

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

**The hidden quasar nucleus of a WISE-selected, hyperluminous, dust-obscured galaxy at  $z \sim 2.3$** E. Piconcelli<sup>1</sup>, C. Vignali<sup>2,3</sup>, S. Bianchi<sup>4</sup>, L. Zappacosta<sup>1</sup>, J. Fritz<sup>5</sup>, G. Lanzuisi<sup>3</sup>, G. Miniutti<sup>6</sup>, A. Bongiorno<sup>1</sup>, C. Feruglio<sup>7</sup>, F. Fiore<sup>1</sup>, and R. Maiolino<sup>8</sup><sup>1</sup> Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma (INAF), via Frascati 33, 00040 Monte Porzio Catone (Roma), Italy  
e-mail: [piconcelli@mporzio.astro.it](mailto:piconcelli@mporzio.astro.it)<sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Viale Berti Pichat 6/2, 40127 Bologna, Italy<sup>3</sup> Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna (INAF), via Ranzani 1, 40127 Bologna, Italy<sup>4</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, via della Vasca Navale 84, 00146 Roma, Italy<sup>5</sup> Sterrenkundig Observatorium Universiteit Gent, Krijgslaan 281, S9, 9000 Gent, Belgium<sup>6</sup> Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), Dep. de Astrofísica, ESAC, PO Box 78, 28691 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain<sup>7</sup> Institute de Radioastronomie Millimétrique, 300 rue de la Piscine, 38406 St. Martin d'Hères, Grenoble, France<sup>8</sup> Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, 19 J. J. Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK

Received 12 November 2014 / Accepted 5 December 2014

**ABSTRACT**

We present the first X-ray spectrum of a hot dust-obscured galaxy (DOG), namely W1835+4355 at  $z \sim 2.3$ . Hot DOGs represent a very rare population of hyperluminous ( $\geq 10^{47}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>), dust-enshrouded objects at  $z \geq 2$  recently discovered in the WISE All Sky Survey. The 40 ks *XMM-Newton* spectrum reveals a continuum as flat ( $\Gamma \sim 0.8$ ) as typically seen in heavily obscured AGN. This, along with the presence of strong Fe K $\alpha$  emission, clearly suggests a reflection-dominated spectrum due to Compton-thick absorption. In this scenario, the observed luminosity of  $L_{2-10} \sim 2 \times 10^{44}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> is a fraction ( $< 10\%$ ) of the intrinsic one, which is estimated to be  $\geq 5 \times 10^{45}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> by using several proxies. The *Herschel* data allow us to constrain the SED up to the sub-mm band, providing a reliable estimate of the quasar contribution ( $\sim 75\%$ ) to the IR luminosity as well as the amount of star formation ( $\sim 2100 M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>). Our results thus provide additional pieces of evidence that associate Hot DOGs with an exceptionally dusty phase during which luminous quasars and massive galaxies co-evolve and a very efficient and powerful AGN-driven feedback mechanism is predicted by models.

**Key words.** galaxies: individual: WISE J183533.71+435549.1 – galaxies: active – galaxies: nuclei – submillimeter: galaxies – X-rays: galaxies

**1. Introduction**

The detection and the study of the most luminous quasars ( $L_{\text{Bol}} \gg 10^{46}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>) at  $2 < z < 3$ , where their number density reaches a peak, is essential to understanding the major formation events over the supermassive black hole (SMBH) assembly history as well as to probing the co-evolution of host galaxies and their central SMBH at its extremes. For the luminous systems, models predict a merger-induced evolutionary sequence with an initial heavily dust reddened phase associated with strong and obscured SMBH growth and star formation (Silk & Rees 1998; Hopkins et al. 2008; Fabian 2012). There is growing evidence that the red phase coincides with the blow-out (i.e., AGN-driven feedback-dominated; Faucher-Giguere & Quataert 2012) phase, after which the quasar eventually evolves into a blue, optically bright source (Banerji et al. 2012; Glikman et al. 2012; Brusa et al. 2015).


