

1 Published as: Frankl, A., Poesen, J., Scholiers, N., Jacob, M., Haile, M., Deckers, J.,  
2 Nyssen, J. (2013). Factors controlling the morphology and volume ( $V$ ) – length ( $L$ )  
3 relations of permanent gullies in the Northern Ethiopian Highlands. *Earth Surface*  
4 *Processes and Landforms*, vol. 38 (14), pp. 1672-1684.

5  
6  
7  
8 **Factors controlling the morphology and volume ( $V$ ) – length ( $L$ ) relations of**  
9 **permanent gullies in the Northern Ethiopian Highlands**

10 **Short title: Gully morphology and  $V$ – $L$  relations in North Ethiopia**

11  
12 Authors: Amaury Frankl,<sup>1\*</sup> Jean Poesen,<sup>2</sup> Nelles Scholiers,<sup>1</sup> Miró Jacob,<sup>1</sup> Mitiku Haile,<sup>3</sup>  
13 Jozef Deckers<sup>2</sup> and Jan Nyssen<sup>1</sup>

14 <sup>1</sup> Department of Geography, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281 (S8), B-9000 Ghent,  
15 Belgium.

16 <sup>2</sup> Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, KU Leuven, B-3001 Heverlee,  
17 Belgium.

18 <sup>3</sup> Department of Land Resources Management and Environmental Protection, Mekelle  
19 University, Mekelle, Ethiopia.

20 \* Corresponding author: Tel.: +32 92644701; fax: +32 92644985; e-mail address:  
21 amaury.frankl@ugent.be (A. Frankl)

22

23 **ABSTRACT:** Small-scale aerial photographs and high-resolution satellite images,  
24 available for Ethiopia since the second half of the 20th century as for most countries,  
25 allow only to determine the length of gullies in detail. Understanding the development of  
26 gully volume therefore requires to establish empirical relations between gully volume ( $V$ )  
27 and length ( $L$ ) in the field. So far, such  $V - L$  relations were proposed for a limited  
28 number of gullies/environments and were especially developed for ephemeral gullies. In  
29 this study,  $V - L$  relations were established for permanent gullies in Northern Ethiopia,  
30 having a total length of 152 km. In order to take the regional variability in environmental  
31 characteristics into account, factors that control gully cross-sectional morphology were  
32 studied from 811 cross-sections. This indicated that the lithology and the presence of  
33 check dams or low-active channels were the most important controls of gully cross-  
34 sectional shape and size. Cross-sectional size could be fairly well predicted by their  
35 drainage area. The  $V - L$  relation for the complete dataset was  $V = 0.562 L^{1.381}$  ( $n = 33$ ,  
36  $r^2 = 0.94$ , with 34.9% of the network having check dams and/or being low-active).  
37 Producing such relations for the different lithologies and percentages of the gully  
38 network having check dams and/or being low-active allows to assess historical gully  
39 development from historical remote sensing data. In addition, gully volume was also  
40 related to its catchments area ( $A$ ) and catchment slope gradient ( $S_c$ ). This study  
41 demonstrates that  $V - L$  and  $V - A \times S_c$  relations can be very suitable for planners to  
42 assess gully volume, but that the establishment of such relations is necessarily region-  
43 specific.

44

45 **KEYWORDS:** Ethiopia; gully volume; gully morphology, permanent gullies

46

47 1 Introduction

48 During field surveys, time constraints and difficult terrain allow only partial or local  
49 measurements of gully morphology. Gullies may remain unobserved when visually  
50 obstructed by vegetation, and recording their dimensions can be quite challenging when  
51 gullies are large or when they expand over vast mountainous landscapes. Moreover,  
52 field observations only provide limited information on the historical importance of gully  
53 erosion. Therefore, several studies explored the potential of (time-series of) remote  
54 sensing products to facilitate research on gully erosion (e.g., Patton and Shumm, 1975;  
55 Vandaele *et al.*, 1997; Betts and Derose, 1999; Nachtergaele and Poesen, 1999;  
56 Martinez-Casasnovas, 2003; Ionita, 2006; Parkner *et al.*, 2006; James *et al.*, 2007;  
57 Marzolff *et al.*, 2011).

58 The ability to quantify gully networks and volumes from aerial photographs or satellite  
59 images largely depends on their spatial resolution. Considering aerial photographs, the  
60 spatial resolution is mainly determined by the scale of the photographs. Large-scale  
61 aerial photographs, with a scale exceeding 1:10,000, have a high spatial resolution,  
62 generally less than 0.5 m. They allow to accurately map gully networks, but, as they  
63 cover small surfaces, their acquisition and processing for large areas is very expensive.  
64 Therefore, their usefulness is limited when considering the mapping of gully networks.  
65 To compute gully volume, large-scale aerial photographs are more valuable as they  
66 allow to precisely resolve gully morphology. This is commonly done through the creation  
67 of a Digital Elevation Model (DEM; e.g., Marzolff *et al.*, 2002; Ries and Marzolff, 2003;  
68 Martinez-Casasnovas *et al.*, 2009; Marzolff and Poesen, 2009). The accuracy of the

69 quantification strongly depends on the ratio between the dimension of the gully and the  
70 resolution of the DEM (the DEM resolution ought to reflect the resolution of the  
71 photographs). Landforms should have dimensions of at least twice the DEM resolution  
72 to be defined in a grid-based DEM (Warren *et al.*, 2004). In an empirical study, Giménez  
73 *et al.* (2009) concluded that, in order to keep the accuracy high, the maximum spatial  
74 resolution of aerial photographs should not exceed 15 cm. Medium- to small-scale aerial  
75 photographs (scale between 1:10,000 and ca. 1:50,000) have ground resolutions  
76 typically ranging between 0.5 and 2 m. They cover large surfaces and thus allow to map  
77 gully networks quite rapidly. The precise delineation of gullies can however be  
78 challenging, especially when the contrast with the surrounding bare surface is low or  
79 when the incision is limited. Computing gully volume from medium- to small-scale aerial  
80 photographs has also been done using DEMs (e.g., Betts and Derose, 1999; Martinez-  
81 Casasnovas, 2003; Wensheng *et al.*, 2005; Parkner *et al.*, 2006). Such assessments  
82 however suffer from large errors in the positional and vertical accuracy of the DEMs.  
83 Moreover, the proposed methodologies are often difficult to adapt as important (and  
84 often complex) DEM modifications are required using software that is complex and often  
85 difficult to access (e.g., Daba *et al.*, 2003). Considering satellite images, the spatial  
86 resolution is given by the pixel size. Best resolutions are provided by sensors like  
87 IKONOS® (1 m) or GeoEye-1® (0.41 m). Accessing high-resolution images is costly,  
88 especially when stereo images for DEM extraction are required. Therefore, few studies  
89 use satellite images to quantify gully erosion (e.g., Satter *et al.*, 2010). However, with  
90 the launch of virtual globes like Google® Earth or NASA World Wind®, the free access  
91 to high-resolution images strongly increased, allowing earth scientists to rapidly

92 investigate the Earth's surface, and more specifically, gully networks (Frankl *et al.*,  
93 2012a).

94 When the spatial resolution of aerial photographs or satellite images only allows to  
95 outline gully networks, calculating their volume requires understanding the determinants  
96 of gully cross-section shape. In the case of ephemeral gullies, Poesen (1992) reported  
97 that the cross-sectional width-depth ratio (determined in the field) is mainly controlled by  
98 the thickness and resistance properties of the soil horizons. Knowing the average cross-  
99 section of ephemeral gullies for a specific area, in combination with their length, allows  
100 to calculate their volume (e.g., Vandaele *et al.*, 1997; Nachtergaele and Poesen, 1999).

101 As ephemeral gullies do not grow subsequently but are erased by tillage (Poesen *et al.*,  
102 1996), the average cross-section can also be applied when using historical photographs  
103 or images to assess gully volume. In the case of permanent gullies, because of their  
104 continuous increase (or decrease) in size over time, an average cross-section does not  
105 allow to calculate historical gully volume. Therefore, several authors explored the  
106 relation between gully volume ( $V$ ) and gully length ( $L$ ). As a result, a number of power  
107 relations of the type  $V = aL^b$  were proposed, that generally closely fit the datasets (e.g.,  
108 Nachtergaele *et al.*, 2001a; Capra *et al.*, 2005; Zucca *et al.*, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2007;  
109 Kompani-Zare *et al.*, 2011). Such relations reflect the fact that, when gullies increase in  
110 length, their volume increases by a power function, which is the consequence of gullies  
111 becoming deeper and wider as their catchment size increases downslope (Graf, 1988;  
112 Knighton, 1998; Torri and Borselli, 2003). The coefficients 'a' and 'b' reflect  
113 environmental characteristics (soil, lithology, land use, climate) that determine gully  
114 cross-sectional shape. To our knowledge, most studies established  $V - L$  relations for

115 ephemeral gullies and did not consider permanent gullies. For the latter, larger values  
116 for the exponent 'b' can however be expected as ephemeral gullies are often reported  
117 as having a more or less constant cross-sectional area (e.g., "winter gullies" in  
118 Nachtergaele *et al.*, 2001a). In addition to  $V-L$  relations, which allow to calculate gully  
119 volume from their length, our interest was also to investigate the relation between gully  
120 volume and catchment area ( $A$ ), as the latter is the only parameter that can easily be  
121 derived from a topographical map.

