1	Soil inorganic N leaching in edges of different forest types
2	subject to high N deposition loads
3	Short title: N leaching in edges of different forest types
4	
5	Karen WUYTS <sup>1*</sup> , An DE SCHRIJVER <sup>1</sup> , Jeroen STAELENS <sup>1,2</sup> , Lotte VAN NEVEL <sup>1</sup> , Sandy
6	ADRIAENSSENS <sup>1</sup> , Kris VERHEYEN <sup>1</sup>
7	<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Forestry, Dpt. of Forest & Water Management, Ghent University. Geraardsbergsesteenweg
8	267, B-9090 Gontrode-Melle, Belgium
9	<sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Applied Physical Chemistry (ISOFYS), Dpt. of Applied Analytical and Physical Chemistry,
10	Ghent University. Coupure Links 653, B-9000 Gent, Belgium
11	Corresponding author: K. Wuyts, Laboratory of Forestry, Dpt. of Forest and Water Management, Ghent
12	University, Geraardsbergsesteenweg 267, B-9090 Gontrode-Melle, Belgium. Telephone: +32 9 264 90 25.
13	Fax: +32 9 264 90 92. E-mail: Karen.Wuyts@UGent.be

<sup>\*</sup> Author contributions: KW, ADS, KV: concept & design of experiments; KW: data collection and analysis; KW, ADS, JS, KV: data interpretation; KW: manuscript drafting; ADS, JS, LVN, SA, KV: critical revision of manuscript.

#### 14 Abstract

15 We report on soil leaching of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) along transects across exposed edges of four coniferous and four deciduous forest stands. In a 64-m edge zone, DIN leaching 16 below the main rooting zone was enhanced relative to the interior (at 128 m from the edge) by 21 17 and 14 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> in the coniferous and deciduous forest stands, respectively. However, the 18 19 patterns of DIN leaching did not univocally reflect those of DIN throughfall deposition. DIN 20 leaching in the first 20 m of the edges was lower than at 32-64 m from the edge (17 vs. 36 and 15 vs. 24 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> in the coniferous and deciduous forests, respectively). Nitrogen stocks in the 21 mineral topsoil (0-30 cm) were, on average, 943 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> higher at the outer edges than in the 22 23 interior, indicating that N retention in the soil is probably one of the processes involved in the 24 relatively low DIN leaching in the outer edges. We suggest that a complex of edge effects on 25 biogeochemical processes occurs at the forest edges as a result of the interaction between 26 microclimate, tree dynamics (growth and litterfall), and atmospheric deposition of N and base 27 cations.

28

## 29 Keywords

30 atmospheric deposition / nitrate leaching / forest edge / edge effects / forest type / C:N ratio /
31 inorganic nitrogen

#### 32 1. Introduction

33 In many landscapes around the world, human activities such as timber harvesting, agricultural expansion, and urbanization increase the extent of forest fragmentation, making the forest edge the 34 35 prevailing feature of the landscape matrix (Riiters and others 2002; Harper and others 2005; 36 Broadbent and others 2008). In forest edges, nitrogen (N) throughfall deposition is enhanced relative to the forest interior (see De Schrijver and others (2007a) for a review). In the interior of N 37 38 saturated forests, high levels of N deposition are associated with increased levels of dissolved 39 inorganic N (DIN; ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ ) + nitrate ( $NO_3^-$ )) leaching to the groundwater, predominantly 40 as NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (MacDonald and others 2002; Kristensen and others 2004; Vestgarden and others 2004; De 41 Schrijver and others 2008). Hence, higher levels of DIN leaching in forest edges in comparison with 42 the forest interior can be expected. Yet, both lower (Balsberg-Påhlsson and Bergkvist 1995; Kinniburgh and Trafford 1996; Spangenberg and Kölling 2004) and higher (Balsberg-Påhlsson and 43 44 Bergkvist 1995; Spangenberg and Kölling 2004) NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in soil water and DIN 45 leaching fluxes have been detected at edges than further down the edge. 46 The proximity of the edge affects a variety of abiotic and biotic variables. Microclimatic variables 47 such as air and soil temperature, light availability, and soil moisture are altered (Matlack 1993; 48 Chen and others 1995; Gehlhausen and others 2000; Marchand and Houle 2006; Heithecker and Halpern 2007) and throughfall deposition fluxes of sulphur and base cations such as  $K^+$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ , and 49 Mg<sup>2+</sup> are enhanced (Draaijers and others 1994; Spangenberg and Kölling 2004; Wuyts and others 50 51 2008a). Moreover, higher tree growth rates and leaf area indices are reported at edges in 52 comparison with forest interiors (McDonald and Urban 2004; Bowering and others 2006; Sherich 53 and others 2007), potentially resulting in higher levels of N sequestration in biomass at the edge due 54 to higher tree biomass (Spangenberg and Kölling 2004). 55 Our research objective was to investigate (i) whether DIN leaching in the soil is influenced by edge

56 effects in both deciduous and coniferous forest types, and (ii) how this pattern of DIN leaching in

edges relates to patterns of DIN throughfall deposition, of forest floor and mineral soil
characteristics, and of N stored in stem biomass. In addition, we examined the effect of forest type
on DIN leaching. We presume that the higher input of N deposition is expressed in enhanced DIN
leaching near edges, and that this pattern of DIN leaching differs between forest types, since
deciduous forest types are subject to smaller edge effects on DIN deposition than coniferous ones
are (Spangenberg and Kölling 2004; Wuyts and others 2008a, 2008b).

63

### 64 2. Materials and methods

65 2.1. Site description

66 In two regions, located in Flanders (the northern part of Belgium) and approximately 135 km apart, 67 eight homogeneous forest stands were considered (Fig. 1): two naturally regenerated stands 68 dominated by silver birch (Betula pendula Roth; stands Bp1 and Bp2) and six planted monocultures 69 of (i) pedunculate oak (Quercus robur L.; stands Qr1 and Qr2), (ii) Austrian pine (P. nigra ssp. 70 nigra Arnold; stand Pn1) or Corsican pine (Pinus nigra ssp. laricio Maire; stand Pn2), and (iii) 71 Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.; stands Ps1 and Ps2). The stands Bp1, Qr1, Pn1, and Ps1 were 72 situated in the western part of Flanders and Bp2, Qr2, Pn2, and Ps2 in the northern part (Fig. 1). 73 Site code, location, stand characteristics, and soil pH-KCl of the studied forest stands are presented 74 in Table 1. Thinning in stand Ps2 about three years prior to the start of our measuring campaign 75 caused DIN leaching to be locally and temporarily enhanced, higher than the input of N via 76 throughfall deposition (von Wilpert and others 2000; Weis and others 2006). Hence, DIN leaching 77 data from the Ps2 site was omitted from statistical analyses and figures. 78 All stands had an abrupt forest edge oriented towards the prevailing southwesterly winds. All 79 forests were located on poor, well-drained soils of sand or loamy sand (Haplic podzols; FAO-80 ISRIC-ISSS 1998) with a low buffering capacity for acidifying deposition (Van Ranst and others 81 2002; De Schrijver and others 2006). During the entire sampling period, the groundwater table was

82 deeper than 150 cm in all stands except Pn1 (> 120 cm) and Bp1 and Qr1 (> 80 cm). All forests 83 were situated in areas with high density of intensive livestock farms. Analysis of the throughfall 84 deposition data (Wuyts and others 2008b) showed that differences in edge effects between the two 85 regions were less important than the differences between coniferous and deciduous forest stands. 86 For the physical and chemical characterisation of the climate in the two regions, we refer to Wuyts 87 and others (2008b). Historic maps from around 1775 and later showed that the sites were managed as heath, characterised by sheep grazing and turf cutting, until up to 80 years ago, and, from then 88 89 onwards, were continuously covered by forest. The Qr1 stand was briefly managed as grassland 90 around 1900. The edge of the Pn1 stand borders a busy highway at less than 5 m.

91

## 92 2.2. Experimental setup and sampling

93 In each stand, throughfall and soil solution were monitored year-round, soil samples were taken, 94 and leaf area index (LAI) was determined at eight distance plots at 0, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, and 128 m 95 from the edge, along a transect perpendicular to the forest edge. Throughfall water was collected 96 using three collectors (funnels mounted on two-liter bottles) per distance plot (Wuyts and others 97 2008b). Soil solution was sampled at two depths, i.e., at 30 and 90 cm, by means of one suction cup 98 lysimeter per depth and distance plot. Within one plot, the distance between the two lysimeters was 99 at least 1 m. The lysimeters consisted of (i) a PVC pipe fitted with a porous ceramic cup (5 cm 100 diameter, Eijkelkamp, The Netherlands) and inserted into the soil at an angle of 60° with the surface 101 and (ii) an opaque, glass, one-liter bottle connected to the pipe via a polyethylene tube and stored 102 belowground to keep samples cool. After each sampling, a pressure of -500 hPa was applied. 103 Preferably, the lysimeters were installed where no understory vegetation occurred to avoid 104 confounding influence of its N uptake. Brambles (Rubus fruticosus agg.) were carefully removed 105 within a 3-m radius around the lysimeters in the first 20 m of Qr1, at the 64 m and 128 m distance 106 plots of Pn1, and at the 16 m and 32 m distance plots of Ps1. The perennial herb Holcus mollis L. in

107 the first 10 m from the edge of the Pn2 stand was not removed to avoid substantial disruption of the 108 organic layer. The lysimeters were installed four months prior to the actual monitoring to check for 109 proper performance, and were drained every two weeks to ensure close contact with the 110 surrounding soil solution. The level of groundwater was monitored with piezometers; in the stands 111 Qr1 and Bp1, soil solution was not sampled at 90 cm due to high ground water levels (> 80 cm 112 deep). Soil solution and throughfall sampling took place fortnightly from 1 September 2005 to 30 113 August 2006. On each sampling occasion, sample volume in the throughfall collectors and the 114 lysimeters was measured, throughfall samples were pooled volume-weighted per distance plot, and 115 subsamples were taken to the lab. Aliquots of two consecutive sample collections were pooled 116 volume-weighted into monthly samples for chemical analysis.

