



Protocol for Systematic Review: Peak Bone Mass Pattern in Different Parts of the World

Zahra Mohammadi¹, Mehdi Ebrahimi¹, Abbasali Keshkar¹, Hamidreza Aghaei Meybodi², Patricia Khashayar^{1*}, Zahra Jouyande³, Fereshteh Bayegi², Mahdieh shojaei⁴, Maryam Ghodsi² and Shirin Djalalinia^{5,6}

¹Osteoporosis Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Obesity and Eating Habits Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Molecular -Cellular Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Golestan, Iran

⁵Non-communicable Diseases Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Population Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁶Development of Research & Technology Center, Deputy of Research and Technology, Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author: Patricia Khashayar, Osteoporosis Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, Tel: 982188220072; E-mail: patricia.kh@gmail.com

Rec date: Oct 31, 2014, Acc date: Feb 17, 2015, Pub date: Feb 24, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Mohammadi Z. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Peak bone mass, which can be defined as the amount of bone tissue present at the end of the skeletal maturation, and also it is an important determinant of osteoporotic fracture risk. The peak bone mass of a given part of the skeleton is directly dependent upon both its genetics and environmental factors. Therefore, the aim of the proposed research is a comprehensive systematic assessment of the pattern of peak bone mass in different countries across the globe. The present article explains the protocol for conducting such a research.

Keywords: Peak bone mass; Osteoporosis

Background

Osteoporosis is a disabling disease characterized by compromised bone strength, which predisposes a patient to increased risk of fracture. The condition is a major public health problem in the Western countries and is projected to have a similar impact in the Middle East [1,2]. It has been suggested that peak Bone Mineral Density (BMD), which can be defined as the amount of bony tissue present at the end of the skeletal maturation, is a major determinant of osteoporotic fractures later on in life [3-6]. The probable importance of achieved peak bone mass for late life bone strength was first suggested by the cross-sectional observation of Newton-John and Morgan that the dispersion of bone mass values was not widened by age [7].

It has been generally accepted that peak bone mass at any skeletal site is attained in both sexes during the mid-thirties. After remaining constant for some years, bone mass starts to decline gradually; this condition starts few years before menopause in women and between the ages of 30 and 50 in men [4,5].

At the beginning of the third decade there is a large variability in the normal values of areal BMD, particularly at sites susceptible to osteoporotic fractures such as lumbar spine and femoral neck. Although genotype is believed to be one of the most important determinants of this large variance, several other variables, more or less independent, are also supposed to modify the genetic potential for achievement of optimum peak bone mass [8-10].

With respect to nutrition, the quantitative importance of calcium intake in bone mass accumulation during growth, particularly at sites prone to osteoporotic fractures, remains to be clearly determined.

Moreover, life style, physical activity and environmental factors are among other factors affecting peak bone mass [10,11].

Studies have revealed a considerable diversity in peak bone mass in different societies [12-15]. Accordingly, because of the importance of osteoporosis and its consequent disabilities and the fact that there is no review studying the pattern of peak bone mass in different countries across the globe, the present systematic review will be conducted. The present article explains the protocol for conducting such a research.

Objectives of the review

The main objective of the study was to assess the heterogeneity of peak bone mass pattern in different populations based on their age, gender, ethnicity, the type of the used DXA as well as the geographical characteristics of the place (altitude, latitude) where the study had been conducted.

Methods

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria: All the cross-sectional studies, regardless of being prospective or retrospective, conducted on peak bone mass between 1990 and 2014 will be included in this review. The following criteria should also be met in these studies:

- Studying a population aged between 20 and 50 years.
- Using DXA as the imaging system to assess peak bone mass.
- Assessing peak bone mass in at least one of the following sites: lumbar spine, femur and radius.

Exclusion criteria: We exclude the studies that assess peak bone mass

- In a non-random or a special sample.
- Solely in post-menopausal women.
- In a single vertebrae.

Moreover, we exclude duplicated citations and those studies that have not mention the data collection date or location.

Search strategy

With no language restriction, academic researches as well as the gray literature will be checked in this systematic review. Search terms listed below would be used to perform the electronic search in different databases.

Electronic search strategy

The following bibliographic databases and other sources will be searched for:

- Bibliographic databases: Cochrane Library (Wiley), MEDLINE (Ovid), Pub Med, MEDLINE (Ovid) In-Process, EMBASE (Ovid), CINAHL (EBSCO), Scopus, Science Direct, Springer Link and Google Scholar.
- Persian databases such as Iranmedex, Irandoc, Scientific Information Systems (SID), Iranian National Library (INL).
- Citations of relevant studies.

Search terms for electronic databases

The combination of the mesh terms “Bone Density” and “Peak Bone Mass” will be used to search the abovementioned sources. The Persian keywords are equivalent to their English words.

Data management

The initial search will be sensitive aiming to ensure the inclusion of all the relevant articles. The title and abstract of the found articles would be assessed to exclude the unrelated ones; any controversy will be addressed through discussion.

