is semi-arid and sunny.

502 Short, aerobic, Gram-negative, non-spore-forming rods. The strains form white and slightly 503 mucous colonies on YMA medium after 48 to 72 h incubation at 28 °C.

504 Strains efficiently nodulate *Argyrolobium uniflorum* (ORS 1400, ORS 1401) and *Medicago* 505 *sativa* (STM 354).

506 Ensifer garamanticus can be distinguished from other species by phylogenetic analysis based

507 on several housekeeping (recA, glnA, gltA, thrC, atpD) and 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

508 Malonate is used by all strains for growth but not by its closest phylogenetic neighbor E.

509 *terangae*. Detailed phenotypic features for all strains are given in Supplementary Table S2.

510 Ensifer garamanticus, close to E. terangae in phylogenetic analysis, differed in ten substrates

511 used for growth by *E. terangae* LMG 7834^T and not by any member of *Ensifer garamanticus*.

512 However, malonate is metabolised by all *Ensifer garamanticus* strains and not by *E. terangae*

513 LMG 7834^{T} .

514 The type strain of this species is ORS 1400^{T} (LMG 24692^{T} , CIP 109916^{T}). The %GC content 515 of its DNA is 62.4 % (HPLC).

516 The group formed by Sinorhizobium sp. strains STM 4015, STM 4016, STM 4027, STM

517 4031, STM 4032, isolated from Genista saharae in South Tunisia by Mahdhi et al. (2007),

518 share identical 16S rRNA gene sequence with *E. garamanticus* type strain. They may be thus

519 be considered as *Ensifer garamanticus* members. These strains were described also

520 phenotypically and generally tolerate high temperature (40°C), high pHs (7-12) and high

521 NaCl concentrations (1% up to 4%) for growth. They nodulate their plant of isolation, so that

522 *Genista saharae* may be considered as potential host plant of *Ensifer garamanticus*.

523

524

525 Abbreviations

526 CIP: Institut Pasteur (Paris) Collection

527 Acknowledgements

- 528 The authors are grateful to F. Boukhatem, B. Dreyfus, A., A. Galiana, L. Moulin, G. Béna, B.
- 529 Hamed Bey, H. Ameziane and L. Bouchentouf for helpful discussions. C. M., F. Z., A. B. and
- 530 A. S. are indebted to the *Département Soutien-Formation* (DSF) of the *Institut de Recherche*
- 531 *pour le Développement* (IRD), France for PhD fellowship and ESCD grants and M. Mosbah
- 532 for AUF grant. AW is grateful to the Fund for Scientific Research Flanders for a
- postdoctoral fellowship. This work was supported by the Commission of the European
 Communities RT-program contract BACDIVERS QLRT-2001-02097.
-
- 535
- 536
- 537
- 538
- 539
- . . .
- 540

