4TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE OF THE APPLICATION OF PHYSICAL MODELLING TO PORT AND COASTAL PROTECTION

UNIVERSITEIT GENT

ISBN: 978-9-09-027444-7

# Coastlab12

## 4th

International Conference of the Application of Physical Modelling to Port and Coastal Protection

# BOOK OF PROCEEDINGS

Editors:

- Peter Troch
- Vasiliki Stratigaki
- Sieglien De Roo

UNIVERSITEIT GENT

View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by Ghent University Academic Bibliography

# Book of Proceedings

## of the 4th International Conference on the Application of Physical Modelling to Port and Coastal Protection – Coastlab12

Department of Civil Engineering, Ghent University
Ghent, Belgium, September 17 – 20, 2012

edited by

Peter Troch, Vasiliki Stratigaki and Sieglien De Roo

# Papers

**1. Structures** **77**

**REINFORCEMENT SOLUTIONS FOR COASTAL STRUCTURES IN RELATION TO CLIMATE CHANGE-INDUCED SEA LEVEL RISE: AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY**
Giovanni Mattarolo, Maryline Luck, Jean-Romain Delisle, Michel Benoit 79

**HYDRODYNAMIC BEHAVIOUR OF LOW-CRESTED SLOPES**
Victor Lander, Peter Troch 89

**COMPLEX REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS APPLIED TO STEEP SLOPING STRUCTURES**
Fritz Büsching 99

**2D ANALYSIS OF THE HYDRODYNAMIC BEHAVIOUR OF COASTAL STRUCTURES USING IMAGE PROCESSING TOOLS AND NUMERICAL MODELS**
Hugo Guedes Lopes, Rui China Periera, Francisco Taveira-Pinto, F. Veloso Gomes, Rafael Molina Sánchez, Maria Graça Neves, Maria Teresa Reis, Jorge Filipe Gadelho 109

**HYDRODYNAMIC LOAD ON BUILDING CAUSED BY OVERTOPPING WAVE**
Xuexue Chen, Wim Uijttewaal, Bas Jonkman, Toon Verwaest 119

**INFLUENCE OF WAVE AND STRUCTURE PARAMETERS ON THE TRANSFORMATION OF SOLITARY WAVES AT SUBMERGED REEFS USING A NONLINEAR FOURIER TRANSFORM (NLFT)**
Markus Brühl, Anja Gossel, Hocine Oumeraci 127

**SLAMMING WAVE IMPACT ON CYLINDRICAL STRUCTURES: MODEL TESTS INCLUDING A RIGID AND DEFORMABLE CYLINDER**
Diederik Van Nuffel, Kameswara Sridhar Vepa, Ives De Baere, Julien De Rouck, Wim Van Paepegem, Joris Degrieck 137

**DYNAMIC PRESSURES UPON A LARGE MONO-PILE UNDER MULTI-DIRECTIONAL NON-BREAKING WAVES**
Tri Cao Mai, Torsten Schlurmann 147

**WAVE RUN-UP ON OFFSHORE WIND TURBINE FOUNDATIONS**
Elisabeth Baden, Jesper Skourup, Thomas Lykke Andersen, Peter Frigaard 157

**3D HYDRAULIC MODEL STUDIES OF PIPELINE SECONDARYSTABILIZATION MEASURES**
Scott Baker, Andrew Cornett, Paul Knox 167

**WAVE OVERTOPPING OF THE NEW RUNWAY AT THESSALONIKI AIRPORT** 177
Theodora Giantsi, B. Papathanassiou, S. Azorakos, Constantinos Moutzouris

**WAVE OVERTOPPING AND WAVE-INDUCED LOADING ON A HORIZONTAL QUAY STRUCTURE IN BEIRUT, LEBANON**
Kerstin Hinze, Andreas Kortenhaus, Hocine Oumeraci, Norbert Peetz 187

**WAVE FORCE ACTING ON HORIZONTAL PLATE ABOVE STILL WATER LEVEL DUE TO BREAKING WAVE**
Susumu Araki, Shun-Ichiro Kitagawa, Ichiro Deguchi 197

**EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON PERMEABILITY EFFECT ON FINAL PROFILE OF DYNAMICALLY RESHAPING BRAKWATER**
Peyman Aghtouman 207

**LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS OF WAVE INDUCED MASS FLUXES AT EMERGENT RUBBLE-MOUND BREAKWATERS**
Lorenzo Cappietti, Andreas Kortenhaus, Hocine Oumeraci 217

**WAVE ENERGY DISSIPATION DUE TO PERCOLATION WITH IN FLOATING BREAKWATER**
Luana Kann Kelch Vieira, Tiago Zenker Gireli 225

**COASTAL PROTECTION BY VERKALIT®-REVETMENT BLOCKS**
Fabian Gier, Dmitry Tokarev, H. Rubin, Y. Agnon, Holger Schüttrumpf, Hillel Rubin, Jens Mönnich 232

**3D PHYSICAL MODEL TESTING OF THE LOWERING OF A CAISSON INTO WATER AT THE TANGIER MED 2 DEVELOPING PROJECT**
Pedro Lomonaco, Andres Mendoza, Alvaro Alvarez, Emmanuel Berger, Melanie Mazet 244

**EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON THE RESPONSE OF MOORED SHIPS: APLICATION TO THE LEIXÕES OIL TERMINAL, PORTUGAL**
Paulo Rosa Santos, Francisco Taveira Pinto, Fernando Veloso Gomes 254

**PHYSICAL MODELLING OF SEAWATER INTAKE AND OUTFALL CHANNELS ON A CORAL REEF**
Gabriel Mengin, Nicolas Garcia, Henning Lauridsen 264

**2. Erosion/Scour** **275**

**INVESTIGATION OF SCOUR DEVELOPMENT UNDERNEATH OFFSHORE GRAVITY FOUNDATIONS DURING LOWERING**
Nannina Horstmann, Matthias Kudella, Stefan Schimmels, Hocine Oumeraci 277

**EXTENDED VALIDATION OF DYNAMIC DESIGN FORMULA FOR SCOUR PROTECTION AROUND MONOPILES**
Leen Baelus, Peter Troch, Nicolas Loosveldt, Kenneth Vannieuwenhuyse, Leen De Vos, Annelies Bolle 287

**INVESTIGATION OF HYDRODYNAMICS ON LOCAL SCOUR BY SHAPE OF SINGLE SPUR DIKE IN RIVER BEND**
Alireza Masjedi, Elaheh Peymani Foroushani 296

**EFFECTS OF WAVE-DRIVEN COASTAL SEEPAGE ON BEACH STABILIZATION IN SWASH ZONE**
Miyatake Makoto, Kimura Katsutoshi, Ochi Masashi 305

**ARTIFICIAL HEADLANDS TO PREVENT BEACH EROSION**
Mikio Sasaki 312

**EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION OF THE INFLUENCE OF A DETACHED BREAKWATER IN A SANDY BEACH**
Francisco Taveira Pinto, Rui China Pereira, Raquel Silva, Luciana Das Neves 322

3. Measuring Techniques 331

WAVE RUN-UP OF BREAKING AND NON-BREAKING WAVES WITH LONGSHORE CURRENT
Antje Bornschein, Reinhard Pohl 333

A NON INTRUSIVE APPROACH TO FLOATING STRUCTURES SIMULATION: SMALL SCALE REAL-TIME CAISSON MONITORING & CONTROL SYSTEM
Miguel Cabrerizo, Rafael Molina, Joaquin Vaquero, Susana Borromeo, M Cristina Rodriguez-Sanchez, Alberto Rodriguez, Alberto Camarero 343

NON INTRUSIVE INSTRUMENTAL TECHNIQUES COMBINATION FOR OVERTOPPING FLOW PROPERTIES MEASUREMENT: NIOVE PROMES PROJECT
Alberto Llana, Rafael Molina, Jose Damián López, Alberto Camarero 351

WAVE LOADING ON WAVE RETURN WALLS WITH SHALLOW FORESHORES: A CASE STUDY FROM THE FLEMISH COAST
William Veale, Toon Verwaest, Corrado Altomare, Tomohiro Suzuki, Koen Trouw, Jonas Vermander 360

USE OF 3D LASER SCANNING IN DETERMINING BREAKWATER DAMAGE PARAMETERS
Tom Rigden, Terry Stewart 370

LASER SCANNER TECHNIQUE TO QUANTIFY RANDOMNESS IN CUBE AND CUBIPOD ARMOR LAYERS
Jorge Molines, Maria Piedad Herrera, Tomas Javier Perez, Vicente Pardo, Josep Ramon Medina 380

MAPPING OF 3D-BATHYMETRIES AND STRUCTURES USING STEREOPHOTOGRAPHY THROUGH AN AIR-WATER-INTERFACE
Tim Raaijmakers, Freek Liefhebber, Bas Hofland, Paul Meys 390

LAYOUT OF WAVE GAUGE ARRAY FOR ESTIMATION OF 3D WAVES
Morten M. Jakobsen, Peter Frigaard 400

**OBTAINING HIGH ACCURACY WAVE HEIGHT MEASUREMENTS USING CAPACITANCE WAVE HEIGHT GAUGES**
Peter Laurich, Bamdad Sadjadi 408

**WAVE MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES FOR THE NEW LARGE-SCALE DELTA FLUME**
Bas Hofland, Rob Hoffman[1], Roderik Lindenbergh[2] 417

**FLOW SIMILARITY OF BOUNDARY LAYER FLOW INDUCED BY A SHOALING SOLITARY WAVE PROPAGATING OVER A SLOPING BOTTOM**
Chang Lin, Shih-Chun Hsieh, Min-Shiuan Yu, Rajumar V. Raikar, Ming-Jer Kao 427

**4. Waves** **431**

**NUMERICAL MODELLING OF WAVE GENERATION IN SOUTHERN ADRIATIC SEA**
Eva Ocvirk, Goran Loncar, Mateja Blaževic 433

**NUMERICAL MODEL OF TSUNAMI WAVE PROPAGATION AROUND AN ISLAND**
Houssam Eddine Touhami, Mohammed Cherif Khellaf
LEGHYD laboratory, ALGIERS, Algeria 437