122 This paper thus proposes a cheap yet comprehensive methodology to assess gully  
123 volume over large areas using field data and freely accessible high-resolution satellite  
124 images; applied to gully networks of the Northern Ethiopian Highlands – a data-poor  
125 region which suffers from severe land degradation (Frankl *et al.*, 2011). The specific  
126 objectives are: (1) to demonstrate the potential of small-scale aerial photographs and  
127 high-resolution satellite images to study gully development, (2) to determine the controls  
128 of gully cross-sectional shape, and (3) to establish  $V-L$  and  $V-A$  relations for gullies in  
129 the Northern Ethiopian Highlands that take the regional variability in environmental  
130 characteristics into account.

131

## 132 2 Materials and Methods

### 133 2.1 Study area

134 The study area consists of eight catchments that are located in the Northern Ethiopian  
135 Highlands and which are representative for the regional variability in environmental  
136 characteristics: Ablo (15.2 km<sup>2</sup>), May Mekdan (44.7 km<sup>2</sup>), May Ba'ati (4 km<sup>2</sup>), May  
137 Tsimble (8.1 km<sup>2</sup>), Atsela (4.9 km<sup>2</sup>), Ayba (37 km<sup>2</sup>), Seytan (8.2 km<sup>2</sup>) and Lake Ashenge

138 (1.1 km<sup>2</sup>) (Figure 1). May Tsimble drains to the Rift Valley, Lake Ashenge is an  
139 endorheic or marginal graben of the Rift Valley and all other catchments drain to the  
140 Tekeze-Atbara river system. Elevations range between 2100 and 3900 m a.s.l. The  
141 deeply incised valleys developed over the past 25 million years, as a result of the rapid  
142 uplift of the Ethiopian Highlands at the western margin of the Rift Valley (Williams and  
143 Williams, 1980). Consequently, Mesozoic limestones, sandstones and Tertiary  
144 volcanics were exposed (Merla *et al.*, 1979), and their differential resistance to erosion  
145 gave the valleys their typical stepped relief, dominated by flat-topped mountains, called  
146 *amba*. The Ablo catchment exposes sandstone; May Mekdan and May Tsimble shale  
147 with limestone cliffs and occasionally dolerite at the summits; Atsela, Ayba, Seytan and  
148 Lake Ashenge expose volcanics (flood basalt, rhyolites and consolidated volcanic  
149 ashes) and May Ba'ati exposes volcanics (= basalt) at higher elevations, while  
150 sandstone, limestone and shale occur at lower elevations.

151

152 \*\* FIGURE 1 APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

153

154 The rainfall regime is driven by the position of the Inter Tropical Convergence Zone  
155 (Robinson and Henderson-Sellers, 1999). Its passage over the Highlands from March  
156 until May announces the beginning of the monsoon-type rainy season, which is intense  
157 from June until September. Average annual rain increases from north to south, ranging  
158 between 500 and 900 mm y<sup>-1</sup>, and usually falls as intense showers that seldom last  
159 longer than ten minutes (Nyssen *et al.*, 2005). Rain is however highly unreliable and  
160 droughts frequently occur.

161 Due to active geomorphologic processes, most soils are young (HTS, 1976; Nyssen *et*  
162 *al.*, 2008). Leptosols are found in high landscape positions while Regosols or Cambisols  
163 occur on steep slopes. In footslope positions, more developed fine-textured soils occur,  
164 with Vertisols on basalt (colluvium) and Calcisols on limestone. Under remnant forests,  
165 Phaeozems occur (Descheemaeker *et al.*, 2006).

166 Land degradation is severe in Northern Ethiopia (Virgo and Munro, 1978; Nyssen *et*  
167 *al.*, 2004). Gullies affect nearly all slopes and frequently exceed 2 m in depth and 5 m in  
168 top width (Figure 2). Their occurrence is related to the vulnerable environment, which  
169 exposes steep slopes, where rainfall intensities are high and where deforestation and  
170 overgrazing depleted the landscape of most vegetation. As pointed by Frankl *et al.*  
171 (2011, 2012b), improved land management and gully rehabilitation programs are having  
172 a positive effect on the stabilization of gullies in Northern Ethiopia. Especially for  
173 headwater streams, where hillslope-channel links are strong, reforestation and soil and  
174 water conservation programs are beneficial. These measures include the terracing of  
175 slopes, the establishment of exclosures, and the construction of check dams in gullies  
176 (Nyssen *et al.*, 2004). The implementation of the latter usually started after 1994.  
177 Vertisols remain very susceptible to gully erosion (Frankl *et al.*, 2012b).

178

179 \*\* FIGURE 2 APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

180

## 181 2.2 Data collection

182 Gully networks were mapped from GeoEye® - 1 (resolution of 0.50 m) images of 2005,  
183 Digital Globe (resolution of 0.60 m) images of 2006, and Cnes SPOT® (resolution 2.5



184 m) images of 2011 in Google® Earth (which allows 3D visualization of the images) and  
185 subsequently imported into ArcGIS® 9.2 according to the methodology of Frankl *et al.*  
186 (2012a). Field observations (2008-2010) and Global Positioning System (GPS; Garmin  
187 GPSMap 60® with a standard deviation of 5 m) measurements of cross-sections and  
188 headcut locations allowed to correct the network maps for errors. As no high-resolution  
189 satellite images were available for the May Mekdan study area, gully network maps  
190 produced from aerial photographs of 1994 (Frankl, 2012) were updated with field  
191 observations and GPS measurements. As shown in Frankl *et al.* (2012a), the positional  
192 accuracy of the gully network maps based on the high-resolution satellite imagery  
193 accessed in Google® Earth was <5 m, comparable to the accuracies provided by  
194 handheld GPS. The positional accuracy of the network map based on the aerial  
195 photographs is on average  $5.5 \pm 3.4$  m.

196 In order to quantify gully volumes and to acquire data on gully cross-sectional  
197 morphology, 811 cross-sections were quantified at an equal number of gully segments.  
198 This involved measuring the maximum depth ( $D$ , in m), top width ( $TW$ , in m) and bottom  
199 width ( $BW$ , in m), of the bankfull channels. Where the gully cross-section shape was  
200 trapezoidal or wedge-shaped  $((TW + BW) / 2) * D$  gave the cross-sectional area ( $CSA$ ,  
201 in  $m^2$ ). In other cases, additional measurements of the channel dimension were  
202 required. For practical reasons, measurements were conducted with a measuring tape.  
203 Errors in the calculation of  $CSA$  are less than 2% (which is equal to a measurement  
204 error on  $TW$  and  $D$  of 0.01 m for a gully of 1 m deep and wide, and an error of 0.1 m on  
205 a gully of 10 m deep and wide). When using the  $CSA$  to compute gully volume, it is

206 however very important to carefully select the average cross-section of a gully segment,  
207 which can imply a much larger error.

208 Local environmental characteristics that ought to determine the dimensions of the cross-  
209 sections were recorded. These included the presence of check dams, gully activity,  
210 lithology, gully bank material, the presence of a rock fragment floor in the gully, land  
211 use/cover and local slope gradient ( $S_i$ ,  $m\ m^{-1}$ ). When check dams were present in  
212 gullies, the cross-section was measured in between two check dams, in order to record  
213 the mean gully-filling effect of the structures. Gully activity was assessed visually, by  
214 making a distinction between low- and high-active channels. This was based on the  
215 cross-sectional shape of the channel, the presence of vegetation in the channel, the  
216 occurrence of mobile bed material, bank gullying, and tension cracks or mass failure in  
217 the channel banks (Figure 2, Frankl *et al.*, 2011). Considering the lithology,  
218 measurements were done in shale-, volcanic-, and sandstone-derived deposits. The  
219 effect of gully bank material on gully morphology and size was taken into account in  
220 May Mekdan, where shales occur. Based on the FAO guidelines for soil profile  
221 description (FAO *et al.*, 1998) and geomorphic field-interpretations, a distinction could  
222 be made between Vertisol, floodplain alluvium, colluvium and landslides. Originally, the  
223 effect of bank material was also targeted for gullies in volcanics of the Ayba catchment,  
224 but due to problems in the texture analysis, this could not be done. For each gully bank  
225 material type, a mixed soil sample taken from the gully wall was collected at  
226 representative sites in order to examine particle-size distribution. This was done by wet  
227 sieving using sieves with 0.063 mm, 0.5 mm and 2 mm openings and by analyzing the  
228 fraction smaller than 0.063 mm with a sedigraph (Sedigraph III®). The stoniness ( $> 2$

229 mm) in the gully wall was also considered separately. As the stoniness had to be  
230 assessed visually, rough subdivisions were used: 0-20%, 20-50%, 50-80% and 80-  
231 100% volume percent. Many gullies had important deposits of coarse bedload on their  
232 floor. The effect of the presence of such a rock fragment floor was therefore analyzed.  
233 Assessing the effect of land use/cover on cross-section morphology and size was done  
234 by considering gullies that cut through cropland, exclosures, rangeland and grazing  
235 land. Cross-sections where land use/cover was different on both sides were not  
236 considered. The local slope gradient  $S_l$  of the soil surface next to the cross-section was  
237 defined between locations five meter upslope and five meter downslope the cross-  
238 section.