541 **REFERENCES**

- 542 Baele, M., Baele, P., Vaneechoutte, M., Storms, V., Butaye, P., Devriese, L. A., Verschraegen, G., Gillis, M. &
- Haesebrouck, F. (2000). Application of tRNA intergenic spacer PCR for identification of *Enterococcus* species. J
 Clin Microbiol 38, 4201-4207.
- 545 **Bailly, X., Olivieri, I., de Mita, S., Cleyet-Marel, J-C. & Béna, G. (2006).** Recombination and selection shape the 546 molecular diversity pattern of nitrogen-fixing *Sinorhizobium* sp. associated to *Medicago. Mol Ecol* **15**, 2719-34.
- 547 Bertrand, H. (1997). Stimulation de l'absorption minérale et de la croissance du Colza inoculé avec des bactéries
- 548 isolées de son rhizoplan. Thèse de l'Université Claude Bernard-Lyon I.
- 549 Casida, L. E., Jr. (1982). *Ensifer adhaerens*, gen. nov., sp. nov. a bacterial predator of bacteria in soil. *Int J Syst*550 *Bacteriol* 32, 339–345.
- Coenye, T., Gevers, D., Van de Peer, Y., Vandamme, P. & Swings, J. (2005). Towards a prokaryotic genomic
 taxonomy. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 29, 147-167.
- 553 Debellé, F., Moulin, L., Mangin, B., Dénarié, J. & Boivin, C. (2001). nod genes and Nod signals and the
- evolution of the rhizobium legume symbiosis. *Acta Biochem Pol* **48**, 359-365.
- 555 de Lajudie, P., A. Willems, B. Pot, D. Dewettinck, G. Maestrojuan, M. Neyra, M. D. Collins, B. L.
- 556 Dreyfus, K. Kersters & Gillis, M. (1994). Polyphasic taxonomy of rhizobia. Emendation of the genus
- 557 Sinorhizobium and description of Sinorhizobium meliloti comb. nov., Sinorhizobium saheli sp. nov. and
- 558 Sinorhizobium teranga sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 44, 715-733.
- 559 Eardly, B. D., Nour, S. M., van Berkum, P. & Selander, R. K. (2005). Rhizobial 16S rRNA and *dnaK* genes:
- 560 Mosaicim and the uncertain phylogenetic placement of Rhizobium galegae. *Appl Env Microbiol* 27,1328-1335.
- 561 Ezaki, T., Hashimoto, Y. & Yabuuchi, E. (1989). Fluorometric deoxyribonucleic acid-deoxyribonucleic acid
- 562 hybridization in microdilution wells as an alternative to membrane filter hybridization in which radioisotopes are
- used to determine genetic relatedness among bacterial strains. Int J Syst Bacteriol **39**, 224-229.

- Farris, J. S., Källersjö, M., Kluge, A. G. & Bult, C. (1995). Testing significance of incongruence. *Cladistics* 10, 315-319.
- 566 Ferchichi (1996). La lutte contre l'ensablement et pour la stabilisation des dunes : essai de la fixation biologique des
- 567 dunes en Tunisie presaharienne. Recherche sur la désertification dans la Jeffara. Rev. Tunis. Geogr. 12, 49-102.
- 568 Gaunt, M. W, Turner, S. L, Rigottier-Gois, L., Lloyd-Macgilp, S. A. & Young, J. P. (2001). Phylogenies of *atpD*
- and *recA* support the small subunit rRNA-based classification of rhizobia. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **51**, 2037-2048.
- 570 Gevers, D., Cohan, F. M., Lawrence, J. G., Spratt, B. G., Coenye, T., Feil, E. J., Stackebrandt, E., Van de Peer,
- 571 Y., Vandamme, P., Thompson, F.L. & Swings, J. (2005). Opinion: Re-evaluating prokaryotic species. *Nature Rev*
- 572 *Microbiol* **3**, 733-739.
- 573 Giraud E., Moulin L., Vallenet D., & 31 other authors (2007). Legume symbioses: absence of nod genes in
- 574 photosynthetic bradyrhizobia. *Science* **316**, 1296-1297.
- 575 Goris, J., Suzuki, K-I., De Vos, P., Nakase, T. & Kersters, K. (1998). Evaluation of microplate DNA-DNA
- 576 hybridization method compared with the initial renaturation method. *Can J Microbiol* **44**, 1148-1153.
- 577 Haukka, K., Lindström, K. & Young, J. P. (1998). Three phylogenetic groups of nodA and nifH genes in
- 578 Sinorhizobium and Mesorhizobium isolates from leguminous trees growing in Africa and Latin America. Appl
- 579 Environ Microbiol 64, 419-26.
- 580 Hernandez-Lucas, I., Rogel-Hernandez, M. A., Segovia, L., Rojas-Jiménez, K. & Martinez-Romero, E. (2004).
- 581 Phylogenetic relationships of rhizobia based on citrate synthase gene sequences. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 27, 703-706.
- 582 Judicial Commission of the International Committee on Systematics of Prokaryotes (2008). The genus name
- 583 Sinorhizobium Chen et al. 1988 is a later synonym of Ensifer Casida 1982 and is not conserved over the latter genus
- 584 name, and the species name 'Sinorhizobium adhaerens' is not validly published. Opinion 84. Int J Syst Evol
- 585 *Microbiol* 58, 1973.
- 586 Kersters, K. & De Ley, J. (1984). Genus III. Agrobacterium Conn 1942. In Bergey's manual of systematic
 587 bacteriology, vol. 1 pp. 244-254. Edited by N. R. Krieg & J. G. Holt. The Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore.
- 588 Kimura, M. (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative
- 589 studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16, 111-120.
- 590 Lloret, L., Ormeno-Orrillo, E., Rincon, R., Martinez-Romero, J., Rogel-Hernandez, M. A. & Martinez-
- 591 Romero, E. (2007). Ensifer mexicanus sp. nov. a new species nodulating Acacia angustissima (Mill.) Kuntze in
- 592 Mexico. Syst Appl Microbiol **30**, 280-290.
- 593 Lortet, G., Mear, N., Lorquin, J., Dreyfus, B., de Lajudie, P., Rosenberg, C. & Boivin, C. (1996). Nod
- 594 factor thin-layer chromatography profiling as a tool to characterize symbiotic specificity of rhizobial strains :
- 595 application to Sinorhizobium saheli, S. teranga and Rhizobium sp. strains isolated from Acacia and Sesbania.
- 596 *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact* **9:**736-747.
- Mahdhi, M., de Lajudie, P. & Mars, M. (2008). Phylogenetic and symbiotic characterization of rhizobial bacteria
 nodulating *Argylorobium uniflorum* in Tunisian arid soils. *Can J Microbiol* 54, 209-217.
- 599 Mahdhi, M., Nzoué, A., Gueye, F., Merabet, C., de Lajudie, P. & Mars, M. (2007). Phenotypic and genotypic
- 600 diversity of Genista saharae microsymbionts from the infra-arid region of Tunisia. Lett Appl Microbiol ISSN 0266-
- 601 8254.
- Mantelin, S., Fischer-Le Saux, M., Zakhia, F., Béna, G., Jeder, H., de Lajudie, P. & Cleyet-Marel J. C. (2006).
- 603 Emended description of the genus *Phyllobacterium* and description of four novel species associated to plant roots

