

MaB-flocs for a more

sustainable wastewater treatment



Sofie Van Den Hende^{a,b}, Han Vervaeren^a, Guy Maes^a, Nico Boon^b

^a EnBiChem Research Group, Howest University College West Flanders, Kortrijk, Belgium ^b Laboratory of Microbial Ecology and Technology, Ghent University, Gent, Belgium

Lowering the carbon footprint of wastewater treatment

Conventional activated sludge system High aeration rates and CO₂ emission by bacteria

Microalgal Bacterial (MaB) floc system O₂ produced *in situ* and CO₂ captured in biomass



Microalgae do not settle easily High cost of harvesting

MaB-flocs settle by gravity Bioflocculation of microalgae and activated sludge



Objective

To maximize the photosynthetic aeration and CO_2 mitigation of MaB-floc reactors for wastewater treatment, we investigated whether inorganic carbon could increase the algae:bacteria ratio in the flocs while keeping a good reactor performance and biomass separation.

Methods

Experiments were conducted in three illuminated sequencing batch reactors (SBR) with MaB-flocs fed with synthetic wastewater containing inorganic carbon compared to organic carbon (Table 1).

The reactors were operated in 2 cycles of 5 hours and one cycle of 14 hours per day (Fig. 1). No external oxygen was supplied. This experiment was performed in duplicate experiments.

| Table 1. Influent carbon composition | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Reactor | C-bicarbonate (mg C L ⁻¹) | C-sucrose (mg C L ⁻¹) | | | | | |
| SBR-B | 84 | 0 | | | | | |
| SBR-BS | 42 | 42 | | | | | |
| SBR-S | 0 | 84 | | | | | |



Results

Several differences were found in MaB-floc reactors fed with bicarbonate compared to sucrose.



Bicarbonate

- More chlorophyll *a* in flocs (Fig .2)
- Lower floc settleability
- Increasing pH: up to 9.5
- More filamentous cyanobacteria
- Higher effluent turbidity
- *MaB-floc SBR-B* Lower N removal (Table 2)



Figure 2. Chlorophyll a content of MaB-flocs fed with bicarbonate (B) and/or sucrose (S) in two experimental runs

Table 2. Process performance of SBRs with MaB-flocs fed with bicarbonate (B) and/or sucrose (S) in two experimental runs (mean +- standard deviation (measurements))

Run Reactor Daily

Daily removal

Removal efficiency





Sucrose

- Good floc settleability
- Neutral pH: around 7
- Lower dissolved oxygen but good soluble COD removal
- Lower effluent turbidity
- MaB-floc SBR-S Good N removal (Table 2)

| | | (mg L ⁻¹ reactor day ⁻¹) | | (%) | | (FTU) | |
|---|--------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | SCOD* | N-NO3** | SCOD* | N-NO3** | | |
| | SBR-B | - | 3.3 ± 2.2 (4) ^g | - | 11.1 ± 7.4 (4) ^g | 8.6 ± 3.4 (9) ^g | |
| | SBR-BS | 154 ± 5 (8) ^e | 15.6 ± 4.3 (8) ^h | 92 ± 3 (8) a | 52.2 ± 14.4 (8) ^h | 1.5 ± 1.2 (9) ^h | |
| | SBR-S | 318 ± 6 (8) ^f | 20.4 ± 5.0 (8) ⁱ | 95 ± 2 (8) ^b | 68.2 ± 16.7 (8) ⁱ | 2.3 ± 1.6 (9) ⁱ | |
| I | SBR-B | - | 5.8 ± 2.6 (5) ^g | - | 19.7 ± 10.1 (5) ^g | 10.9 ± 4.8 (7) ^g | |
| | SBR-BS | 158 ± 7 (7) ^e | 11.3 ± 1.6 (9) ^h | 94 ± 4 (7) ^a | 37.9 ± 5.2 (9) ^h | 1.7 ± 1.2 (7) ^h | |
| | SBR-S | 320 ± 7 (7) ^f | 18.4 ± 6.8 (9) ⁱ | 96 ± 2 (7) ^a | 61.4 ± 22.6 (9) ⁱ | 3.5 ± 2.2 (7) ⁱ | |
| * Soluble COD; shown where relevant, i.e. where organic carbon was fed to the reactor ** Only N-removal analyses before reactor washout were used | | | | | | | |

Conclusions

- Inorganic carbon increases the photosynthetic micro-organisms content and potential of MaB-flocs.
- Organic carbon is of major importance for a good reactor performance, i.e. floc settleability and N-removal.

Ш

Photosynthetic oxygenation of COD (and thus CO₂ incorporation in algal biomass) is feasible.

More information:

<u>sofie.van.den.hende@howest.be</u>

www.howest.be

sofie.vandenhende@ugent.be

www.labmet.ugent.be