



BRILL

JOURNAL OF GREEK LINGUISTICS 15 (2015) 280–286



brill.com/jgl

The Late Medieval Greek πολιτικός στίχος Poetry: Language, Metre and Discourse (University of Ghent, 2015)

Jorie Soltic

University of Ghent

jorie.soltic@ugent.be

Abstract

In this contribution, I offer a summary of my 2015 Ph.D. dissertation from the University of Ghent on the language and metre of *Late Medieval Greek* πολιτικός στίχος poetry as they pertain to information structure.

Keywords

Late Medieval Greek – πολιτικός στίχος – information structure – intonation/information units – topic/focus – discourse markers

1 Introduction

My dissertation deals with the Late Medieval Greek (LMG) πολιτικός στίχος poetry. The language of this type of poetry, whose most representative genre is the romance, is not easily grasped. In the first place, it is renowned as our chief witness of *vernacular* literature from the 12th to 15th centuries (Beaton 1996:99). However, it does not at all represent a pure vernacular language, but a so-called mixed language, for the poets now and then include ancient “dead” linguistic items (Toufexis 2008:204). Moreover, it adopts an oral-formulaic style (E. Jeffreys 2013). It is presumably this rather curious idiom, together with the prejudice that the metrical constraints of the πολιτικός στίχος impose a strait-jacket on the language, that prevented linguists from thoroughly investigating the LMG πολιτικός στίχος poetry as a whole. Indeed, linguistic studies dealing with the LMG πολιτικός στίχος poetry all have a rather specific profile: they

typically treat one grammatical aspect or are diachronically oriented. While such “fragmented” studies are of course unquestionably valid, what is lacking so far is thus a more comprehensive approach. It was my aim to change this.

2 The Theory

My dissertation attempts to offer and develop a theoretical framework in which the LMG πολιτικός στίχος poetry can *as a whole* be approached. More concretely, I argue for the benefits of modern linguistic theory, namely the framework of Information Structure (IS). This framework, which pays a lot of importance to pragmatics, has been founded on the basis of contemporary spoken languages. Assuming that there are important parallels (similar cognitive principles of producing and processing information) between orally conceived poetry on the one hand and naturally spoken language on the other, I consider this framework ideal to deal with the poetry’s peculiar features (cf. Bakker 1997). As a result, my analysis, which is thus well embedded in current linguistic theory, constitutes the first attempt to develop a modern linguistic approach with regard to the LMG πολιτικός στίχος poetry.

3 The Analysis

More concretely, I have applied three concepts from IS to the LMG πολιτικός στίχος poetry: the intonation/information unit, the topic/focus pair and the phenomenon of discourse markers. These three function as leitmotifs throughout my dissertation.

3.1 *Intonation/Information Unit*

Let me begin with the intonation/information unit (IU), which is the well-established unit of spoken discourse and thus the standard unit of analysis in most IS-based studies (Chafe 1993). An IU is prototypically demarcated by a breathing pause and often corresponds to a syntactic unit. Moreover, it tends to stand in a simple paratactic relation with the surrounding IUs. This actually reflects the different cognitive grounds that underlie spoken discourse as compared to written language: a speaker organises his information less densely and thus imparts the information in smaller chunks rather than in complex grammatical sentences.

With regard to the LMG πολιτικός στίχος poetry too, the “traditional” notion of sentence does not make much sense and is best abandoned in favour of the IU.

More precisely, I argue that the fixed caesura (#) at the eighth syllable implies an IU boundary and is—beside a metrical boundary—a prosodic boundary separating two IUs. This argument is based on a variety of metrical (e.g. avoidance of elision) and syntactico-semantic arguments (e.g. distribution of Wackernagel P2 particles). From this perspective, the two standard half-verses of the πολιτικός στίχος are not merely metrical units, but also cognitive units. Moreover, the fact that IUs coincide with the metrical patterns of the verse make clear that the verse rhythms of the πολιτικός στίχος are nothing to be wrestled with, but should actually be considered stylisations of natural speech. To illustrate this, I give two examples:

- (1) πῶς ἔπαθεν ἐκ τὰς ἀρχᾶς # ἐκείνη καὶ ὁ Ἰμπέρης (*Imberios & Margarona* 848)¹
‘how [she] suffered from the beginning, she and Imberios’
- (2) καὶ ἀτοί τοὺς τὸν ἐνδύσασιν # ἐκείνον καὶ τὴν κόρην (*Achilleis Byzantina* 1502)²
‘and they got him dressed, him and the girl’

The lack of grammatical agreement between the verb (ἔπαθεν; singular) and its subject (ἐκείνη καὶ ὁ Ἰμπέρης; plural) in (1) and between the object clitic pronoun (τόν; singular) and its coreferential object (ἐκείνον καὶ τὴν κόρην; plural) in (2) becomes perfectly acceptable if we conceive the language of the πολιτικός στίχος poetry as a concatenation of IUs. Thus, ἐκείνη καὶ ὁ Ἰμπέρης and ἐκείνον καὶ τὴν κόρην should be analysed as (corrective) afterthoughts added in a new IU.