- 604 Phyllobacterium bourgognense sp. nov. Phyllobacterium ifriqiyense sp. nov. Phyllobacterium leguminum sp. nov.
- 605 and Phyllobacterium brassicacearum sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56, 827-839.
- 606 Martens, M., Delaere, M., Coopman, R., De Vos, P., Gillis, M. & Willems, A. (2007). Multilocus sequence 607 analysis of *Ensifer* and related taxa. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **57**, 489-503.
- 608 Martens, M., Dawyndt, P., Coopman, R., Gillis, M., De Vos, P. & Willems, A. (2008). Advantages of MultiLocus
- 609 Sequence Analysis for taxonomic studies: A case study using 10 housekeeping genes in the genus Ensifer. Int J Syst
- 610 Evol Microbiol 58, 200-214.
- 611 Moulin, L., Béna, G., Boivin-Masson, C. & Stepkowski T. (2004). Phylogenetic analyses of symbiotic nodulation
- 612 genes support vertical and lateral gene co-transfer within the Bradyrhizobium genus. *Mol Phyl Evol* 30(3):720-32.
- 613 Naser, S. M., Thompson, F. L., Hoste, B., Gevers, D., Dawyndt, P., Vancanneyt, M. & Swings, J. (2005).
- 614 Application of Multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) for rapid identification of *Enterococcus* species based on *rpoA*
- 615 and *pheS* genes. *Microbiol*. **151**, 2141-2150.
- 616 Normand, P., Orso, S., Cournoyer, B., Jeannin, P., Chapelon, C., Dawson, J., Evtushendro, L. & Misra, A. K.
- 617 (1996). Molecular phylogeny of the genus *Frankia* and related genera and emendation of the Family Frankiaceae. *Int* 618 *J Syst Bact* 46, 1-9.
- 619 Normand, P., Cournoyer, B., Simonet, P. & Nazaret, S. (1992). Analysis of a ribosomal RNA operon in the 620 actinomycete *Frankia*. *Gene* 111, 119-124.
- 621 Posada, D. & Buckley, T. R. (2004). Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics: advantages of the
- 622 Akaike Information Criterion and Bayesian approaches over likelihood ratio tests. *Syst Biol* **53**, 793–808.
- 623 Posada, D. & Crandall, K. A. (1998). MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14,
 624 817–818.
- 625 Rincon-Rosales, R., Lloret, L., Ponce, E. & Martínez-Romero, E. (2009). Rhizobia with different symbiotic
- 626 efficiencies nodulate Acaciella angustissima in Mexico, including Sinorhizobium chiapanecum sp. nov. which has
- 627 common symbiotic genes with *Sinorhizobium mexicanum*. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 67, 103 117.
- 628 Rogel, M. A., Hernandez-Lucas, I., Kuykendall, L. D., Balkwill, D. L. & Martinez-Romero, E. (2001).
- Nitrogen-fixing nodules with *Ensifer adhaerens* harbouring *Rhizobium tropici* symbiotic plasmids. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 67, 3264-3268.
- 631 Saitou, N. & Nei, M. (1987). The neighbour joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees.
- 632 *Mol Biol Evol* **4**, 406-425.
- 633 Schouls, L. M., Schot, C. S. & Jacobs, J. A. (2003). Horizontal transfer of segments of the 16S rRNA genes
- 634 between species of the *Streptococcus anginosus* group. J Bacteriol 185, 7241-7246.
- 635 Stackebrandt, E. (2003). The richness of prokaryotic diversity: there must be a species somewhere. *Food Technol*636 *Biotechnol* 41, 17-22.
- 637 Stackebrandt, E., Frederiksen, W., Garrity, G. M., Grimont, P. A. Kampfer, P., Maiden, M. C., Nesme, X.,
- 638 Rossello-Mora, R., Swings, J., Trupper, H. G., Vauterin, L., Ward, A. C. & Whitman, W. B. (2002). Report of
- 639 the *ad hoc* committee for the re-evaluation of the species definition in bacteriology. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52,
- 640 1043-1047.
- 641 Stepkowski, T., Czaplinska, M., Miedzinska, K. & Moulin, L. (2003): The variable part of the *dnaK* gene as an
- 642 alternative marker for phylogenetic studies of rhizobia and related alpha Proteobacteria. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 26,
- 643 483-494.