Red luminous quasars are thus ideal test-beds for this AGN-driven feedback scenario. *Spitzer* observations allowed the discovery of a population of dust-obscured galaxies (DOGs hereafter) with extreme mid-IR/optical ratios ( $F_{24 \mu\text{m}}/F_{\text{R}} > 1000$ ) and a flux density at  $24 \mu\text{m}$   $F_{24 \mu\text{m}} \gtrsim 1$  mJy at  $1 < z < 3$  (Dey et al. 2008). The AGN nature of DOGs is suggested by their mid-IR power-law spectrum and has been definitively confirmed via X-ray observations (Fiore et al. 2008; Lanzuisi et al. 2009, L09), which found a predominance of type-2 quasars ( $L_{2-10} > 10^{44}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> and  $N_{\text{H}} > 10^{22}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>) among them. The

study of rare, very luminous DOGs is now taking advantage of the recent sensitive, wide-area surveys such as the all-sky WISE survey (Wright et al. 2010). In particular, by selecting “W1W2-dropout” objects, i.e., bright at 22 or 12  $\mu\text{m}$  but very faint at 4.6 and 3.4  $\mu\text{m}$  (WISE W4, W3, W2, and W1 bands, respectively), Eisenhardt et al. (2012) identified a population of  $\sim 1000$  DOGs which stand out for being hyperluminous ( $L_{\text{Bol}} \sim 10^{47}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>) at  $z \sim 2-3$ . They were dubbed Hot DOGs because their spectral energy distributions (SED) typically exhibit a mid-IR/sub-mm emission ratio higher than other galaxy types, suggesting a large contribution from hot dust (Wu et al. 2012, 2014; Jones et al. 2014). Optical follow-up reveals narrow emission lines in most of their spectra. Hot DOGs show no clear-cut sign of gravitational lensing and, therefore, are truly hyperluminous. Since they likely represent a short-lived crucial phase in the evolution of the most powerful AGN in the universe, probing the nuclear properties of such recently-discovered extreme objects can clearly benefit our understanding of overall AGN-galaxy co-evolution.

This is our aim and here we report the first X-ray spectrum of a Hot DOG (namely WISE J183533.71+435549.1, hereafter W1835+4355, at  $z = 2.298$ , e.g., Wu et al. 2012) obtained by *XMM-Newton*. Throughout this Letter we assume  $H_0 = 70$  km s<sup>-1</sup> Mpc<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$ , and  $\Omega_{\text{M}} = 0.27$ .

**2. X-ray observation and data reduction**

W1835+4355 was observed with *XMM-Newton* in revolution 2508 (18 August 2013) for about 42 ks (Obs. ID. 0720610101).



**Fig. 1.** **a)** Confidence contour plot for the photon index against the column density using an absorbed PL model. **b)** Confidence contour plot showing the normalization against energy of the Fe  $K\alpha$  emission line for the PN (solid lines) and MOS (dashed lines) spectrum. The contours are at 68%, 90%, and 99% confidence levels for two interesting parameters.


The EPIC observations were performed with the PN and MOS cameras operating in Full-Window mode and with the Thin and Medium filter applied, respectively. The extraction of science products from the observation data files followed standard procedures using the *XMM-Newton* Science Analysis System SAS v13.5. X-ray events corresponding to patterns 0–4(0–12) for the PN(MOS) camera were selected. The event lists were filtered to ignore periods of high background flaring activity by selecting good time intervals with count rate  $< 0.4(0.35)$  counts  $\text{s}^{-1}$  using single event PN(MOS) light curves at  $E > 10$  keV. For the PN, the source photons were extracted with a circular region of 26 arcsec radius, with the background being derived from a source-free 57 arcsec radius region on the same chip. MOS1 and MOS2 source(background) spectra were extracted using a circular region of radius 14(45) arcsec. The useful exposure times for spectroscopy was 35.7 and 41.2 ks for PN and MOS, respectively. Finally, we created a combined MOS spectrum and response matrix using *addascaspec*.

