

Affine embeddings of $(0, \alpha)$ -geometries

F. De Clerck N. De Feyter* J. A. Thas

Abstract

It is the purpose of this research note to give an overview of the recent results on full embeddings of $(0, \alpha)$ -geometries in affine spaces.

1 Definitions

A $(0, \alpha)$ -geometry $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{I})$ is a connected partial linear space of order (s, t) with the property that for every anti-flag (p, L) the number $\alpha(p, L)$ of lines of \mathcal{S} through p intersecting L equals 0 or a constant α . This class of geometries generalizes the class of *partial geometries* introduced by Bose [1]. In a partial geometry, denoted as $\text{pg}(s, t, \alpha)$, $\alpha(p, L) = \alpha$ for every anti-flag (p, L) . A $\text{pg}(s, t, t)$ is also called a (*Bruck*) *net*, while a $\text{pg}(s, t, 1)$ is a *generalized quadrangle* of order (s, t) .

The point graph of a partial geometry is strongly regular. If the point graph of a $(0, \alpha)$ -geometry \mathcal{S} is strongly regular, then \mathcal{S} is called a *semipartial geometry* $\text{spg}(s, t, \alpha, \mu)$ [10]. Here μ is the number of vertices adjacent to two non-adjacent vertices in the point graph of \mathcal{S} . Every partial geometry is a semipartial geometry, but not vice versa. A semipartial geometry which is not a partial geometry is called a *proper* semipartial geometry.

A $(0, \alpha)$ -geometry $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{I})$ is said to be *fully embedded* (or, shortly, *embedded*) in an affine space $\text{AG}(n, q)$ if the lines of \mathcal{S} are lines of $\text{AG}(n, q)$, if \mathcal{P} is the set of all affine points on the lines of \mathcal{S} and if \mathbf{I} is as in $\text{AG}(n, q)$. We also require that \mathcal{P} spans $\text{AG}(n, q)$. We say that \mathcal{S} has a *planar net* if there is an affine plane such that the points and lines of \mathcal{S} in it form a net. We denote by Π_∞ the space at infinity of $\text{AG}(n, q)$. If $\alpha > 1$ it can be proved (see for example [3]) that if a plane π containing two intersecting lines of \mathcal{S} does not contain a planar net of \mathcal{S} , then $\alpha = 2, q = 2^h$ and the lines of \mathcal{S} in π form a dual oval with nucleus $\pi \cap \Pi_\infty$. If $\alpha = 1$ and if the plane π contains two intersecting lines of \mathcal{S} , then either π contains a planar net of \mathcal{S} or \mathcal{S} and π have γ concurrent lines in common, $2 \leq \gamma \leq q + 1$. Hence, in this case there is less structure and we think that a classification of $(0, 1)$ -geometries fully embedded in an affine space is very difficult. For some extra information on this case we refer to [3].

*This research was supported by a BOF ("Bijzonder Onderzoeksfonds") grant at Ghent University.

2 Motivation

The *linear representation* $T_{n-1}^*(\mathcal{K}_\infty)$ of a set $\mathcal{K}_\infty \subseteq \Pi_\infty$ is the partial linear space embedded in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ which has as line set the set of all affine lines intersecting Π_∞ in a point of \mathcal{K}_∞ . If \mathcal{K}_∞ is a set of type $\{0, 1, \alpha + 1\}$, i.e., a set intersecting every line in 0, 1 or $\alpha + 1$ points, spanning Π_∞ then $T_{n-1}^*(\mathcal{K}_\infty)$ is a $(0, \alpha)$ -geometry. Every two intersecting lines of $T_{n-1}^*(\mathcal{K}_\infty)$ are contained in a (necessarily unique) planar net.