In February 2006, soil samples were taken with a 3.2 cm diameter soil auger from the fermentation and humus layer (together, FH) of the forest floor (i.e., the ectorganic layer) and from 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, and 10-30 cm of the mineral soil. We took samples at three locations per distance plot and all samples were analysed separately. Next to this, the FH layer was collected in a 39 cm x 39 cm square at the outer edge (0-20 m from the edge front) and the forest interior (at 128 m from the edge). Density of the mineral soil was determined from the dry weight of undisturbed samples in cylinders of approximately  $10^{-4}$  m<sup>3</sup>.

In winter 2005-2006 and summer 2006, LAI was measured from digital hemispherical photographs 124 125 (Nikon D70s with fish-eye lens Sigma EXDG Fisheye 8 mm 1:4 D) taken above each throughfall 126 collector, using Gap Light Analyzer GLA 2.0 (http://www.ecostudies.org/gla). Stem volume was 127 calculated from diameter at breast height and tree height measured for all trees within 10 m from 128 the central transect axis in spring 2006, based on the equations by Jansen and others (1996). 129 In June 2008, leaves and current-year and older (> 1 year) needles were collected using telescopic 130 secateurs at a fixed height of 12 m and from the shaded part of the crown. We sampled five trees 131 located in the first 10 m of the forest edge and five trees in the forest interior. In the Qr1 stand,

6

132 branches with leaves were too high to reach, while in the Ps1 stand, needles could not be collected 133 due to safety reasons (next to a busy highway). Trees at the edges were sampled at the sheltered side opposite from the edge to avoid overestimation of the N concentrations by sampling sunlit 134 135 leaves or needles. Dry weight and surface area of a leaf or needle subsample were measured to assess the specific leaf area (SLA, ratio of leaf area to leaf dry weight). Leaf surface areas were 136 137 determined with the LI-3000 Portable Area Meter (LICOR); needle surface areas were estimated 138 from needle length and diameter. Stem cores were taken from the same trees at 30 cm height above 139 the root collar. Bark was excluded from chemical analysis and only fully intact stem cores, bored 140 through the entire stem, were selected. Additionally, the length, diameter, and weight of the dry 141 stem cores were determined to estimate wood density.

142

## 143 2.3. Chemical analyses

Subsequent to filtration (0.45  $\mu$ m, Rothe), the monthly water samples were analyzed for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> 144 photometrically (determination of a reaction product of  $NH_4^+$  at  $\lambda = 660$  nm according to the Dutch 145 146 standard method NEN 6576; Cary 50 spectrophotometer, Varian) and for Cl<sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> by ion chromatography (ICS-90 Dionex). The forest floor samples were dried at 70°C and grinded; 147 samples of the mineral soil were dried at 40°C and sieved (2 mm mesh width). Samples of the FH 148 149 layer of the forest floor and the mineral soil were analysed for total N (salicylic acid-thiosulphate 150 modification of Kjeldahl method: 1 g soil or 0.2 g ectorganic material in 7 ml C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solution (50 g 151 dissolved in 1 1 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 96%), 5 ml concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and 0.5 g Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O; Bremner 1996) 152 and total C (estimated by loss on ignition: 4.5 h at increasing temperature until 450°C, then 4 h at 450°C; estimated % C = (100 - % of ashes residue) / 2). The samples of the FH layer were digested 153 154 (0.2 g organic material in 10 ml HNO<sub>3</sub> and 2 ml HClO<sub>4</sub>; McKenzie 2010) and the 0-5 cm samples of the mineral soil were extracted with BaCl<sub>2</sub> (5.00 g soil in 100 ml 0.1 M BaCl<sub>2</sub> solution; Dutch 155 standard method NEN 5738) and were subsequently analysed for  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  (flame atomic 156

absorption spectrophotometry, SpectrAA-220, Varian). Wood and foliar material were dried at
70°C, grinded, and following total destruction analysed for total N. The quality of the chemical
analyses was checked by including method blanks and repeated measurements of internal standards
and certified reference samples.

161

162 2.4. Element fluxes, N stocks, and soil properties

Annual throughfall fluxes (kg ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) were the sum of monthly fluxes calculated from the 163 164 concentration in the subsamples multiplied by the monthly throughfall volume in the three 165 collectors and divided by the surface area of the three funnels (Wuyts and others 2008b). Yearround mean NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N concentrations (mg  $l^{-1}$ ) were calculated as the mean of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N concentrations 166 weighted by the sample volume in the lysimeters on each sampling event. Soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N 167 leaching fluxes (kg ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) were determined by multiplying the water seepage flux ( $1 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ ) with 168 the year-round, volume-weighted mean ion concentration in the soil solution (mg  $l^{-1}$ ). The water 169 170 seepage fluxes were estimated with the chloride mass balance method (Eriksson and Khunakasem 171 1969; Boxman and others 2008; De Schrijver and others 2008). Recent studies indicate that Cl 172 release or retention may occur in the soil (Öberg and Sandén 2005; Bastviken and others 2007), but 173 we found the mass balance method with Cl<sup>-</sup> as a tracer sufficient to study edge effects. The water seepage fluxes in stands Qr2 and Pn2 calculated with Na<sup>+</sup> (flame atomic absorption 174 175 spectrophotometry, SpectrAA-220, Varian) as a tracer were lower than with Cl<sup>-</sup>, but the difference was marginally insignificant (paired samples t test, P = 0.077) and the Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> concentrations in 176 177 the soil solution were highly correlated irrespective of time and distance from the edge (Pearson R = 0.94; P < 0.001; n = 35). The calculated water seepage fluxes were independent of edge distance. 178 179 However, at the first two distance plots at the Qr2 site, the calculated water seepage fluxes at 90 cm soil depth were high and equalled the throughfall volumes ( $\pm 600 \text{ lm}^{-2}$ ), while further down the 180 181 edge, they were half the volume of throughfall. A small but profound  $(\pm 1 \text{ m})$  creek located 2 m

before the edge front of stand Qr2 may have influenced subsurface water flows. As a result, DIN
throughfall fluxes at the first two distance plots of Qr2 were probably overestimated.

184 The N stock in leaves or needles was approximated by multiplying the mean N concentration (kg N

185 kg<sup>-1</sup>) by the LAI (m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>, converted to m<sup>2</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the inverse of the mean SLA. The N stock in

186 tree stem biomass was estimated by multiplying the mean N concentration (kg N kg<sup>-1</sup>) by the stem

187 volume  $(m^3 ha^{-1})$  and the wood density, which was in general lower at the edge than in the interior.

188 The C:N ratios were determined for the FH layer of the forest floor (C:N<sub>FH</sub>) and the mineral soil

189 (C:N<sub>0-5</sub>, C:N<sub>5-10</sub>, C:N<sub>10-30</sub>). The N stocks (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the mineral soil were calculated based on the

measured N concentration and soil density (the latter was significantly lower at the edge than in theinterior).

192

### 193 2.5. Statistics

194 By means of a repeated-measures ANOVA (RMA), the effects of within-subject factor 'distance to the forest edge' (8 levels) and between-subjects factor 'forest type' (2 levels: coniferous and 195 deciduous) on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N concentrations in soil water, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN,  $NH_4^+$ -N + 196 197 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N) leaching, and soil characteristics (C, N, C:N) were tested. Furthermore, we estimated the 198 mean NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N concentrations and DIN soil leaching fluxes in the first 64 m of the edge, weighted by 199 the share of each distance plot in the considered zone (i.e., 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 16 m for the first to 200 seventh distance plots, respectively). Repeated-measures ANOVA was applied to identify and separate the influence of 'edge proximity' (2 levels: forest edge 0-64 m and forest interior at 128 m) 201 202 and forest type on NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations and DIN leaching. This data pooling enabled to make more general conclusions. Although the  $NH_4^+$  through fall deposition, representing on average 73% 203 of the DIN throughfall deposition, was significantly lower in region 1 than in region 2 by 7 kg N ha 204 <sup>1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> on average (Wuyts and others 2008b), we observed no significant influence of region and its 205

206 interaction effects on DIN leaching and N content. The factor region and its interaction effects were 207 therefore omitted from the analyses to decrease the number of parameter estimations. 208 Furthermore, differences between edge (0-64 m) and interior (128 m) were tested for the deciduous 209 and coniferous stands separately with non-parametric related samples tests (Wilcoxon signed ranks 210 test). A repeated-measures analysis (RMA) was applied on the dry weight of the FH layer, the N 211 stock in the mineral soil and the biomass (or LAI), the N concentration, and the N stock in stem wood and leaf/needle to separate the influence of 'edge proximity' (2 levels: edge and interior) and 212 213 of forest type. Finally, single linear regression models (including constants) were tested between 214 DIN in throughfall deposition, C:N<sub>FH</sub>, and the C:N ratios of the mineral soil on the one hand and the (log-transformed) DIN leaching fluxes at 30 and 90 cm soil depth on the other hand. All statistical 215 216 analyses were performed using SPSS 15.0.

