

ON THE NON-MINIMALITY OF THE LARGEST WEIGHT
CODEWORDS IN THE BINARY REED-MULLER CODES

ANDREAS KLEIN AND LEO STORME

Department of Mathematics, Ghent University
Krijgslaan 281 - S22, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

(Communicated by Axel Kohnert)

ABSTRACT. The study of minimal codewords in linear codes was motivated by Massey who described how minimal codewords of a linear code define access structures for secret sharing schemes. As a consequence of his article, Borissov, Manev, and Nikova initiated the study of minimal codewords in the binary Reed-Muller codes. They counted the number of non-minimal codewords of weight $2d$ in the binary Reed-Muller codes $RM(r, m)$, and also gave results on the non-minimality of codewords of large weight in the binary Reed-Muller codes $RM(r, m)$. The results of Borissov, Manev, and Nikova regarding the counting of the number of non-minimal codewords of small weight in $RM(r, m)$ were improved by Schillewaert, Storme, and Thas who counted the number of non-minimal codewords of weight smaller than $3d$ in $RM(r, m)$. This article now presents new results on the non-minimality of large weight codewords in $RM(r, m)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This article discusses the minimality of codewords in the binary Reed-Muller codes $RM(r, m)$. We first present the two definitions of minimal codewords and of binary Reed-Muller codes.

Definition 1. Let C be a q -ary linear code. A nonzero codeword c of C is called *minimal* if its support does not contain the support of any other nonzero codeword of C as a proper subset.

Definition 2. For any m and r , $0 \leq r \leq m$, the *binary r -th order Reed-Muller code* $RM(r, m)$ is defined to be the set of all binary vectors f of length 2^m associated with the Boolean polynomials $f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ of degree at most r .

It is a known property that the minimum weight codewords of $RM(r, m)$ have weight $d = 2^{m-r}$ and that they are in fact the incidence vectors of the $(m-r)$ -dimensional subspaces of the affine geometry $AG(m, 2)$ [6]. In two articles [3, 4], the codewords of $RM(r, m)$ of weight smaller than $5d/2 = 2^{m-r+1} + 2^{m-r-1}$ are classified. In particular, the codewords of weight smaller than $2d$ are the incidence vectors of $(m-r)$ -dimensional subspaces of $AG(m, 2)$, particular quadrics of $AG(m, 2)$ and of symmetric differences of $(m-r)$ -dimensional subspaces of $AG(m, 2)$ [3, 8].

In [7], Massey showed how minimal codewords can be used to define access structures for secret sharing schemes. This motivated Borissov, Manev, and Nikova to calculate the number of non-minimal codewords of weight $2d$ in $RM(r, m)$ [2].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary: 94B05; Secondary: 51E20.

Key words and phrases: Reed-Muller codes, minimal codewords.

Since such a non-minimal codeword c must be the sum $c_1 + c_2$ of two codewords of $\text{RM}(r, m)$ of weight d having disjoint supports, this reduced to the geometrical problem of counting the number of disjoint pairs of $(m - r)$ -dimensional subspaces of $\text{AG}(m, 2)$. For the exact formula of the number of non-minimal codewords of weight $2d$ in $\text{RM}(r, m)$, we refer to [2].

By [3, 8], every codeword c in $\text{RM}(r, m)$ of weight smaller than $2d$ corresponds to the incidence vector of an $(m - r)$ -dimensional subspace of $\text{AG}(m, 2)$, a particular quadric of $\text{AG}(m, 2)$ or to a symmetric difference of two $(m - r)$ -dimensional affine subspaces of $\text{AG}(m, 2)$. This enabled Schillewaert, Storme, and Thas to improve the results of Borissov, Manev, and Nikova by counting the number of non-minimal codewords of $\text{RM}(r, m)$ of every weight in $\text{RM}(r, m)$ smaller than $3d$. For the exact formula of the number of non-minimal codewords of a weight smaller than $3d$ in $\text{RM}(r, m)$, we refer to [8].

