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Two separate experiments using the Differential Decay Curve Method have been performed to extract mean lifetimes of excited states in ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$. The medium-spin states of interest were populated by the ${ }^{98} \mathrm{Mo}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{n}\right)$ ${ }^{106}$ Cd reaction performed at the Wright Nuclear Structure Lab., Yale University. From this experiment, two isomeric state mean lifetimes have been deduced. The low-lying states were populated by the ${ }^{96} \mathrm{Mo}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{n}\right){ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$ reaction performed at the Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln. The mean lifetime of the $I^{\pi}=2_{1}^{+}$state was deduced, tentatively, as $16.4(9) \mathrm{ps}$. This value differs from the previously accepted literature value from Coulomb excitation of $10.43(9)$ ps.

PACS numbers: 21.10.Tg, 23.20.Lv, 25.70.Gh, 27.60.+j
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## 1. Introduction

In terms of low-lying excitations, the cadmium nuclei are considered some of the best examples of quasi-vibrational nuclei (see reference [1] and references therein). However, from the systematics of the $B(\mathrm{E} 2)$ values of the $I^{\pi}=2_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}$and $I^{\pi}=4_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$transitions in ${ }^{104-110} \mathrm{Cd}[2]$, the $B(\mathrm{E} 2)$ values in ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$ appear to be larger than the systematic trend of the light cadmium isotopes, whose $B(\mathrm{E} 2)$ values decrease, approaching ${ }^{102} \mathrm{Cd}$ [3]. Within the medium-spin regime, it is evident that there are collective structures with occupation of at least one $\nu \mathrm{h}_{11 / 2}$ orbital [4].

This paper summarises two experiments using the Recoil Distance Method (RDM) and Differential Decay Curve Method to determine $B(\mathrm{E} 2)$ values for various transitions in ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$.

## 2. Experimental details

## 2.1. $D D C M$ experiment of the medium-spin states in ${ }^{106} C d$

For population and analysis of the medium-spin states in ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$, an experiment was performed at the Wright Nuclear Structure Laboratory, using the New Yale Plunger Device [5] and SPEEDY $\gamma$-ray array [6] consisting of seven HPGe clover detectors, four at $41.5^{\circ}$ and three at $138.5^{\circ}$, with both angles relative to the beam axis. The ${ }^{98} \mathrm{Mo}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{n}\right){ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$ reaction channel was utilised, with $E\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right)_{\mathrm{LAB}}=60 \mathrm{MeV}$. Further details of the experiment can be found in [7].

### 2.2. Lifetime determination of isomeric states in ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$

The deduction of the $I^{\pi}=9^{-}$and $8^{-}$isomeric state lifetimes was performed using the $330 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $2008 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ target-stopper distances from the Yale experiment. The lifetime of the $I^{\pi}=9^{-}$state at $E_{x}=3678 \mathrm{keV}$ in ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$ was deduced by gating on the shifted component of the $646 \mathrm{keV}, I^{\pi}=11^{-} \rightarrow 9^{-}$ transition and projecting, fitting, deconvoluting and normalising the stopped and shifted components of the $269 \mathrm{keV}, I^{\pi}=9^{-} \rightarrow 7^{-}$transition, as detailed in [8]. The deduced mean lifetime, $\tau$, of the $I^{\pi}=9^{-}$state at $E_{x}=3678 \mathrm{keV}$ is $0.89(20) \mathrm{ns}$.

A similar procedure was performed for the mean lifetime of the $I^{\pi}=8^{-}$ state at $E_{x}=3507 \mathrm{keV}$ in ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$ by gating on the shifted component of the $598 \mathrm{keV}, I^{\pi}=10^{-} \rightarrow 8^{-}$transition and projecting, fitting, deconvoluting and normalising the stopped and shifted peaks of the $188 \mathrm{keV}, I^{\pi}=8^{-} \rightarrow 6^{-}$ transition. The deduced mean lifetime of the $I^{\pi}=8^{-}$state at $E_{x}=3507 \mathrm{keV}$ is $1.7(5) \mathrm{ns}$.

### 2.3. DDCM experiment of the low-spin states in ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$

A second experiment was performed at the Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln, which utilised the Köln plunger and the ${ }^{96} \mathrm{Mo}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{n}\right)$ ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$ reaction at $E\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)_{\mathrm{LAB}}=43 \mathrm{MeV}$. In this experiment, twenty distances
were measured, eight of which $(6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 8 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 13 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 16 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 18 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $37 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) are used in the preliminary analysis presented here. The reaction $\gamma$ rays were detected using seven individual segments of one germanium cluster detector (one segment was at an angle of $0^{\circ}$ and the other six segments were at an angle of $34.5^{\circ}$ relative to the beam axis) and five additional single crystal germanium detectors, each at an angle of $141.5^{\circ}$ relative to the beam axis.

For both experiments, prompt coincidences were sorted into angle-dependent $\gamma-\gamma$ matrices and were analysed with the TV matrix viewer [9]. The lifetimes were deduced by using the Differential Decay Curve Method (DDCM) [10].

### 2.4. Preliminary analysis of the $I^{\pi}=2_{1}^{+}$state lifetime

From the Köln experiment, three separate 1 keV wide energy coincidence gates were placed on the backward shifted component of the $861 \mathrm{keV}, I^{\pi}=$ $4_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$transition. Projecting, fitting, deconvoluting and normalising the stopped and backward shifted components of the $633 \mathrm{keV}, I^{\pi}=2_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}$


Fig. 1. Left: Projection and deconvolution of the stopped and backward-shifted components of the $633 \mathrm{keV}, I^{\pi}=2_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}$transition in ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cd}$. The gate was set on the backward-shifted component of the $861 \mathrm{keV} I^{\pi}=4_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$transition for a series of distances between $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $37 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Right bottom: Normalised intensities of the stopped (decreasing as a function of distance) and shifted (increasing as a function of distance) components of the $633 \mathrm{keV} I^{\pi}=2_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}$transition. Right top: Corresponding mean lifetimes at each individual distance measured. The weighted mean lifetime of this particular gate for the $I^{\pi}=2_{1}^{+}$state at $E_{\mathrm{x}}=633 \mathrm{keV}$ is $16.7(16) \mathrm{ps}$.
transition yields mean lifetimes of $15.5(14) \mathrm{ps}, 16.7(16) \mathrm{ps}$ (see Fig. 1) and $17.4(19) \mathrm{ps}$. The weighted mean of these values yielded a mean lifetime of the $I^{\pi}=2_{1}^{+}$state of $16.4(9) \mathrm{ps}$.

## 3. Discussion and conclusion

For the isomeric states, the $I^{\pi}=9^{-}$and $I^{\pi}=8^{-}$mean lifetimes of $0.89(20) \mathrm{ns}$ and $1.7(5) \mathrm{ns}$ compare well to the previously reported values of $1.0(+2,-4) \mathrm{ns}$ and $1.7(6) \mathrm{ns}$ deduced by the "centroid shift method" [11]. For the $I^{\pi}=2_{1}^{+}$state, the mean lifetime of $16.4(9) \mathrm{ps}$, presented here, differs from the literature value of $10.43(9)$ ps deduced from Coulomb excitation [2].
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