- 644 Soler, L., Yanez, M. A., Chacon, M. R., Aguilera-Arreola, M. G., Catalan, V., Figueras, M. J. & Martinez-
- 645 Murcia, A. J. (2004). Phylogenetic analysis of the genus Aeromonas based on two housekeeping genes. Int J Syst
- 646 Bacteriol 54, 1511-1519.
- 647 Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. & Higgins, D. G. (1997). The ClustalX windows
- 648 interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignement aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res 24, 649
- 4876-4882.
- 650 Toledo, I., Lloret, L. & Martinez-Romero, E. (2003). Sinorhizobium americanum sp. nov., a new Sinorhizobium 651 species nodulating native Acacia spp. in Mexico. Syst Appl Microbiol 26, 54-64.
- 652 Turner, S. L. & Young, J. P. W. (2000). The glutamine synthetases of rhizobia: phylogenetics and evolutionary 653 implications. Mol Biol Evol 17, 309-319.
- 654 Ueda, K., Seki, T., Kudo, T., Yoshida, T. & Kataoka, M. (1999). Two distinct mechanisms cause heterogeneity of 655 16S rRNA. J Bacteriol 181, 78-82.
- 656 van Berkum, P., Terefework, Z., Paulin, L., Suomalainen, S. Lindström, K. & Eardly, B. D. (2003). Discordant

657 phylogenies within the rrn loci of rhizobia. J Bacteriol 185, 2988-2998.

