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#### Abstract

In this note, we give an alternative and considerably shorter proof of a result of Shult [2] stating that all hyperplanes of embeddable Grassmannians arise from projective embeddings.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $n \geq 1$ and let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field. Let $V$ denote an $(n+1)$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let $\mathrm{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})=\mathrm{PG}(V)$ denote the projective space associated with $V$. Let $k \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then the following point-line geometry $A_{n, k+1}$ can be defined:

- The points of $A_{n, k+1}$ are the $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$.
- The lines of $A_{n, k+1}$ are the sets $A\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)$ of $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ which contain a given $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace $\pi_{1}$ and are contained in a given $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace $\pi_{2}\left(\pi_{1} \subset \pi_{2}\right)$.
- Incidence is containment.

The geometry $A_{n, k+1}$ is called the Grassmannian of the $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$. We will denote the point-set of $A_{n, k+1}$ by $\mathcal{P}$. If $x$ and $y$ are two points of $A_{n, k+1}$, then $\mathrm{d}(x, y):=k-\operatorname{dim}(x \cap y)$ is the distance between $x$ and $y$ in the collinearity graph of $A_{n, k+1}$. A hyperplane of $A_{n, k+1}$ is a proper subspace of $A_{n, k+1}$ which meets every line of $A_{n, k+1}$.

Now, let $\bigwedge^{k+1} V$ denote the $(k+1)$-th exterior power of $V$. For every $k$ dimensional subspace $\alpha=\left\langle\bar{v}_{1}, \bar{v}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{k+1}\right\rangle$ of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$, let $e(\alpha)$ denote the point $\left\langle\bar{v}_{1} \wedge \bar{v}_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{v}_{k+1}\right\rangle$ of $\operatorname{PG}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} V\right)$. Notice that the point $e(\alpha)$ is independent of the generating set $\left\{\bar{v}_{1}, \bar{v}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{k+1}\right\}$ of the subspace $\alpha$. The map $e$ defines a full projective embedding of $A_{n, k+1}$ into $\operatorname{PG}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} V\right)$ which is
called the Grassmann-embedding of $A_{n, k+1}$. If $\pi$ is a hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} V\right)$, then $e^{-1}(\pi \cap e(\mathcal{P}))$ is a hyperplane of $A_{n, k+1}$. We say that the hyperplane $e^{-1}(\pi \cap e(\mathcal{P}))$ arises from the Grassmann-embedding of $A_{n, k+1}$.

The aim of this note is to give a short and elementary proof of the following result due to Shult [2].

Theorem 1.1 All hyperplanes of $A_{n, k+1}$ arise from the Grassmann-embedding of $A_{n, k+1}$.

Theorem 1.1 has an equivalent formulation in terms of alternating $k$-linear forms. This fact together with results of Ronan [1] and Wells [3] was exploited in [2] to prove Theorem 1.1. The alternative proof for Theorem 1.1 which we will now give is considerably shorter and only uses basic projective geometry.

## 2 Some useful results

We continue with the notation of Section 1 .
Lemma 2.1 Every hyperplane $H$ of $A_{n, k+1}$ is a maximal subspace of $A_{n, k+1}$.
Proof. Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two points of $A_{n, k+1}$ not contained in $H$. Recall that $\mathrm{d}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=k-\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{1} \cap X_{2}\right)$. We prove by induction on $\mathrm{d}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are contained in a path which entirely consists of points of $\mathcal{P} \backslash H$. Obviously, this holds if $\mathrm{d}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \leq 1$. So, suppose that $\delta:=\mathrm{d}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \geq 2$. For every $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $\left(y_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ be a non-incident point-hyperplane pair of $X_{i}$ such that $X_{1} \cap X_{2} \subseteq \alpha_{i}$. Put $\beta_{1}:=\left\langle X_{1}, y_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\beta_{2}:=\left\langle X_{2}, y_{1}\right\rangle$. Then $A\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$ and $A\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)$ are two lines of $A_{n, k+1}$. Moreover, for every point $Z_{1}$ of $A\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$, there exists a unique point $Z_{2} \in A\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)$ at distance $\mathrm{d}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)-1$ from $Z_{1}$, namely $Z_{2}=\left\langle\alpha_{2}, z\right\rangle$, where $z$ is the unique point in $Z_{1} \cap y_{1} y_{2}$. Since $X_{i} \notin H$ and $X_{i} \in A\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right),\left|A\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right) \cap H\right|=1$. So, it is possible to choose a $Z_{1} \in A\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$ and a $Z_{2} \in A\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)$ such that $Z_{1} \notin H, Z_{2} \notin H$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)=$ $\mathrm{d}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)-1$. By the induction hypothesis, $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are connected by a path entirely consisting of points of $\mathcal{P} \backslash H$. Hence, also $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are connected by such a path.

