

600

All-Optical Label Swapping in Novel optical network architectures: a network recovery perspective

R. Van Caenegem, E. Van Breusegem, B. Puype, D. Staessens, D. Colle, I. Lievens, M. Pickavet, P. Demeester

Ghent University-IMEC-IBBT
Department of Information Technology
Gaston Crommenlaan 8 (bus 201)
B-9050 Gent,
Belgium

Tel: +32 9 3314977

Ruth.VanCaenegem@intec.ugent.be

ABSTRACT

One of the goals of the GBOU "Optical networking and node architectures" (ONNA) project is to study next-generation optical network architectures. Technological advances such as WDM and fast optical switches as well as protocol standardization have given us flexible high-bandwidth transport networks. This high-bandwidth also introduces the need to study the resilience aspects of such networks. The project both examines automatic circuit-switched optical networks and the longer term solution of optical packet-switched networks. Optical circuits established through optical switching are used in providing on-demand coarse granularity connectivity services. This connectivity is offered between nodes of higher network layers. For example, an IP over optical multi-layer network uses these fast-switching optical networks as a transport layer for carrying data traffic, though the large discrepancy between IP layer traffic flow granularity and optical layer granularity (e.g. wavelength channels) may prove a challenge. Optical packet-switching (OPS) can offer a flexible and bandwidth efficient architecture. Compared to circuit-switched approaches, it provides smaller granularity to the optical layer (on a packet-by-packet basis), while still allowing for optical bypassing of transit nodes (without E/O conversions) for traffic traversing multiple hops. This smaller switching granularity also gives promising opportunities to apply differentiation in recovery techniques. Ideally, we envisage transparent optical networking, where the optical packet can contain an arbitrary client layer protocol. In spite of this, optical packet-switching is still a challenge because of the high bitrates that forces optical technology to switch very fast. To make routing decisions faster and more efficient the Multi Protocol Label Switching (MPLS) routing protocol makes use of local labels. Even though MPLS forms a good solution it yet doesn't cross the chasm between the router's switching speed (i.e. table look-up procedures are time consuming) and the fibre's data speed. In an attempt to overcome this, research starts to focus on all-optical packet-switching by way of All-Optical Label Swapping (AOLS).

AOLS implements the packet-by-packet routing and forwarding functions of MPLS directly at the optical domain. By using optical labels, the IP packets are directed through the optical network without passing them through electronics whenever a forwarding decision is necessary. Ideally this approach has the ability to route packets/bursts independently of bit rate, packet format and packet length. Still AOLS encounters new troubles. The lack of all-optical memory makes the AOLS nodes very complex and resource consuming. Whereas the electronically implemented look-up table was easy to adapt to changing routing demands (i.e. LSPs are set-up, broken down at all possible moments in the network), a big number of optical components need be installed to perform the same functions all-optically. How many components and how they are designed relate directly to the router's ability to accept more or fewer LSPs. This is of great importance when providing the all-optical network with resilience. The ONNA project focused on different protection/restoration schemes (real-time or in advance, local or end-to-end) that could be used in combination with all-optical packet-switching networks. It investigated how the presence of back-up facilities in the network affect the label length and number of labels needed throughout the network (i.e., the router's dimensions).

Keywords: Optical networks, All Optical Label Swapping, Network recovery.