Thas [13] has classified the affine embeddings of partial geometries. In the case $\alpha > 1$ (that is, where the partial geometry is not a generalized quadrangle) only linear representations occur. In particular, when $\alpha > 1$, \mathcal{K}_∞ is the complement of a hyperplane in Π_∞ or $n = 3$ and \mathcal{K}_∞ is a maximal arc in Π_∞ . Debroey and Thas [9] have classified the embeddings of semipartial geometries in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ for $n \leq 3$. Again only linear representations occur when $\alpha > 1$. In particular, when $\alpha > 1$, and the geometry is a proper semipartial geometry then $n = 3$ and \mathcal{K}_∞ is a unital or a Baer subplane of Π_∞ . Recently De Winter [8] proved that if a linear representation $T_3^*(\mathcal{K}_\infty)$ in $\text{AG}(4, q)$ is a proper semipartial geometry with $\alpha > 1$, then \mathcal{K}_∞ is a Baer subspace of Π_∞ .

However Hirschfeld and Thas [12] describe an $\text{spg}(q-1, q^2, 2, 2q(q-1))$ $\text{TQ}(4, q)$ which, for even q , is embedded in $\text{AG}(4, q)$ but is not a linear representation. This geometry is obtained by intersecting the secant lines of a nonsingular elliptic quadric in $\text{PG}(5, q)$ through a given external point with a hyperplane not containing that point. The intersection of $\text{TQ}(4, q)$ with an affine hyperplane yields a $(0, 2)$ -geometry of order $(q-1, q)$ which we will denote as HT. This geometry is embedded in $\text{AG}(3, q)$ and it is not a linear representation. Neither $\text{TQ}(4, q)$ nor HT contains a planar net.

The existence of the geometries $\text{TQ}(4, q)$ and HT motivates the characterization by De Clerck and Delanote [3] of the linear representations among the $(0, \alpha)$ -geometries ($\alpha > 1$) embedded in $\text{AG}(n, q)$. In particular, it follows from their result that if $\alpha > 2$ or if q is odd, then only linear representations can occur. Recently also the $(0, 2)$ -geometries embedded in $\text{AG}(n, 2^h)$ were classified by De Feyter [4, 5, 6, 7]. Two new constructions, found by De Feyter, of $(0, 2)$ -geometries arise which are not linear representations. We describe them in the next section.

3 The geometries $\mathcal{A}(O_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{I}(n, q, e)$

De Feyter has constructed two $(0, 2)$ -geometries with an affine embedding. We give a short description, for more information we refer to the papers of De Feyter, [4, 5, 6, 7].

The $(0, 2)$ -geometry $\mathcal{A}(O_\infty)$ which is embedded in $\text{AG}(3, q)$, $q = 2^h$, is constructed as follows. Let O_∞ be an oval of Π_∞ with nucleus n_∞ . Choose a basis such that $\Pi_\infty : X_3 = 0, n_\infty(1, 0, 0, 0)$ and such that $(0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1, 0) \in O_\infty$. Let f be the o-polynomial (see [11], section 8.4) such that $O_\infty = \{(f(\rho), \rho, 1, 0) \mid \rho \in \text{GF}(q)\} \cup \{(0, 1, 0, 0)\}$ and for every affine point $p(x, y, z, 1)$ let $O_\infty^p = \{(y + z\rho + f(\rho), \rho, 1, 0) \mid \rho \in \text{GF}(q)\} \cup \{(z, 1, 0, 0)\}$. Let \mathcal{L}_p be the set of lines through p and a point of O_∞^p . Let \mathcal{P} be the point set of $\text{AG}(3, q)$, $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{L}_p$, and let I be the natural incidence. If O_∞ is not a conic

then $\mathcal{A}(O_\infty) = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{I})$ is connected and is indeed a $(0, 2)$ -geometry of order $(2^h - 1, 2^h)$ [5]. If O_∞ is a conic then the incidence structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{I})$ consists of two connected components which are projectively equivalent with HT [5], and we let $\mathcal{A}(O_\infty)$ be any of these two components. Note that $\mathcal{A}(O_\infty)$ is not a semipartial geometry and has no planar nets.