Based on the abovementioned inclusion and exclusion criteria, the relevance of the full text of the remainder to the objectives of this review will be assessed. The citations and references of the included articles will, thereafter, be checked and added to the list in case they meet the eligibility criteria to maximize the sensitivity of our search. If there is any doubt of eligibility, the article will be discussed in the group.

A reference manager library will be used to keep a record of these articles. It should be added that the number of articles found in each step would be recorded separately.

Assessment of methodological quality

Thereafter the papers would be critically appraised by two separate reviewers. In case of any discrepancy, a third reviewer would be asked to fill out the checklist.

The studies will be appraised using a modified STROBE statement based on the following criteria [16].

Categorizing studies

Considering the descriptive data mentioned in each research, final results will be categorized and then outlined in a form. We have generated a simple code sheet to gather items, including the country in which the study was conducted and its geographical description, publication year, target population and their age, gender and ethnicity distribution, studied bone sites, and the imaging technique used for diagnosis as well as the protocol used for determining peak bone mass.

Statistical analysis

The analysis was conducted using Stata version 11.1. Assessment of mean peak bone mass and mean age at peak bone mass were the final outcome of the meta-analysis. Studies estimating age at PBM based on maximum point of quadratic equation and Cubic equation the standard errors as well as those reporting BMD and PBM based on age categories were used in the meta-analyses. So, just the first kinds of studies will include meta-analyses. The heterogeneity of mean PBM and age at PBM were evaluated using I² and Q test Cochrane [17]. Variables such as sampling, kinds of densitometry instruments used in the study, age distribution and difference between population ethnicities were examined for source of heterogeneity.

References

1. Dempster DW (2011) Osteoporosis and the burden of osteoporosis-related fractures. *Am J Manag Care* 17 Suppl 6: S164-169.
2. Maalouf G, Gannagé-Yared MH, Ezzedine J, Larijani B, Badawi S, et al. (2007) Middle East and North Africa consensus on osteoporosis. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact* 7: 131-143.
3. Bonjour JP, Theintz G, Law F, Slosman D, Rizzoli R (1994) Peak bone mass. *Osteoporos Int* 4 Suppl 1: 7-13.
4. McGuigan FE, Murray L, Gallagher A, Davey-Smith G, Neville CE, et al. (2002) Genetic and environmental determinants of peak bone mass in young men and women. *J Bone Miner Res* 17: 1273-1279.
5. Bonjour JP, Chevalley T, Ferrari S, Rizzoli R (2009) The importance and relevance of peak bone mass in the prevalence of osteoporosis. *Salud Publica Mex* 51 Suppl 1: S5-17.
6. Melton LJ 3rd, Khosla S, Achenbach SJ, O'Connor MK, O'Fallon WM, et al. (2000) Effects of body size and skeletal site on the estimated prevalence of osteoporosis in women and men. *Osteoporos Int* 11: 977-983.
7. Newton-John HF, Morgan DB (1970) The loss of bone with age, osteoporosis, and fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 71: 229-252.
8. Wüster C, Duceck G, Ugurel A, Lojen M, Minne HW, et al. (1992) Bone mass of spine and forearm in osteoporosis and in German normals: influences of sex, age and anthropometric parameters. *Eur J Clin Invest* 22: 366-370.
9. Välimäki MJ, Kärkkäinen M, Lamberg-Allardt C, Laitinen K, Alhava E, et al. (1994) Exercise, smoking, and calcium intake during adolescence and early adulthood as determinants of peak bone mass. *Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study Group. BMJ* 309: 230-235.
10. Chesnut CH III (1989) Is Osteoporosis a Pediatric Disease? Peak Bone Mass Attainment in the Adolescent Female, Osteoporosis Research Center, University of Washington Medical School, Seattle, *Public Health Rep* 104: 50-54.
11. Ginty F, Prentice A (2004) Can osteoporosis be prevented with dietary strategies during adolescence? *Br J Nutr* 92: 5-6.
12. Cooper C, Cawley M, Bhalla A, Egger P, Ring F, et al. (1995) Childhood growth, physical activity, and peak bone mass in women. *J Bone Miner Res* 10: 940-947.
13. Wu XP, Liao EY, Zhang H, Shan PF, Cao XZ, et al. (2004) Establishment of BMD reference plots and determination of peak BMD at multiple

-
- skeletal regions in mainland Chinese women and the diagnosis of osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 15: 71-79.
14. Matkovic V, Kostial K, Simonovic I, Buzina R, Brodarec A, et al. (1979) Bone status and fracture rates in two regions of Yugoslavia. *Am J Clin Nutr* 32: 540-549.
 15. Larijani B, Moayyeri A, Keshtkar AA, Hossein-Nezhad A, Soltani A, et al. (2006) Peak bone mass of Iranian population: the Iranian Multicenter Osteoporosis Study. *J Clin Densitom* 9: 367-374.
 16. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, et al. (2007) The Strengthening of Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med* 147: 573-577.
 17. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG (2003) Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 327: 557-560.