But [2] also presented results on the non-minimality of large weight codewords of $\text{RM}(r, m)$, which are summarized in Theorem 1. In the next theorem, $\mathbf{1}$ is the all-one vector of length 2^m and $H_2(x) = -x \log_2(x) - (1 - x) \log_2(1 - x)$, $0 < x < 1$, denotes the entropy.

- Theorem 1.**
1. *If c is a non-minimal codeword in $\text{RM}(r, m)$, $r > 1$, of weight $2d$, then $c + \mathbf{1}$ is a non-minimal codeword as well.*
 2. *Let $\text{RM}(r, m)$ be the binary Reed-Muller code with $r \geq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$, then*
 - *any codeword of weight larger than 2^{m-1} is non-minimal,*
 - *for $m \rightarrow \infty$, any codeword of weight larger than $2^{mH_2(\frac{m-r-1}{m})} + 1$ is non-minimal.*
 3. *Consider the binary Reed-Muller code $\text{RM}(r, m)$ of order $r \geq 3$, then every codeword c of weight larger than $2^m - 2^{m-r+1}$ is non-minimal.*

To conclude this introduction, we briefly state the concept of using minimal codewords in a linear code to define the access structure of a secret sharing scheme, described by Massey in [7].

Let C be a linear $[n, k, d]$ -code over \mathbb{F}_q , having the parity check matrix H .

- The secret s is chosen as the first digit of a codeword of C .
- The symbols in $k - 1$ other positions, which together with the first position form an information set for C , are selected uniformly at random over \mathbb{F}_q .
- The corresponding codeword $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ of C is determined.
- The other $n - 1$ positions c_2, \dots, c_n are the shares distributed to the $n - 1$ participants of the secret sharing scheme.

The access to the secret s goes via the parity check matrix H of C . Namely, suppose that the persons having the shares c_2, \dots, c_r wish to put their shares together to recover the secret s via the parity check matrix H of c . This is only possible if there is a non-zero codeword $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ in C^\perp having all its non-zero positions in the first r positions, with $d_1 \neq 0$, because then $c \cdot d = c_1 d_1 + \dots + c_r d_r = 0$, i.e., $s = c_1 = -(c_2 d_2 + \dots + c_r d_r) / d_1$.

If the codeword $d \in C^\perp$ is non-minimal, then there is a codeword $d' = (d'_1, \dots, d'_r, 0, \dots, 0) \in C^\perp$ with $\text{supp}(d') \subset \text{supp}(d)$, such that $c \cdot d' = c_1 d'_1 + \dots + c_r d'_r = 0$, i.e., $s = c_1 = -(c_2 d'_2 + \dots + c_r d'_r) / d'_1$. But since $\text{supp}(d') \subset \text{supp}(d)$, this implies that a smaller number of persons have access to the secret s , than originally.

Since every non-zero codeword in C^\perp , with first position different from zero, is either minimal, or is non-minimal and then there is an other non-zero minimal codeword in C^\perp , with first position different from zero, the access structure of

the secret sharing scheme defined above is completely determined by the minimal codewords of C^\perp having a non-zero symbol in the first position, thus motivating the study of minimal codewords in linear codes.

For more properties of minimal codewords, we refer to [1].

2. NEW RESULTS

We now present our new results. We extend the ideas of [2, Section 3]. We rely on results of [4], and therefore use the notations of that article. Let P_r denote the set of binary polynomials $f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ of degree at most r . For $f \in P_r$, we write that $f \in P_{r,n}$ if there exist n mutually independent linear polynomials u_1, \dots, u_n such that $u_1 = \dots = u_n = 0$ implies that $f \equiv 0$. Equivalently, $f \in P_{r,n}$ if f defines a codeword $c \in \text{RM}(r, m)$ whose support is contained in the union of n mutually independent hyperplanes $u_1 = 1, \dots, u_n = 1$. We will use in this article the terminology that *the corresponding codeword c is covered by n mutually independent hyperplanes*.

We first mention the following result on the second weight of the binary Reed-Muller code $\text{RM}(r, m)$ [3].