Suppose now that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are two distinct hyperplanes of $A_{n, k+1}$. Let $\Gamma$ be the graph with vertex set $\mathcal{P} \backslash\left(H_{1} \cup H_{2}\right)$, with two vertices $x$ and $y$ adjacent if and only if $\mathrm{d}(x, y)=1$ and the line $x y$ meets $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the set of all connected components of $\Gamma$ and put $\mathcal{H}:=\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{C \cup\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right) \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\right\}$.

Lemma 2.2 If $H$ is a hyperplane of $A_{n, k+1}$ such that $H \cap H_{1}=H_{1} \cap H_{2}=$ $H \cap H_{2}$, then $H \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Since $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are distinct maximal subspaces, $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ is not a maximal subspace. Since $H_{1} \cap H_{2} \subseteq H$ and $H$ is a maximal subspace, there exists an $x^{*} \in H \backslash\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right)$. Clearly, $x^{*} \notin H_{1} \cup H_{2}$. So, $x^{*}$ is a vertex of $\Gamma$
and there exists a unique element $W \in \mathcal{H}$ containing $x^{*}$. We will prove that $H=W$.

We first show that $W \subseteq H$. In view of the fact that $x^{*} \in W \cap H$, we need to show that if $x \in H \backslash\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right)$ and $y$ is a vertex of $\Gamma$ adjacent to $x$, then also $y \in H \backslash\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right)$. Now, since (i) $\mathrm{d}(x, y)=1$, (ii) $x y$ meets $H_{1} \cap H_{2}=H \cap H_{1}$, and (iii) $H$ is a subspace, it follows that $x y \subseteq H$. In particular, $y \in H$.

We next show that $H \subseteq W$. It suffices to prove the following (by induction on $i$ ): if $x, y \in H \backslash\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right)$ with $\mathrm{d}(x, y)=i$ and $x \in W$, then also $y \in W$. The claim then immediately follows from the fact that $x^{*} \in H \cap W$. If $\mathrm{d}(x, y)=1$, then the line $x y$ meets $H \cap H_{1}=H_{1} \cap H_{2}$. Hence, $x$ and $y$ are adjacent points of $\Gamma$ and $y \in W$. So, we will suppose that $\delta=\mathrm{d}(x, y) \geq 2$. We show that there exists a point $u_{x}$ of $x$, a point $u_{y}$ of $y$, a hyperplane $\alpha_{x}$ of $x$ and a hyperplane $\alpha_{y}$ of $y$ such that $x \cap y \subseteq \alpha_{x}, x \cap y \subseteq \alpha_{y}, u_{x} \notin \alpha_{x}, u_{y} \notin \alpha_{y},\left\langle\alpha_{x}, u_{y}\right\rangle \in H$ and $\left\langle\alpha_{y}, u_{x}\right\rangle \in H$.

Let $\alpha_{x}$ be an arbitrary hyperplane of $x$ through $x \cap y$ and let $S$ denote the set of all $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ through $\alpha_{x}$ which intersect $y$ in a subspace of dimension $k-\delta+1$. Then $S$ is a subspace of $A_{n, k+1}$ which carries the structure of a projective space isomorphic to $\operatorname{PG}(\delta-1, \mathbb{K})$. The set $S \cap H$ is equal to either $S$ or a hyperplane of $S$ (if we regard $S$ as a projective space).