**NUMERICAL STUDY OF WIND-GENERATED WAVES IN THE RED SEA**
Natacha Fery, Gerd Bruss, Abdullah Al-Subhi, Roberto Mayerle 446

**LABORATORY GENERATION OF SOLITARY WAVES: AN INVERSION TECHNIQUE TO IMPROVE AVAILABLE METHODS**
Alessandro Romano, Marco Guerrini, Giorgio Bellotti 456

**WAVE MODELLING IN NAVIGATION CHANNELS**
Daniel Dusseljee, Coen Kuiper, Gert Klopman 465

**PHYSICAL MODELLING OF WAVES INSIDE THE NEW HARBOUR OF OSTEND**
Wael Hassan, Toon Verwaest, Marc Willems 475

**COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ANALYTICAL WAVE THEORIES AND FULLY NONLINEAR NUMERICAL MODELS IN SHALLOW WATER CONDITIONS**
David Aknin, Johannes Spinneken 485

**A CONTRIBUTION TO THE STUDY OF WAVE PROPAGATION AND WAVE BREAKING: PHYSICAL AND NUMERICAL MODELLING**
José Conde, Conceicao Fortes, Eric Didier, Diogo Neves & L.A.M. Endres 494

**TRANSMISSION OF LARGE SOLITARY WAVES OVER A SUBMERGED BREAKWATER**
Valeri Penchev, Zuo Qihua, Shirin Shukrieva, Wang Den Ting, Pan Jun Ning 504

**NUMERICAL MODELLING OF INFRA-GRAVITY PERIOD OSCILLATIONS IN A MARINA**
Darshani Thotagamuwage, Charitha Pattiaratchi 514

**LONG PERIOD WAVES IN THE OUTER BASIN OF RIA DE FERROL (NW SPAIN)**
Mario López, Gregorio Iglesias, Ivan Lopez 524

**OVERTOPPING OF A POROUS STRUCTURE USING A SMOOTHED PARTICLE HYDRODYNAMICS NUMERICAL MODEL**
Diogo R.C.B. Neves, Eric Didier, Maria T. Reis, Maria G. Neves 531

**IMPLEMENTING THE AWASYS WAVE ABSORPTION SYSTEM IN A PECULIAR WAVE FLUME**
Rui Capitao, Jose Conde 541

**STROKE, VELOCITY AND ACCELERATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PISTON-TYPE FLUME WAVE GENERATORS**
Ivo Wenneker
Deltares, DELFT, The Netherlands 551

**EXPERIMENTAL MODELLING OF LANDSLIDE-GENERATED TSUNAMI**
Colin Whittaker, Roger Nokes 561

**5. Sediment transport & Erosion Scour** **571**

**EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF NEGATIVELY BUOYANT SEDIMENT PLUMES RESULTING FROM DREDGING OPERATIONS**
Boudewijn Decrop, Tom De Mulder, Peter Troch, Erik Toorman, Marc Sas 573

**EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF THE INFLUENCE OF BREAKWATERS IN WAVE ACTION DOWNSTREAM OF HARBOURS**
M. Koufali, V.K. Tsoukala, TH. Giantsi, C.I. Moutzouris 583

**PHYSICAL AND NUMERICAL MODELLING OF SUSPENDED SEDIMENT SPREADING WITH APPLICATION TO DREDGE PLUME FORECAST AND CONTROL**
Valeri Penchev, Antoaneta Kirova 592

**6. Structures & Result interpretation** **601**

**INTEGRAL DESIGN OF HARD SEA DEFENSE OF MAASVLAKTE 2; PART I: DESIGN TAILORED TO RE-USE OF MATERIAL & CONSTRUCTABILITY**
Gerard Loman, J.G. Poot, Stephan Van der Biezen, Rene Roels 603

**INTEGRAL DESIGN OF HARD SEA DEFENSE OF MAASVLAKTE 2; PART II: PHYSICAL MODEL TESTING OF CUBE REVETMENT & REEF**
Gerard Loman, Bas Hofland, Stephan Van der Biezen, J.G. Poot 613

**Physical modelling of two adjacent rubble mound breakwaters - Optimal design for the Punta Langosteira Port (A Coruña, Spain)**
Enrique Peña, E. Macineira, Javier Ferreras, F. Costa, Félix Sánchez-Tembleque, A. Ruiz, J. Sande, F. Noya, V. Bajo 623

**STABILITY CONFIRMATION TESTS OF A RUBBLE MOUND BREAKWATER ROUNDHEAD**
Andrés Mendoza Munizaga, Pedro Lomonaco Tonda 635

**7. Ocean Renewable Energy** **645**

**PHYSICAL MODELLING OF AN OWC WAVE ENERGY CONVERTER**
Ivan Lopez, Gregorio Iglesias, Mario Lopez, Victor Ramos, Rodrigo Carballo 647

**OSCILLATING WATER COLUMN (OWC) WAVE POWER CAISSON BREAKWATERS - PRESENT STATUS, DEVELOPMENTS NEEDED**
Kannapiran Thiruvenkatasamy, Pengzhi Lin 657