Theorem 2. *The second weight of the binary Reed-Muller code $\text{RM}(r, m)$ is equal to $\frac{3d}{2} = 2^{m-r} + 2^{m-r-1}$.*

A key lemma in the classification result of the codewords of weight smaller than $\frac{5}{2}d$ in $\text{RM}(r, m)$ is the following observation.

Lemma 1 ([5], Theorem 1, part 1). *If $f \in P_r$, $r \geq 4$, and $|f| < 2^{m-r+1} + 2^{m-r-1}$, then $f \in P_{r,2}$, i.e. the corresponding codeword c can be covered by two non-parallel hyperplanes.*

The main result of this article is the following generalisation of Lemma 1.

Theorem 3. *Let $k \geq 2$. If $f \in P_r$, $r \geq 4$, and $|f| < (3 - 2^{-k+1})d$, then $f \in P_{r,k}$, i.e. the corresponding codeword c can be covered by k linearly independent hyperplanes.*

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on k . For a fixed k , we prove the theorem by induction on m . The trivial starting point for the inner induction is the case $r = m$, then the Reed-Muller code $\text{RM}(m, m)$ is the complete binary vector space $V(2^m, 2)$ having minimum distance $d = 1$. Then the upper bound $(3 - 2^{-k+1})d < 3$. So $\text{wt}(c) \leq 2$, and then c is trivially covered by two linearly independent hyperplanes and if $m \geq 2$, even trivially by one hyperplane.

The case $k = 2$ is the result of Kasami *et al* (Lemma 1). Now let $k > 2$.

Step 1: $f \in P_{r,k+1}$.

There is a hyperplane h with $|f_h| \leq \frac{1}{2}|f|$. Here, f_h defines the restriction of f to the hyperplane h and this is a codeword in $\text{RM}(r, m-1)$, where $\text{RM}(r, m-1)$ has minimum weight $d/2$. By the induction on m , f_h can be covered by k hyperplanes in h and hence $f \in P_{r,k+1}$.

Step 2: Find a low weight codimension k space.

Since f is covered by at most $k+1$ linearly independent hyperplanes, we can assume after a coordinate transformation that $f = x_1 f_1 + x_2 f_2 + \dots + x_{k+1} f_{k+1}$.

By $f_{a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}}$, we denote the restriction of f to the codimension $k+1$ subspace $x_1 = a_1, \dots, x_{k+1} = a_{k+1}$. Since each term of f has a factor x_i , $i \leq k+1$, the restriction $f_{a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}}$ has at most degree $r-1$. Furthermore $f_{0, \dots, 0} \equiv 0$.

Suppose that $f_{a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}} \equiv 0$ for some $(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) \neq 0$. Then the codimension k subspace $\Pi = \{x_1 = \dots = x_{k+1} = 0 \text{ or } x_1 = a_1, \dots, x_{k+1} = a_{k+1}\}$ has weight zero.

On the other hand, suppose that $f_{a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}} \not\equiv 0$ for $(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) \neq 0$. So the $2^{k+1} - 1$ parallel codimension $k+1$ spaces are non empty. The minimal weight in a codimension $k+1$ space is $\frac{d}{2^k}$ and the next weight is $\frac{3d}{2^{k+1}}$ (Theorem 2).

Not all those parallel codimension $k+1$ spaces can be of weight $\frac{3d}{2^{k+1}}$ since $(2^{k+1} - 1) \frac{3d}{2^{k+1}} > (3 - 2^{-k+1})d$. So there is a parallel codimension $k+1$ space of weight $\frac{d}{2^k}$. Together with the empty space, we have proven the existence of a codimension k space of weight $\frac{d}{2^k}$.

At this point we have found a codimension k subspace Π which is either empty or of weight $\frac{d}{2^k}$.

Step 3: Count the hyperplanes through Π .