### 3. Results

W1835+4355 is well detected in both EPIC cameras at RA (J2000) = 18:35:33.76 and Dec (J2000) = +43:55:48.6, with a 0.3–10 keV net count rate of  $3.4 \pm 0.4$ ,  $1.4 \pm 0.3$ , and  $1.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$  counts  $\text{s}^{-1}$  in PN, MOS1 and MOS2, respectively. Spectral analysis was carried out using the modified Cash statistic (*C*-stat; Cash 1979) provided in XSPEC. The PN(MOS) spectrum was rebinned with a minimum of 10(7) counts  $\text{bin}^{-1}$  so that MOS and PN spectra contained a comparable number of spectral bins. In the following, errors correspond to the 90% ( $1.6\sigma$ ) confidence level for one interesting parameter, i.e.,  $\Delta C = 2.71$ . A Galactic column density of  $N_H = 5.2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (Kalberla et al. 2005) was applied to all spectral models. A power law (PL) model yielded statistically consistent spectral parameters with a slope  $\Gamma \sim 0.8$  and a normalization  $\sim 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$  photons/keV/ $\text{cm}^2/\text{s}$  for separate fits to the PN and MOS spectra. The PN and MOS data were then fitted simultaneously in the 0.5–8 keV band. As a very flat spectral index is a typical indication of an absorbed continuum, we added an intrinsic absorption component to the model. The best-fit value of  $\Gamma$  remained, however, basically the same and we placed an upper limit of  $N_H < 8 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  for the column density of the obscuring material (*C*-stat/d.o.f. = 42/30). Figure 1a shows the confidence contour plot of the column density of the cold absorber against the slope of the PL. If a canonical PL with  $\Gamma = 1.8$  were present, this would imply

a  $N_H \geq 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  of the obscuring material. Interestingly, a positive excess in the fit residuals was present around 2 keV (i.e.,  $\sim 6.4$  keV rest frame), so a Gaussian emission component was added. This model provided a good description of the X-ray spectrum, with *C*-stat/d.o.f. = 22/29. The rest-frame energy of the line was  $6.63 \pm 0.09$  keV, with a normalization of  $1.0 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-6}$  photons/keV/ $\text{cm}^2/\text{s}$ . We measured a rest-frame equivalent width (EW) of the line  $EW = 2.2^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$  keV. The presence of such a strong emission feature is very interesting since reflection-dominated spectra of Compton-thick AGN are indeed characterized by a very flat photon index and prominent (i.e.,  $EW \geq 0.8$  keV) iron K lines from neutral and ionized species (e.g., Matt et al. 2004; Nandra & Iwasawa 2007; Tilak et al. 2008). We therefore performed an additional investigation on this spectral feature as it was tempting to associate the X-ray emission of W1835+4355 with a completely buried quasar scenario. We first checked for the presence of the line in the PN and MOS spectrum separately. The significance of the line detection was at  $>99\%$  confidence level for two parameters (i.e., energy and normalization) in both datasets, with the centroid being at  $6.73 \pm 0.19$  keV (PN) and  $6.55^{+0.13}_{-0.29}$  keV (MOS), i.e., consistent at 68% confidence level (Fig. 1b). We then inspected the properties of the Fe  $K\alpha$  line using *unbinned* (i.e., with a  $\Delta E \sim 50$  eV) PN and MOS spectra in the 1.6–2.5 keV band. In both cases, the underlying continuum was fitted by a PL with  $\Gamma = 0.9$ . The excess was confirmed in both datasets. In particular, it can be modeled by two narrow emission lines in the PN spectrum (which is the dataset with the best statistics, i.e., with a count PN/MOS ratio of  $\sim 1.3$  in this energy interval). We fixed the energy of these lines at 6.4 and 6.67 keV to model the neutral Fe and FeXXV emission, respectively. As a further consistency check, we tied the redshift of the lines and left it free to vary. The best-fit value of  $z$  was found to be  $2.28^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$ , i.e., consistent with the  $z = 2.298$  reported by Wu et al. (2012). This suggested a likely blend of the two emission lines in the binned spectrum. The excess in the unbinned MOS spectrum can be fitted by a single line with a centroid at  $6.54 \pm 0.09$  keV.