Workshop on

**Design of Next Generation Optical Networks:
*from the Physical up to the Network Level Perspective***

Ghent, Belgium

February 6th, 2006

Organization by COST291 & IWT GBOU ONNA



Table of Contents

Plenary session (9:00 – 10:00)	
<i>Chair: Dr. Ilse Lievens, Ghent University-IBBT-IMEC, Belgium</i>	
<i>Optical Networking: Its Inter-Disciplinary Nature and New Research Challenges</i>	1
<i>(Invited)</i>	
Prof. Biswanath Mukherjee, University of California, Davis, USA	
S1	Issues in Next-Generation Optical Networks (10:20 – 12:00)
<i>Chair: Prof. Mike J O'Mahony, University of Essex, UK</i>	
S1-1	<i>Novel Network Architectures for Next-Generation Optical Networks (Invited)</i> 2
Monika Jaeger, T-Systems International, Germany	
S1-2	<i>Techno-economic Concepts and Techniques used for Strategic Planning of Optical Telecommunication Networks (Invited)</i> 3
Sofie Verbrugge, J. Van Ootegem, K. Casier, L. Depré, P. Audenaert, D. Colle, I. Lievens, M. Pickavet, P. Demeester, Ghent University-IBBT-IMEC, Belgium	
S1-3	<i>A New Method for Considering Physical Impairments in Multilayer Routing</i> 4
Szilard Zsigmond, Akos Szodenyi, Balazs Megyer and Tibor Cinkler, BUTE, Hungary,	
Anna Tzanakaki, Ioannis Tomkos, AIT, Greece	
S1-4	<i>Hybrid Optical Switching for Data-Intensive Media Grid Applications</i> 9
Jurgen Baert, Marc De Leenheer, Bruno Volckaert, Tim Wauters, Pieter Thysebaert, Filip De Turck, Bart Dhoedt, Piet Demeester, Ghent University- IBBT-IMEC, Belgium	
S2	Physical Aspects in Next-Generation Optical Networks (12:40 – 14:10)
<i>Chair: Prof. Marian Marciniak, National Institute of Telecommunications, Poland</i>	
S2-1	<i>Impacts of Physical Constraints on Dynamic Lightpath Routing (Invited)</i> 14
Dominic A. Schupke, Siemens CT IC ONT, Germany	
S2-2	<i>Electrical Equalization for Duobinary and Phase Shift Keyed Modulation Formats</i> 15
Chunmin Xia and Werner Rosenkranz, University of Kiel, Germany	
S2-3	<i>Parametric Amplification and Multiple Wavelength Conversion in HNLF: Experimentation and Modelling</i> 19
Kařka J., Karasek M., Institute of Radio Engineering and Electronics, Czech Republic	
S2-4	<i>Performance Evaluation of 2R Regenerator based on Self-Phase Modulation in Fiber</i> 24
Ch. Kouloumentas, A. Barlas, A. Tzanakaki, and I. Tomkos, AIT, Greece	
S3	Novel Node Architectures for Next-Generation Optical Networks (14:30 – 16:10)
<i>Chair: Dr. Benny Van Houdt, University of Antwerp, Belgium</i>	
S3-1	<i>Novel Node Architectures for Next-Generation Optical Networks (Invited)</i> 29
Mike J O' Mahony, University of Essex, UK,	
C. Politi, NTUA, Greece,	
D. Klonidis, AIT, Greece	
S3-2	<i>Contention Resolution in Next-Generation Optical Node Architectures (Invited)</i> 30
Joris Walraevens ¹ , Benny Van Houdt ² , Joke Lambert ² , Wouter Rogiest ¹ , Koenraad Laevens ¹ , Dieter Fiems ¹ , Veronique Inghelbrecht ¹ , Chris Blondia ² , Herwig Bruneel ¹ ,	
¹ Ghent University, Belgium	
² University of Antwerp, Belgium,	
S3-3	<i>Packet Loss due to Bit Errors in All-Optical Networks</i> 31
Andreas Kimsås, Harald Øverby, Steinar Bjørnstad and Vegard L. Tuft, NTNU, Norway	
S3-4	<i>Contention Resolution in Multi-Fibre Optical Packet Switches</i> 37
Giovanni Muretto, Carla Raffaelli, University of Bologna, Italy	

S4	Next-Generation Optical Networks and their Survivability (16:30 – 18:00)	
	<i>Chair: Prof. Mario Pickavet, Ghent University- IBBT-IMEC, Belgium</i>	
S4-1	<i>All-Optical Label Swapping in Novel Optical Network Architectures: a Network Recovery Perspective (invited)</i>	42
	Ruth Van Caenegem, E. Van Breusegem, B. Puype, D. Staessens, D. Colle, I. Lievens, M. Pickavet, P. Demeester, Ghent University- IBBT-IMEC, Belgium	
S4-2	<i>On-line and Dynamic Multi-Layer Routing with Protection</i>	43
	Anna Urrea, Eusebi Calle, Jose L. Marzo, University of Girona, Spain	
S4-3	<i>End-to-End Recovery in Multidomain IP-over-OTN Networks</i>	48
	D. Staessens, L. Depré, D. Colle, I. Lievens, M. Pickavet, P. Demeester, Ghent University- IBBT-IMEC, Belgium	
S4-4	<i>Sensitivity Analysis of Transmission Network with Optical Packet Switching</i>	53
	Marko Lackovic, Ericsson Nikola Tesla R&D Centre, Croatia; Robert Inkret, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Christian Bungarzeanu, EPFL-STI-ITOP-TCOM, Switzerland	