- 217
- 218 **3. Results**

219 3.1. DIN leaching

220 Higher NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N concentrations in soil water occurred in the coniferous stands in comparison with 221 the deciduous ones. For example, in the interior part (128 m) of the studied forests, mean concentrations  $\pm$  standard error were  $14 \pm 3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  at 30 cm and  $17 \pm 9 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  at 90 cm soil depth in 222 the coniferous stands and  $4.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  at 30 cm and  $3.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  at 90 cm soil depth in the 223 224 deciduous stands. Similarly, estimated DIN leaching fluxes were higher in the coniferous than in the deciduous stands, with mean interior fluxes of  $35 \pm 7$  kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> at 30 cm and  $10 \pm 5$  kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> 225 at 90 cm soil depth in the coniferous stands and  $8 \pm 2 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ v}^{-1}$  at 30 cm and  $7 \pm 2 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ v}^{-1}$ 226 227 at 90 cm soil depth in the deciduous stands. However, forest type affected DIN leaching only significantly (P < 0.05) at 30 cm soil depth, and yet marginally insignificantly (0.05 < P < 0.1) 228 229 affected the NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations at this depth (Table 2).

230 According to the RMA analysis (Table 2), the distance from the forest edge did not significantly (P 231 > 0.1) influence the NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations and the DIN leaching fluxes at 30 and 90 cm depth, indicating that the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N concentrations and the DIN leaching did not display a monotonic 232 (increasing or decreasing) relationship with distance from the edge. The NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations in 233 234 the soil water at 30 and 90 cm (Fig. 2, Appendix) were not enhanced at the edges, except for Pn1 at 235 30 cm depth, where we observed a slight decrease in concentration with increasing edge distance. Instead, the NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations were highest between 8 and 32 m or increased towards the forest 236 237 interior (at both sampling depths in Pn2 and in Qr1 and Ps1at 30 cm). In all stands, except for Pn1 238 at 30 cm and Qr2, the lowest DIN leaching fluxes occurred at the edge front (0 to 2 m) with values 239 equal or even lower than at 128 m, while maximum values were obtained at 8, 16, 32, or 64 m from the edge (see Appendix). Fig. 2 shows the dissimilarity between the mean edge patterns of DIN 240 241 throughfall deposition and of DIN leaching fluxes in the deciduous and coniferous stands. While the 242 DIN throughfall deposition decreased with increasing edge distance, the DIN leaching fluxes at 90 243 cm soil depth increased with distance in the first 20 m of the edge ('outer edge'), peaked at 32 and 244 64 m, and decreased in the forest interior at 128 m. The DIN leaching at 90 cm soil depth in the first 20 m from the edge was  $15 \pm 12$  and  $17 \pm 6$  kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (mean  $\pm$  SE) in the deciduous and 245 246 coniferous forest stands, resp., while from 20 to 64 m the leaching amounted to  $24 \pm 9$  and  $36 \pm 11$ kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, resp. No significant interaction between forest type and distance from the forest edge 247 248 occurred for NO<sub>3</sub> -N concentrations and DIN leaching at 30 and 90 cm (Table 2). 249 The NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations and leaching of DIN averaged over the first 64 m of the edge were 250 consistently higher than the concentrations and fluxes in the interior, and differences were 251 marginally insignificant for the leaching fluxes at 30 cm and significant for those at 90 cm (Table 252 2). The differences in  $NO_3$ -N concentrations were, however, not significant. When considering 253 coniferous and deciduous stands separately, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N concentrations and DIN leaching did not differ significantly between the first 64 m of the edge and the interior, although the difference in DIN 254

11

255 leaching flux at 90 cm in the coniferous stands was only marginally insignificant. In the first 64 m of the edges, the DIN leaching fluxes at 30 cm soil depth were in the deciduous stands, on average, 256 10 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (125%) higher than in the forest interior and in the coniferous stands 24 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> 257 <sup>1</sup> (69%) higher than in the interior (Table 2). At 90 cm soil depth, the increase in DIN leaching in 258 the 64 m edge zone relative to the interior was 14 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (or 181%) and 21 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (or 259 260 105%) in the deciduous and coniferous stands, respectively (Table 2). For comparison, we provide the results of the repeated measures analysis on DIN throughfall deposition and its weighted-means 261 262 in the 64-m edge zone and the interior of the deciduous and coniferous stands in Table 2. Significant linear relations were found between NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations at 30 and 90 cm ( $R^2 = 0.57$ ; 263 P < 0.001; slope = 1.982) and between the log-transformed DIN leaching fluxes at 30 and 90 cm 264  $(R^2 = 0.51; P < 0.001; slope = 0.952)$ . The DIN leaching fluxes at 30 cm and 90 cm were plotted 265 against the DIN in throughfall deposition in Fig.3. The DIN leaching fluxes at 30 cm were 266 significantly linearly related with the DIN input via throughfall deposition ( $R^2 = 0.43$ ; P < 0.001; 267 268 slope = 1.51; Fig. 3). This relationship held when the deciduous stands were considered separately  $(R^2 = 0.33; P = 0.001; slope = 1.79)$  but was marginally insignificant for the coniferous stands  $(R^2 = 0.33; P = 0.001; slope = 1.79)$ 269 270 0.14; P = 0.073; slope = 1.05). The linear relationship between DIN throughfall deposition and DIN 271 leaching was improved in the coniferous stands when only plots with C:N<sub>FH</sub>  $\leq$  25 (n=18) were 272 considered ( $R^2 = 0.32$ ; P = 0.014; slope = 1.70). No significant linear relationships were observed 273 between the DIN throughfall deposition and the DIN leaching at 90 cm (P = 0.138, 0.316, and 0.377 274 for all (n = 40), the deciduous (n = 16), and the coniferous (n = 24) stands, respectively).

275

276 3.2. Forest floor and mineral soil

277 At the outer edges (0-20 m), on average  $7.5 \pm 0.7$  kg m<sup>-2</sup> of dry FH layer was collected, which was

- significantly lower than in the forest interiors ( $10.9 \pm 1.4$  kg m<sup>-2</sup>; Table 3). A significant effect of
- 279 forest type was observed on the C concentrations and the C:N ratio of the FH layer (Table 4), which

were both higher in the deciduous than in the coniferous stands. The distance from the edge
significantly affected the C and N concentrations in the FH layer of the forest floor, as well as its
C:N ratio (Table 4). The overall trend was that the N and C concentrations and the C:N ratios were
lower at the edges (Fig. 4). No significant interactions between edge effects and forest type were
detected in the FH layer.

285 The N concentrations in the mineral soil were in general higher at the edges in all soil depths but were significantly influenced by edge effects only in the 0-5 cm mineral topsoil and marginally 286 287 insignificantly at 10-30 cm (Table 4; Fig. 4). The C concentrations in the soil were significantly 288 affected by distance from the edge in all sampled soil depths (Table 4; Fig. 4), with higher 289 concentrations near the edge. The C:N ratios of the mineral topsoil (0-5 cm) were significantly 290 lower at the edge. The forest type had a significant effect on the N concentrations of the 0-5 cm and 291 5-10 cm mineral soil layers and the C:N ratios of the 0-5 cm mineral topsoil and had a marginally 292 insignificant effect on the C:N ratios of the 5-10 and 10-30 cm mineral soil layers (Table 4), with 293 higher N concentrations and lower C:N ratios in the deciduous stand. No significant interaction 294 effects between forest type and edge distance were observed in the mineral soil. 295 The N stocks in the mineral soil at 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, and 10-30 cm depth were, on average, 376 (or 49%), 148 (or 28%), and 419 (or 25%) kg ha<sup>-1</sup> higher at the outer edge than in the forest interior, 296 297 respectively, the difference being significant for the 0-5 cm topsoil and marginally insignificant in 298 the other mineral soil layers (Table 3). When the deciduous and coniferous stands were considered 299 separately (Table 3), the pattern was consistent but less outspoken, with only marginally 300 insignificant differences at 0-5 cm and 5-10 cm depth in the deciduous stands and at 0-5 cm depth 301 in the coniferous stands. Summed over the total sampled mineral soil layer (0-30 cm), differences in 302 the soil N stock between outer edge and interior were larger in the deciduous forest types (1232 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, i.e., 427, 176, and 629 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, for the increasing soil depth ranges) than in the coniferous ones 303 (652 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, i.e., 325, 119, and 208 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, for the increasing soil depth ranges). The N stocks in 304

the mineral soil did not differ significantly between the coniferous and the deciduous stands for anyof the sampling depths (Table 3).