The combination of intense Fe  $K\alpha$  emission superimposed on a flat continuum in W1835+4355 led us to consider a pure reflection scenario for the X-ray emission from the AGN in this Hot DOG. To this end, we used the PEXMON model in XSPEC (Nandra et al. 2007) which includes both neutral Compton reflection and fluorescence neutral Fe emission lines. Given the above results, we also added a narrow Gaussian line to model the ionized Fe emission line. Assuming this spectral model, we




**Fig. 2.** The reflection-dominated model fitted to the unfolded PN (triangles) and MOS (circles) spectrum of W1835+4355. The individual spectral components (i.e., soft PL, ionized Fe emission line, and neutral reflection) are also shown as dotted lines.

obtained  $C$ -stat/d.o.f. = 24/29. The additional line was centered at  $6.65^{+0.18}_{-0.11}$  keV, while the best-fit value of photon index of the illuminating continuum was  $\Gamma \sim 2.4$ , i.e., steeper than the mean quasar index (i.e., 1.8–1.9; Piconcelli et al. 2005). The X-ray spectrum of heavily obscured AGN is characterized by the presence of a “soft excess”, which typically extends up to  $\sim 3$  keV, and is due to line-dominated emission from large-scale photoionized gas and, in the case of star-forming galaxies, from hot, collisionally-ionized gas in starbursts. In CCD-like resolution and/or low quality spectra, a steep PL component ( $\Gamma \approx 2.3$ – $2.7$ , e.g., Turner et al. 1997; Comastri et al. 2010) is usually applied to account for this soft X-ray emission. Accordingly, we then added a PL with  $\Gamma$  fixed to 2.5 to the previous reflection model. This model yielded an excellent description to the data (see Fig. 2) without statistically significant unfitted features ( $C$ -stat/d.o.f. = 20/28), and a best-fit value  $\Gamma = 2.0^{+0.5}_{-0.7}$  for the intrinsic continuum slope. We measured a rest-frame  $EW = 990^{+760}_{-490}$  eV for the ionized Fe line and a ratio between the normalization of the soft and intrinsic hard PL  $\sim 0.03$ , which is a typical value for heavily obscured AGN (Turner et al. 1997; Guainazzi et al. 2005).

This model gives a 2–10(0.5–2) keV flux of  $2.11(0.28) \times 10^{-14}$  erg cm $^{-2}$  s $^{-1}$ , which corresponds to an observed luminosity  $L_{2-10} = 2.3 \times 10^{44}$  erg s $^{-1}$  ( $L_{0.5-2} = 2.9 \times 10^{43}$  erg s $^{-1}$ ).

#### 4. SED of W1835+4355

To have a better understanding of W1835+4355 properties, we collected the available photometric information from literature and extracted flux densities from archival *Herschel* observations (see Table 1). To this end, we used HIPE to extract the 70, 160, 250, 350, and 500  $\mu$ m source flux densities from the level2 fits files of W1835+4355 using circular regions of size 12, 12, 22, 30, and 42 arcsec, respectively; background was chosen from an annulus centered on the source (with an inner radius larger than the source extraction radius to avoid contamination by the source PSF tails), and proper aperture corrections were applied (as described in the PACS and SPIRE Data Reduction Guides). The source is detected from the observed mid-IR bands (where both *Spitzer* warm-mission data and AllWISE data are available) to the sub-mm band (SCUBA-2). This broadband coverage allows us to provide a good modeling of the SED in terms of an AGN plus a starburst component using the fitting code originally developed by Fritz et al. (2006), recently updated by Feltre et al. (2012), see Vignali et al. (2011)



**Fig. 3.** Rest-frame SED of W1835+4355 (see Table 1 for the available photometry). The best-fitting SED (black continuous curve) derives from the contribution of an AGN (blue short-dashed line) and a star-forming component (red long-dashed curve); see text for details.