Suppose $\delta \geq 3$ or $S \cap H=S$. Let $u_{x}$ be an arbitrary point of $x \backslash \alpha_{x}$. Let $S^{\prime}$ denote the set of all $k$-dimensional subspaces through $u_{x}$ which intersect $y$ in a hyperplane of $y$ containing $x \cap y$. Then $S^{\prime}$ is a subspace of $A_{n, k+1}$ which carries the structure of a projective space $\operatorname{PG}(\delta-1, \mathbb{K})$. The set $S^{\prime} \cap H$ is equal to either $S^{\prime}$ or a hyperplane of $S^{\prime}$. If $\delta \geq 3$, then we see that there exist elements $\beta \in S \cap H$ and $\gamma \in S^{\prime} \cap H$ such that $(\beta \cap y) \cap(\gamma \cap y)=x \cap y$. In this case, put $\alpha_{y}:=\gamma \cap y$ and let $u_{y}$ be an arbitrary point of $(\beta \cap y) \backslash(x \cap y)$. Suppose $\delta=2$ and $S \cap H=S$. Let $\beta$ be an arbitrary element of $S^{\prime} \cap H$. Put $\beta \cap y=\alpha_{y}$ and let $u_{y}$ be an arbitrary point of $y \backslash \alpha_{y}$. In both cases, one readily verifies that $\left(u_{x}, u_{y}, \alpha_{x}, \alpha_{y}\right)$ satisfies all required properties.

Suppose $\delta=2$ and that $S \cap H$ is a singleton $\{\beta\}$. Let $u_{y}$ be an arbitrary point of $(\beta \cap y) \backslash(x \cap y)$ and let $\alpha_{y}$ be an arbitrary hyperplane of $y$ through $x \cap y$ not containing $u_{y}$. Let $S^{\prime}$ denote the set of all $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathrm{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ through $\alpha_{y}$ which intersect $x$ in a hyperplane of $x$. Then $S^{\prime}$ is a line. Since $\left\langle\alpha_{y}, \alpha_{x}\right\rangle \notin H$, there exists a unique element $\gamma \in S^{\prime}$ belonging to $H$. Let $u_{x}$ be an arbitrary point of $(\gamma \cap x) \backslash(x \cap y)$. Then $\left(u_{x}, u_{y}, \alpha_{x}, \alpha_{y}\right)$ satisfies all required properties.

Now, let $u_{x}, u_{y}, \alpha_{x}$ and $\alpha_{y}$ as above. Then $L_{x}:=A\left(\alpha_{x},\left\langle x, u_{y}\right\rangle\right)$ and $L_{y}:=$ $A\left(\alpha_{y},\left\langle y, u_{x}\right\rangle\right)$ are lines of $A_{n, k+1}$. Since $L_{x}$ contains the points $x$ and $\left\langle\alpha_{x}, u_{y}\right\rangle$ of $H$, all the points of $L_{x}$ are contained in $H$. Similarly, since $L_{y}$ contains the points $y$ and $\left\langle\alpha_{y}, u_{x}\right\rangle$ of $H$, all the points of $L_{y}$ are contained in $H$. Clearly, every point $z_{1}$ of $L_{x}$ has distance $\mathrm{d}(x, y)-1$ from a unique point $z_{2}$ of $L_{y}$, namely $z_{2}$ is the unique $k$-dimensional subspace containing $\alpha_{y}$ and the singleton $z_{1} \cap u_{x} u_{y}$. Since $x \notin H_{1}$ and $y \notin H_{1},\left|L_{x} \cap H_{1}\right|=1=\left|L_{y} \cap H_{1}\right|$. Hence, there exists a $z_{1} \in L_{x}$ and a $z_{2} \in L_{y}$ such that $z_{1} \notin H_{1}, z_{2} \notin H_{1}$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\mathrm{d}(x, y)-1$. Now, applying the induction hypothesis 3 times, we find $z_{1} \in W, z_{2} \in W$ and $y \in W$.

Corollary 2.3 If $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ arise from the Grassmann-embedding of $A_{n, k+1}$, then also every hyperplane $H$ of $A_{n, k+1}$ satisfying $H \cap H_{1}=H_{1} \cap H_{2}=H \cap H_{2}$ arises from the Grassmann-embedding of $A_{n, k+1}$.