**PHYSICAL MODELLING OF AN OFFSHORE OWC WAVE ENERGY CONVERTER MOUNTED ON A WINDMILL MONOPILE FOUNDATION**
Carlos Pérez, Gregorio Iglesias 666

**SITE OPTIMIZATION IN TIDAL STREAM ENERGY**
Gregorio Iglesias, Marcos Sanchez, Rodrigo Carballo, Victor Ramos 676

**8. Scale Effects & Structures** **687**

**NON-CONVENTIONAL MATERIALS FOR MOVABLE-BED COASTAL PHYSICAL MODELS AT HIGH SCALE OF REDUCTION**
Valentina Petruzzelli, Antonio Felice Petrillo, Francesc Xavier Gironella I Cobos, Andrea Marzeddu 689

**EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF A MULTIPLE-ROW PILE BREAKWATER**
Theoharris Koftis, Panayotis Prinos, Michael Aftias 699

**PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL MODELLING ON DUNE EROSION**
Felice D'Alessandro, Giuseppe Roberto Tomasicchio 708

**9. Intercomparison modeling tools** **717**

**PREDICTION OF WAVE TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENT BY COMBINING EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS AND NUMERICAL MODELLING**
Michalis Chondros, Constantine Memos 719

**NUMERICAL MODELLING OF COASTAL DEFENCES USING THE SMOOTHED PARTICLE HYDRODYNAMIC METHOD**
A.J.C. Crespo, Corrado Altomare, Benedict Rogers, J.M. Dominguez, Xavier Gironella 728

**NUMERICAL WAVE PENETRATION MODELLING AND COMPARISON WITH PHYSICAL MODEL AND FIELD MEASUREMENTS FOR THE HARBOUR OF ZEEBRUGGE**
Vincent Gruwez, Annelies Bolle, Toon Verwaest 738

**WAVE PENETRATION INTO A SHALLOW MARINA - CASE STUDY FOR BLANKENBERGE, BELGIUM**
Tomohiro Suzuki, Vincent Gruwez, Annelies Bolle, Toon Verwaest 748

**10. Large scale experiments** **759**

**DETAILED HYDRAULIC MODEL FOR THE PORT OF ZEEBRUGGE: PHYSICAL MODEL AS A RESEARCH TOOL TO STUDY ACCESSIBILITY AND SILTATION**
Marc Willems, Boris Van Dingenen, Toon Verwaest 761

**IMPACT LOADS ON A VERTICAL WALL DUE TO OVERTOPPING BORE - LARGE SCALE PHYSICAL MODEL EXPERIMENTS**
Karunya Ramachandran, Stefan Schimmels, Hocine Oumeraci, Koen Van Doorslaer 769

**WAVE REFLECTION ON DISSIPATIVE QUAY WALLS: AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY**
Corrado Altomare, Xavier Gironella, Agustin Sanchez-Arcilla, Joaquim Sospedra 779

**DEVELOPMENT OF A POINT ABSORBER WAVE ENERGY CONVERTER FOR INVESTIGATION OF ARRAY WAKE EFFECTS IN LARGE SCALE EXPERIMENTS**
Vasiliki Stratigaki, Peter Troch, Tim Stallard, David Forehand, Marc Vantorre, Matt Folley , Jens Peter Kofoed, Aurélien Babarit & Michel Benoit 787

**VEGETATIVE WAVE ATTENUATION THROUGH IDEALIZED FLEXIBLE BEDS**
Mary Anderson, Jane Smith 797

**11. Sediment Transport** **807**

**A NEW EXPRESSION TO ESTIMATE THE LONGSHORE SEDIMENT TRANSPORT RATE**
Giuseppe Barbaro, Giandomenico Foti, Carmelo Luca Sicilia 809

**MOVABLE BED MODEL OF A SYSTEM OF DETACHED BREAKWATERS**
Theodora Giantsi, John Karmpadakis, Fotis Chatzimichalakis, Constantinos Moutzouris 818

**12. Natural Hazards** **829**

**COMPUTER VISION APPLIED TO FLEXIBLE OIL BOOM TESTS**
Alberte Castro, Gregorio Iglesias, Oscar Ibañez 831

**A LABORATORY EXPERIMENT SIMULATING THE 2011 TOHOKU EARTHQUAKE TSUNAMI WAVEFORM**
Nobuyuki Iwamae, Takako Fukuyama, Satoshi Inagaki, Yoshinobu Akiyama, Tsuyoshi Ikeya 837

**DESIGN OF STORM RETURN WALLS FOR THE MASTERPLAN FOR COASTAL SAFETY: FROM CONCEPTUAL TO DETAILED DESIGN**
Koen Trouw, Tina Mertens, Jonas Vermander, Toon Verwaest, Annelies Bolle, Koen Van Doorslaer, Julien De Rouck 844

**13. Currents & large scale experiments** **855**

**NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF WATER MIXING AND RENEWAL IN THE BARCELONA HARBOUR AREA**
Anthony Galea, Manel Grifoll, Federico Roman,Marc Mestres, Vincenzo Armenio, Agustin Sanchez-Arcilla 857