307 Plotting the DIN leaching fluxes at 30 and 90 cm soil depth against the C:N ratio of the FH layer  $(C:N_{FH})$  and the mineral topsoil  $(C:N_{0-5})$  (Fig. 3) showed distinct clusters related to the forest type, 308 309 e.g., in the deciduous stands, similar DIN leaching occurred at lower C:N<sub>FH</sub> ratios than in the 310 coniferous stands. In both forest types, the DIN leaching at 30 cm soil depth was not significantly related to the C:N ratios. The DIN leaching at 90 cm depth in the deciduous stands was marginally 311 312 insignificantly related to C:N<sub>FH</sub> ( $R^2 = 0.19$ ; P = 0.097; slope = -7.93), but significantly negatively related to C:N<sub>0-5</sub> ( $R^2 = 0.35$ ; P = 0.015; slope = -3.03), C:N<sub>5-10</sub> ( $R^2 = 0.51$ ; P = 0.002; slope = -1.90), 313 and C:N<sub>10-30</sub> ( $R^2 = 0.38$ ; P = 0.012; slope = -1.72) soil layers. The relationship between DIN 314 leaching at 90 cm depth and C:N<sub>FH</sub> disappeared when the extreme point at C:N<sub>FH</sub> = 16.4 (see Fig. 3) 315 was removed ( $R^2 = 0.02$ , P = 0.636). In the coniferous stands, relations of DIN leaching at 90 cm 316 317 with neither C:N<sub>FH</sub> nor the C:N ratios of the mineral soil were significant. Linear regression on log-318 transformed DIN leaching values yielded higher P and R<sup>2</sup> values for the relation between DIN 319 leaching at 90 cm and the C:N ratio at 0-5 cm ( $R^2 = 0.48$ ; P = 0.003) and 5-10 cm ( $R^2 = 0.63$ ; P < 0.63320 0.001) of the mineral soil in the deciduous stands.

321

322 3.3. N in stems and fresh foliar material

323 The LAI and stem volume were generally higher in the first 20 m of the edges than in the interior,

324 the differences being marginally insignificant for LAI and significant for stem volume (Table 3).

325 The N concentrations in stem wood and foliar material differed not significantly between the forest326 edge and the interior (Table 3).

327 The N stock in the foliar material (Table 3) was, on average, 3 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (21%) higher in the first 20

328 m of the edge (mean 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) than in the interior (mean 16 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). In stem biomass, on

329 average, an additional amount of 27 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (20%) was stored in the first 20 m of the edge

(average 162 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) in comparison with the interior (average 136 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). In the deciduous
stands, the mean difference was 5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in foliar biomass and 22 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in stem biomass. The
differences between edge and interior in the coniferous stands amounted to 2 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in foliar
biomass and 32 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in stem biomass. However, the effect of edge proximity was not
significant, even when forest types were considered separately (Table 3). The N stock in foliar
material and stem wood was not significantly affected by forest type (Table 3).

336

### 337 4. Discussion

338 4.1. Forest type effect on DIN leaching

Higher NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations in soil water and DIN leaching occurred in the coniferous pine stands 339 340 than in the deciduous oak and birch stands, a result in line with previous studies performed in the 341 interior of similar forest types (Herrmann and others 2005; De Schrijver and others 2004, 2008) and 342 deciduous and coniferous forest types in general (von Wilpert and others 2000; Rothe and others 343 2002; De Schrijver and others 2007b). Gundersen and others (1998), MacDonald and others (2002), 344 and De Schrijver and others (2007b) demonstrated a positive relationship between DIN leaching 345 and N deposition at N saturated sites. This would imply that the forest type effect on DIN leaching 346 would be related primarily to differences in atmospheric N input. Although the species-related 347 difference in DIN leaching increases with the difference in N throughfall deposition or N load 348 (Rothe and others 2002; De Schrijver and others 2007b), stand history, N uptake, soil N retention, 349 and gaseous N losses may surpass the deposition effect (Rothe and others 2002). 350 The deciduous stands had lower C:N ratios in both the FH layer of the forest floor (C:N<sub>FH</sub>) and the 351 the mineral topsoil (0-5 and 5-10 cm) than the coniferous stands, in accordance with Kristensen 352 and others (2004) and Gundersen and others (2009). Dise and others (1998), Gundersen and others 353 (1998, 2009), and MacDonald and others (2002) suggested the use of N input and the C:N ratio of

354 the forest floor or mineral topsoil to predict  $NO_3^-$  leaching. In our coniferous stands, DIN leaching

355 at 30 and at 90 cm were related with neither N input nor C:N ratios. However, DIN leaching at 30 356 cm related significantly with DIN throughfall deposition in the coniferous stands when only plots with  $C:N_{FH} \le 25$  were considered. This result would confirm the finding of Gundersen and others 357 358 (1998, 2009) and MacDonald and others (2002) that in coniferous stands for organic layer C:N  $\leq$  25 DIN leaching is related to DIN input, although the slope of DIN leaching vs. DIN throughfall is 359 higher than 1. In the deciduous stands, DIN leaching was significantly negatively related with C:N 360 361 ratios of the 0-5, 5-10, and 10-30 cm soil layers. Our study supports the finding of Gundersen and 362 others (2009) that a C:N value of the organic layer of 25 is not a useful threshold value to 363 discriminate between low and high risk of DIN leaching in deciduous stands. Gundersen and others 364 (2009) suggested that the C:N ratio of the mineral topsoil (0-10 cm) better reflects the N status of mull type soils - in their study related to deciduous stands - and that the organic layer C:N may be 365 366 better suited for mor and moder type soils.

367 When analysing the effect of forest type on biogeochemical fluxes, stands should meet the 368 prerequisite of comparable climate, air pollution level, soil type, land-use history, and successional 369 stage (De Schrijver and others 2007b). Our study examined forest stands on the same soil type and, 370 within one region, under similar air quality and climate conditions. Land-use history was alike for 371 all stands down to 1770, except for the Qr1 stand, which was shortly managed as grassland around 1900. Soil pH, soil N, nitrification rates, and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> leaching can remain elevated and soil C 372 373 concentration and C:N ratios lowered in post-agricultural forests, even a century after agricultural 374 abandonment (Compton and Boone 2000; Jussy and others 2002; Gundersen and others 2009). However, on sandy soils and after more than 50 years of reforestation, tree species rather than land-375 376 use history affects N cycling (Compton and others 1998). In the 90-year old Qr1 stand, soil pH-KCl 377 was higher than in the other forest stands (Table 1), but C:N ratios of the mineral topsoil (on 378 average 17.7 in the 128 m plot) were comparable to those in the other oak stand Qr2 (on average 379 18.6 in the 128 m plot). Moreover, DIN leaching fluxes in the Qr1 stand were not higher than in the

380 Qr2 stand, which suggests a limited effect of the former grassland management on soil N cycling in 381 Qr1. Canopy closure was complete in all stands, but while all stands were in timber stage, the birch stands Bp1 and Bp2 were in late pole stage. The trees in the birch stands are close to the 382 383 culmination point of height growth. Further development of these stands will be characterised by an 384 increase in N deposition input, due to increasing tree height (Draaijers 1993), and a decrease in N 385 accumulation in biomass, since after canopy closure the most N-rich biomass compartments 386 (canopy foliage) are synthesised (Richter and others 2000), and this can result in a slight increase in 387 DIN leaching (Hansen and others 2007).

388

389 4.2. Edge effect on DIN leaching

390 In comparison with the forest interior, enhanced leaching fluxes of DIN are found in the 64-m edge 391 zone of both the coniferous and deciduous forests. These differences in DIN leaching between the 392 64-m edge zone and the interior are larger than these in DIN throughfall deposition because the 393 most pronounced enhancement of DIN throughfall deposition is restricted to the first 20 m of the 394 edge while the enhancement of DIN leaching occurs between 16 and 64 m from the edge. The 395 increase in DIN soil leaching in the 64-m edge zone was greatest in the coniferous forests, and this 396 for both sampling depths, in accordance with the more pronounced edge effects on DIN throughfall 397 deposition in the coniferous forests than in the deciduous ones (Wuyts and others 2008a, b). Below 90 cm. an additional 14 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> v<sup>-1</sup> and 21 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> v<sup>-1</sup> was leached in the 64-m edge zone in the 398 399 deciduous and coniferous forests in comparison with the forest interior. However, for DIN leaching 400 as well as for the other variables considered (soil N and C concentrations and N stocks) we found 401 no significant difference in edge effects between the coniferous and deciduous forest types. 402 The patterns of DIN leaching and NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentration did not univocally reflect the patterns of 403 DIN throughfall deposition (Fig. 2). In the Q. robur, B. pendula, and P. nigra stands, maximum 404 DIN throughfall deposition occurred at the front of the edges (0-2 m from the edge) behind which