Proof. Take a point $x^{*} \in H \backslash\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right)$. Since $H_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$, is a maximal subspace, $\Sigma_{i}:=\left\langle e\left(H_{i}\right)\right\rangle$ is a hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} V\right)$. Moreover, $\Sigma_{i} \cap e(\mathcal{P})=$ $e\left(H_{i}\right)$. So, $\Sigma_{1} \neq \Sigma_{2}$ and $e\left(x^{*}\right) \notin \Sigma_{1} \cap \Sigma_{2}$ since $\Sigma_{1} \cap \Sigma_{2} \cap e(\mathcal{P})=e\left(H_{1}\right) \cap e\left(H_{2}\right)=$ $e\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right)$. Put $\Sigma:=\left\langle e\left(x^{*}\right), \Sigma_{1} \cap \Sigma_{2}\right\rangle$ and $H^{\prime}:=e^{-1}(\Sigma \cap e(\mathcal{P}))$. Then $x^{*} \in H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime} \cap H_{1}=H_{1} \cap H_{2}=H^{\prime} \cap H_{2}$. By the proof of Lemma 2.2, $H=W=H^{\prime}$, where $W$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{H}$ containing $x^{*}$.

## 3 Alternative proof of Theorem 1.1

We will prove Theorem 1.1 by induction on $n$. If $k \in\{0, n-1\}$, then $A_{n, k+1}$ is a projective space and the theorem trivially holds in this case. So, Theorem 1.1 holds if $n \leq 2$. In the sequel, we will suppose that $n \geq 3$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$.

Let $(x, \pi)$ be a non-incident point-hyperplane pair of $\mathrm{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$. Let $S_{x}$, respectively $S_{\pi}$, be the subspace of $A_{n, k+1}$ consisting of all $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ which are incident with $x$, respectively $\pi$. The point-line geometry $\widetilde{S_{x}}$ (respectively $\widetilde{S_{\pi}}$ ) induced on $S_{x}$ (respectively $S_{\pi}$ ) is isomorphic to $A_{n-1, k}$ (respectively $A_{n-1, k+1}$ ). The Grassmann-embedding $e$ of $A_{n, k+1}$ induces an embedding $e_{x}$ of $\widetilde{S_{x}}$ into a subspace $\Sigma_{x}$ of $\operatorname{PG}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} V\right)$ and an embedding $e_{\pi}$ of $\widetilde{S_{\pi}}$ into a subspace $\Sigma_{\pi}$ of $\operatorname{PG}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} V\right)$. Choosing a basis $\left\{\bar{e}_{1}, \bar{e}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{n+1}\right\}$ in $V$ such that $\left\langle\bar{e}_{1}\right\rangle=x$ and $\left\langle\bar{e}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{n+1}\right\rangle=\pi$, we see that: (i) $\Sigma_{x}$ is the subspace of $\operatorname{PG}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} V\right)$ generated by all points of the form $\left\langle\bar{e}_{1} \wedge \bar{f}_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{f}_{k+1}\right\rangle$, where $\bar{f}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{f}_{k+1}$ are vectors of $\left\langle\bar{e}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{n+1}\right\rangle$; (ii) $\Sigma_{\pi}$ is the subspace of $\operatorname{PG}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} V\right)$ generated by all points of the form $\left\langle\bar{f}_{1} \wedge \bar{f}_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{f}_{k+1}\right\rangle$, where $\bar{f}_{1}, \bar{f}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{f}_{k+1}$ are vectors of $\left\langle\bar{e}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{n+1}\right\rangle$. Hence, $\Sigma_{x}$ and $\Sigma_{\pi}$ are complementary subspaces of $\operatorname{PG}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} V\right)$. It is also clear that $e_{x}$ and $e_{\pi}$ are isomorphic to the Grassmannembeddings of respectively $A_{n-1, k}$ and $A_{n-1, k+1}$.

Lemma 3.1 Let $y$ be a point of $A_{n, k+1}$ not contained in $S_{x} \cup S_{\pi}$. Then there exists a unique line $L_{y}$ through $y$ meeting $S_{x}$ and $S_{\pi}$.