- 658 Vandamme, P., Pot, B., Gillis, M., De Vos, P., Kersters, K. & Swings, J. (1996). Polyphasic taxonomy, a 659 consensus approach to bacterial systematics. Microbiol Rev 60, 407-438.
- 660 Vinuesa, P., Silva, C., Lorite, M. J., Izaguirre-Mayoral, M. L., J.Bedmar, E. & Martinez-Romero, E. (2005a).
- 661 Molecular systematics of rhizobia based on maximum likelihood and Baysian phylogenies inferres from rrs, *atpD*,
- 662 recA and nifH sequences, and their use in the classification of Sesbania microsymbionts from Venezuelan wetlands.
- 663 Syst Appl Micobiol 28, 702-716.
- 664 Vinuesa, P., Silva, C., Werner, D. & Martinez-Romero, E. (2005b). Population genetics and phylogenetic 665 inference in bacterial molecular systematics: the roles of migration and recombination in Bradyrhizobium species 666 cohesion and delineation. Mol Phylogenet Evol 34, 29-54.
- 667 Vinuesa, P., Leon-Barrios, M., Silva, C., Willems, A., Jarabo-Lorenzo, A., Pérez-Galdona, R. & Martinez-
- 668 Romero, E. (2005c). Bradyrhizobium canariense sp. nov., an acid-tolerant endosymbiont that nodulates endemic
- 669 genistoid legumes (Papilionoideae: Genisteae) growing in the canary Islands, along with B. japonicum bv.
- 670 genistearum, Bradyrhizobium genospecies α and Bradyrhizobium genospecies β . Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 55, 569-
- 671 575.
- 672 Wang, E. T., Tan, Z. Y., Willems, A., Fernandez-Lopez, M., Reinhold-Hurek, B. & Martinez-Romero, E.
- 673 (2002). Sinorhizobium morelense sp. nov., isolated from Leucaena leucocephala that is highly resistant to multiple
- 674 antibiotics. Int J Syst Microbiol 52, 1687-1693.
- 675 Wei, G. H., Wang, E. T., Tan, Z. Y., Zhu, M. E. & Chen, W. X. (2002). Rhizobium indigoferae sp. nov. and
- 676 Sinorhizobium kummerowiae sp. nov., respectively isolated from Indigofera spp; and Kummerowia stipulacea. Int J
- 677 Syst Evol Microbiol 52, 2231-2239.
- 678 Wertz, J. E., Goldstone, C., Gordon, D. M. & Riley, M. A. (2003). A molecular phylogeny of enteric bacteria and 679 implications for a bacterial species concept. J Evol Biol 16, 1236-1248.
- 680 Willems, A., Fernandez-Lopez, M., Munoz-Adelantado, E., Goris, J., De Vos, P., Martinez-Romero, E., Toro,
- 681 N. & Gillis, M. (2003). Description of new Ensifer strains from nodules and proposal to transfer Ensifer adhaerens
- 682 Casida 1982 to Sinorhizobium as Sinorhizobium adhaerens comb. nov. Request for an Opinion. Int J Syst Evol
- 683 Microbiol 53, 1207-1217.

- 684 Willems, A., Doignon-Bourcier, F., Goris, J., Coopman, R., de Lajudie, P., De Vos, P. & Gillis, M. (2001).
- 685 DNA-DNA hybridization study of *Bradyrhizobium* strains. *Int J Syst Microbiol* **51**, 1315-1322.
- 686 Young, J. M. (2003). The genus name *Ensifer* Casida 1982 takes priority over *Sinorhizobium* Chen *et al.* 1988, and
- 687 Sinorhizobium morelense Wang et al. 2002 is a later synonym of Ensifer Casida 1982. Is the combination
- 688 Sinorhizobium adhaerens (Casida 1982) Willems et al., 2003 legitimate? Request for an Opinion. Int J Syst Evol
- 689 *Microbiol* 53, 2107-2110.
- 690 Zakhia, F., Jeder, H., Domergue, O., Willems, A., Cleyet-Marel, J.-C., Gillis, M., Dreyfus, B. & de Lajudie, P.
- 691 (2004). Characterisation of Legume-Nodulating Bacteria (LNB) in arid regions of Tunisia. Syst Appl Microbiol 27,
- **692** 380-395.
- 693 Zakhia, F. (2004). Diversité des bactéries hôtes de légumineuses méditerranéennes en Tunisie et au Liban. Thèse
- 694 d'université. Université de Montpellier, France. 200 p.
- 695 Zakhia, F., Jeder', H., Willems, A., Dreyfus, B. & de Lajudie, P. (2006). Diverse bacteria associated with root
- 696 nodules of spontaneous legumes in Tunisia and first report for *nifH*-like gene within the genera *Microbacterium* and
- 697 Starkeya.. Microbiol. Ecol. **51**(3), 375-393.
- 698 Zeigler, D. R. (2003). Gene sequences useful for predicting relatedness of whole genomes in bacteria. Int J Syst Evol
- 699 *Microbiol* 53, 1893-1900.