405 deposition decreased with increasing edge distance (Wuyts and others 2008b; Fig. 2). In the Ps1 406 stand, edge effects on throughfall deposition of DIN were not observed because the edge of the Ps1 407 stand borders a very busy motorway: trucks passing by at < 5 m from the edge considerably disturb 408 the expected pattern of wind speed and turbulence across forest edges. We found no evidence of 409 higher NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations in the soil water near the exposed edges and remarkably low DIN leaching occurred in the outer edge (the first 0-20 m of the edge) of the stands. At 30 cm soil depth, 410 411 soil DIN leaching was significantly related to the DIN throughfall deposition, but at 90 cm, the 412 relationship with throughfall was lost. The DIN leaching fluxes at 90 cm soil depth increased with 413 distance in the first 20 m of the edge until the highest values were reached at 32 and 64 m, and 414 decreased again in the forest interior at 128 m. In the first meters of an exposed beech edge, 415 Kinniburgh and Trafford (1996) reported very low NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N concentrations in the pore water beneath 416 the rooting zone; however, concentrations were increased at 30-100 m from the edge, after which 417 they decreased again. These results and those of Spangenberg and Kölling (2004) agree with our 418 findings on leaching of DIN. The non-uniform response of DIN leaching to edge proximity is 419 probably the result of a complex interaction of several processes: (i) N uptake by trees and the 420 understory vegetation, (ii) gaseous N loss, (iii) N immobilisation in the soil, and (iv) dissolved 421 organic N (DON) leaching. However, because not all possible N pathways were actually measured in this study, we stress the importance of further research to verify our assumptions. 422 423 We avoided the influence of N uptake by understory vegetation on DIN leaching, except in the Pn2 424 stand, where lower DIN leaching at the edges may be related to N uptake by grasses at the edge. Also, in stand Qr1, the brambles that remained outside the cleared zone around the lysimeters might 425 426 still have affected DIN leaching in the first 20 m of the edge to a minor extent. Yet, the effect of 427 these brambles can be assumed negligible as their abundance was low. The stand volume and the 428 LAI were higher in the first 20 m of the edge, but differences in N stock in these biomass 429 compartments were not significant. So, though we did not measure N stocks in all biomass

18

430 compartments, these results do not confirm the hypothesis by Spangenberg and Kölling (2004) on N

431 sequestration in trees and understory biomass and instead indicate that the role of higher N

432 accumulation in biomass in lower DIN leaching in the outer edge is limited.

433 Production of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O, primarily driven by microbial processes, depends on soil temperature,

434 moisture, pH, and N input (Butterbach-Bahl and others 2002; Schindlbacher and others 2004;

435 Pilegaard and others 2006). From edge gradients in N throughfall deposition, pH, and microclimate

436 (e.g., soil temperature; Chen and others 1995), elevated N loss through NO and N<sub>2</sub>O emission can
437 be expected at edges.

438 N concentrations in the mineral topsoil (0-5 cm) were significantly enhanced at the edges and the N stock in the total sampled mineral soil (0-30 cm) was, on average, 951 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> higher in the first 439 440 20 m of the edge than in the interior. Processes that are believed to be involved in N retention in the soil are (a)biotic fixation of  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  in or on soil organic matter (Magill and others 1997; 441 442 Aber and others 1998; Davidson and others 2003; Micks and others 2004; Morier and others 2008).  $NH_4^+$  adsorption in the mineral soil is positively related to soil pH and exchangeable amounts of 443  $Mg^{2+}$  (Kothawala and Moore 2009), which were both enhanced in the mineral topsoil (0-5 cm) in 444 the first 32 m of our edges (data on  $Mg^{2+}$  in Fig. 4). Moreover, Hayes (2002) suggested that at forest 445 edges a complex interaction of processes is involved in soil N retention, such as increased litter 446 decomposition and higher biomass input through litterfall. The magnitude and extent of the edge 447 448 effects on these processes may differ between edges with dissimilar structure, species composition, 449 exposition, and type of adjacent land cover (Hayes 2002; Malmivaara-Lämsä and others 2008). We 450 presume that both litter decomposition and litterfall input are increased at the edges, but that the 451 edge effect on the decomposition rate penetrates the forest deeper than the effect on litterfall. Litter 452 decomposition depends, among other factors, on soil biological activity. Higher soil temperature, 453 occurring up to 50-60 m from the edge (Chen and others 1995; Heithecker and Halpern 2007), and higher Ca content in the litter (Fig. 4), as a result of higher base cation deposition (Wuyts and others 454

455 2008a), could favour the abundance and activity of soil and litter organisms and increase 456 decomposition rates at the edges (Reich and others 2005; Hobbie and others 2007). Hence, we 457 hypothesize that with an increase in litter decomposition, less N is retained in the forest floor and 458 more N is flushed to the mineral soil up to 64 m from the edge. At our edges, though LAI was 459 higher, the FH layer dry weight at the edge was on average 28% lower than in the interior, which can be related to higher decomposition rates but also to blow up of litter by wind from the edge to 460 the interior. Next, we presume the presence of an edge effect on litterfall input that penetrates the 461 462 edge less far than the edge effect on decomposition. In the outer 20 m of the edges, the biomass of 463 fresh leaves (LAI), and presumably also the litterfall flux to the forest floor, was higher than further 464 down the edge and in the interior. The soil organic matter content was enhanced near the edges, 465 indicating a higher potential for N immobilisation in or on soil organic matter. Also Marchand and Houle (2006) observed a higher soil organic matter content in the first 20 m of a forest edge. In the 466 467 outer edge of a *P. nigra* stand near Pn2, Vandenbruwane (2008) found higher DON and dissolved 468 organic C (DOC) fluxes from the forest floor to the mineral soil and higher retention of DON and DOC in the upper 70 cm of the mineral soil in the outer edge than in the interior (13 vs. 7 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> 469  $y^{-1}$ ). Next to higher DON retention, Vandenbruwane (2008) observed higher DON leaching fluxes 470 below the main rooting zone in the outer edge than in the interior (5 vs. 3 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>). Hence, the 471 472 proportion of organic N in the total N leaving the system via leaching is increased at the outer edge 473 in comparison with the interior.

We can conclude that the C:N ratio and the DIN input with throughfall deposition cannot serve as indicators or predictors for DIN leaching in forest edges: at the outer edges, higher DIN throughfall deposition and lower C:N ratios were observed, yet lower DIN leaching was detected in comparison with the plots at 32 and 64 m from the edge, particularly at 90 cm soil depth. Although we found a significant relationship between DIN throughfall and DIN leaching at 30 cm in the deciduous stands (and in the coniferous stands for C:N<sub>FH</sub>  $\leq$  25), such relationships were no longer appreciable at 90 cm soil depth. Between DIN leaching and C:N ratio, only significant relationships were found in the
deciduous stands, and the variability in DIN leaching explained by the C:N ratio of the mineral soil
was low.

483

484 4.3. Methodological considerations

485 The use of only one suction cup lysimeter per depth and distance plot may not take into account the 486 spatial variability in DIN leaching at a given edge distance. Kohlpaintner and others (2009) found 487 that only understory vegetation coverage, distance to the nearest tree, and tree basal area within a 488 radius of 4 m contributed to explain the variability in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> leaching in a mature Norway spruce 489 stand. Given that in our stands, (i) understory vegetation was absent or removed more than half a 490 year before sampling (in exception of the Pn2 stand, where a homogeneous grass cover occurred at 491 the edge), and (ii) forest stands were homogeneous in basal area, with exception of the edge front, 492 we expect spatial variability in DIN leaching within one distance plot to be limited. The good 493 agreement (high correlation) in NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations and DIN leaching between the lysimeters of 494 the two sampling depths (with at least 1 m intermediate distance) also suggests a relatively low 495 spatial variability.

496 The DIN leaching fluxes were calculated with water percolation fluxes estimated from the chloride 497 mass balance. Although Cl<sup>-</sup> acts as a conservative element in the soil of undisturbed forests in the 498 long term (Kauffman and others 2003) and is even close to conservative within 2 years (Rosenqvist 499 and others 2010), imbalances between Cl<sup>-</sup> input and output within one year and periods of sea salt 500 peaks may occur, causing the water percolation flux and DIN leaching to be under- or 501 overestimated. In this study, the water percolation fluxes might be overestimated due to a peak in 502 sea salt deposition that occurred during a storm event on 25 November 2005, particularly in the 503 region the closest to the sea (region 1). Although this peak in Cl<sup>-</sup> deposition occurred in the 504 beginning of the year of sampling, it may not have passed completely through the soil by the end of

21

the sampling period. However, the similar trend in the measured  $NO_3$ -N concentrations in the soil solution as in the derived DIN leaching fluxes and the large number of studied stands and distance plots reduce the uncertainty in the edge pattern of DIN leaching related to the use of the chloride mass balance.

509

#### 510 **5.** Conclusions

In 64 m edge zones of deciduous and coniferous forests, DIN leaching fluxes were higher than in 511 512 forest interiors. DIN leaching was higher and exhibited the largest edge effects in coniferous forests. 513 DIN leaching at 90 cm soil depth did not relate with DIN throughfall deposition; in the first 20 m 514 (i.e., the outer edge), DIN leaching was reduced in comparison with further down the edge (20-64 m). Increased soil N retention is probably one of the processes involved in this local decrease in 515 516 DIN leaching. Our results point towards a complex of edge effects on biogeochemical processes, a 517 result of interactions between edge effects on N deposition and on base cation deposition, 518 microclimate, and tree dynamics (e.g., growth and litterfall). The course and extent of these edge 519 effects may differ according to structure, exposition, species composition, and adjacent land cover, 520 complicating the generalisation of edge patterns in biogeochemical and ecological studies.