Proof. Regarding $y$ as a $k$-dimensional subspace of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$, we have $x \notin y$ and $y \cap \pi$ is a $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace of $\pi$. The line $L_{y}:=A(y \cap \pi,\langle x, y\rangle)$ contains $y$, intersects $S_{x}$ in the point $\langle x, y \cap \pi\rangle$ and $S_{\pi}$ in the point $\langle x, y\rangle \cap \pi$. The uniqueness of $L_{y}$ is also obvious.

Now, let $H$ be an arbitrary hyperplane of $A_{n, k+1}$. Then $H \cap S_{x}$ is either $S_{x}$ or a hyperplane of $\widetilde{S_{x}}$. Similarly, $H \cap S_{\pi}$ is either $S_{\pi}$ or a hyperplane of $\widetilde{S_{\pi}}$. By Lemma 3.1, it is impossible that $H \cap S_{x}=S_{x}$ and $H \cap S_{\pi}=S_{\pi}$.

Suppose $H \cap S_{\pi}=S_{\pi}$. Then $H \cap S_{x}$ is a hyperplane of $\widetilde{S_{x}}$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a hyperplane $\beta$ of $\Sigma_{x}$ such that $H \cap S_{x}=e^{-1}\left(e\left(S_{x}\right) \cap \beta\right)$. Now, the hyperplane $H$ is uniquely determined by $H \cap S_{x}$ : a point $y \notin S_{x} \cup S_{\pi}$
is contained in $H$ if and only if $L_{y} \cap S_{x} \subseteq H$. This implies that $H$ is the hyperplane of $A_{n, k+1}$ arising from the hyperplane $\left\langle\beta, \Sigma_{\pi}\right\rangle$ of $\operatorname{PG}\left(\bigwedge^{k+1} V\right)$. In a completely similar way, one shows that if $H \cap S_{x}=S_{x}$, then $H$ arises from the Grassmann-embedding of $A_{n, k+1}$.

Suppose $H \cap S_{x}$ is a hyperplane of $\widetilde{S_{x}}$ and $H \cap S_{\pi}$ is a hyperplane of $\widetilde{S_{\pi}}$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a hyperplane $\beta_{1}$ of $\Sigma_{x}$ and a hyperplane $\beta_{2}$ of $\Sigma_{y}$ such that $H \cap S_{x}=e^{-1}\left(e\left(S_{x}\right) \cap \beta_{1}\right)$ and $H \cap S_{\pi}=e^{-1}\left(e\left(S_{\pi}\right) \cap \beta_{2}\right)$. Now, put $H_{1}:=e^{-1}\left(e(\mathcal{P}) \cap\left\langle\beta_{1}, \Sigma_{\pi}\right\rangle\right)$ and $H_{2}:=e^{-1}\left(e(\mathcal{P}) \cap\left\langle\beta_{2}, \Sigma_{x}\right\rangle\right)$. Then $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are distinct hyperplanes of $A_{n, k+1}$. We show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H \cap L) \cap\left(H_{1} \cap L\right)=\left(H_{1} \cap L\right) \cap\left(H_{2} \cap L\right)=(H \cap L) \cap\left(H_{2} \cap L\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every line $L$ meeting $S_{x}$ and $S_{\pi}$.
If $L \cap S_{x} \subseteq H$ and $L \cap S_{\pi} \subseteq H$, then $H \cap L=H_{1} \cap L=H_{2} \cap L=L$ and (1) holds. If $L \cap S_{x} \subseteq H$ and $L \cap S_{\pi} \cap H=\emptyset$, then $H \cap L=L \cap S_{x}, H_{1} \cap L=L$, $H_{2} \cap L=L \cap S_{x}$ and (1) holds again. A similar reasoning applies to the case $L \cap S_{\pi} \subseteq H$ and $L \cap S_{x} \cap H=\emptyset$. Finally, suppose $L \cap S_{x} \cap H=L \cap S_{\pi} \cap H=\emptyset$. Then $H_{1} \cap L=L \cap S_{\pi}, H_{2} \cap L=L \cap S_{x}$ and $H \cap L$ is a singleton different from $H_{1} \cap L$ and $H_{2} \cap L$. So, (1) holds again.

By Lemma 3.1 and (1), $H_{1} \cap H=H_{1} \cap H_{2}=H_{2} \cap H$. By Corollary 2.3, $H$ arises from the Grassmann-embedding of $A_{n, k+1}$.
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