**HYDRODYNAMICS OF A GENERATED WAVE-CURRENT BOUNDARY LAYER**
Duncan Bryant, Joe Gailani, Ernest Smith 867

# WAVE REFLECTION ON DISSIPATIVE QUAY WALLS: AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

CORRADO ALTOMARE [1,2], X. GIRONELLA [1], A. SANCHEZ-ARCILLA[1] & J. SOSPEDRA[1]

[1]*Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya,*
*C/ Jordi Girona 1-3, Campus Nord, Edifici D1, Barcelona, 08034, Spain. corrado.altomare@upc.edu, xavi.gironella@upc.edu, agustin.arcilla@upc.edu, joaquim.sospedra@upc.edu*

[2]*Flanders Hydraulics Research,*
*Berchemlei 115, Antwerp, 2140, Belgium. corrado.altomare@mow.vlaanderen.be*

## Abstract

The paper discusses the influence of slope porosity and model scale for a low reflective vertical quay. Physical model tests were carried out in the small and large scale facilities at Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, in Barcelona, with regular waves. Matteotti (1991) conducted experiments for similar kind of quay. The results for small scale agree with the Matteotti's ones, but the large scale tests lead to smaller reflection. This is due to the presence of scale effect at small scales. The authors applied the Burcharth et al (1999) approach to treat scale effects, as shown in the paper.

## 1. Introduction

Several technical solutions can be adopted to build berthing structures in harbor basins; among them a pile wharf and a vertical quay represent ones of the most widespread: the former is a low reflective structure because of the rubble mound at the toe of the piles that dissipates a huge part of the incoming wave energy even though those structures can occupy huge areas in the port basin; the latter reduces the occupancy in the basins but can present severe problems related to the operating conditions, the structural strength and the safety due to the high reflection of the wall. Often a compromise must be found to keep the use of the harbor areas as better as possible and reduce the wave agitation inside the basins.
No-conventional vertical structures can represent an alternative. Antireflective quays and dikes are featured as porous or open structures, and have been experimentally studied over the years (Jarlan 1961,1965; Ijima et al. 1976; Matteotti 1991; Fugazza and Natale 1994; Tanimoto and Takahasi 1994; Suh et al. 2006; Garrido et al. 2010). This paper deals with the reflection response of low reflective vertical quays, whose upper wall, exposed to the incoming waves, is replaced by dissipative cells with rubble mound inside (Figure 1).

The study aimed to well characterize the relationship between the reflection coefficient and the main hydraulic and structural parameters involved in the phenomena. An exhaustive literature is not yet available for such kind of structure: Matteotti (1991) carried out physical tests with monochromatic waves; Faraci et al. (2012) studied how the changes in rubble mound inside the chamber can affect the reflection coefficient. In both cases the experiments have been carried out in small scale facilities. Interest of the authors is also a preliminary analysis of the scale effects by means of large scale tests.

Large and small scale tests have been carried out in the Laboratori d'Enginyeria Maritima of the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, in Barcelona (Spain) to study the response of the quay in a wide range of wave heights and periods. Results of small and large scale tests have been compared to analyze the influence of scale effects.




Figure 1. Scheme of the quay as in Matteotti (1991)

## 2. Laboratory experiment setup

The studied quay can be considered as a mixed structural type between a completely impermeable vertical face and a rock sloping breakwater. Figure 2 shows the cross section of the tested quay in prototype scale.




Figure 2. Cross section of the quay tested at LIM/UPC (prototype scale)

Small scale tests (1:33) have been conducted in the so-named CIEMito flume. CIEMito is 18 long, 0.38 m wide and 0.56 m high and is equipped with a piston paddle capable to generate waves up to 0.28m wave heights and wave periods up to 2 sec (Figure 3).




Figure 3. CIEMito wave flume lateral view (a) and piston wave generator (b)

Large scale experiments (1:4) have been carried out in the CIEM flume (Canal d'Investigació I Experimentació Marítima), 100 m long, 3 m wide and 5 m height (Figure 4). The CIEM flume has a wedge type paddle, that allows to generate waves up to 1.5 m wave heights. Figure 5 shows a snapshot of the models in both flumes.




Figure 4. CIEM wave flume: wedge wave generator (a) and overview of the flume (b)

Each regular wave attacks were 20 wave periods long. Wave motions were measured in both scales by means of resistive wave gauges, with accuracy of 1mm: an array of three sensors has been positioned in front of the caisson in order to get incident and reflected waves components; two wave gauges more have been also displaced closed to the wave paddle to measure and check the wave generation. Depending on the scale, more sensors have been installed along flumes for further controls.

Two different values for the rubble mound porosity at each model scale were tested, to investigate the influence of this parameter on the reflection. The chosen values for the small scale are in the same range of the values at large scale to assess the presence of scale effects. In fact, even if the porosity is similar in small and large scale, the fluid viscosity is not scaled and could affect in a different way the flows inside the rubble mound, leading to a different response of the structure as is expected.




Figure 5. Snapshot of the physical tests in the small scale flume (a) and large scale flume (b) at LIM/UPC

Table 1 shows the prototype characteristics of the regular waves and the values of porosity that have been considered for each model scale.