521

## 522 Acknowledgements

523 The authors are grateful to L. Willems, J. Vandenbruwane, G. De bruyn, M. Vanhellemont, L.524 Baeten, E. Ampoorter, K. Ceunen, F. Vermeiren, L. Gielis, S. Vandenberghe, and J. Herman for525 field and laboratory assistance. We thank the four anonymous reviewers for their constructive526 remarks on the initial manuscript. The authors were supported by the Special Research Grant of527 Ghent University (BOF) and by the Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO); ADS and JS were528 supported as postdoctoral fellows of the Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO).

#### 529 **References**

Aber J, McDowell, W, Nadelhoffer K, Magill A, Berntson G, Kamakea M, McNulty S, Currie W, Rustad L,
 Fernandez I. 1998. Nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems: hypotheses revisited.

532 Bioscience 48(11): 921-934.

- Balsberg-Påhlsson A-M, Bergkvist B. 1995. Acid deposition and soil acidification at a southwest facing edge
  of Norway spruce and European beech in south Sweden. Ecological Bulletins 44: 43-53.
- Bastviken D, Thomsen F, Svensson T, Karlsson S, Sandén P, Shaw G, Matucha M, Öberg G. 2007. Chloride
  retention in forest soil by microbial uptake and by natural chlorination of organic matter. Geochimica
  et Cosmochimica Acta 71: 3182-3192.
- Bowering M, LeMay V, Marshall P. 2006. Effects of forest roads on the growth of adjacent lodgepole pine
  trees. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36: 919-929.
- Boxman AW, Peters RCJH, Roelofs JGM. 2008. Long term changes in atmospheric N and S throughfall
  deposition and effects on soil solution chemistry in a Scots pine forest in the Netherlands.

542 Environmental Pollution 156: 1252-1259.

- 543 Bremner JM. 1996. Nitrogen-total. In: Sparks DL. SSSA Book Series 5: Methods of soil analysis. Part 3 544 Chemical methods. Soil Science Society of America, American Society of Agronomy, Madison,
  545 Wisconsin, USA, pp. 1085-1121.
- Broadbent EN, Asner GP, Keller M, Knapp DE, Oliveira PJC, Silva JN. 2008. Forest fragmentation and edge
  effects from deforestation and selective logging in the Brazilian Amazon. Biological Conservation
  141(7): 1745-1757.
- 549 Butterbach-Bahl K, Breuer L, Gasche R, Willibald G, Papen H. 2002. Exchange of trace gases between soils
  550 and the atmosphere in Scots pine forest ecosystems of the northeastern German lowlands: 1. Fluxes
- 551 of N<sub>2</sub>O, NO/NO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> at forest sites with different N-deposition. Forest Ecology and
- 552 Management 167: 123-134.
- Chen J, Franklin JF, Spies TA. 1995. Growing-season microclimatic gradients from clearcut edges into old growth Douglas-fir forests. Ecological Applications 5(1): 74-86.

555	Compton JE, Boone RD, Motzkin G, Foster DR. 1998. Soil carbon and nitrogen in a pine-oak sand plain in
556	central Massachusetts: Role of vegetation and land-use history. Oecologia 116: 536-542.
557	Compton JE, Boone RD. 2000. Long-term impacts of agriculture on soil carbon and nitrogen in New
558	England forests. Ecology 81: 2314-2330.
559	Davidson EA, Chorover J, Dail DB. 2003. A mechanism of abiotic immobilization of nitrate in forest
560	ecosystems: the ferrous wheel hypothesis. Global Change Biology 9: 228-236.
561	De Schrijver A, Devlaeminck R, Mertens J, Wuyts K, Hermy M, Verheyen K. 2007a. On the importance of
562	incorporating forest edge deposition for evaluating the exceedance of critical pollutant loads.
563	Applied Vegetation Science 10: 293-298.
564	De Schrijver A, Geudens G, Augusto L, Staelens J, Mertens J, Wuyts K, Gielis L, Verheyen K. 2007b. The
565	effect of forest type on throughfall deposition and seepage flux: a review. Oecologia 153: 663-674.
566	De Schrijver A, Mertens J, Geudens G, Staelens J, Campforts E, Luyssaert S, De Temmerman L, De
567	Keersmaeker L, De Neve S, Verheyen K. 2006. Acidification of forested podzols in North Belgium
568	during the period 1950-2000. Science of the Total Environment 361: 189-195.
569	De Schrijver A, Nachtergale L, Staelens J, Luyssaert S, De Keersmaeker L. 2004. Comparison of throughfall

- and soil solution chemistry between a high-density Corsican pine stand and a naturally regenerated
   silver birch stand. Environmental Pollution 131: 93-105.
- 572 De Schrijver A, Staelens J, Wuyts K, Van Hoydonck G, Janssen N, Mertens J, Gielis L, Geudens G, Augusto
- 573 L, Verheyen K. 2008. Effect of vegetation type on throughfall deposition and seepage flux.
  574 Environmental Pollution 153: 295-303.
- 575 Dise NB, Matzner E, Forsius M. 1998. Evaluation of organic horizon C:N ratio as an indicator of nitrate
  576 leaching in conifer forests across Europe. Environmental Pollution 102, S1: 453-456.
- 577 Draaijers GPJ, Van Ek R, Bleuten W. 1994. Atmospheric deposition in complex forest landscapes.
  578 Boundary-Layer Meteorology 69: 343-366.
- 579 Draaijers GPJ. 1993. The variability of atmospheric deposition to forests. PhD thesis, University of Utrecht,
  580 The Netherlands.

- 581 Eriksson E, Khunakasem V. 1969. Chloride concentration in groundwater, recharge rate and rate of
  582 deposition of chloride in the Israel coastal plain. Journal of Hydrology 7: 178-197.
- 583 FAO-ISRIC-ISSS. 1998. World reference base for soil resources. World Soil Resources Report 84. FAO.
- Gehlhausen S, Schwartz MW, Augspurger CK. 2000. Vegetation and microclimatic edge effects in two
   mixed-mesophytic forest fragments. Plant Ecology 147: 21-35.
- Gundersen P, Callesen I, de Vries W. 1998. Nitrate leaching in forest ecosystems is related to forest floor
   C/N ratios. Environmental Pollution 102, S1: 403-407.
- Gundersen P, Sevel L, Christiansen JR, Vesterdal L, Hansen K, Bastrup-Birk A. 2009. Do indicators of
   nitrogen retention and leaching differ between coniferous and broadleaved forests in Denmark?
   Forest Ecology and Management 258: 1137-1146.
- Hansen K, Rosenqvist L, Vesterdal L, Gundersen P. 2007. Nitrate leaching from three afforestation
  chronosequences on former arable land in Denmark. Global Change Biology 13: 1250-1264.
- Harper KA, MacDonald SE, Burton PJ, Chen J, Brosofske KD, Saunders SC, Euskirchen ES, Roberts D,
  Jaiteh MS, Esseen P-A. 2005. Edge influence on forests structure and composition in fragmented
  landscapes. Conservation Biology 19(3): 768-782.
- Hayes TD. 2002. Ecosystem consequences of forest fragmentation in the Pacific Northwest: biogeochemical
  edge effects within old-growth forest remnants. PhD dissertation, University of California, Berkeley,
  p. 276.
- Heithecker TD, Halpern CB. 2007. Edge-related gradients in microclimate in forest aggregates following
  structural retention harvests in western Washington. Forest Ecology and Management 248: 163-173.
- Herrmann M, Pust J, Pott R. 2005. Leaching of nitrate and ammonium in heathland and forest ecosystems in
   Northwest Germany under the influence of enhanced nitrogen deposition. Plant and Soil 273: 129-
- 603 137.
- Hobbie SE, Ogdahl M, Chorover J, Chadwick OA, Oleksyn J, Zytkowiak R, Reich PB. 2007. Tree species
   effects on soil organic matter dynamics: the role of soil cation composition. Ecosystems 10: 999-
- 606 1018.

607 http://www.ecostudies.org/gla

- Jansen JJ, Sevenster J, Faber BJ. 1996. Opbrengsttabellen voor belangrijke boomsoorten in Nederland [in
  Dutch]. IBN report 221, 240 p.
- Jussy JH, Koerner W, Dambrine E, Dupouey JL, Benoit M. 2002. Influence of former agricultural land use
  on net nitrate production in forest soils. European Journal of Soil Science 53: 367-374.
- Kinniburgh DG, Trafford JM. 1996. Unsaturated zone pore water chemistry and the edge effect in a beech
  forest in Southern England. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 92: 421-450.
- Kothawala DN, Moore TR. 2009. Adsorption of dissolved nitrogen by forest mineral soils. Canadian Journal
  of Forest Research 39: 2381-2390.
- 616 Kristensen HL, Gundersen P, Callesen I, Reinds GJ. 2004. Throughfall nitrogen deposition has different

617 impacts on soil solution nitrate concentration in European coniferous and deciduous forests.