Table 1. Accession numbers of new sequence data

ND, not detected.

Name	Strain	Other number	SSU	recA	glnA	atpD	thrC	gltA	nodA	Source
E. numidicus	ORS 1444	LMG 24691	AY500253	AM946578	AM946562	AM946549	AM946586	AM946596		Argyrolobium uniflorum, Tunisia (Zakhia et al., 2004)
E. numidicus	ORS 1410	LMG 24736, CIP 109858	AM946566	AM946577	AM946561	AM946550	ND	AM946595		Lotus creticus, Tunisia (Zakhia et al., 2004)
E. numidicus	ORS 1407 ^T	LMG24690 ^T , CIP 109850 ^T	AY500254	AM946576	AM946560	AM946551	AM946585	AM946594		Argyrolobium uniflorum, Tunisia (Zakhia et al., 2004)
E. garamanticus	ORS 1400 ^T	LMG 24692 ^T	AY500255	AM946573	AM946557	AM946546	AM946582	AM946591		Argyrolobium uniflorum, Tunisia (Zakhia et al., 2004)
E. garamanticus	ORS 1401	LMG 24693, CIP 109848	AM946567	AM946574	AM946558	AM946547	AM946583	AM946592		Argyrolobium uniflorum, Tunisia (Zakhia et al., 2004)
E. garamanticus	STM 354	LMG 24694, CIP 109849	AM946568	AM946575	AM946559	AM946548	AM946584	AM946593		Medicago sativa, Tunisia (Zakhia et al., 2004)
E. adhaerens gv. A	ORS 2154		AM946569	AM946579	AM946565	AM946553	AM946587	AM946598		Lotus arabicus, Senegal (Sy et al., 2001)
E. adhaerens gv. A	ORS 2133		AM946570	AM946580	AM946563	AM946554	AM946588	AM946597		Lotus arabicus, Senegal (Sy et al., 2001)
E. adhaerens gv. A	ORS 529		AM946571	AM946581	AM946564	AM946555	AM946589	AM946599		Lotus arabicus, Senegal (Sy et al., 2001)

	ORS 529	ORS 2133
ORS 529	100	99
ORS 2133	99	100
Ensifer adhaerens gv. A LMG 10007	74	75
Ensifer adhaerens gv. B R-7457	60	59
Ensifer adhaerens gv. C LMG 20216 ^T	47	47

Table 2. DNA-DNA hybridisation values among Ensifer species and strains of cluster B isolated from Lotus arabicus in Senegal.

Supplementary Table S1. Primers used for DNA amplification and sequencing.

Gene	Primer	Direction	length (bases)	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Reference
16S rRNA	FGPS 6	forward	22	GGA GAG TTA GAT CTT GGC TCA G	Normand et al., 1992
16S rRNA	FGPS 1509	reverse	20	AAG GAG GGG ATC CAG CCG CA	Normand et al., 1992
16S rRNA	FGPS 484-292	forward	15	CAG CAG CCG CGG TAA	Normand et al., 1996
16S rRNA	16S-1080r	reverse	19	GGG ACT TAA CCC AAC ATC T	Sy et al., 2001
16S rRNA	16S-870f	forward	21	CCT GGG GAG TAC GGT CGC AAG	Sy et al., 2001
16S rRNA	16S-1924	reverse	20	GGC ACG AAG TTA GCC GGG GC	Sy et al., 2001
recA	A555	reverse	26	CGR ATC TGG TTG ATG AAG ATC ACC AT	Gaunt et al., 2001
recA	A36	forward	23	ATC GAG CGG TCG TTC GGC AAG GG	Gaunt et al., 2001
atpD	atpD273F	forward	21	SCT GGG SCG YAT CMT GAA CGT	Gaunt et al., 2001
atpD	atpD771R	reverse	23	GCC GAC ACT TCC GAA CCN GCC TG	Gaunt et al., 2001
glnA	glnA144F	forward	21	GTC ATG TTC GAC GGY TCY TCG	Martens et al., 2007
glnA	glnA1142R	reverse	21	TGG AKC TTG TTC TTG ATG CCG	Martens et al., 2007
glnA	glnA572F	forward	20	GGA CAT GCG YTC YGA RAT GC	Martens et al., 2007
glnA	glnA572R	reverse	20	GCA TYT CRG ARC GCA TGT CC	Martens et al., 2007
thrC	thrC577F	forward	21	GGC AMK TTC GAC GAY TGC CAG	Martens et al., 2007
thrC	thrC1231R	reverse	20	GGR AAT TTD GCC GGR TGS GC	Martens et al., 2007
thrC	thrC766F	forward	17	GGC AAT TTC GGC GAY AT	Martens et al., 2007
thrC	thrC766R	reverse	17	ATR TCG CCG AAA TTG CC	Martens et al., 2007
thrC	thrC925R	reverse	20	GAS GAR AYC TGG ATR TCC AT	Martens et al., 2007
gltA	gltA428F	forward	19	CSG CCT TCT AYC AYG ACT C	Martens et al., 2007
gltA	gltA1111R	reverse	20	GGG AAG CCS AKC GCC TTC AG	Martens et al., 2007
nodA	NodA1F	forward	24	TGC RGT GGA ARN TRB VYT GGG AAA	Haukka et al., 1998
nodA	NodAB1R	reverse	23	GGN CCG TCR TCR AAW GTC ARG TA	Haukka et al., 1998