Table 1. Experimental test setup.

| Scale | H (m) | T (s) | Depth (m) | Porosity of rubble mound (-) | # of tests |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1:33 | 1.27<br>1.5<br>1.8 | 6.17-10.72 | 9.08<br>9.70 | 0.319 | 21 |
| | | | | 0.441 | 21 |
| 1:4 | 1.27<br>1.5<br>1.8 | 5.17-9.19 | 9.28<br>9.44 | 0.357 | 24 |
| | | | | 0.451 | 24 |

## 3. Scale effects in wave reflection

The wave reflection is usually expressed by the reflection coefficient, defined as:

$$C_r = \frac{H_r}{Hi} = \frac{\overline{E_r}}{E_i} C_r \quad \frac{H_r}{Hi} \quad \sqrt{\frac{E_r}{E_i}} \qquad [1]$$

where $H_r$ and $H_i$ are the wave height of reflected and incident waves, respectively, and $E_r$ and $E_i$ are the related energies. The reflection coefficient can vary between 0 and 1, where 1 defines total reflection. Generally vertical dikes or jetties present values closed to 1, breakwaters or

rubble mounds can show $C_r$ around 0.3, but it should be properly assessed for each structure by means of physical model tests. The choice of the experimental setup can be crucial: small scale models require to treat possible scale effects in those cases where the scale could be very important and affect the results.

Porous structures dissipate wave energy within structure's voids, where turbulent flows are trigged. A geometrically scale physical model following the Froude law may lead to low Reynolds number and large viscous forces (Burchart et al, 1999); the resulting flows can be laminar instead of being fully turbulent within the structure's void. As consequence the model reflects more energy than the equivalent prototype (Wilson and Cross 1972, Hughes 1995).

In the present work the Burcharth'smethod has been applied to calculate a corrected and increased value for the stone nominal diameter in small scale. Burcharth et al. (1999) started from the knowledge of the wave induce pore pressure distribution: through this,the flow field can be estimated that is necessary to choose realistic flow velocities to be used in the scaling procedure. The characteristic pore velocity is calculated as the average value in the most affected area of the rubble mound slope.

Starting from the value of 0.357 for the porosity of the rubble mound slope at large scale model, the corrected nominal diameter in small scale led to a porosity of 0.441 at small scale. In order to compare how similar values of porosity could lead to different results in small and large scale models, the values of 0.451 and 0.319 for the rubble mound porosity were modelled, respectively at large and small scale. In this way two values of porosity for each scale were tested and compared (see Table 1).

## 4. Results

Due to the monochromatic nature of the wave trains for which just the spectral Mansard & Funke method (1980) can result poorly reliable, the authors chose use and compare both Mansard & Funke (1980) and Goda & Suzuki (1976) methods to calculate the reflection coefficient $C_r$ for each test. Figure 6 shows the variability of the reflection coefficient $C_r$ with the wave period $T$.




Figure 6. Reflection coefficient *vs* wave period

Both small and large scale test results are shown in comparison with those given in the Matteotti's work. The reflection depends strongly on the wave period, as expected, with variation of up to 40% for the same wave height and different wave periods.

The mean value for the reflection coefficient varies from 0.43 (large scale, p=0.451) up to 0.55 (small scale, p=0.319) where Matteotti found 0.47.

The results of small scale tests agree well with the Matteotti's measurements, carried out in a small scale flume too; on the other hand, the large scale experiments lead to reflection values smaller than those obtained at the reduced scale. Furthermore, a greater porosity leads to a smaller reflection although its influence seems less important numerically than the model scale. The small scale tests with larger porosity (green points in Fig. 6), where the scale effects have been treated, show values for $C_r$ very close to large scale ones (blue points in Fig.6), confirming the relevance of the model scale. The result of the small scale model tests with the lower porosity (orange points in Fig. 6) show the highest values for the reflection coefficient. In that case the laminar flows into the rubble mound are predominant respect to the turbulent ones and the dissipation results lower than in the other three cases.




Figure 7. Reflection coefficient vs wave height

The dependence on the wave height does not seem very important if compared with the wave period, in agreement with the Faraci et al. (2012) results. Looking at Figure 7, it can be seen that for the same wave period, differences in the wave high affected slightly the response, leading to variations of Cr of about 8-10%.

## 5. Discussion of the results and conclusions

Pile structures or vertical walls are largely used as berthing structures or quays in harbor basins. The former type present low reflection but very high occupancy of the basin; the latter one is preferred because of its relatively low occupancy but can lead to very high oscillations inside the harbor. Therefore the research of the last decades is addressed to find a trade-off, by means of new kind of low reflective structure. The wave reflection of a low reflective vertical quay has been analyzed in the present work. The quay is a vertical wall where the upper part,

exposed to the waves, is replaced by an open chamber with rubble mound inside. The dissipation of the waves into the voids among the rubble mound units should assure low reflection values.

In detail the work has been focused on the influence of slope porosity and hydraulic model scale. Both small and large scale tests show that a larger porosity leads to a smaller reflection, but the influence doesn't seem so important as well as the choice of model scale. The small scale tests agree with the Matteotti ones, but the results of the large scale tests show a different behaviour, leading in general to smaller values of the reflection coefficient.