618 Ecosystems 7: 180-192.

- Kohlpaintner M, Huber C, Weis W, Göttlein A. 2009. Spatial and temporal variability of nitrate
  concentration in seepage water under a mature Norway spruce [*Picea abies* (L.) Karst] stand before
  and after clear cut. Plant and Soil 314: 285-301.
- MacDonald JA, Dise NB, Matzner E, Armbruster M, Gundersen P, Forsius M. 2002. Nitrogen input together
  with ecosystem nitrogen enrichment predict nitrate leaching from European forests. Global Change
  Biology 8: 1028-1033.
- Magill AH, Aber JD, Hendricks JJ, Bowden RD, Melillo JM, Steudler PA. 1997. Biogeochemical response
  of forest ecosystems to simulated chronic nitrogen deposition. Ecological Applications 7(2): 402415.
- Malmivaara-Lämsä M, Hamberg L, Haapamäki E, Liski J, Kotze DJ, Lehvävirta S, Fritze H. 2008. Edge
  effects and trampling in boreal urban forest fragments impacts on the soil microbial community.
  Soil Biology & Biochemistry 40: 1612-1621.
- Marchand P, Houle G. 2006. Spatial patterns of plant species richness along a forest edge: what are their
   determinants? Forest Ecology and Management 223: 113-124.
- 633 Matlack GR. 1993. Microenvironment variation within and among forest edge sites in the eastern United-
- 634 States. Biological Conservation 66(3): 185-194.

- McDonald RI, Urban DL. 2004. Forest edges and tree growth rates in the North Carolina Piedmont. Ecology
  85(8): 2258-2266.
- McKenzie T. 2010. Automated multielement analysis of plant material by flame atomic absorption
   spectroscopy. Application note. Agilent Technologies, USA, 6 p. www.agilent.com/chem

- Micks P, Aber JD, Boone RD, Davidson EA. 2004. Short-term soil respiration and nitrogen immobilization
   response to nitrogen applications in control and nitrogen-enriched temperate forests. Forest Ecology
   and Management 196: 57-70.
- Morier I, Schleppi P, Siegwolf R, Knicker H, Guenat C. 2008. <sup>15</sup>N immobilization in forest soil: a
  sterilization experiment coupled with 15CPMAS NMR spectroscopy. European Journal of Soil
  Science 59: 467-475.
- Öberg G, Sandén P. 2005. Retention of chloride in soil and cycling of organic matter-bound chlorine.
  Hydrological Process 19: 2123-2136.
- 647 Pilegaard K, Skiba U, Ambus P, Beier C, Brüggemann N, Butterbach-Bahl K, Dick J, Dorsey J, Duyzer J,
- 648 Gallagher M, Gasche R, Horvath L, Kitzler B, Leip A, Pihlatie MK, Rosenkranz P, Seufert G,
- 649 Vesala T, Westrate H, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S. 2006. Factors controlling regional differences in
  650 forest soil emission of nitrogen oxides (NO and N<sub>2</sub>O). Biogeosciences 3: 651-661.
- Reich PB, Oleksyn J, Modrzynski J, Mrozinski P, Hobbie SE, Eissenstat DM, Chorover J, Chadwick OA,
- Hale CM, Tjoelker MG. 2005. Linking litter calcium, earthworms and soil properties: a common
  garden test with 14 tree species. Ecology Letters 8: 811-818.
- Richter DD, Markewitz D, Heine PR, Jin V, Raikes J, Tian K, Wells CG. 2000. Legacies of agriculture and
   forest regrowth in the nitrogen of old-field soils. Forest Ecology and Management 138: 233-248.
- 656 Riiters KH, Wickham JD, O'Neill RV, Jones KB, Smith ER, Coulston JW, Wade TG, Smith JH. 2002.
- Fragmentation of continental United States forests. Ecosystems 5: 815-822.
- 658 Rosenqvist L, Hansen K, Vesterdal L, van der Salm C. 2010. Water balance in afforestation
- 659 chronosequences of common oak and Norway spruce on former arable land in Denmark and
- southern Sweden. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 150: 196-207.

- Rothe A, Huber C, Kreutzer K, Weis W. 2002. Deposition and soil leaching in stands of Norway spruce and
  European Beech: results from the Höglwald research in comparison with other European case
  studies. Plant and Soil 240: 33-45.
- Schindlbacher A, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, Butterbach-Bahl K. 2004. Effects of soil moisture and
   temperature on NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from European forest soils. Journal of Geophysical
   Research 109(D17): D17302.
- Sherich K, Pocewicz A, Morgan P. 2007. Canopy characteristics and growth rates of ponderosa pine and
   Douglas-fir at long-established forest edges. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 37: 2096-2105.
- Spangenberg A, Kölling C. 2004. Nitrogen deposition and nitrate leaching at forest edges exposed to high
  ammonia emissions in southern Bavaria. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 152: 233-255.
- Van Ranst E, De Coninck F, Roskams P, Vindevogel N. 2002. Acid-neutralizing capacity of forest floor and
   mineral topsoil in Flemish forests (North Belgium). Forest Ecology and Management 166: 45-53.
- 673 Vandenbruwane J. 2008. Dynamics of dissolved organic matter in forest ecosystems in Flanders. PhD thesis,
  674 Ghent University. 252p.
- Vestgarden LS, Nilsen P, Abrahamsen G. 2004. Nitrogen cycling in *Pinus sylvestris* stands exposed to
  different nitrogen inputs. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research 19: 38-47.
- von Wilpert K, Zirlewagen D, Kohler M. 2000. To what extent can silviculture enhance sustainability of
  forest sites under the immission regime in Central Europe? Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 122: 105120.
- Weis W, Rotter V, Göttlein A. 2006. Water and element fluxes during the regeneration of Norway spruce
  with European beech: Effects of shelterwood-cut and clear-cut. Forest Ecology and Management
  224: 304-317.
- Wuyts K, De Schrijver A, Staelens J, Gielis M, Geudens G, Veheyen K. 2008a. Patterns of throughfall
  deposition along a transect in forest edges of silver birch and Corsican pine. Canadian Journal of
  Forest Research 38: 449-461.

Wuyts K, De Schrijver A, Staelens J, Gielis L, Vandenbruwane J, Verheyen K. 2008b. Comparison of forest
edge effects on throughfall deposition in different forest types. Environmental Pollution 156: 854-

688 861.

#### 689 Appendix

- Throughfall deposition and soil leaching fluxes at 30 cm (DIN leaching<sub>30</sub>; indicated by bars) and 90 cm (DIN leaching<sub>90</sub>; bars) soil depth of DIN (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> + NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>; kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and volume-weighted mean NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations (mg l<sup>-1</sup>; crosses) in the soil solution along transects across the studied forest edges. Soil leaching fluxes at 90 cm were not measured in the stands Qr1 and Bp1 due to high ground water tables. Data on throughfall deposition were previously published by Wuyts and others (2008b), except for Ps1. Note the higher y-axis limit for the stands Qr2, Pn1, Pn2 and Ps1.
  - Quercus robur (Qr1) Betula pendula (Bp1) Betula pendula (Bp2) Quercus robur (Qr2) Pinus nigra (Pn1) Pinus nigra (Pn2) Pinus sylvestris (Ps1) DIN throughfall deposition  $(kg N ha^{-1} y^{-1})$ (  $^{0}_{128}^{0}$  $^{0}_{128}^{16}_{128}^{16}_{128}^{10}_{128$  $^{0}_{0}$ DIN leaching<sub>30</sub> (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) & NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentration<sub>30</sub> (mg l<sup>-1</sup>)  $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 128 \\ 64 \\ 128 \\ 12$  $^{-128}_{-128}$  $^{+}$  $286316 \times 10^{-10}$  $282316 \times 10^{-2}$ DIN leaching<sub>30</sub> (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) & NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentration<sub>30</sub> (mg l<sup>-1</sup>)  $\begin{array}{c}
     0 \\
     282 \\
     282 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284 \\
     284$  $26316 \times 10^{-10}$  $\begin{bmatrix}
     2842
     \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{array}{c}
     0 \\
     28 \\
     28 \\
     28 \\
     28 \\
     28 \\
     28 \\
     28 \\
     28 \\
     28 \\
     20 \\
     28 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\
     20 \\$ Distance from the forest edge (m)

#### 695 Figure legends

Fig. 1. Maps indicating location of Flanders within Europe and the eight studied forest stands in the tworegions (see Table 1 for explanation of site codes).

698

Fig. 2. Mean throughfall deposition of DIN ( $NH_4^+ + NO_3^-$ ; kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and DIN soil leaching fluxes (kg N

700  $ha^{-1} y^{-1}$ ) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations (mg l<sup>-1</sup>) in the soil solution at 30 (DIN leaching<sub>30</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N

701 concentration<sub>30</sub>) and 90 cm (DIN leaching<sub>90</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration<sub>90</sub>) soil depth along transects across the

deciduous and coniferous forest sites. Error bars indicate standard errors. Note the altered y-axis limits.

703

Fig. 3. DIN leaching (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) at 30 and 90 cm soil depth plotted against the throughfall deposition of

705 DIN (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and the C:N ratio of the fermentation and humus layer of the forest floor (C:N<sub>FH</sub>) and of

the 0-5 cm mineral topsoil (C:N<sub>0-5</sub>) in the separate distance plots in the deciduous stands ( $\bullet$ ) and the

coniferous ones (+). Indicated are the (marginally) significant relationships. All data included, except for the
Ps2 stand.