**Table 1.** Photometry of W1835+4355 from near-IR to sub-mm.

Instrument	Band	Flux density (error)	Ref.
<i>Spitzer</i> IRAC1	3.6 $\mu$ m	51.5 (2.2) $\mu$ Jy	Wu12
<i>Spitzer</i> IRAC2	4.5 $\mu$ m	142.8 (3.0) $\mu$ Jy	Wu12
WISE W3	12 $\mu$ m	6790 (190) $\mu$ Jy	IRSA
WISE W4	22 $\mu$ m	24.6 (1.0) mJy	IRSA
<i>Herschel</i> PACS	70 $\mu$ m	40.9 (4.1) mJy	this work
<i>Herschel</i> PACS	160 $\mu$ m	79.3 (7.9) mJy	this work
<i>Herschel</i> SPIRE	250 $\mu$ m	81.0 (8.1) mJy	this work
<i>Herschel</i> SPIRE	350 $\mu$ m	72.0 (7.2) mJy	this work
<i>Herschel</i> SPIRE	500 $\mu$ m	33.0 (3.3) mJy	this work
SCUBA-2	850 $\mu$ m	8.0 (1.5) mJy	J14

**Notes.** *Spitzer* IRAC data are derived from warm-mission observations; WISE data are taken from the AllWISE source catalog at IRSA. Nominal W4 flux density has been corrected by a factor of 0.90 which applies for steeply rising mid-IR SEDs (see [http://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/allsky/expsup/sec4\\_4h.html](http://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/allsky/expsup/sec4_4h.html)). Errors of 10% are reported for *Herschel* flux densities.

**References.** Wu12: Wu et al. (2012); J14: Jones et al. (2014).

for a recent application of this code. For the hot AGN component, the code uses an extended grid of *smooth* torus models with a flared disc geometry (Fritz et al. 2006), which typically provides a good description of the AGN emission even in cases where only sparse photometric datapoints are available (see Feltre et al. 2012). For the far-IR emission, an additional component due to colder diffuse light, heated by star formation processes, is included in the fitting procedure. The fit to this emission is carried out using templates of known starburst galaxies. As shown in Fig. 3, the AGN component (short-dashed line) is able to reproduce the emission at short wavelengths reasonably well, while in the far-IR, additional emission, linked to star formation activity and here parameterized with the Arp220 template, is needed. The adopted Arp220 template is semi-empirical, calculated with the GRASIL code (Silva et al. 1998). We also used several other templates of local starbursts to try to account for the far-IR emission of W1835+4355, but none of them produced acceptable results. Fitting the far-IR starburst-related emission with a modified blackbody model (fixing the emissivity index  $\beta = 2$ ) provided an acceptable fit with  $T_{\text{dust}} = 39.9 \pm 1.2$  K and  $M_{\text{dust}} = 1.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ ,

and a far-IR luminosity consistent with that inferred by our best-fitting model. The obscured AGN SED model alone can naturally account for the optical emission. This result can, in principle, be justified by the very high AGN luminosity; however, it can be partially due to the poor sampling of the rest-frame optical/near-IR SED. We can reproduce the data equally well using the same Arp220 template in the near-IR/optical band. In this case, a torus model with a slightly higher optical depth is required since part of the optical emission, originally ascribed to the AGN, is provided by stars. We therefore cannot exclude a stellar contribution to the optical emission, but it would not significantly change any of the derived quantities. From the best-fitting SED (Fig. 3) we can calculate both the  $L_{\text{Bol}}$  related to accretion processes and the star formation rate (SFR). The AGN bolometric luminosity is  $\approx 4.8 \times 10^{47}$  erg s $^{-1}$ , with a quasar contribution to the total  $L_{8-1000 \mu\text{m}}$  of  $\sim 75\%$ . To estimate the SFR, we converted the rest-frame 8–1000  $\mu\text{m}$  luminosity due to star formation ( $L_{8-1000 \mu\text{m}} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{46}$  erg s $^{-1}$ ) in the best-fitting SED using the Kennicutt et al. (1998) formula and obtained a  $\text{SFR} \approx 2100 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ .

## 5. Discussion

The *XMM-Newton* observation presented here provides the first X-ray spectrum of a Hot DOG and highlights the potential offered by present-day X-ray telescopes to probe the nuclear environment of such hyperluminous high- $z$  systems. As expected on the basis of the “W1W2-dropout” selection criterion, which preferentially picks up highly reddened objects, the X-ray continuum emission of W1835+4355 turns out to be very obscured. An absorbed PL model indeed gives a flat  $\Gamma = 0.8$ , indicative of  $N_{\text{H}} \gg 10^{23}$  cm $^{-2}$ . The large EW Fe K $\alpha$  line observed at  $\sim 6.6$  keV (rest frame) can be thus interpreted as a signature of reflection-dominated spectrum due to a Compton-thick absorber blocking the direct continuum emission along the line of sight to the nucleus of W1835+4355. The presence of a typical soft excess PL component possibly suggests that the X-ray absorber allows some continuum emission to leak out. Previous 10–20 ks PN observations of Hot DOGs were unable to detect an X-ray source in two out of three cases, with the only faintly detected source (W1814+3412 at  $z \sim 2.4$ ) showing an X-ray flux of  $5 \times 10^{-15}$  erg cm $^{-2}$  s $^{-1}$  (Stern et al. 2014), which further supports the presence of buried AGN in these galaxies. In this scenario, the observed hard X-ray luminosity of W1835+4355 ( $\approx 2 \times 10^{44}$  erg s $^{-1}$ ) must be considered only a fraction of the intrinsic one. The ratio observed/intrinsic  $L_{2-10}$  typically ranges from 0.05 to 0.012 for  $N_{\text{H}}$  values in the range  $10^{24}$  to  $10^{25}$  cm $^{-2}$  (Brightman & Nandra 2011; Singh et al. 2012). We are thus able to estimate a lower limit to the intrinsic  $L_{2-10}$  of  $\approx 4.5 \times 10^{45}$  erg s $^{-1}$  for W1835+4355. Additional support for this range of  $L_{2-10}$  is given by the empirical mid-IR-X-ray luminosity relations. Specifically, we use the relation obtained by L09 for a large sample of DOGs with good X-ray spectral information. The  $L_{5.8 \mu\text{m}}$  derived from the SED is  $\approx 9 \times 10^{46}$  erg s $^{-1}$ . Accordingly, in the  $L_{5.8 \mu\text{m}}$  vs observed  $L_{2-10}$  plane shown in Fig. 6b of L09, the values for W1835+4355 suggest a  $N_{\text{H}}$  close to  $10^{24}$  cm $^{-2}$ . The observed  $L_{5.8 \mu\text{m}}$  implies a  $L_{2-10} \approx 4 \times 10^{45}$  erg s $^{-1}$ , i.e., consistent with the X-ray-based estimate. Furthermore, the AGN  $L_{\text{Bol}}$  inferred in Sect. 4 translates into a  $L_{2-10} \approx 5 \times 10^{45}$  erg s $^{-1}$  once a bolometric correction of  $\sim 100$  is applied (Hopkins et al. 2007). We note, however, that such extreme luminosities are basically not sampled by these relations involving  $L_{2-10}$  and, therefore, these estimates should only be regarded as indicative.

Our results lend support to Hot DOGs as tracers of an exceptional, rapid, and dusty phase of quasar/host galaxy co-evolution. The X-ray spectrum and the SED of W1835+4355 indicate that it is indeed associated with the very luminous tip of both AGN and galaxy populations. The *Herschel* data allow us to constrain the emission from cold dust heated by star formation and infer that the host galaxy is rapidly star forming at a rate of thousands of  $M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ , i.e., similar to sub-mm galaxies (SMGs) at  $z \geq 2$  (Hayward 2013). Assuming a gas-to-dust ratio of  $\approx 50$  as found in SMGs (Kovács et al. 2006) and  $M_{\text{dust}} = 1.3 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ , the measured SFR can be sustained only for  $\sim 3 \times 10^7$  yrs unless additional gas is provided.