Primers given in bold were used for initial amplification.

Supplementary Table S2: Carbon assimilation tests. Cluster A is compared to reference strains of *E. meliloti, E. medicae* and *E. arboris*; Cluster B is compared to *E. adhaerens* genomovars A, B, C; Cluster C is compared to *E. terangae* reference strain. The following substrate of the biotype 100 strip (bioMérieux) are assimilated by all strains: D(+) Galactose, β -D (+) Fructose , D(+) Trehalose, D(+) Mannose, Sucrose (Saccharose), Maltose, α -Lactose, Lactulose , 1-0-Methyl- β -galactopyranoside, 1-0-Methyl- α -galactopyranoside, D(+)Xylose, Palatinose, α -L-Rhamnose, D(+) Arabitol, Glycerol, myo-Inositol, D-Mannitol, Maltitol, D(+)Turanose , D- Sorbitol, L-Glutamate, L-Proline, Betain, .

The following are not assimilated by any strain: D-Saccharate, Mucate, L(+) Tartrate, D(-) Tartrate, meso-Tartrate, Tricarballylate,

Gentisate, m-Hydroxybenzoate, 3-Phenylpropionate, m-Coumarate, Histamine, Caprylate, Tryptamine

+: Growth ; +/-: Weak Growth ; - No growth

*: Positive reaction is estimated by a colorated reaction (Esculin "dark brown/ Black", Hydroxyquinoline β -glucuronide "black point", L- Tryptophan "Dark orange", L-Histidine "pink coloration »).