709

Fig. 4. Mean N (g kg<sup>-1</sup>) and C (%; determined by loss on ignition) concentrations and C:N ratios of the fermentation and humus layer (FH) of the forest floor and the 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, and 10-30 cm of the mineral soil and mean Ca and Mg concentrations of the FH layer (mol<sub>c</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>) and exchangeable concentrations in the top 5 cm of the mineral topsoil (mmol<sub>c</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>) along transects across the forest edges of all the studied forest stands. Error bars indicate standard errors. Note the altered y-axis limits for the forest floor and the different soil depths.

## 716 Tables

717 Table 1.

Site code	Location		Tree species	Age	SN	BA	V	Н	$H_{\text{dom}}$	LAI		pH-KCl
				(y)	$(ha^{-1})$	$(m^2 ha^{-1})$	$(m^3 ha^{-1})$	(m)	(m)	Summer	Winter	-
Bp1	51°09'22"N	03°04'48"E	Betula pendula	30-40	3628	26	194	11.2	18.2	1.36	0.61	2.93
			Sorbus aucuparia <sup>+</sup>		389	2	5					
Bp2	51°25'56"N	05°00'31"E	Betula pendula	20-30	2715	13	74	8.1	13.8	1.33	0.31	3.03
			Quercus robur <sup><math>\Delta</math></sup>		111	3	34					
Qr1	50°52'08" N	03°27'59"E	Quercus robur	90	187	31	343	24.2	26.4	1.90	0.79	3.35
Qr2	51°24'44" N	05°02'45"E	Quercus robur	68	135	23	221	21.1	22.4	1.88	0.40	2.88
			Pinus nigra ssp.							2.04	-	
Pn1	51°08'26"N	03°06'36"E	laricio	65	388	36	336	19.2	22.0			2.91
Pn2	51°26'37"N	05°05'14"E	Pinus nigra ssp. nigra	43	1162	55	488	17.3	19.1	1.80	-	2.89
Ps1	51°10'11"N	03°09'36"E	Pinus sylvestris	80	458	29	287	19.8	23.2	1.82	-	2.95
Ps2	51°24'45"N	05°02'39"E	Pinus sylvestris	76	195	20	183	19.4	21.1	1.03	-	2.86

718 <sup>+</sup>: sum of basal area of other species (*Quercus robur* and *Rhamnus frangula*)  $< 1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ 

719  $^{\Lambda}$ : sum of basal area of other species (*Robinia pseudo-acacia* and *Quercus rubra*) < 1 m<sup>2</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>

720 Table 2.

	TF Leaching 30 cm		Leaching 90 cm			
		[NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N]	DIN flux	[NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N]	DIN flux	
RMA	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	
Factor						
Distance to the forest edge (8)	0.021	0.161	0.281	0.500	0.341	
Forest type (2)	0.015	0.054	0.041	0.413	0.462	
Distance x forest type	0.211	0.688	0.379	0.960	0.624	
Edge proximity (2)		0.339	0.090	0.391	0.003	
Forest type (2)		0.002	0.005	0.328	0.383	
Edge proximity x forest type		0.788	0.440	0.391	0.223	
	TF	Leaching 30 cm		Leaching 90 cm		
		[NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N] DIN flux		[NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N]	DIN flux	
WSR	$(\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1})$	$(mg l^{-1})$	$(\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1})$	$(mg l^{-1})$	$(\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1})$	
Forest type						
Deciduous	$21 \pm 2$	$7.0 \pm 3.2$	$18 \pm 10$	$9.8\pm1.0$	$21 \pm 9$	
0-64 m	$20 \pm 3$	$4.2\pm0.9$	$8 \pm 2$	$3.3 \pm 0.3$	$7\pm 2$	
128 m						
Coniferous						
0-64 m	$39 \pm 3$	$19 \pm 4$	$55 \pm 15$	$34 \pm 20$	<i>31</i> ± 8	
128 m	$37 \pm 4$	$14 \pm 3$	$31 \pm 7$	$17 \pm 9$	$10 \pm 5$	

# 721 Table 3.

FH layer		Mineral soil	- N stock		Stem wood	Foliar material				
	dry weight	0-5 cm	5-10 cm	10-30 cm	Biomass	[N]	N stock	LAI	[N]	N stock
RMA	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value
Factor										
Edge proximity (2)	0.044	0.003	0.078	0.091	0.043	0.352	0.131	0.058	0.394	0.188
Forest type (2)	0.711	0.542	0.232	0.351	0.117	0.003	0.830	0.808	0.009	0.943
Edge prox. x forest type	0.431	0.530	0.692	0.844	0.294	0.049	0.729	0.880	0.010	0.454
	FH layer	Mineral soil	- N stock (	kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stem wood			Foliar material		
	dry weight	0-5 cm	5-10 cm	10-30 cm	Biomass	[N]	N stock	LAI	[N]	N stock
WSR	$(\text{kg m}^{-2})$				$(m^3 ha^{-1})$	$(mg kg^{-1})$	(kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	$(m^2 m^{-2})$	$(g kg^{-1})$	(kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Forest type										
Deciduous										
0-20 m	$7.5 \pm 1.1$	1218 ± 159	778 ± 118	$2259\pm225$	$193 \pm 54$	$1140\pm67$	158 ± 42	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	$26.5 \pm 0.5$	$20.2 \pm 1.4$
128 m	$9.8\pm0.4$	791 ± 142	$602 \pm 85$	$1630 \pm 346$	$176 \pm 55$	987 ± 29	126 ± 40	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	$25.2 \pm 0.9$	$15.1 \pm 1.7$
Coniferous										
0-20 m	$7.5 \pm 0.9$	1056 ± 130	$584 \pm 74$	$1980\pm275$	370 ± 81	$629 \pm 63$	$166 \pm 47$	$1.9 \pm 0.4$	$15.6 \pm 1.7^{\dagger}$	$18.8 \pm 4.6$
128 m	$11.6 \pm 2.0$	732 ± 90	$466 \pm 118$	$1772\pm252$	$327 \pm 62$	$693\pm91$	$145 \pm 46$	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$17.5 \pm 1.4^{\dagger}$	$17.2 \pm 4.1$

 $\ddagger:$  current-year needles: 17.9 ± 1.9 and 19.8 ± 1.6 g N kg<sup>-1</sup> at 0-20 m and 128 m, resp.

723 Table 4.

Variable	Factor	FH		Mineral soil		
			0-5 cm	5-10 cm	10-30 cm	
N	Distance to the forest edge (8)	0.011	<0.001	0.130	0.078	
	Forest type (2)	0.726	0.037	0.030	0.441	
	Distance x forest type	0.631	0.205	0.086	0.811	
C (LOI)	Distance to the forest edge (8)	0.008	<0.001	0.021	0.014	
	Forest type (2)	0.044	0.517	0.223	0.570	
	Distance x forest type	0.578	0.312	0.086	0.313	
C:N	Distance to the forest edge (8)	0.002	0.023	0.516	0.125	
	Forest type (2)	<0.001	0.003	0.080	0.062	
	Distance x forest type	0.313	0.171	0.163	0.933	

#### 724 **Table legends**

Table 1. Studied sites (forest stands) with indication of their location, the tree species, and the mean leaf area index (LAI) and pH-KCl (1 M KCl) of the mineral topsoil (0-5 cm) in the forest interior. The mean age, stem number (SN), mean and dominant tree height (H and H<sub>dom</sub>, respectively), basal area (BA), and stand volume (V) are given for the dominant tree species of each stand.

729

Table 2. Overview of (i) the repeated-measures ANOVA outcome (RMA; P values) and (ii) the weighted-

mean values (± standard error) in the first 64 m of the forest edge (0-64 m) and in the forest interior (128 m)

in the deciduous and coniferous forest stands for the  $NO_3$ -N concentrations in soil water ([ $NO_3$ -N]) and

DIN leaching at 30 and 90 cm soil depth. Italic: marginally insignificant difference  $(0.05 \le P \le 0.10)$ 

according to Wilcoxon signed ranks (WSR) tests.

735

Table 3. Overview of (i) the repeated-measures ANOVA outcome (RMA; P values) and (ii) the weightedmean values ( $\pm$  standard error) in the outer forest edge (0-20 m) and in the forest interior (128 m) in the deciduous and coniferous forest stands for the dry weight of the fermentation and humus layer (FH) of the forest floor, the N stock in the mineral soil (depths 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, and 10-30 cm), the biomass, N concentration ([N]), and N stock in tree stems, and the leaf area index (LAI), N concentration, and N stock in tree foliar material (leaves and old needles). Italic: marginally insignificant (0.05 < P < 0.10) difference between edge and interior according to Wilcoxon signed ranks (WSR) tests.

743

Table 4. Significance (P value) of edge effects (factor 'distance to the forest edge'), forest type effects, and their interaction ('Distance x forest type') on the C (estimated by loss on ignition, LOI) and N concentration and the C:N ratio of the fermentation and humus layer (FH) of the forest floor and the mineral soil according to a repeated-measures ANOVA (bold: P < 0.05).







Fig. 2



Fig. 3