Models and simulations predict that the combination of red phase and huge luminosity offers the opportunity of catching the AGN-driven feedback process at a maximum level of efficiency (Hopkins et al. 2008), and strong indications of feedback-related features, i.e.,  $\sim 100$  kpc extended Ly $\alpha$  blobs around some Hot DOGs, have indeed been reported (Bridge et al. 2013). Hot DOGs are thus ideal targets for millimeter interferometry to search for massive, galaxy-wide molecular outflows. Additional, well-designed X-ray observations of Hot DOGs will obtain crucial information about the poorly-studied nuclear environment of the most extreme AGN in the universe. Specifically, spectra above 10 keV can provide an invaluable, absorption-free view of their continuum source, responsible for their high luminosity.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank the anonymous referee for helpful comments. E.P. and A.B. acknowledge financial support from INAF under the contract PRIN-INAF-2012.

## References

- Banerji, M., Fabian, A. C., & McMahon, R. G. 2014, *MNRAS*, 439, L51  
 Bridge, C. R., Blain, A., Borys, C. J. K., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 769, 91  
 Brightman, M., & Nandra K. 2011, *MNRAS*, 413, 1206  
 Brusa, M., Bongiorno, A., Cresci, G., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 239  
 Cash, W. 1979, *ApJ*, 228, 939  
 Comastri, A., Iwasawa, K., Gilli, R., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 717, 787  
 Dey, A., Soifer, B. T., Desai, V., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 677, 943  
 Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Wu, J., Tsai, C.-W., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 755, 173  
 Fabian, A. C. 2012, *ARA&A*, 50, 455  
 Faucher-Giguère, C.-A., & Quataert, E. 2012, *MNRAS*, 425, 605  
 Feltre, A., Hatziminaoglou, E., Fritz, J., & Franceschini, A. 2012, *MNRAS*, 426, 120  
 Fiore, F., Grazian, A., Santini, P., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 672, 94  
 Fritz, J., Franceschini, A., & Hatziminaoglou, E. 2006, *MNRAS*, 366, 767  
 Glikman, E., Urrutia, T., Lacy, M., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 757, 51  
 Guainazzi, M., Matt, G., & Perola, G. C. 2005, *A&A*, 444, 119  
 Hayward, C. C. 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, L85  
 Hopkins, P. F., Richards, G. T., & Hernquist, L. 2007, *ApJ*, 654, 731  
 Hopkins, P. F., Hernquist, L., Cox, T. J., & Kereš, D. 2008, *ApJS*, 175, 356  
 Jones, S. F., Blain, A. W., Stern, D., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 443, 146  
 Kalberla, P. M. W., Burton, W. B., Hartmann, D., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 440, 775  
 Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 189  
 Kovács, A., Chapman, S. C., Dowell, C. D., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 650, 592  
 Lanzuisi, G., Piconcelli, E., Fiore, F., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 498, 67 (L09)  
 Matt, G., Bianchi, S., Guainazzi, M., & Molendi, S. 2004, *A&A*, 414, 155  
 Nandra, K., & Iwasawa, K. 2007, *MNRAS*, 382, L1  
 Nandra, K., O’Neill, P. M., George, I. M., & Reeves, J. N. 2007, *MNRAS*, 382, 194  
 Piconcelli, E., Jimenez-Bailón, E., Guainazzi, M., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 432, 15  
 Silk, J., & Rees, M. J. 1998, *A&A*, 331, L1  
 Silva, L., Granato, G. L., Bressan, A., & Danese, L. 1998, *ApJ*, 509, 103  
 Singh, V., Risaliti, G., Braitto, V., & Shastri, P. 2012, *MNRAS*, 419, 2089  
 Stern, D., Lansbury, G. B., Assef, R. J., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 794, 102  
 Tilak, A., Greenhill, L. J., Done, C., & Madejski, G. 2008, *ApJ*, 678, 701  
 Turner, T. J., George, I. M., Nandra, K., & Mushotzky, R. F. 1997, *ApJS*, 113, 23  
 Vignali, C., Piconcelli, E., Lanzuisi, G., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 2068  
 Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Mainzer, A. K., et al. 2010, *AJ*, 140, 1868  
 Wu, J., Tsai, C.-W., Sayers, J., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 756, 96  
 Wu, J., Bussmann, R. S., Tsai, C.-W., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 793, 8