239

### 240 2.3 Factors controlling gully cross-sections

241 In order to understand the determinants of gully cross-sectional shape, a first step was  
242 to analyze the variability in gully  $TW$ ,  $BW$ ,  $D$  and  $CSA$ . This was done by producing  
243 boxplots and by computing minima, maxima, the interquartile range and median of the  
244 frequency distributions.

245 Secondly, we assessed the effect of gully and environmental characteristics that were  
246 recorded during the field survey on gully cross-sectional area and gully morphology.  
247 The latter was explained by using the ratio between gully top width and depth ( $TW/D$ )  
248 and the ratio between gully bottom width and top width ( $BW/TW$ ). Gullies that display a  
249 large  $TW-D$  ratio are much wider than they are deep, and vice versa, while the  $BW-TW$   
250 ratio, that ranges between 0 and 1, determines whether the gully is V or U shaped. An  
251 analysis of variance (ANOVA,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ; Kutner *et al.*, 2005) was performed on the

252 logarithm of the morphologic ratios in order to compare the distributions at different  
253 levels of the explanatory variables: check dam (and stabilized cross-sections), lithology,  
254 gully bank material, stoniness of the gully bank, rock fragment floor, land use/cover and  
255 local slope gradient. The levels of these variables are listed in Table I. Performing a  
256 similar analysis for *CSA* did not necessarily mean that that levels of a variable had  
257 different distributions. Diverging means between subgroups could also be the result of  
258 sampling gullies of a different size. Therefore, obtaining meaningful results required to  
259 rescale *CSA* by dividing it with the *TW*, thus correcting for (mostly small) differences in  
260 sampled gully size. Normality of the distributions and variance homogeneity was tested  
261 with a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) and a Levené test ( $\alpha = 0.01$ ).

262 Finally, we investigated whether cross-sectional gully properties could be predicted on  
263 the basis of catchment characteristics. With the purpose to efficiently transfer water and  
264 sediment downslope, channel shape and size mainly adjusts to peak discharges  
265 (Knighton, 1998). As a result, channel *TW*, *D* and *CSA* will generally increase  
266 downstream. Departures from this trend are caused by variations in slope gradient, gully  
267 bank material and vegetation cover (Knighton, 1998).

268 In order to relate cross-sectional properties to peak discharge, discharge data would be  
269 needed for a variety of small gully catchments. Such data is however not available for  
270 Northern Ethiopia. Gauging stations are only present at a limited number of large rivers  
271 with catchments that range between 121 km<sup>2</sup> and 4592 km<sup>2</sup> (Zenebe *et al.*, 2012). For  
272 these catchments, discharge shows a strong positive power relation to catchment area  
273 (*A*), indicating that the biophysical setting was similar in the different catchments. Such  
274 an assumption can also be made for the basins studied here, and thus the importance

275 of catchment area as a proxy for peak discharge was used to explain the gross  
276 variability in cross-sectional properties, without considering variations in rainfall.  $A$  was  
277 mapped from contour lines derived from DEMs (Frankl, 2012).

278

## 279 2.4 Establishing volume – length relations

280 Establishing relations between the present-day volume of the gully networks and their  
281 length was done by selecting 33 mutually exclusive catchments, with areas varying  
282 between 0.02 km<sup>2</sup> and 8.0 km<sup>2</sup>. For these catchments, the length of the gully networks  
283 varied between 106 m and 18 366 m. Quantifying volumes was done by summing-up  
284 the mathematical products of the length of each gully section and its average cross-  
285 sectional area.  $V - L$  relations were produced by taking factors that determine gully  
286 cross-sectional size into account.

287 In addition, the relation between the volume of the gully networks and their catchment  
288 area ( $V - A$ ) was also explored. The effect of the catchment slope gradient ( $S_c$ , in m m<sup>-1</sup>)  
289 <sup>1)</sup> on the  $V - A$  relation was also considered.  $A$  was mapped from contour lines derived  
290 from DEMs or from topographical maps (Frankl, 2012), and  $S_c$  was calculated from  
291 SRTM data (available on <http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org>); using ArcGIS® 9.2.

292

## 293 3. Results

### 294 3.1. Factors controlling gully cross-sectional shape

295 For the 811 gully cross-sections surveyed in Northern Ethiopia, the gully top width ( $TW$ )  
296 varied between 0.35 m and 31.90 m with a median of 6.34 m. The gully depth ( $D$ ) varied  
297 between 0.20 m and 12.77 m with a median of 2.15 m and the bottom width ( $BW$ )

298 ranged between 0.10 m and 19.50 m with a median of 3.00 m. The median cross-  
299 sectional area (*CSA*) was 10.1 m<sup>2</sup> and ranged between 0.15 m<sup>2</sup> and 236.5 m<sup>2</sup>. As the  
300 boxplots suggest (Figure 3A), the distributions are right-skewed and the variability of the  
301 observations, as indicated by interquartile range, is higher for *TW* (5.20) and *BW* (2.70)  
302 than for *D* (1.79). The median *TW-D* ratio was 2.7, while the median *BW-TW* ratio was  
303 0.5 (Table I). Note that for *TW/D* and *BW/TW*, median and mean do not differ much as  
304 the distributions are nearly Normal. As shown in Figure 3B, plotting *D* over *TW* shows  
305 wide scatter around a linear relation purged through the origin (0, 0).

306

307 \*\* FIGURE 3 APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

308

309 In the following analysis, the effect of gully and environmental characteristics that were  
310 recorded during the fieldwork on gully morphology (*TW-D* and *BW-TW* ratios) and on  
311 *CSA* are presented. In order to reduce the effect of extreme values in the dataset,  
312 cross-sections for which the shape was controlled by rock exposure were not  
313 considered. For instance, on cliffs edges, rock exposure causes gullies to become very  
314 wide and shallow. Omitting these observations did not affect the median of the  
315 distributions much, but did increase statistical significance.

316 The results that are summarized in Table I show median values for the morphologic  
317 ratios and *CSA*. The latter were obtained by multiplying the standardized *CSA* of the  
318 different subgroups to the median *TW* (= 6.34 m) of the surveyed gullies in Northern  
319 Ethiopia, and thus corrects for differences in sampled gully magnitude between the

320 different subgroups. The reported statistics (Table I) apply on the logarithmic  
321 transformation of  $TW/D$ ,  $BW/TW$  and standardized  $CSA$ .

322

323 \*\* TABLE I APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

324

325 Measurements of cross-sections were made in 376 gullies without check dams and in  
326 294 gullies with (gabion) check dams. In addition, 42 sections that were partly infilled  
327 and stabilized without check dams in their immediate proximity were also recorded. As  
328 observed in the field, the effect on  $TW/D$ ,  $BW/TW$  and  $CSA$  for both gullies with check  
329 dams and stabilized gullies is very similar (one-way ANOVA test,  $P<0.05$ ), so that both  
330 subgroups were considered together. From a one-way ANOVA ( $P<0.05$ ), we could  
331 conclude that the median  $TW-D$  ratio for gullies with check dams (or stabilized sections)  
332 was 32.8% higher than for gullies without check dams and that the median  $CSA$  of  
333 gullies with check dams (or stabilized sections) was 33.5% smaller than for gullies  
334 without check dams. This means that the implementation of check dams resulted in the  
335 decrease in gully depth by circa one-third. No significant effect could be demonstrated  
336 for the effect of check dams and stabilized sections on the  $BW-TW$  ratio (one-way  
337 ANOVA,  $P=0.46$ ). Table I presents median values for  $TW/D$ ,  $BW/TW$  and  $CSA$  for the  
338 different subgroups.

339 Assessing the effect of lithology and their derived deposits on  $TW/D$ ,  $BW/TW$  and  $CSA$   
340 was done for 322 gully sections where no check dams were present and which were not  
341 stabilized: 198 in shale, 94 in volcanics and 7 in sandstone. From a one-way ANOVA  
342 Scheffé test ( $P<0.05$ ), we could conclude that the median  $TW-D$  ratio was 38.2%

343 smaller in shale than in volcanics, and that the median *BW-TW* ratio was 21.8% larger  
344 for shale when compared to volcanics. The combined effect on *CSA* was that cross-  
345 sections in shale had a median that was 36.7% larger than in volcanics. This indicates  
346 that, for a given *TW*, *D* and *BW* are larger in shale when compared to volcanics. No  
347 significant effects could be observed for sandstone versus shale or volcanics.