3.2 *Topic/Focus Pair*

A second application concerns the topic/focus pair. Albeit oft-used (especially in studies on word order), the notion is often not straightforwardly defined. In simplified words, the topic is that “what the utterance is about” and thus usually presents referentially given or active information, while the focus is that part of the utterance that constitutes the most prominent information and thus usually involves referentially new or inactive information (Gundel & Fretheim 2004). Somewhat confusingly, a distinction should be made between a topic in this strict sense (a so-called sentence topic) and a discourse topic, with which one refers to the central idea of a stretch of connected discourse.

1 Edition of Kriaras (1955).

2 Edition of Agapitos, Hult & Smith (1999).

It was my aim to firmly establish the concepts of topic and focus as crucial means to describe the language of the LMG πολιτικός στίχος poetry. For this purpose, I have conducted two complementary studies on object clitic pronouns (OCPs). In a first study, I offer more objective evidence for the focus hypothesis, namely that ad hoc focalised constituents can attract OCPs into preverbal position (cf. Mackridge 1993). For the first time, this (disputed) pragmatic principle is dealt with from the perspective of the verb: I concentrate on so-called light verbs (ποιῶ & δίδω), which are so low in content that their object with almost absolute certainty constitutes the actual focus of the utterance.

In a second study, I go more deeply into the phenomenon of clitic doubling. This construction has been identified in Standard Modern Greek as a special mechanism for marking objects as topics, yet has never been described in detail for LMG. I demonstrate that both its modern typology and its modern function already hold for clitic doubling in the LMG πολιτικός στίχος poetry.

Rather than as a superfluous OCP, την should be interpreted as an instance of clitic doubling:

- (3) Ἐπέτασά την τήν γραφήν, # ἐπήρα, ἐφίλησά την (*Livistros & Rodamni* 1747)³
 'I took it, the letter, I held (it), I kissed it'

The next example too should be analysed as clitic doubling, more precisely as an instance of hanging topic left dislocation, for ὁ ἐπίσκοπος τῆς Ὀλλενας is not just dangling at the beginning of the utterance. Rather, it is coreferential with the OCP τοῦ and serves the pragmatic purpose of introducing a new topic:

- (4) ὁ ἐπίσκοπος τῆς Ὀλλενας # τέσσαρα φῖε τοῦ ἐδώκαν (*Chronicle of Morea* ms H 1957)⁴
 'the bishop of Olena, they gave him four fees'

3.3 Discourse Markers

A third and final concept is the phenomenon of discourse markers (DMs). Briefly, DMs are expressions that structure the discourse in some way or another rather than contributing to the conceptual content of an utterance (Brinton 1996). In other words, they have developed procedural meaning(s). Especially adverbs and verbs tend to enter this grammaticalisation cline. As such, the class of DMs includes a wide range of multifunctional items of which some operate

3 Edition of Lendari (2007).

4 Edition of Lurier (1964).

as conduits between different segments of a text/discourse (textual DMs) and others mainly help to clarify the relationship between speaker and hearer (interpersonal DMs). Typically, DMs are syntactically independent, which is translated into a preference for initial position (in case of the adverbial DMs) or for a parenthetical position (in case of the verbal DMs).

With regard to Ancient Greek, it has been established that the Wackernagel P₂ particles can be compared to DMs (Loudová 2014). However, these gradually die out, so that by the time the LMG πολιτικός στίχος poetry is written, they are no longer used in contemporary speech. Nevertheless, the ancient P₂ particles are still inserted by the πολιτικός στίχος poets. Therefore, I give an overview of their functions in the LMG πολιτικός στίχος poetry: a stylistic function (adding a classicizing flavour to a text), a rhythmical function (demarkating IUs), and even still a pragmatic one (exerting an—albeit bleached—discourse role). As such, they are far from being mere verse fillers. On the other hand, though, I looked for expressions which could have adopted the various pragmatic functions of the P₂ particles—in other words: I searched for newly (or in any case more recently) developed DMs in my corpus and I identified both adverbial and verbal expressions which show DM-like behavior.

As for the adverbial DMs, ἀπ(′)αὐτοῦ, ἐδᾶ(ρτε), ἐνταῦθα, ἐν τούτῳ, λοιπόν, πάλιν and πλὴν all seem eligible candidates. I devote a special section to πάλιν, because this is the only expression which—in its DM-like use—does not occur initially, yet prefers to stand after the first word/constituent of the utterance. I have derived that this positional difference (P₁ vs. P₂) actually reflects an important functional difference: signalling a switch in *discourse* topic (P₁) vs. one in *sentence* topic (P₂) (although a continuum might constitute a better way to present these two types of topics).