Once the scale effects are treated by a correction of the scale of the nominal diameter of the armour stones by applying the Burcharth et al (1999) approach, the results get closer to the ones at the large model scale.

The paper demonstrates that the model scale affects the response of the structure and small scales generally overestimate the wave reflection of porous low reflective quays. It is thus recommended to carry out some of the physical experiments in a large model, to avoid scale effects that should lead to reflections larger at smaller scales than the real ones and simulate the behaviour as well as in prototype.

## References


Burcharth, H. F.; Liu, Z.; Troch, P., 1999. Scaling of core material in rubble mound breakwater model tests. *Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Coastal and Port Engineering in Developing Countries (COPEDEC V)*, Cape Town, South Africa.

Faraci C., CammarotoB., CavallaroL., Foti E., 2012. Wave reflection generated by caissons with internal rubble mound of variable slope.*33rd International Conference on Coastal Engineering*, Santander, Spain. Book of Abstract.

Fugazza, M. and Natale, L. 1992 Hydraulic Design of Perforated Breakwaters. *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering*, Vol. 118, 1-14.

Garrido, J.M., Ponce de Leon, D., Berruguete, A., Martínez, S., Manuel, J., Fort, L., González-Escrivá, J.A., Medina, J.R. 2010. Study of reflection of new low-reflectivity quay wall caisson. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Coastal Engineering*, No 32.

Goda, Y. and Suzuki, Y. 1976. Estimation of Incident and Reflected Waves in Random WaveExperiments, *Proc. 15th International Conference on Coastal Engineering*, pp 828÷845.

Ijima, T., Tanaka, E., and Okuzono, H. 1976 Permeable seawall with reservoir and the use of warock. *Proceedings 15th International Conference on Coastal Engineering*, ASCE, 2623-2641

Hughes S.A.,1995. Physical Models and Laboratory Techniques in Coastal Engineering. *Advanced Series on Ocean Engineering*. Vol. 7. World Scientific.

Jarlan, G.E.,1961 A perforated vertical wall breakwater. *The Dock and Harbour Authority*, 41, n. 486, 394-398.

Jarlan, G.E. 1965 The Application of Acoustic Theory to the Reflective Properties of Coastal Engineering Structures. *Quart Bulletin*, National Research Council Canada, 23-64.

Mansard, E. and Funke, E. 1980. The measurement of incident and reflected spectra using a least squares method.*Proceedings, 17th International Conference on Coastal Engineering*, Sidney, Vol. 1, pp.154÷172.

Matteotti G., 1991. The reflection coefficient of a wave dissipating quay wall. *Dock and Harbour Authority*, v 71 n 825, Feb 1991, 285÷291

Suh, K., Park, J.K., Park, W.S. 2006 Wave reflection from partially perforated wall caisson breakwaters. *Journal of Ocean Engineering*, 33, 264-280.

Tanimoto, K. and Takahashi, S. 1994 Design and construction of caisson breakwaters - the Japanese experience. *Coastal Engineering*, 22, 57-77.

Wilson K.W., Cross R.H., 1972 Scale effects in rubble mound breakwaters. *Coastal Engineering Proceedings*, No 13.

# Author Index


Aftias, M. 699
Aghtouman, P. 207
Agnon, Y. 232
Akiyama, Y. 837
Aknin, D. 485
Al-Subhi, A. 446
Altomare, C. 360, 728, 779
Alvarez, A. 244
Anderson, M. 797
Araki, S. 197
Armenio, V. 857
Azorakos, S. 177
Babarit, A. 787
Baden, E. 157
Baelus, L. 287
Bajo, V. 623
Baker, S. 167
Barbaro, G. 809
Bellotti, B. 456
Benoit, M. 79, 787
Berger, E. 244
Blaževic, M. 433
Bolle, A. 287, 738, 748, 844
Bornschein, A. 333
Borromeo, S. 343
Brühl, M. 127
Bryant, D. 867
Buesching, F. 99
Bruss, G. 446
Cabrerizo, M. 343
Camarero, A. 434, 351
Capitao, R. 541
Cappietti, L. 217
Carballo, R. 647, 676
Castro, A. 831
Chatzimichalakis, F. 818
Chen, X. 119
China Pereira, R. 109, 322
Chondros, M. 719
Conde, J.M.P. 494, 541
Cornett, A. 167
Costa, F. 623
Crespo, A.J.C. 728
D'Alessandro, F. 708
Das Neves, L. 322
De Baere, I. 137
De Mulder, T. 573