348 The effect of the gully bank material on cross-sectional shape and area was analysed  
349 by investigating particle-size distribution and rock fragment content of the gully banks. In  
350 May Mekdan, where shale occur, a distinction could be made between gullies that  
351 developed in Vertisol ( $n = 41$ ), floodplain alluvial deposits ( $n = 42$ ), fine colluvium ( $n =$   
352 70) and landslides ( $n = 30$ ). Sections that developed in weathered travertine or that cut  
353 through unweathered rock were not considered. Soil texture properties are given in  
354 Table II. Finer particle-size distributions of the gully sidewalls tended to have a positive  
355 effect on *CSA* and a negative effect on *TW-D* and *BW-TW* ratios in May Mekdan (Table  
356 I). In other words, the finer the particle-size distribution gets, the larger the cross-section  
357 tends to be, which is the result of the gully incising deeper while becoming more V-  
358 shaped. Although this general trend applies, not all subgroups showed distributions that  
359 were significantly different from each other (Table I). When considering the cross-  
360 sectional morphology, sections incised in Vertisol had a median *TW-D* ratio that was  
361 31.8% smaller than sections in floodplain alluvium and 39.2% smaller than sections in  
362 colluvium (one-way ANOVA Scheffé test,  $P < 0.05$ ). For the *BW-TW* ratio, gully segments  
363 that incised in Vertisol had a median *BW-TW* ratio that was 50% smaller than sections  
364 in floodplain alluvium, 66.5% smaller than sections in colluvium and 61.2% smaller than  
365 sections in landslides (one-way ANOVA Scheffé test,  $P < 0.05$ ). When considering the



366 median *CSA*, sections that developed in Vertisol were 34.9% larger than sections which  
367 were in colluvial deposits (one-way ANOVA Scheffé test,  $P < 0.05$ ). An important  
368 anomaly to the trend described here-above is that sections that developed in landslides  
369 did not tend to give a smaller *CSA* or a larger *TW-D* ratio when compared sections that  
370 developed in finer material (Table I).

371

372 \*\* TABLE II APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

373

374 When considering the stoniness of the gully banks separately for 309 cross-sections, no  
375 significant effect could be demonstrated for variations in *TW-D* ratio and *CSA* (one-way  
376 ANOVA,  $P = 0.22$  and  $P = 0.68$ ). However, when considering *BW-TW* ratio, a higher  
377 stoniness of the gully wall tends to give higher *BW-TW* ratios (Table I). Stoniness levels  
378 50-80% and 80-100% gave *BW-TW* ratios that were significantly higher than level 0-  
379 20%, by 40.5% and 50.72% respectively (one-way ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ).

380 The presence of many rock fragments armoring the gully floor did not have a significant  
381 effect on gully cross-sectional morphology or area. Results of the one-way ANOVA  
382 performed on 292 sections are  $P = 0.99$  and  $P = 0.81$  for *TW-D* and *BW-TW* ratios  
383 respectively, and  $P = 0.53$  for *CSA*.

384 Analyzing the effect of land use/cover on 251 sections did only yield significant results  
385 for the *BW-TW* ratio. This ratio was 34.2% larger in grazing land than in cropland (one-  
386 way ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ).

387 The local slope gradient of the soil surface had a positive effect on both *TW-D* and *BW-*  
388 *TW* ratios and a negative effect on *CSA*. Gullies that developed on gentle slopes tend to

389 have cross-sections that are deeper, more V –shaped and larger than gullies that  
390 developed on steep slopes. The median  $TW-D$  ratio of gullies that developed on slopes  
391 ranging between 0% and 10% was 21.1% smaller than gullies that developed on slopes  
392 ranging between 10% and 20% and 33.2% smaller than gullies that developed on  
393 slopes ranging between 20% and 30% (one-way ANOVA,  $P<0.05$ ). The  $BW-TW$  ratio  
394 was 35.1% smaller for slopes of 0-10% when compared to slopes of 10-20%, and  
395 31.3% smaller for slopes of 10-20% when compared to slopes of 20-30% (one-way  
396 ANOVA,  $P<0.05$ ). The combined effect on the median  $CSA$  was that on slopes of 0-  
397 10%, the  $CSA$  was 24.7% larger than on slopes of 20-30% and 42.6% larger than on  
398 slopes of 20-30% (one-way ANOVA,  $P<0.05$ ; Table I).

399 Explaining the variability in  $TW$ ,  $D$  and  $CSA$  on the basis of the catchment area ( $A$ ,  $m^2$ )  
400 was done for active gullies without check dams and without rock exposure. Figure 4A-C  
401 shows the power relations between  $TW$ ,  $D$ ,  $CSA$  and  $A$  respectively. Both  $TW$ ,  $D$  and  
402  $CSA$  increase with increasing  $A$ . As the trend lines for “all data” show, this increase is  
403 more marked for  $CSA$  than for  $TW$  and  $D$ . The rather low  $r^2$  values indicate that the  
404 variability on this trend is rather high, as can also be visually observed.

405 In addition, the effect of local slope gradient of the soil surface ( $S_i$ ,  $m\ m^{-1}$ ) and the  
406 lithology on these relationships was analyzed. In contrast to other variables, these can  
407 easily be derived from topographical and geological maps, that thus can serve as a  
408 basis to predict gully morphology and  $CSA$ . As can be derived from Table I, these are  
409 also the most important factors that control gully shape and size, when no check dams  
410 are present. Before investigating the importance of  $S_i$ , the relation between  $A$  and  $S_i$   
411 was analyzed. With an correlation coefficient  $r$  equal to 0.71 ( $n = 60$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ),  $A$  and  $S_i$

412 showed to be highly interrelated. This is the consequence of catchments becoming  
413 steeper and smaller when situated higher in the valley. However, in the stepped relief of  
414 the Ethiopian Highlands where a succession of structural flats and steep valley sides is  
415 displayed, gentle slopes may also occur in high topographical positions. Adding  $S_l$  to the  
416 regression analysis did not result in a significant increase in model  $r^2$ , and thus,  $S_l$  was  
417 excluded as a predictive variable.

418 When looking at the effect of lithology, the analysis yields similar results as those  
419 presented in the previous paragraphs (Figure 4A-C). For a given  $A$ ,  $TW$ ,  $D$  and  $CSA$   
420 were larger in deposits derived from shale than from volcanics. The effect of sandstone-  
421 derived deposits is somehow intermediate.

422

423 \*\* FIGURE 4 APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

424

### 425 3.2. $V - L$ and $V - A$ relations

426 The relation between network volumes to their length was best described by a power  
427 equation of the form  $V = aL^b$ . From the different parameters that influence gully cross-  
428 sectional size, we only considered the lithology of gullied catchments and the presence  
429 of check dams in gullies (including the effect of low-dynamic sections). As shown in  
430 Section 3.1, these are the most important characteristics that explain the variability in  
431  $CSA$ , both of which are rather easily observed in the field, or derived from topographic  
432 maps. Other parameters, like gully bank material or land use/cover, have similar  
433 distributions along gully networks, making different networks difficult to contrast in terms  
434 of  $V - L$  relations. Moreover, including such parameters, which are labour intensive to

435 map, would make  $V - L$  relations difficult to apply in other areas or periods. The  
436 resulting  $V - L$  equations for the different lithologies are ( Figure 5A):

437

438  $V_{\text{all data}} = 0.562 L^{1.381}$  ( $n = 33$ ,  $r^2 = 0.94$ , with 34.9% of the network having check  
439 dams and/or being low-active) (1)

440  $V_{\text{shale}} = 0.349 L^{1.465}$  ( $n = 16$ ,  $r^2 = 0.96$ , with 22.2% of the network having check dams  
441 and/or being low-active) (2)

442  $V_{\text{volcanics}} = 0.343 L^{1.399}$  ( $n = 12$ ,  $r^2 = 0.90$ , with 28.9% of the network having check  
443 dams and/or being low-active) (3)

444  $V_{\text{sandstone}} = 2.94 L^{1.149}$  ( $n = 5$ ,  $r^2 = 0.81$ , with 90.1% of the network having check  
445 dams and/or being low-active) (4)

446

447 \*\* FIGURE 5 APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

448

449 The relations (3.1) – (3.4) are valid for the given fraction of the network which is treated  
450 with check dams and/or low-active. For example, the  $V - L$  equation that applies for  
451 gully networks that developed in shale-derived deposits, is valid for 22.2% of the  
452 network having check dams and/or being low-active. From Section 3.1, we know that  
453 the median  $CSA$  of gullies decreases on average by 33.5% when they have check  
454 dams and/or are low-active. In our example, the  $V - L$  equation established for shale-  
455 derived deposits thus takes an infilling of  $22.2\% \times 33.5\% = 7.4\%$  into account. This  
456 infilling is reflected in the  $a$ -coefficient of the equation. Thus, simulating the effect of 0% to  
457 100% of the gully network having check dams and/or being low-active, results in a

458 decreasing a-coefficient (Table III). For sandstone, simulating the effect of 0% to 100%  
459 of the network having check dams and/or being low-active on the a-coefficient was not  
460 done, as the dataset proposed here is limited ( $n = 5$ ) and covers only a small area (=   
461 1.62 km<sup>2</sup>) when compared to the other datasets. Figure 5B displays the resulting  
462 equations at 0%, 50% and 100%.

463

464 \*\* TABLE III APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

465

466 As for the relation between gully network volumes and their catchment area, good  
467 associations (with high  $r^2$  values) could be established for shale and volcanics (Figure  
468 6A). Due to the limited dataset, the  $V - A$  relation for sandstone was weak and not  
469 significant. Adding  $S_c$  as an explanatory factor to these equations increased the  $r^2$   
470 values, especially for the networks that developed in volcanic deposits (Figure 6B). Note  
471 that the  $V - A$  and  $V - A \times S_c$  relations for all data were not produced, as the  
472 catchments in sandstone are of a different order of magnitude and, therefore, should not  
473 be merged with those in shale and volcanics.

