



Speech, Language and Hearing Sciences



The impact of a theater performance on the vocal quality of actors

Evelien D'haeseleer, Sofie Claeys, Iris Meerschman, Kristiane Van Lierde

Evelien D'haeseleer Ghent University, Royal Conservatory Brussels, Belgium





Dysphonia in theater actors

- Elite vocal performers
- Vocal symptoms?
 - Vocal fatigue (Novak et al., 1999)
 - Laryngeal hyperfunction (Novak et al., 1999)
 - Dehydration (Hoffman-Ruddy et al., 2001)
 - Chronic laryngitis (Hoffman-Ruddy et al., 2001)
 - Chronic laryngeal muscle tension (Hoffman-Ruddy et al., 2001)





Dysphonia in theater actors

- No clear prevalence data
- Risk population
 - Intensive vocal load
 - Poor vocal hygiene (Timmermans et al., 2002)
 - "Vocally violent behavior" (Roy et al., 2000)
 - Taboo?



Van Houtte et al., 2009





Speech, Language and Hearing Sciences

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to investigate vocal quality, vocal complaints and risk factors for developing voice disorders in theater actors.

Secondly, the impact of one vocal performance on the voice was investigated by comparing objective and subjective vocal quality immediately before and after a theater performance of one and a half hour.





Methods

Subjects



- n = 26 professional theater actors
- mean age: 41.9 years (range: 23 to 69 years)
- Leading roll

	Mean	SD
Acting (hours in a week)	10.5	6.8
Rehearsals (hours in a week)	19.9	13.1
Duration of the performance (minutes)	96	25
Speech duration during performance (minutes)	70.45	29.36
Experience (years)	20.4	11.9

Evelien D'haeseleer Ghent University, Royal Conservatory Brussels, Belgium

PRAAT, Samson C01U USB

condensator microphone,

sampling rate of 44.1kHz



Speech samples

- Before and after theater performance of 1.5 hours (SD: 25 min)
- Speech
 - Sustained vowel /a:/
 - Continuous speech (reading)
- Perceptual evaluation
 - GRBASI scale (Hirano, 1981; Dejonckere et al., 1996)
- Acoustic Analysis
 - Acoustic Voice Quality index (Maryn et al., 2010)
 - CPPS, HNR, SL, SLdB, slope spectrum, tilt of the regression line through the spectrum, F₀



Questionnaires

- Patient history, voice symptoms, risk factors
- Voice Handicap Index (Jacobson et al., 1997)
 - Dutch version (De Bodt et al. 2000)
- Vocal Tract Discomfort Scale (Mathieson et al., 2009)
 Dutch version (Luyten et al., 2015)

Comparison pre and post theater performance

Paired sample t-test







Results

- 1. Vocal quality and vocal symptoms theater actors
- AVQI
 - Mean: 3.48 (SD: 1.14)
 - Mild Dysphonia
- F₀
 - Women: 178Hz (SD: 21)
 - Men: 123Hz (SD: 21)
- Perceptual evaluations
 - Mean: G 0.5, R 0.4, B 0.3, A 0, S 0.1, I 0
 - Subtle dysphonia





- Vocal habits, risk factors

		n	%
Vocal warm up before performance	no	13	50,0%
	sometimes	6	23,1%
	regularly	7	26,9%
Vocal Cool-down after performance	no	23	88,5%
	sometimes	1	3,8%
	regularly	2	7,7%
Vocal complaints after performing	no	13	50,0%
	sometimes	12	46,2%
	regularly	1	3,8%
Vocal complaints	no	17	65,4%
	yes	9	34,6%
Followed voice training/therapy	no	17	65,4%
	yes	7	26,9%
Vocal fatigue	no	18	69,2%
	yes	6	23,1%





Yelling and screaming	no	6	23,1%
	sometimes	11	42,3%
	frequently	9	34,6%
Throat clearing	no	5	19,2%
	sometimes	14	53,8%
	frequently	7	26,9%
Imitation of voices	no	3	11,5%
	sometimes	10	38,5%
	frequently	13	50,0%
Using a forced or tensed voice on the set	no	9	34,6%
	yes	16	61,5%
Using a forced or tensed voice in general	no	19	73,1%
	yes	6	23,1%
Smoking	no	15	57,7%
	past	6	23,1%





Frequent colds	average	22	84,6%
	more than average	2	7,7%
	none	2	7,7%
GER	no	23	88,5%
	yes	1	3,8%
Drinking enough water	yes	18	69,2%
	no	7	26,9%
Allergy	no	15	57,7%
	yes	11	42,3%
Stress	no	9	34,6%
	yes	15	57,7%





- Results of the VHI

	Mean	Median	Min	Max	SD
Voice Handicap Index - Total					
score	10	10	0	42	8
Functional scale	2	2	0	7	2
Physical scale	6	6	0	19	4
Emotional scale	2	0	0	16	3





2. Impact of a theater performance on vocal quality

- AVQI
 - Mean: pre: 3.48 → post: 3.43
 - No significant differences
- F₀
 - Women: mean pre: 178Hz \rightarrow 186Hz
 - Men: mean pre: 123Hz \rightarrow 125Hz
 - No significant differences
- Perceptual evaluation
 - Mean G pre: 0.5 \rightarrow post: 0.2
 - Significant decrease in overall grade of dysphonia (G)





Discussion

- Acoustic analysis and perceptual evaluation of the voice
 →Mild dysphonia
- Psychosocial impact
 - According to the VHI negligible
- Vocal complaints
 - 35%: current vocal complaint
 - 50%: vocal complaints after a performance
 - 23%: vocal fatigue

Vocal fatigue most frequently reported symptom *Kitch et al.1994; Novak et al., 1991*





- Vocal care ??
 - Vocal warm up
 - Literature: effective (*McHenry et al., 2009, Van Lierde et al., 2010, Ragan, 2015*)
 - Not an established habit
 - Vocal cool down
 - Less the norm (Ragan, 2015)
 - Efficacy not clear yet
 - Only 11.5% in this study
- Vocal abuse
 - Yelling and screaming (76.9%), imitation of voices (88.5%), using a forced or tensed voice on the set (61.5%), throat clearing (80.7%), smoking (19.2%) and stress (57.7%)







- Impact of a theater performance?
 - 50% reported vocal complaints, regularly
 - No effect on the objective vocal quality (AVQI)
 - A better perceptual evaluation of the vocal quality
- Shortcomings
 - Videolaryngostroboscopy
 - Possible effects of stress, anxiety, decompensation on the voice
 - Control group
- Long-term effect??

Thank you for your attention

45TH ANNUAL SYMPOSIUM: CARE OF THE PROFESSIONAL VOICE







be.brussels 🍫