De Rouck, J. 137, 844
De Vos, L. 287
Decrop, B. 573
Degrieck, J. 137
Deguchi, I. 197
Delisle, J.-R. 79
Denting W. 504
Didier, E. 494, 531
Dominguez, J.M. 728
Dusseljee, D. 465
Endres L.A.M 494
Ferreras, J. 623
Fery, N. 446
Fortes, C. 494
Foti, G. 809
Frigaard, P. 157, 400
Folley, M. 787
Forehand, D. 787
Fukuyama, T. 837
Gadelho, J.F. 109
Gailani, J. 867
Galea, A. 857
Garcia, N. 264
Giantsi, T. 177, 583, 818
Gier, F. 232
Gironella, X. 728, 779
Gironella I Cobos, F.X. 689
Gossel, A. 127
Graça Neves, M. 109
Grimm C. 232
Grifoll, M. 857
Gruwez, V. 738, 748
Guerrini, M. 456
Hassan, W. 475
Herrera, M.P. 380
Hinze, K. 187
Hoffman, R. 417
Hofland, B. 390, 417, 613
Horstmann, N. 277
Hsieh, S.-C. 427
Ibañez, O. 831
Iglesias, G. 524, 647, 666, 676, 831
Ikeya, T. 837
Inagaki, S. 837
Iwamae, N. 837
Jakobsen, M.M. 400
Jonkman, S 119
Junning P. 504
Kann Kelch Vieira, L. 225
Kao, M.-J. 427
Karmpadakis, J. 818

Khellaf, M.C. 437
Kimura K. 305
Kirova, A. 592
Kitagawa, S.-I. 197
Klopman, G. 465
Knox, P. 167
Kofoed, J.P. 787
Koftis, T. 699
Kortenhaus, A. 187, 217
Koufali, M. 583
Kudella, M. 277
Kuiper, C. 465
Laurich, P. 408
Lauridsen, H. 264
Liefhebber, F. 390
Lin, C. 427
Lindenbergh, R. 417
Llana, A. 351
Loman, G. 603, 613
Lomonaco, P. 244, 634
Loncar, G. 433
Loosveldt, N. 287
Lopes, H. 109
Lopez, I. 524, 647
López, J.D. 351
López, M. 524, 647
Luck, M. 79
Lykke Andersen, T. 157
Macineira, E. 623
Mai, T.C. 147
Marzeddu, A. 689
Masashi, O. 305
Masjedi, A. 296
Mattarolo, G. 79
Mayerle, R. 446
Mazet, M. 244
Medina, J.R. 380
Memos, C. 719
Mendoza, A. 244
Mendoza Munizaga A. 634
Mengin, G. 264
Mertens, T. 844
Mestres, M. 857
Meys, P. 390
Miyatake M. 305
Molina, R. 343, 351
Molines, J. 380
Molina Sanchez R. 109
Mönnich, J. 232
Monteiro Cabral J. 109
Moutzouris, C. 177, 583, 818

Neves, D.R.C.B. 494, 531
Neves M.G. 531
Nokes, R. 561
Noya, F. 623
Ocvirk, E. 433
Oumeraci, H. 28, 127, 187, 217, 277, 769
Papathanassiou, B. 177
Pardo V. 380
Pattiaratchi, C. 514
Peetz, N. 187
Peña, E. 623
Penchev, V. 504, 592
Pengzhilin 656
Pérez, C. 666
Perez, T.J. 3880
Petrillo, A.F. 689
Petruzzelli, V. 689
Peymani Foroushani, E. 296
Pohl, R. 333
Poot, J.G. 603, 613
Prinos, P. 699
Qihua, Z. 504
Raaijmakers, T. 390
Raikar, R.V. 427
Ramachandran, K. 769
Ramos, V. 647, 676
Reis, M.T. 109, 531
Rigden, T. 370
Rodriguez-Sanchez, M.C. 343
Rodriguez, A. 343
Roels, R. 603
Rogers, B. 728
Roman, F. 857
Romano, A. 456
Rosa Santos, P. 254
Rubin, H. 232
Ruiz, A. 623
Sadjadi, B. 408
Sanchez-Arcilla, A. 779, 857
Sánchez-Tembleque, F. 623
Sanchez, M. 676
Sande, J. 623
Sas M. 573
Sasaki, M. 312
Schimmels, S. 277, 769
Schlurmann, T. 147
Schüttrumpf, H. 232
Shukrieva, S. 504
Sicilia, C.L. 809
Silva, R. 322
Skourup, J. 157

Smith, E. 867
Smith, J. 797
Sospedra, J. 779
Spinneken, J. 485
Stallard, T. 787
Stewart, T. 370
Stratigaki, V. 787
Suzuki, T. 360, 748
Taveira Pinto, F. 109, 254, 322
Thiruvenkatasamy, K. 656
Thotagamuwage, D. 514
Tokarev, D. 232
Tomasicchio, G.R. 708
Toorman, E. 573
Touhami, H.E. 437
Troch, P. 89, 287, 573, 787
Trouw, K. 360, 844
Tsoukala, V. 583
Uijttewaal, W. 119
Van der Biezen, S. 603, 613
Van der Meer, J. 15
Van Dingenen, B. 761
Van Doorslaer, K. 769, 844
Van Nuffel, D. 137
Van Paepegem, W. 137
Vannieuwenhuyse, K. 287
Vantorre, M. 787
Vaquero, J. 343
Veale, W. 360
Veloso Gomes, F. 109, 254
Vepa, K.S. 137
Vermander, J. 360, 844
Verwaest, T. 119, 360, 475, 738, 748, 761, 844
Victor, L. 89
Wenneker, I. 551
Whittaker, C. 561
Willems, M. 475, 761
Yu, M.-S. 427
Zenker Gireli, T. 225