474

475 \*\* FIGURE 6 APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

476

## 477 4. Discussion

### 478 4.1 Gully cross-sectional shape

479 As pointed out by Knighton (1998, p. 167), “the cross-sectional form of natural channels  
480 is characteristically irregular in outline and locally very variable”. Understanding the

481 variability in gully morphology and size therefore mostly requires large datasets to get  
482 the general trend. This is well illustrated in Figure 3B, which displays a large scatter  
483 around the trend line when plotting gully depth ( $D$ ) over top width ( $TW$ ) for 811 cross-  
484 sections of permanent gullies.

485 Natural channels will adjust their shape and size to the hydrological regime, i.e. the  
486 quantity of water delivered to the channel and the characteristics of runoff discharge  
487 (Knighton, 1998; Schumm, 2005). Empirical approaches to understand the variability in  
488  $TW$  and  $D$  along channels therefore mainly take runoff discharge (annual, peak,  
489 bankfull) into consideration. For example, in semi-arid areas, where the hydrological  
490 regime is dominated by the occurrence of flash floods, channels tend to develop wider  
491 than in humid regions (Knighton, 1998). Hence,  $TW$  and  $D$  are explained as a power  
492 function ( $Y = aX^b$ ) of runoff discharge. Such relations were essentially developed for  
493 rivers, indicating that  $TW$  varies approximately as the square root of discharge (b-  
494 coefficient  $\sim 0.5$ ; Knighton, 1998; Poesen *et al.*, 2003). For ephemeral gullies,  
495 Nachtergaele *et al.* (2002) demonstrated that the equation  $W = aQ_{\text{peak}}^b$  has a b-  
496 coefficient of approximately 0.4.

497 Given the discharge properties, channel shape and size will adjust to the constraints  
498 imposed by local controls. As discussed by Knighton (1988) and Schumm (2005), these  
499 are especially the gully bank material of the channel, vegetation growing on the banks  
500 and the local slope gradient of the soil surface. Numerous studies reported by these  
501 authors indicate that the  $TW$ - $D$  ratio of rivers will be larger for non-cohesive (sand) soils  
502 than for cohesive soils (silt-clay), smaller with increasing vegetation cover, and larger  
503 when the slope gradient increases. As for gullies, this study and the findings of Muñoz-

504 Robles *et al.*, (2010) confirm the increasing effect of local slope gradient on the *TW-D*  
505 ratio. Regarding the gully bank material, this study also confirms that particle fining  
506 causes the *TW-D* ratio to decrease. As gullies become deeper, they also tend to  
507 become more V-shaped. Despite our findings, some studies claim that the *TW-D* ratio  
508 for gullies in cohesive soils is larger than for non-cohesive soils (Radoane, 1995). The  
509 lithology has an important effect of the *TW-D* ratio, with higher ratios in shale when  
510 compared to volcanics. The effect of vegetation on the cross-sectional shape could not  
511 be demonstrated in this study. This was also not expected for the reason that the free-  
512 grazing system restricts the development of dense vegetation and because most gullies  
513 are older than the exclosures which they incise.

514 As mentioned before, the cross-sectional size is mainly controlled by discharge.  
515 Regarding the effect of lithology, channel cross-sections in shale are 37.7% larger than  
516 cross-sections in volcanics (Table I). An important explanatory variable for this might be  
517 the occurrence of incised travertine dams in shale catchments (Figure 7). As they  
518 represent the local base-level of gully networks, their deep incision causes the gullies to  
519 degrade. The build-up of the May Mekdan travertine dam, which forms the outlet of the  
520 studied catchment, occurred at least between  $7310 \pm 90$  y BP and  $5160 \pm 80$  yr BP  
521 (Berakhi *et al.*, 1998). The incision of such dams is often related to the deforestation  
522 which started some 3000 years ago (Moeyersons *et al.*, 2006). Rainfall variability was  
523 not taken into account for the explanation of cross-section variability. However,  
524 considering that the average annual precipitation is larger in the volcanics catchments  
525 (Atsela, Seytan, Ayba and Lake Ashenge) than in the shale catchments (May Mekdan  
526 and May Tsimble) (Jacob *et al.*, 2012), Figure 5A suggests that the effect of lithology is

527 far more important than the effect of average annual precipitation. On average, the  
528 volcanics catchment receive 200-300 mm more rain on a yearly basis. More important  
529 might be the variability in peak flow discharge, as in dryland environments, high-  
530 magnitude low-frequency flash floods accomplish most of the morphologic changes  
531 (Graf, 1988; Vanmaercke *et al.*, 2010). This was however beyond the scope of this  
532 study.

533

534 \*\* FIGURE 7 APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

535

536 In order to predict the variability in gully cross-sectional shape and size, the use of  
537 catchment area as a proxy of discharge was assessed in this study. This shows that  
538 indeed, channel  $TW$ ,  $D$  and  $CSA$  are positively related to catchment area according to a  
539 power relation (Figure 4A-C). However, the large scatter around the trend lines  
540 indicates that predicting channel shape and size at a specific location upon these  
541 equations can be in gross error.

542

#### 543 4.2 $V-L$ and $V-A$ relations

544 Figure 8 presents the  $V-L$  relation for Northern Ethiopia (equation 3.1) as compared to  
545 other regions in the world. As can be deduced from Table IV, such relations were  
546 especially established in arid to dry sub-humid regions. For humid environments, power  
547 relations exist for winter and summer ephemeral gullies in Belgium. The  $r^2$ -values of the  
548 power equations are relatively high (Table IV). Only for the study considering the Fars  
549 Province in Southwestern Iran, the  $r^2$  of the pooled dataset proved to be low. However,



550 clustering gullies according to their morphology gave  $r^2$ -values up to 0.86 (Kompani-  
551 Zare *et al.*, 2011). The high  $r^2$ -values indicate that gully length is a good predictor of  
552 gully volume. As pointed out by Nachtergaele *et al.* (2001b) and Capra *et al.* (2005),  
553 such empirical relations are more suitable to predict gully volume and simpler to apply  
554 than the Ephemeral Gully Erosion Model (Woodward, 1999). Adding the 24-h rainfall as  
555 a predictive variable to the  $V - L$  equation slightly increased the model fit ( $r^2$  from 0.64 to  
556 0.74) in Sicily (Capra *et al.*, 2005).

557 Empirical  $V - L$  relations reflect the environmental setting (climate, topography,  
558 lithology, soil, vegetation) of the area they were developed for, and can thus not easily  
559 be applied to wider regions or similar areas worldwide (Graf, 1988). This is especially  
560 true when the datasets used to produce these relations are limited or when the area  
561 taken into consideration is small. In such cases, the risk exists that the sampled gullies  
562 do not reflect the regional variability in gully morphology. The study of the  $V - L$  relation,  
563 which aims at being representative for the Northern Ethiopian Highlands, covers 5 380  
564 ha and considers 151 767 m of gullies for the establishment of the  $V - L$  relation. As can  
565 be read from Table IV, the size of the study areas for (a) – (i) is limited in most studies,  
566 ranging from 54 ha to 1 199 ha. Whether the gully length range is representative for the  
567 area is difficult to assess, but in general, the smaller the study area considered, the  
568 larger the risk that the empirical relation does not cover the magnitude of the gullies in  
569 the wider region. However, studies (a) – (i) mostly do consider a fairly large total gully  
570 length. Total gully length varies from 480 m up to 19 216 m.

571 The discussed  $V - L$  relations can roughly be subdivided in two groups. The first group  
572 represents the ephemeral gullies, equations (e) to (i). As ephemeral gullies do not grow

573 subsequently but are erased after tillage, these lines plot lower on the graph. The  
574 second group represents the permanent gullies, which increase in size after subsequent  
575 rainfall events. These are equations (a) – (c) and this study. Equation (d) includes both  
576 ephemeral and permanent gullies and is somewhat transitional.

577 As observed in Table IV, the b-coefficients for the different equations are very similar,  
578 ranging between 1.04 and 1.429. The larger the b-coefficient, the more important the  
579 increase in cross-sectional area becomes with increasing length, and thus, the more  
580 erodible the incised deposits are. Gullies with coefficients close to 1 will thus display  
581 relatively constant cross-sectional areas along their channel. In Zucca *et al.* (2006), b-  
582 coefficients close to 1 for a subgroup of gullies that developed in coarse granites was  
583 explained by the presence of bedrock at shallow depths limiting the deepening of  
584 gullies. The larger b-coefficient for summer gullies than winter gullies in Belgium was  
585 explained by the occurrence of higher rainfall intensities during summer months, thus  
586 producing stronger floods and creating larger channels (Nachtergaele *et al.*, 2001a). As  
587 a result of the large similarity for the  $V - L$  relations for summer gullies in Belgium and  
588 for gullies in Portugal and Spain, Nachtergaele (2001a) presented an equation including  
589 both datasets. Given the b-coefficient, the a-coefficient determines the height of the  
590 power relation on the Y-axis and therefore reflects the general environmental  
591 vulnerability of the area. As can be observed on Figure 8, gullies in Northern Ethiopia  
592 plot higher than those in Australia. This can be expected as the environmental setting in  
593 the Australian study area is less vulnerable than the Ethiopian context of this study. The  
594 study presented by Muñoz-Robles *et al.* (2010) considers an area with undulating  
595 terrain for which precipitation is uniformly distributed throughout the year with an annual

596 mean of  $441 \text{ mm y}^{-1}$  and storms having low to moderate intensities. Considering the  
597 power relation that was developed for the Northeastern Iran, the small dataset of only  
598 six gullies with a total length of 480 m suggests that the sampling might not be fully  
599 representative for the wider region.