Another $(0, 2)$ -geometry $\mathcal{I}(n, q, e)$ embedded in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 2$, $q = 2^h$ is constructed as follows. Let U be a hyperplane of $\text{AG}(n, q)$, and choose a basis such that $\Pi_\infty : X_n = 0$ and $U : X_{n-1} = 0$. Let $e \in \{1, 2, \dots, h-1\}$ be such that $\gcd(e, h) = 1$, and let φ be the collineation of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ mapping $p(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n)$ to $p^\varphi(x_0^{2^e}, x_1^{2^e}, \dots, x_{n-2}^{2^e}, x_n^{2^e}, x_{n-1}^{2^e})$. Put $U_\infty = U \cap \Pi_\infty$ and let \mathcal{K}_∞ be the set of points of U_∞ fixed by φ . Then \mathcal{K}_∞ is the point set of a projective geometry $\text{PG}(n-2, 2) \subseteq U_\infty$. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of affine lines L such that either $L \subseteq U$ and $L \cap \Pi_\infty \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$, or L intersects U in an affine point p and $L \cap \Pi_\infty = p^\varphi$. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of affine points on the lines of \mathcal{B} , and let \mathbf{I} be the natural incidence. Then $\mathcal{I}(n, q, e) = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{I})$ is a $(0, 2)$ -geometry of order $(2^h - 1, 2^{n-1} - 1)$ embedded in $\text{AG}(n, 2^h)$ [6]. These geometries are not semipartial geometries and have planar nets as well as planes containing a dual oval of lines of $\mathcal{I}(n, q, e)$.

4 Results and applications

We recall that, given a $(0, \alpha)$ -geometry ($\alpha > 1$) embedded in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, every intersection with a plane containing at least two intersecting lines of the geometry, is either a planar net or is a geometry whose lines form a dual oval with nucleus the line at infinity of the plane (in which case $\alpha = 2$ and $q = 2^h$). De Clerck and Delanote [3] have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 ([3]) *If \mathcal{S} is a $(0, \alpha)$ -geometry ($\alpha > 1$) embedded in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ such that every two intersecting lines of \mathcal{S} are contained in a planar net of \mathcal{S} , then \mathcal{S} is a linear representation of a set \mathcal{K}_∞ in Π_∞ . In particular this conclusion holds if $\alpha > 2$ or q is odd.*

A $(0, 2)$ -geometry of order $(1, t)$ is just a complete graph. The embedding of complete graphs in $\text{AG}(n, 2)$ is trivial, so we assume in the following theorem, due to De Feyter, that $q > 2$.

Theorem 4.2 ([4, 5, 6, 7]) *Let \mathcal{S} be a $(0, 2)$ -geometry embedded in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, $q = 2^h$, $h > 1$, of order $(q - 1, t)$. Then one of the following cases occurs.*

1. \mathcal{S} is a linear representation of a set \mathcal{K}_∞ in Π_∞ which spans Π_∞ and is of type $\{0, 1, 3\}$.
2. $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{I}(n, q, e)$.
3. $n = 2$ and the lines of \mathcal{S} together with Π_∞ form a dual hyperoval.
4. $n = 3$ and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{A}(O_\infty)$.
5. $n = 4$ and $\mathcal{S} = \text{TQ}(4, q)$.

The most difficult part of the proof of Theorem 4.2 is when $n = 3$. In [6] it is proved that when $n = 3$ and there is a planar net, then we are in case 1 or 2. In [5] it is proved that under the conditions $n = 3$, $t = q$ and such that there are no planar nets, case 4 is occurring. In [5, 4] it is assumed that $n = 3$, while $t \neq q$, and that there are no planar nets, and a contradiction is found. Finally in [7] the case $n > 3$ is treated. This final part of the proof uses mainly an induction argument on n . In particular we rely on the following fact. Let \mathcal{S} be a $(0, \alpha)$ -geometry ($\alpha > 1$) embedded in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ and let $\text{AG}(m, q)$ be an affine subspace. If a connected component of the incidence structure induced by \mathcal{S} in $\text{AG}(m, q)$ contains two intersecting lines, then it is again a $(0, \alpha)$ -geometry, which is embedded in $\text{AG}(m, q)$. Similar arguments do not hold however for $\alpha = 1$, making this case much more difficult. Note that Theorem 4.2 does not classify the sets \mathcal{K}_∞ in case 1 as this would imply the unlikely classification of all sets of type $\{0, 1, 3\}$ in $\text{PG}(n, 2^h)$.

