

Comparison of two forced-air warming devices for the prevention of hypothermia during abdominal surgery in the Lloyd-Davies position.

M. Crivits; K. Reyntjens; P. Wouters and S. De Hert University of Ghent, Dept of Anaesthesiology, Ghent, Belgium

Background

Goal of the Study

Vs

Prevention of peri-operative hypothermia may be challenging especially in situations where positioning of the patient





leaves minimal body surface area

available for warming strategies.





This is the case for the Lloyd-Davies position.

Underbody mattress

Upperbody blanket

Materials & Methods

- Forty-four patients undergoing surgery in Lloyd-Davies position
- Combined general and epidural anesthesia were randomly allocated to 2 treatment arms:

GROUP B: Upperbody forced air blanket GROUP A: Underbody forced air mattress Vs by Mistral® by Bair Hugger®

- From time of induction core temperature was monitored with an esophageal probe and recorded every 15 minutes.
- Temperatures over time in the different groups were analyzed using two-way analysis of variance for repeated measurements.
- Data are expressed as mean with standard deviation. \bullet

Results

- All patients were included in a period of 24 months.
- One patient was excluded because of malfunction of the thoracic epidural.
- Finally, data of 21 patients in group A and 23 patients in group B were used for analysis.
- There were no differences in patient characteristics between groups





Temperatures at T₃o, T₄5 and T₆o were higher in group A than in group B (P<0.05)

Discussion

- Early onset forced air underbody mattress may partially protect against post induction redistribution hypothermia.
- Patient installation requires some training and additional lacksquareeffort.
- Poor performance in obese patients and after installation of pillow for surgical exposure.

The forced-air warming underbody seems to provide better early temperature maintenance than the routinely used forced-air warming upperbody.

Conclusion