600

601 \*\* TABLE IV APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

602 \*\* FIGURE 8 APPROXIMATELY HERE \*\*

603

604 Applying  $V - L$  relations to assess gully volume still requires to map gully networks in  
605 the field or to derive them from aerial photographs. For general planning purposes,  
606 collecting data on gully lengths might be too labour intensive. Therefore, the value of  
607 catchment characteristics that are easy to quantify was assessed in this study. We  
608 found that catchment area is a fairly good predictor of gully erosion volume. Including  
609 average slope gradient of the catchment yields even better results, as network density  
610 proved to increase with  $S_c$ . For gullies that developed in deposits derived from shale  
611 and volcanics,  $V - A \times S_c$  relations (Figure 6B) gave  $r^2$ -values of 0.92 and 0.80  
612 respectively. The limited dataset of small gully networks in sandstone catchments did  
613 not allow to develop a satisfactory relation.  $A$  could be mapped from topographical  
614 maps and  $S_c$  could be determined from SRTM data. As is the case for the  $V - L$   
615 relations, the  $V - A$  and  $V - A \times S_c$  relations defined here take environmental  
616 characteristics into account. Developing such relations was also done elsewhere in the  
617 world, for example, by Khosla (1953) in India ( $V = 0.00323A^{0.72}$ ) and by  
618 Vandekerckhove *et al.* (2000) for bank gullies in Spain ( $V = 1.75A^{0.59}$ ). Differences in

619 the a- and b-coefficients of such equations are the result of higher gully densities or a  
620 higher erodibility of the deposits the gullies developed in ( $\sim V - L$  relation). The good  
621 association between  $V$  and  $A$  is not surprising, as many studies indicated that  $A$  is the  
622 major control of gully head retreat (Poesen *et al.*, 2003; Frankl *et al.*, 2012b).

623 Small-scale aerial photographs of the second half of the 20th century are commonly  
624 available for many regions of the world, and are completed with high-resolution satellite  
625 images for recent decades. For example, small-scale aerial photographs ( $\sim 1:45,000$ ) of  
626 the years 1963/5, 1974, 1982/6 and 1994 are available for large parts of Ethiopia. They  
627 allow to map gully networks quite accurately, and through the establishment of  $V - L$  or  
628  $V - A$  ( $\times S_c$ ) relations, historical and present-day gully volumes can be calculated as  
629 well. Such relations between gully length, catchment area and volume are however  
630 region specific, and should take the regional variability in environmental characteristics  
631 into account. Fine-tuning the relations is based on *in situ* observations of gully  
632 morphology, allowing to order understand to controls of gully size and morphology  
633 under specific rainfall and runoff conditions.

634

## 635 5. Conclusions

636 The spatial resolution of most (historical) aerial photographs or satellite images only  
637 allow to outline gullies accurately, while acquiring and/or processing DEMs is time  
638 consuming, expensive, and often requires complex methodologies. A cheap yet  
639 comprehensive method to assess gully volume is the development of volume – length  
640 ( $V - L$ ) relations, which, in Northern Ethiopia, can then be applied for larger areas and  
641 different periods with a similar biophysical setting. As for a given  $L$ ,  $V$  varies according

642 to the variability in gully cross-sectional area, the importance of local controls on the  
643 latter need to be determined in the field. Local controls that cause gully cross-sectional  
644 shape and size to vary in Northern Ethiopia were the presence of check dams, channel  
645 activity, lithology, local slope gradient, gully bank material, and to a lesser extent the  
646 presence of a rock fragment floor and land use/cover. Considering the effect of  
647 lithology, cross-sections in shales were 36.7% larger in than in volcanics (based on  
648 median values). This is probably largely explained by the incision of travertine dams.  
649 Check dams or stabilized sections caused gullies to fill by a median difference of 33.5%.  
650 As a proxy of runoff discharge, catchment area of the cross-sections proved to be a  
651 fairly good predictor of channel properties.

652 As the lithology, the presence of check dams, and the channel activity proved to be the  
653 most important controls of gully cross-sectional area,  $V - L$  relations that were  
654 established account for these controlling factors. Comparing the  $V - L$  relations  
655 established for ephemeral and for permanent gullies in different regions around the  
656 world indicated that for the latter, the increase in  $V$  with  $L$  is more pronounced. In a  
657 comparable study in Australia, the gully volume increase over length prove to be less  
658 important when compared to this study, most probably as a result of the higher  
659 environmental vulnerability of Northern Ethiopia. As an alternative to  $V - L$  relations,  
660 which still require to map gully networks,  $V - A$  and  $V - A \times S_c$  relations were also  
661 established. Both catchment area ( $A$ ) and catchment slope gradient ( $S_c$ ) could be easily  
662 determined and proved to be good predictors of gully volume as well.

663

664 6. Figure Captions

665 **Figure 1.** Study areas and oro-hydrography in Northern Ethiopia

666 **Figure 2.** Examples of high- and low-active gullies in different material. **A:** High-active  
667 gully incised in alluvium/colluvium (on volcanics, Ayba), **B:** Low-active gully in a Vertisol  
668 (on shale, May Mekdan), **C:** High-active gully in landslide material with large amounts of  
669 rock fragments on the gully floor (on shale, May Mekdan), **D:** Gabion check dam in a  
670 gully which led to an almost completely filled gully (on sandstone, Ablo). Photographs  
671 by Amaury Frankl and Nelles Scholiers.

672 **Figure 3.** Cross-section characteristics of the studied gullies in Northern Ethiopia. **A:**  
673 Boxplots for gully top width ( $TW$ ), bottom width ( $BW$ ), depth ( $D$ ) and cross-sectional  
674 area ( $CSA$ ) for 811 sections. Outliers larger than 20 m and 100 m<sup>2</sup> are not displayed. **B:**  
675 Plotting gully depth ( $D$ ) over gully top width ( $TW$ ) shows a linear relation.

676 **Figure 4.** Power relation between **A:** gully top width ( $TW$ ), **B:** depth ( $D$ ), **C:** cross-  
677 sectional area ( $CSA$ , in m<sup>2</sup>) respectively, and catchment area ( $A$ ). The effect of the  
678 lithology on these relations is also shown and trend lines are plotted when significant ( $P$ -  
679 value less than 0.05).

680 **Figure 5.** **A:**  $V - L$  relations. **B:** Simulating the effect of 0%, 50% and 100% of the  
681 networks treated with check dams and/or low-active for networks that developed in  
682 deposits derived from shale and from volcanics.

683 **Figure 6.** The relationship between gully volume ( $V$ ), catchment area ( $A$ ) and average  
684 catchment slope gradient ( $S_c$ ) (**A:**  $V - A$  and **B:**  $V - A \times S_c$  relations).

685 **Figure 7.** Extreme example of the effect of incised travertine dams on gully erosion. **A:**  
686 The deeply incised travertine dam near to the town of May Mekdan and gully network  
687 upslope. **B:** View from inside the gully before the occurrence of an important flash flood

688 event on 12/08/2010 when flood marks were recorded at 3.5 m above the gully floor.  
689 The arrow indicates where cracks were visible in the land at the time when the  
690 photograph was taken. **C**: The flash flood event caused important geomorphic changes  
691 as shown here by the occurrence of a slab failure. The arrow indicates the same  
692 location as the arrow on B. Photographs by Amaury Frankl. Note that A was taken  
693 during the dry season on 28/02/2011.

694 **Figure 8.** Gully Volume ( $V$ ) – Length ( $L$ ) relation in Northern Ethiopia as compared to  
695 elsewhere in the world. For references see Table IV.

696

## 697 7. References

- 698 Betts HD, Derose RC. 1999. Digital elevation models as a tool for monitoring and  
699 measuring gully erosion. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and*  
700 *Geoinformation* **1**: 91-101.
- 701 Berakhi O, Brancaccio L, Calderoni G, Coltorti M, Dramis F, Umer MM. 1998. The Mai  
702 Maikden sedimentary sequence: a reference point for the environmental  
703 evolution of the Highlands of Northern Ethiopia. *Geomorphology* **23**: 127-138.
- 704 Capra A, Mazzara LM, Scicolone B. 2005. Application of the EGEM model to predict  
705 ephemeral gully erosion in Sicily, Italy. *Catena* **59**: 133-146.
- 706 Daba S, Rieger W, Strauss P. 2003. Assessment of gully erosion in eastern Ethiopia  
707 using photogrammetric techniques. *Catena* **50**: 273-291.
- 708 Descheemaeker K, Nyssen J, Rossi J, Poesen J, Mitiku Haile, Moeyersons J, Deckers J.  
709 2006. Sediment deposition and pedogenesis in exclosures in the Tigray  
710 Highlands, Ethiopia. *Geoderma* **132**: 291-314.

711 FAO, ISRIC, ISSS. 1998. World reference base for soil resources. FAO, ISRIC and  
712 ISSS, World Soil Resources Reports: Rome.

713 Frankl A. 2012. Gully development and its spatio-temporal variability since the late 19th  
714 century in the Northern Ethiopian Highlands. Unpubl. PhD. Thesis. Department  
715 of Geography, Ghent University: Ghent.