The average weight of a hyperplane through Π can be easily computed and at least one hyperplane must be below or equal to the average weight. Thus there is a hyperplane h with

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad |f_h| &\leq \frac{2^{k-1} - 1}{2^k - 1} \left(|f| - \frac{d}{2^k} \right) + \frac{d}{2^k} \\ (2) \quad &< \frac{2^{k-1} - 1}{2^k - 1} \left((3 - 2^{-k+1})d - \frac{d}{2^k} \right) + \frac{d}{2^k} = (3 - 2^{-k+2}) \frac{d}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Apply the induction hypothesis.

By the induction hypothesis, f_h has only $k-1$ terms, hence $f \in P_{r,k}$. \square

3. APPLICATIONS OF THEOREM 3

As an application of Theorem 3, we generalise Theorem 1, part (3), that states that large weight codewords in $\text{RM}(r, m)$ are non-minimal.

Lemma 2. *Let $c \in \text{RM}(r, m)$, $r \geq 4$, be strictly contained in the union of k hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_k , where the complement hyperplanes $\bar{H}_1, \dots, \bar{H}_k$ intersect in at least an $(m-r)$ -space, i.e. $\dim(\bar{H}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{H}_k) \geq m-r$.*

Then $c + \mathbf{1}$ is a non-minimal codeword of $\text{RM}(r, m)$.

Proof. Since $\dim(\bar{H}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{H}_k) \geq m-r$, the intersection $\bar{H}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{H}_k$ is a codeword of $\text{RM}(r, m)$. The complement of a codeword in $\text{RM}(r, m)$ is also a codeword of $\text{RM}(r, m)$, i.e. $H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k \in \text{RM}(r, m)$.

Thus $c + (H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k)$ is a non-zero codeword of $\text{RM}(r, m)$. Since $c \subset H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k$, we have $c + (H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k) \subset H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k$ and hence the non-zero codewords $c + (H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k)$ and $\bar{H}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{H}_k$ have disjoint supports.

Thus

$$c + \mathbf{1} = (\bar{H}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{H}_k) + (c + (H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k))$$

is a non-minimal codeword of $\text{RM}(r, m)$. \square

We now can formulate the improvement to Theorem 1, part (3).

Corollary 1. *Let c be a codeword of $RM(r, m)$, $r \geq 4$, of weight larger than $2^m - 3 \cdot 2^{m-r} + 2^{m-2r+1}$, then c is non-minimal.*

Proof. The complement $c + \mathbf{1}$ has weight less than $(3 - 2^{-r+1})d < 2^{m-1}$, hence, by Theorem 3, it is strictly contained in the union of r hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_r . The intersection $\bar{H}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{H}_r$ has at most codimension r . By Lemma 2, c is a non-minimal codeword. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the referees very much for their suggestions which improved the first version of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ashikhmin and A. Barg, *Minimal vectors in linear codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **44** (1998), 2010–2017.
- [2] Y. Borissov, N. L. Manev and S. Nikova, *On the non-minimal codewords in binary Reed-Muller codes*, Discrete Appl. Math., **128** (2003), 65–74.
- [3] T. Kasami and N. Tokura, *On the weight structure of Reed-Muller codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **IT-16** (1970), 752–759.
- [4] T. Kasami, N. Tokura and S. Azumi, *On the weight enumeration of weight less than $2.5d$ of Reed-Muller codes*, Rept. of Faculty of Eng. Sci., Osaka Univ., Japan, 1974.
- [5] T. Kasami, N. Tokura and S. Azumi, *On the weight enumeration of weight less than $2.5d$ of Reed-Muller codes*, Inform. Control, **30** (1976), 380–395.
- [6] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, “The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes,” North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [7] J. L. Massey, *Minimal codewords and secret sharing*, in “Proceedings of the 6th Joint Swedish-Russian International Workshop on Information Theory,” (1993), 276–279.
- [8] J. Schillewaert, L. Storme and J. A. Thas, *Minimal codewords in Reed-Muller codes*, Des. Codes Crypt., **54** (2010), 273–286.

Received May 2010; revised August 2010.

E-mail address: klein@cage.ugent.be

E-mail address: ls@cage.ugent.be