# Short and long term vision on improvements in bus transport

Alex Van den Bossche Ghent University, EELAB Electrical Energy Laboratory Sint –Pietersnieuwstraat 41 Gent Belgium

> Busworld academy Kortrijk 17-01-2014





### Introduction, outline

Short and long term vision on improvements in bus transport: possibilities

#### Short term:

\*Any experiment with electric busses results in more knowledge:

- electric drives,
- auxiliary equipment,
- brake energy recovery.
- \* City centers, touristic areas

\* *Retrofit* with single motor? But 2 or 4 motors is better.

# Longer term = rest of presentation:

- A) Technical possibilities
- 1) City Bus
- 2) Long distance bus-coach
- 3) High efficiency and low weight electric drives
- 4) All transport means should reduce weight.
- B) Financial and social
- C) Conclusion





# 1) City bus, Battery Electric?

#### \* Problems

- Cost and lifetime of batteries,
- Battery Management
  System BMS reliability
  Human resources in
  Power electronics

Comment: How many battery packs for 1million km? \* Solutions to alleviate the battery problem:

### + inductive charging

+ contact charging

- plug charging is still possible in longer stops (night and at noon?)

#### \* Other solutions?

Conventional IC engine on rear wheels, but braking energy from inertia in a small flywheel, electric drive on front wheels.

Possibility of traction control on icy roads





# 2) Long distance bus-coach

#### \*Pure electric :

- Pure electric is not realistic for long distance

+ Battery-Electric with range extender? For mixed use: short and long distance \* Improvement on actual (diesel) buses:

- Other fuels: kerosene, methanol, LPG, H<sub>2</sub> ,CNG without methane leaks?

- Fuel additives: flame speed improvers: acetone, butanone, 0.1% cetane number improvers: alkyl nitrates,... \* Thermodynamic bottom cycle:

Recover electrical/mechanical energy from exhaust + Organic Rankine cycle (10-15%) - Steam cycle (10-15%)

- Giant thermoelectric effect (<4%)





### 2) Long distance bus-coach: auxiliaries

#### \*Generator:

Electricity about 1 euro/ kWh but poor efficiency now:

Lundell alternator at 12V
45-55%, at full load, 50-62% at partial.
Lundell alternator at 24V: only 8% better
Towards 48V?

#### \* Light

+ Led lamps for inside, outside, by preference > = 100lumen/watt is possible.

- Filament lamps: 10 lumen/watt.

- CFL and low efficiency led: 40-70 lumen/watt \* Air-co

+ On exhaust heat?

+ On PV panels at the roof?

Cooling without engine running?

At least ventilation

= increase in comfort





### 3) High efficiency and low weight electric drives

#### \*Electric Motor:

+ Permanent magnet
High peak efficiency,
above 95%, lowest
weight, factor 1.3 constant
power range.
+ Switched reluctance
motor. max. 93%
efficiency but flat, factor 4
constant power range.
- Induction motor
Cheaper today, lower
efficiency max 91%,
factor 1.5 in constant
power at peak load

Efficiencies only for comparison

\* Differential needed? -no-

- 4% loss in usual90° differential.

+ Two electric motors and gear have lower weight Compared to 1 motor with differential and gear \* 2 or 4 wheel drive? *Four electric motors*:
+ Less current / motor
Better traction control on ice.

- More complex electronics.







## 4) All transport means should reduce weight

#### \*3 Benefits

- Lower energy for acceleration (50% of city bus) constant power range. - Lower energy in rolling resistance. Rolling resistance some 50% at high speed (coaches) - Lower power in hill climbing Depending on the trajectory

#### \* Possibilities

- Now about 250kg/ person.

- Ultra light vehicles can achieve (Elbev project) <100kg/person,

Why not buses?

#### \*How?

- Chassis: lattice, alu, stainless steel...

Flexible chassis?

- Integration of electric drives in suspension
- Skin: fiber reinforced polymer
- Challenge to reduce seat weight and maintain comfort

- Auxiliaries







### B) Financial and social aspects

Financial

#### \*Investment

All proposed technical solutions needs investing in research and production before fuel saving can be achieved

#### \* Where?

Battery buses, first in the cities centers and touristic areas

#### \*Who?

• Cities: Exploitation/authorities: mainly cities for Battery Electric.

• Long distance Exploitation/regulations: General improvements in weight and auxiliaries:

• OEM manufacturers for component development. Who finances them?



8/11



# B) Financial and social aspects







9/11

# C) Conclusion

✓ A lot of technical improvements are possible

✓ Research and developments and testing needed

✓ Most of improvements need first investments: Who?

#### Who benefits:

- society with clean air
- fuel/maintenance saving: exploitation
- minimal difference for the user.

It is too early for a clear return on investment without risk





### C) Conclusion

# Thanks for your attention





Accutram 1899-1904 used in Gent, Belgium, photo Lammerstraat http://www.sosseteit.com/Over\_dialect.html

Gyrobus 1955 used in Gent Belgium; museum Antwerp



#### References

Own articles (converters, ultralight vehicles, electric solutions, organic rankine)

#### https://biblio.ugent.be/person/801000552755

Rankine cycle: J.P. Liu, J.Q. Fu, C.Q. Ren, L.J. Wang, Z.X. Xu, B.L. Deng Comparison and analysis of engine exhaust gas energy recovery potential through various bottom cycles, Applied Thermal Engineering 50 (2013) 1219e1234

Lundell alternator: Ruben Ivankovic, Jérôme Cros, Mehdi Taghizadeh Kakhki, Carlos A. Martins and Philippe Viarouge, "Power Electronic Solutions to Improve the Performance of Lundell Automotive Alternators" http://www.intechopen.com/download/get/type/pdfs/id/38166

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alternator\_%28automotive%29