716 Frankl A, Nyssen J, De Dapper M, Mitiku Haile, Billi P, Munro RN, Deckers J, Poesen J.  
717 2011. Linking long-term gully and river channel dynamics to environmental  
718 change using repeat photography (North Ethiopia). *Geomorphology* **129**: 238-  
719 251.

720 Frankl A, Zwertvaegher A, Poesen J, Nyssen J. 2012a. Transferring Google Earth  
721 observations to GIS-software: Example from gully erosion study. *International  
722 Journal of Digital Earth*. **Online early view. DOI: 10.1080/17538947.2012.744777**.

723 Frankl A, Poesen J, Deckers J, Mitiku Haile, Nyssen J. 2012b. Gully head retreat rates  
724 in the semiarid Highlands of North Ethiopia. *Geomorphology* **173-174**: 185-195.

725 Gimenez R, Marzloff I, Campo MA, Seeger M, Ries JB, Casali J, Alvarez-Mozos J.  
726 2009. Accuracy of high-resolution photogrammetric measurements of gullies  
727 with contrasting morphology. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **34**:  
728 1915-1926.

729 Graf WL. 1988. Fluvial processes in dryland environments. The Blackburn Press:  
730 Caldwell.

731 HTS 1976. Tigray Rural Development Study (TRDS). Hunting Technical Services Ltd.  
732 Government of Ethiopia and UK Ministry of Overseas Development, Hunting  
733 Technical Services: Borehamwood.



734 Ionita I. 2006. Gully development in the Moldavian Plateau of Romania. *Catena* **68**: 133-  
735 140.

736 Jacob M, Frankl A, Haile M, Nyssen J. 2012. Assessing spatio-temporal rainfall  
737 variability in a tropical mountain area (Ethiopia) using NOAA's Rainfall  
738 Estimates. *Land degradation and Development*. submitted.

739 James LA, Watson DG, Hansen, W.F., 2007. Using LiDAR data to map gullies and  
740 headwater streams under forest canopy: South Carolina, USA. *Catena* **71**: 132-  
741 144.

742 Knighton D. 1998. *Fluvial Forms and Processes – A New Perspective*. Hodder  
743 Education: London.

744 Khosla AN. 1953. *Silting of reservoirs*. CPIP Publ 51: New Delhi.

745 Kompani-Zare M, Soufi M, Hamzehzarghani H, Dehghani M. 2011. The effect of some  
746 watershed, soil characteristics and morphometric factors on the relationship  
747 between the gully volume and length in Fars Province, Iran. *Catena* **86**: 150-159.

748 Kutner MH, Nachtsheim CJ, Neter J, Li W. 2005. *Applied linear statistical models*.  
749 McGraw-Hill: New York.

750 Martinez-Casasnovas JA. 2003. A spatial information  
751 technology approach for the mapping and quantification of gully erosion. *Catena*  
752 **50**: 293-308.

753 Martinez-Casasnovas JA, Concepcion Ramos M, Garcia-Hernandez D. 2009. Effects of  
754 land-use changes in vegetation cover and sidewall erosion in a gully head of the  
755 Penedes region (northeast Spain). *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **34**,  
1927-1937.

756 Marzolf I, Ries JB, Albert K-D. 2002. Kite aerial photography for gully monitoring in  
757 sahelian landscapes. Proceedings of the Second Workshop of the EARSeL  
758 Special Interest Group on Remote Sensing for Developing Countries: Bonn; 2-  
759 13.

760 Marzolf I, Poesen J. 2009. The potential of 3D gully monitoring with GIS using high-  
761 resolution aerial photography and a digital photogrammetry system.  
762 *Geomorphology* **111**: 48-60.

763 Marzolf I, Ries JB, Poesen J, 2011. Short-term versus medium-term monitoring for  
764 detecting gully-erosion variability in a Mediterranean environment. *Earth*  
765 *Surface Processes and Landforms* **36**: 1604-1623.

766 Merla G, Abbate E, Azzaroli A, Bruni P, Canuti P, Fazzuoli M, Sagri M, Tacconi P. 1979.  
767 A geological map of Ethiopia and Somalia (1973) 1,2.000.000 and comment.  
768 University of Florence: Firenze.

769 Moeyersons J, Nyssen J, Poesen J, Deckers J, Mitiku Haile. 2006. Age and  
770 backfill/overflow stratigraphy of two tufa dams, Tigray Highlands, Ethiopia:  
771 Evidence for Late Pleistocene and Holocene wet conditions. *Palaeogeography*  
772 *Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology* **230**: 165-181.

773 Muñoz-Robles C, Reid N, Frazier P, Tighe M, Briggs SV, Wilson B. 2010. Factors  
774 related to gully erosion in woody encroachment in south-eastern Australia.  
775 *Catena* **83**: 148-157.

776 Nachtergaele J, Poesen J. 1999. Assessment of soil losses by ephemeral gully erosion  
777 using high-altitude (stereo) aerial photographs. *Earth Surface Processes*  
778 *Landforms* **24**: 693-706.

779 Nachtergaele J, Poesen J, Steegen A, Takken I, Beuselinck L, Vandekerckhove L,  
780 Govers G. 2001a. The value of a physically based model versus an empirical  
781 approach in the prediction of ephemeral gully erosion for loess-derived soils.  
782 *Geomorphology* **40**: 237-252.

783 Nachtergaele J, Poesen J, Vandekerckhove L, Wijdenes DO, Roxo M. 2001b. Testing  
784 the ephemeral gully erosion model (EGEM) for two Mediterranean  
785 environments. *Earth Surface Processes Landforms* **26**: 17-30.

786 Nachtergaele J, Poesen J, Sidorchuk A, Torri D. 2002. Prediction of concentrated flow  
787 width in ephemeral gully channels. *Hydrological Processes* **16**: 1935-1953.

788 Nyssen J, Poesen J, Moeyersons J, Deckers J, Haile M, Lang A. 2004. Human impact  
789 on the environment in the Ethiopian and Eritrean highlands - a state of the art.  
790 *Earth Science Reviews* **64**: 273-320.

791 Nyssen J, Vandenreyken H, Poesen J, Moeyersons J, Deckers J, Mitiku Haile, Salles C,  
792 Govers G. 2005. Rainfall erosivity and variability in the Northern Ethiopian  
793 Highlands. *Journal of Hydrology* **311**: 172-187.

794 Nyssen J, Naudts J, De Geyndt K, Mitiku Haile, Poesen J, Moeyersons J, Deckers J.  
795 2008. Soils and land use in the Tigray highlands (Northern Ethiopia). *Land*  
796 *Degradation and Development* **19**: 257-274.

797 Parkner T, Page MJ, Marutani T, Trustrum NA, 2006. Development and controlling  
798 factors of gullies and gully complexes, East Coast, New Zealand. *Earth Surface*  
799 *Processes Landforms* **31**: 187-199.

800 Patton PC, Schumm SA. 1975. Gully erosion, Northwestern Colorado: a threshold  
801 phenomenon. *Geology* **3**: 83-90.

802 Poesen J. 1992. Gully erosion in the loess belt of Northwestern Europe: typology and  
803 control measures. In *Geographical Information System for Soil Erosion*  
804 *Management*, Luk SH (ed). Proceedings International Conference: Taiyuan;  
805 163-174.

806 Poesen J, Vandaele K, van Wesemael B. 1996. Contribution of gully erosion to  
807 sediment production in cultivated lands and rangelands. *International*  
808 *Association of Hydrological Sciences Publication* **236**: 251-266.

809 Poesen J, Nachtergaele J, Verstraeten G, Valentin C. 2003. Gully erosion and  
810 environmental change: importance and research needs. *Catena* **50**: 91-133.

811 Radoane M. 1995. Gully distribution and development in Moldova, Romania. *Catena* **24**:  
812 127-146.

813 Ries JB, Marzloff I. 2003. Monitoring of gully erosion in the Central Ebro Basin by large-  
814 scale aerial photography taken from a remotely controlled blimp. *Catena* **50**:  
815 309-328.

816 Robinson PJ, Henderson-Sellers A. 1999. *Contemporary Climatology*. Pearson  
817 Education Ltd: Essex.

818 Satter F, Wasson R, Pearson D, Boggs G, Ahmad W, Nawaz M. 2010. The  
819 development of geoinformatics based framework to quantify gully erosion.  
820 Proceedings of the International Multidisciplinary Scientific Geo-Conference &  
821 Expo-2010: 1-9.

822 Schumm SA. 2005. *River Variability and Complexity*. Cambridge University Press:  
823 Cambridge.

824 Soufi M, Isaie H. 2012. The relationship between gully characteristics and sediment  
825 production in the Northeast of Iran, Golestan province. Accessed from  
826 [http://www.tucson.ars.ag.gov/isco/isco15/pdf/Soufi%20M\\_The%20relationship%](http://www.tucson.ars.ag.gov/isco/isco15/pdf/Soufi%20M_The%20relationship%20between.pdf)  
827 [20between.pdf](http://www.tucson.ars.ag.gov/isco/isco15/pdf/Soufi%20M_The%20relationship%20between.pdf), on 10/03/2012: 1-3.

