

MARJON BLONDEEL, MARTINE DE COCK, TOMMASO FLAMINIO
 VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL (BELGIUM), GHENT UNIVERSITY (BELGIUM), IIIA-CSIC CAMPUS
 UAB (SPAIN)

MARJON.BLONDEEL@VUB.AC.BE, MARTINE.DECOCK@UGENT.BE, TOMMASO@IIIA.CSIC.ES

Complexity of finitely valued Lukasiewicz possibilistic modal logics

Consider the language L_k of Lukasiewicz logic with Var being the countable set of its propositional variables, the binary connective \rightarrow , and truth constants \bar{c} for every rational $c \in S_k = \{0, \frac{1}{k}, \dots, \frac{k-1}{k}, 1\}$. The set $Form$ of formulas in L_k is defined as usual. Let us denote by $L(\Box)$ the language obtained by adding a unary modality \Box to L . The resulting set of formulas will be denoted by $Form(\Box)$.

We will henceforth consider as models triples $M = (W, e, \pi)$ with W a set of possible worlds, $e : W \times Var \rightarrow S_k$ is a mapping that naturally extends to a mapping $W \times Form \rightarrow S_k$ by the truth functionality of \rightarrow and by requiring that, for every constant \bar{c} , $e(w, \bar{c}) = c$, and that $\pi : W \rightarrow S_k$ is a possibility distribution. A model $M = (W, e, \pi)$ is called *normalized* if there exists a $w \in W$ such that $\pi(w) = 1$.

Given a model $M = (W, e, \pi)$ and a world $w \in W$, the truth value of a formula $\Phi \in Form(\Box)$ is defined inductively as follows. If $\Phi \in Form$, then $\|\Phi\|_{M,w} = e(w, \Phi)$ and if $\Phi = \Box\Psi$, then $\|\Box\Psi\|_{M,w} = \inf\{\pi(w') \rightarrow \|\Psi\|_{M,w'} : w' \in M\}$. The truth value of compound formulas is defined as usual.

For any formula $\Phi \in Form(\Box)$, we will denote by $\#\Phi$ its complexity which is defined inductively as follows: $\#\bar{c} = 1$, $\#p = 1$ for $p \in Var$, $\#(\Phi \rightarrow \Psi) = 1 + \#\Phi + \#\Psi$, and $\#(\Box\Phi) = 1 + \#\Phi$.

We can then prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *For every formula $\Phi \in Form(\Box)$ and for every (not necessarily normalized) model $M = (W, e, \pi)$ and $w \in W$, there exists a model $M' = (W', e', \pi')$ and $w' \in W'$ such that $|W'| \leq \#\Phi$ and $\|\Phi\|_{M,w} = \|\Phi\|_{M',w'}$.*

The following result fixes the complexity for both the problem $\mathbf{Sat}^{=1}$ of deciding for a formula $\Phi \in Form(\Box)$ whether there exists a model $M = (W, e, \pi)$ and $w \in W$ such that $\|\Phi\|_{M,w} = 1$, and for the problem $\mathbf{Sat}^{>0}$ of deciding whether there exists a model $M = (W, e, \pi)$ and $w \in W$ such that $\|\Phi\|_{M,w} > 0$. It is worth noticing that in (Bou et al, 2011) the authors fixed a similar problem, but with respect to generic models, to PSPACE-complete.

Theorem 1. *The decision problems $\mathbf{Sat}^{=1}$ and $\mathbf{Sat}^{>0}$ are NP-complete, even if we only consider normalized models.*

References

1. F. Bou, M. Cerami, F. Esteva. Finite-Valued Lukasiewicz Modal Logic Is PSPACE-Complete. In Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. pp. 774-779, 2011.

Acknowledgments Blondeel has been funded by a joint Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO) project. Flaminio acknowledges partial support of the Spanish projects ARINF (TIN2009-14704-C03-03) and TASSAT (TIN2010-20967-C04-01). Flaminio also acknowledges partial support from the Juan de la Cierva Program of the Spanish MICINN.