Corollary 4.3 *Let \mathcal{S} be a semipartial geometry $\text{spg}(s, t, \alpha, \mu)$, $\alpha > 1$, embedded in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, $q > 2$. Then one of the following cases occurs.*

1. \mathcal{S} is a linear representation of a set \mathcal{K}_∞ of type $\{0, 1, \alpha + 1\}$ in Π_∞ such that through every point of Π_∞ not in \mathcal{K}_∞ there are exactly $\frac{\mu}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}$ lines containing $\alpha + 1$ points of \mathcal{K}_∞ .
2. $n = 2$ and the lines of \mathcal{S} together with Π_∞ form a dual hyperoval.
3. $n = 4$ and $\mathcal{S} = \text{TQ}(4, q)$.

We recall that for $n \leq 4$ the linear representations of semipartial geometries with $\alpha > 1$ are classified [9, 8]. The only known linear representation $T_{n-1}^*(\mathcal{K}_\infty)$ with $n \geq 5$ that is a proper semipartial geometry with $\alpha > 1$ is $T_{n-1}^*(\mathcal{B}_\infty)$, where \mathcal{B}_∞ is a Baer subspace of Π_∞ .

Corollary 4.3 classifies the semipartial geometries with $\alpha > 1$ embedded in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ which are not linear representations. This extends partially the result of Debroey and Thas [9] for $\text{AG}(2, q)$ and $\text{AG}(3, q)$, where no restriction is assumed (see also [3] for another proof). Corollary 4.3 also improves the characterization by Brown, De Clerck and Delanote [2] saying that $\text{TQ}(4, q)$ is the only $\text{spg}(q - 1, q^2, 2, 2q(q - 1))$ embedded in $\text{AG}(4, q)$.

References

- [1] R. C. Bose. Strongly regular graphs, partial geometries and partially balanced designs. *Pacific J. Math.*, 13:389–419, 1963.
- [2] M. R. Brown, F. De Clerck, and M. Delanote. Affine semipartial geometries and projections of quadrics. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 103(2):281–289, 2003.
- [3] F. De Clerck and M. Delanote. On $(0, \alpha)$ -geometries and dual semipartial geometries fully embedded in an affine space. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 32:103–110, 2004.
- [4] N. De Feyter. Classification of $(0, 2)$ -geometries embedded in $\text{AG}(3, q)$. Preprint.

- [5] N. De Feyter. The embedding in $AG(3, q)$ of $(0, 2)$ -geometries with no planar nets. To appear in *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*.
- [6] N. De Feyter. The embedding in $AG(3, q)$ of $(0, 2)$ -geometries containing a planar net. Preprint.
- [7] N. De Feyter. The embedding of $(0, 2)$ -geometries and semipartial geometries in $AG(n, q)$. To appear in *Adv. Geom.*
- [8] S. De Winter. Linear representations of semipartial geometries. Preprint.
- [9] I. Debroey and J. A. Thas. Semipartial geometries in $AG(2, q)$ and $AG(3, q)$. *Simon Stevin*, 51(4):195–209, 1977/78.
- [10] I. Debroey and J. A. Thas. On semipartial geometries. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 25(3):242–250, 1978.
- [11] J. W. P. Hirschfeld. *Projective geometries over finite fields*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1979. Oxford Mathematical Monographs.
- [12] J. W. P. Hirschfeld and J. A. Thas. Sets of type $(1, n, q + 1)$ in $PG(d, q)$. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 41(2):254–278, 1980.
- [13] J. A. Thas. Partial geometries in finite affine spaces. *Math. Z.*, 158(1):1–13, 1978.

Department of Pure Mathematics and Computer Algebra
 Ghent University
 Krijgslaan 281 - S22
 B-9000 Gent
 BELGIUM
 fdcc@cage.UGent.be, ndfeyter@cage.UGent.be, jat@cage.UGent.be