828 Torri D, Borselli L, 2003. Equation for high-rate gully erosion. *Catena* **50**: 449-467.

829 Vandaele K, Poesen J, deSilva JRM, Govers G, Desmet P. 1997. Assessment of  
830 factors controlling ephemeral gully erosion in Southern Portugal and Central  
831 Belgium using aerial photographs. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie N. F.* **41**: 273-  
832 287.

833 Vandekerckhove L, Poesen J, Wijdenes DO, Gyssels G, Beuselinck L, de Luna E. 2000.  
834 Characteristics and controlling factors of bank gullies in two semi-arid  
835 mediterranean environments. *Geomorphology* **33**: 37-58.

836 Vanmaercke M, Zenebe A, Poesen J, Nyssen J, Verstraeten G, Deckers J. 2010.  
837 Sediment dynamics and the role of flash floods in sediment export from  
838 medium-sized catchments: a case study from the semi-arid tropical highlands in  
839 northern Ethiopia. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* **10**: 611-627.

840 Virgo KJ, Munro RN. 1978. Soil and erosion features of the Central Plateau region of  
841 Tigray, Ethiopia. *Geoderma* **20**: 131-157.

842 Warren SD, Hohmann MG, Auerswald K, Mitasova H. 2004. An evaluation of methods  
843 to determine slope using digital elevation data. *Catena* **58**: 215–233.

844 Wensheng H, Jiyuan L, Qiangguo C, Zhiqiang G. 2005. Assessment of gully erosion in  
845 a semi-arid catchment of the Loess Plateau, China using photogrammetric

846 techniques. Proceedings of the SPIE - *The International Society for Optical*  
847 *Engineering* **5884**: 1-9.

848 Williams M, Williams F. 1980. Evolution of the Nile basin. In *The Sahara and the Nile.*  
849 *Quaternary Environments and Prehistoric Occupation in Northern Africa,*  
850 Williams M, Faure H (eds). Balkema: Rotterdam; 207-224.

851 Woodward DE. 1999. Method to predict cropland ephemeral gully erosion. *Catena* **37**:  
852 393–399.

853 Zenebe A, Vanmaercke M, Poesen J, Verstraeten G, Haregeweyn N, Haile M, Amare K,  
854 Deckers J, Nyssen J. 2012. Spatial and temporal variability of river flows in the  
855 degraded semi-arid tropical mountains of northern Ethiopia. *Zeitschrift für*  
856 *Geomorphologie N. F.*, in press.

857 Zucca C, Canu A, Della Peruta R. 2006. Effects of land use and landscape on spatial  
858 distribution and morphological features of gullies in an agropastoral area in  
859 Sardinia (Italy). *Catena* **68**: 87-95.

860 Zhang Y, Wu Y, Lin B, Zheng Q, Yin J. 2007. Characteristics and factors controlling the  
861 development of ephemeral gullies in cultivated catchments of black soil region,  
862 Northeast China. *Soil and Tillage Research* **96**: 28-41.

863 **Table I.** Median values for the gully top width - depth ratio ( $TW/D$ ), bottom width - top  
 864 width ratio ( $BW/TW$ ) and cross-sectional area ( $CSA$ ).

865

Explanatory variables	Levels	<i>n</i>	$TW/D$	$BW/TW$	$CSA^1$ (m <sup>2</sup> )
All data		811	2,7	0,5	10,1
Presence of (gabion) check dams or stabilized sections	Yes	336	3.7 <sup>a</sup>	0,6	8.4 <sup>a</sup>
	No	376	2.5 <sup>b</sup>	0,5	12.6 <sup>b</sup>
Lithology <sup>2</sup>	Shale	198	2.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.5 <sup>a</sup>	15.6 <sup>a</sup>
	Volcanics	94	3.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.6 <sup>b</sup>	9.9 <sup>b</sup>
	Sandstone	7	2.5 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.8 <sup>a,b</sup>	13.8 <sup>a,b</sup>
gully bank material <sup>2</sup> (case May Mekdan)	Vertisol	41	1.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.2 <sup>a</sup>	20.0 <sup>a</sup>
	Floodplain alluvium	42	2.1 <sup>b,c</sup>	0.4 <sup>b</sup>	15.0 <sup>a,b</sup>
	Colluvium	70	2.3 <sup>b,c</sup>	0.6 <sup>c,d</sup>	13.1 <sup>b,c</sup>
	Landslide	30	1.8 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	0.5 <sup>b,c,d</sup>	17.2 <sup>a,b,c</sup>
Stoniness of the gully bank <sup>2</sup>	0% - 20%	136	2.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.4 <sup>a</sup>	13.7 <sup>a</sup>
	20% - 50%	81	2.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.5 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	13.8 <sup>a</sup>
	50% - 80%	71	2.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.7 <sup>b,c</sup>	12.7 <sup>a</sup>
	80% - 100%	21	2.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.8 <sup>b,c</sup>	12.6 <sup>a</sup>
Presence of a rock fragment floor <sup>2</sup>	Yes	135	2.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.5 <sup>a</sup>	13.9 <sup>a</sup>
	No	157	2.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.5 <sup>a</sup>	13.4 <sup>a</sup>
Land use/cover <sup>2</sup>	Cropland	150	2.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.7 <sup>a</sup>	14.9 <sup>a</sup>
	grassland	93	2.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.3 <sup>b</sup>	13.1 <sup>a</sup>
	Exclosure	8	3.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.7 <sup>a,b</sup>	9.8 <sup>a</sup>
Local slope gradient ( $S_1$ ) <sup>2</sup>	0% - 10%	112	1.8 <sup>a</sup>	0.4 <sup>a</sup>	16.6 <sup>a</sup>
	10% - 20%	83	2.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.6 <sup>b</sup>	13.3 <sup>b</sup>
	20%-30%	55	2.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.8 <sup>c</sup>	11.2 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Median values were obtained by multiplying the standardized  $CSA$  of the subgroups to the median  $TW$  (= 6.34 m) of the surveyed gullies in Northern Ethiopia, and thus corrects for differences in gully magnitude between the different subgroups.

<sup>2</sup> For cross-sections without check dams or which are stabilized  
<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> should be read vertically per variable and indicate levels for which the distributions are not significantly different from each other.

866

867 **Table II.** Gully bank material composition of the deposits studied in May Mekdan.

	Soil texture (mass %)			Average gully wall stoniness (volume %)
	Clay (<0.005mm)	Silt (0.005 - 0.063 mm)	Sand (0.063 - 2mm)	
Vertisol	74	23	3	18
Floodplain alluvium	52	32	16	24
Colluvium	54	27	17	22
Landslide	60	28	12	37

868  
869

870 **Table III.** a-coefficients related to a given percentage of the networks treated with check  
871 dams and/or low-active for catchments that developed in the lithologies shale and  
872 volcanics.

% of gully length treated with check dams or low-active	Shale	Volcanics
	$V = a L^{1.465}$ with a	$V = a L^{1.399}$ with a
0	0.3746	0.3760
10	0.362	0.3634
20	0.3495	0.3508
30	0.3369	0.3382
40	0.3244	0.3256
50	0.3118	0.3130
60	0.2993	0.3004
70	0.2867	0.2878
80	0.2742	0.2752
90	0.2617	0.2626
100	0.2491	0.2500

873



874 **Table IV.** Overview of the characteristics of the volume – length relations ( $V = aL^b$ )  
 875 established in different regions.

Reference on Figure 8	Area	Climate	Lithology	Gully type	Size of the study area (ha)	Total gully length (m)	n (gullies or gully networks)	a	b	$r^2$
this study	Northern Ethiopia	semi-arid / dry sub-humid	shale, volcanics, sandstone	permanent	5 380	151 767	33	0.562	1.381	0.94
a	New South Wales (Australia)	semi-arid	highly metamorphosed sandstone	permanent	1 199	19 216	16	0.43	1.36	0.81
b	Golestan Province (NE Iran)	arid / semi-arid	shale?	permanent	500	480	6	5.64	1.24	0.52
c	Fars Province (SW Iran)	arid	Quaternary sediments and marl	permanent	gullies randomly selected from 5 very large areas (ca. 5-10 10 <sup>4</sup> ha)	2 556	146	0.9483	1.097	0.33 (-0.09 - 0.86)
d	Sardinia (Italy)	dry-sub humid	granites and metamorphic rocks	ephemeral / permanent	720	17 405	32	0.235	1.12	0.55
e	SE Spain, SE Portugal	semi-arid / humid	shist	ephemeral	54	4 461	86	0.05	1.27	0.91
f	"summer gullies" Belgium	humid	loess	ephemeral	38	3 221	26	0.1	1.16	0.74
g	NE China	semi-humid	lacustrine and fluvial sand beds and loess	ephemeral	85	9 090	21	0.015	1.429	0.67
h	"winter gullies" Belgium	humid	loess	ephemeral	197	7 885	32	0.1	1.04	0.82
i	Sicily (Italy)	Mediterranean	?	ephemeral	120	13 340	92	0.0082	1.416	0.64

References: (a) Munoz-Robles et al. (2010), (b) Soufi and Isaie (2012), (c) Kompani-Zare et al. (2011), (d) Zucca et al. (2006), (e) Nachtergaele et al. (2001b), (f) Nachtergaele et al. (2001a), (g) Zhang et al. (2007), (h) Nachtergaele et al. (2001b), (i) Capra et al. (2005).

876