b: Black coloration; j: Yellow coloration; i: not colored; c: colored

Substrate	E. meliloti LMG 6133T	E. medicae LMG 19920T	E. arboris LMG 1419T	Cluster A: ORS 1444	Cluster A: ORS 1410	Cluster A: ORS 1407	E. adhaerens gvA LMG 9954	E. adhaerens gvB R 7457	E adha erens gvC LMG 20216	Cluster B: ORS 2133	Cluster B ORS 2154	Cluster B: ORS 529	E. terangae LMG 7834T	Cluster C: ORS 1400	Cluster C: ORS 1401	Cluster C: STM 354
control	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
a-D (+) Glucose	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
L(+) Sorbose	+	+/-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
a-D Melibiose	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-
D(+) Raffinose	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	+	+	+
Maltotriose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+/-
D(+) Cellobiose	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
p-GenuoDiose	+	+/-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	-	-	+/-	+/-
glucopyranoside	т	т	т	т	-		+/-	Ŧ	т	т	Τ.	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	т	т
Esculin*	-b	-b	-b	-b	-b	-b	-b	-b	- b	- b	- b	- b	- b	- b	- b	- b
D(-)Ribose	+	+	+	+	-	+/-	+	+	+/-	+	+	+	+	+/-	+	-
L(+) Arabinose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	-
α-L(-)Fucose	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-
D(+) Melezitose	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	-	-	-
L(-) Arabitol	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
Xylitol Dulaital	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Dulcitol	+	+	+	-	-	+/-	+/-	-	+/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D-Tagalose Adopitol	+	+	+	-	+	+ _i	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hydroxyquinoline-ß-	+	-	-i	-i	т -	-1	-i	-	-i	-	-	-	-	-	-	-i
glucuronide*			-													
D-Lyxose	-i	+	+/-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
i-Erythritol	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
1-O-Methyl-α-D-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	-	-	-
glucopyranoside																
3-O-Methyl-D-	+	+/-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D(+) Malata								. /	. /			. /	. /			
D(+) Malate	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+/-	+/-	+	+	+/-	+/-	-	-	-
cis-Aconitate	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+/-	+/-	-	-	_
trans-Aconitate	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	-
Citrate	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+/-	-	-	-
D-Glucoronate	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	-	-	-
D-Galacturonate	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
2-Keto-D-Gluconate	+	+	+/-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+/-	+
5-Keto-D-Gluconate	-	-	+/-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
L-Tryptophan*	-1	-	-1	-c	-	-c	+/-c	+c	+/-	+/-c	+c	+c	-1	-1	-	-
N-Acetyl-D- Glucosamine	+	+	+	+/-	+	+/-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	+/-
D- Gluconate	-	-	+	-	_	+/-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+/-	-	-	-
Phenylacetate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protocatechuate	+	-i	+	+/-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
p-Hydroxybenzoate	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
(-) Quinate	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Trigonelline	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Putrescine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+/-	+	-	-	-	-
DL-α-Amino-n-	+	+	+	+/-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Butyrate DL Lactate											17		1/		1/	
Caprate	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	+	+/-	+	+/-	-
L.Histidine*	+	+	+	-	_	+/-	-	+	+	+i	+i	+i	+/-i	+i	+	+/-
Succinate	+	+	+	-	-	+/-	+i	+	+/-	+	+	+	-	-	_	+/-
Fumarate	+	+	+	-	-	+/-	+	+	+/-	+	+	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
Glutarate	-	-	+	-	-	-	+/-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DL-Glycerate	+/-	+/-	+	-	-	-	+/-	-	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	-	-	+/-	-
DL-α-Amino-n-	+/-	-	+	-	-	-	+/-	-	+/-	+/-	+	+	-	+/-	+/-	-
Valerate																
D Clussesmine	-	+/-	+	-	-	+/-	+/-	-	+	+/-	+	+/-	-	-	-	-
D-Glucosallille Itaconate	+	+	+	+	-	+/-	+	+	+/-	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	-
DL-β-	-	+	+	-	-	+/-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hydroxybutyrate	<u> </u>	<u> </u>										<u> </u>	. /	. /	<u> </u>	
L-Aspartate	+	+	+	-	-	+/-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	+/-	-	-
I - Alanine	-+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- +	-
L-Serine	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	+	+/-	+/-
Malonate	-	+/-	+	+/-	-	+/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+/-	+/-	+/-
Propionate	+	-	+	-	-	+/-	+	+	+/-	+	+	+/-	-	-	-	-
L-Tyrosine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+/-	-	+/-	+/-	-	-	-	+/-
α-Ketoglutarate	-	-	+/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 26

Figure 1. Phylogenetic reconstruction based on individual analysis of the 16S rRNA gene. Analysis were conducted using the ML method. BT values of 75 or more (using 100 replicates) are indicated at branching points. Bars, 0.1% estimated substitutions.



Figure 2: Phylogenetic trees for five housekeeping genes (*atpD*, *gltA*, *glnA*, *recA*, *thrcC*) and the concatenated tree of Tunisian and Senegalese strains compared with *Ensifer* species reference strains. Trees were calculated using the maximum likelihood method (ML). Bootstrap values of 75 or more derived from 100 replicates are indicated in branching points. Bars, 0.1 % estimated substitutions







Figure 3: Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of *nodA* gene sequences of Tunisian end Senegalease strains. The new strains are indicated in blot and bootstrap value> 60 % resulting from 1000 replicates are indicated in branching points.