In the next example, for instance, πάλιν structures the discourse rather than contributing to the conceptual content (“again”) of the utterance: it denotes a topic switch (from “I” to “you”) and should thus be analysed as a DM:

- (5) Ἐγὼ πατὴρ σου εὐρίσκομαι, # ἐσὺ δὲ πάλιν υἱὸς μου (*Bellum Troianum* 11309)⁵
 ‘I am your father, you (are) my son’

As for the verbal DMs, I have concentrated on the first person singular of the reporting verb λέγω (including λαλῶ) and the second person singular epistemic imperative γνῶριζε (including ἐγνῶριζε, γνῶρισε, ἤξευρε and πρόσχε). Tellingly,

5 Edition of Papatomopoulos & E. Jeffreys (1996).

in their DM-like use, these are consistently used parenthetically. Whereas the adverbial DMs can all be considered topic switch markers and thus textual DMs (which does not mean that they are mutually exchangeable, though!), the verbal DMs rather belong to the interpersonal ones. Λέγω/λαλῶ, for instance, is shown to have a clear clarification-signalling function, as can be seen in the next example, in which ἐκείνου τοῦ δεσπότη constitutes an apposition to τοῦ Καλοϊωάννη:

- (6) ποῦ ἐλάλησαν καὶ εἶπασιν # ὅτι ἦλθαν τὰ φουσσᾶτα
 τοῦ Καλοϊωάννη, σὲ λαλῶ, # ἐκείνου τοῦ δεσπότη (*Chronicle of Morea* ms
 H 1101–1102)
 ‘who talked and said that the armies were coming’
 ‘(the armies) of Kalojohn, I mean, that despot’

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, it was my aim to offer a theoretical framework in which the LMG πολιτικὸς στίχος poetry can be approached and understood *as a whole* and which can thus perfectly deal with its peculiar features. This led me to the adoption of a theoretical framework developed on the basis of modern spoken languages, i.e. the framework of Information Structure. Such a linguistic analysis of the texts from a modern pragmatic perspective, which is innovative with regard to the LMG πολιτικὸς στίχος poetry, might result in a better understanding of not only its language, but also of its metre and discourse. Hopefully, it even leads to more reading pleasure with regard to a type of poetry whose language had once been considered a “Graeco-barbaric hotchpotch”

References

- Agapitos, Panagiotis, Karin Hult, and Ole Smith. 1999. *The Byzantine Achilleid. The Naples version*. Vienna: Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Bakker, Egbert. 1997. *Poetry in speech: Orality and Homeric discourse*. Ithaca (N.Y.): Cornell University Press.
- Beaton, Roderick. 1996. *The medieval Greek romance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brinton, Laurel. 1996. *Pragmatic markers in English: Grammaticalization and discourse functions*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Chafe, Wallace. 1993. Prosodic and functional units of language. In Jane A. Edwards

- and Martin D. Lampert (eds.), *Talking data. Transcription and coding in discourse research*, 33–43. Hillsdale (N.J.): Erlbaum.
- Gundel, Jeanette, and Thorstein Fretheim. 2004. Topic and focus. In Laurence Horn and Gregory Ward (eds.), *The Handbook of Pragmatics*, 175–196. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Jeffreys, Elizabeth. 2013. Byzantine romances: Eastern or western? In Marina Brownlee and Dimitri Gondicas (eds.), *Renaissance encounters: Greek East and Latin West*, 217–234. Leiden: Brill.
- Kriaras, Emmanouel. 1955. *Βυζαντινά Ίπποτικά Μυθιστορήματα*. Athens: Aetos.
- Lendari, Tina. 2007. *Livistros and Rodamne. The Vatican version. Critical edition with introduction, commentary and index-glossary*. Athens: Μορφωτικό Ίδρυμα Έθνικής Τραπέζης.
- Loudová, Katerina. 2014. On the category of particles in Byzantium. In M. Hinterberger (ed.), *The Language of Byzantine Learned Literature*, 147–169. Brepols: Turnhout.
- Lurier, Harold E. 1964. *Crusaders as conquerors: The Chronicle of Morea*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Mackridge, Peter. 1993. An editorial problem in Medieval Greek texts. The position of the object clitic pronoun in the Escorial Digenes Akrites. In Nikolaos Panayotakis (ed.), *Origini della letteratura Neogreca*, vol. 1, 325–342. Venice: Istituto Ellenico di Studi Bizantini e Postbizantini di Venezia.
- Papathomopoulos, Manolis, and Elizabeth Jeffreys. 1996. *Ο Πόλεμος τής Τρωάδος: Κριτική έκδοση με εισαγωγή και πίνακες*. Athens: Μορφωτικό Ίδρυμα Έθνικής Τραπέζης.
- Toufexis, Notis. 2008. Diglossia and register variation in Medieval Greek, *Byzantine and Modern Greek Studies* 32 (2): 203–217.