

Urban Landscape Survey in Italy and the Mediterranean

Edited by

Frank Vermeulen, Gert-Jan Burgers, Simon Keay and Cristina Corsi

In co-operation with The British School at Rome Academia Belgica Koninklijk Nederlands Instituut Rome Ghent University, Department of Archaeology Universidade de Évora, CIDEHUS

Within the framework of Programme 'People' – Marie Curie Action: 'Industry-Academia Partnerships and Pathways' (FP7-PEOPLE-IAPP – 2008 – Grant Agreement n. 230679) Project RADIO-PAST: Radiography of the past. Integrated non-destructive approaches to understand and valorise complex archaeological sites





Oxbow Books Oxford and Oakville



Published by Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK

© Oxbow Books and the authors, 2012

ISBN 978-1-84217-486-9

This book is available direct from:

Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK (Phone: 01865-241249; Fax: 01865-794449)

and

The David Brown Book Company PO Box 511, Oakville, CT 06779, USA (Phone: 860-945-9329; Fax: 860-945-9468)

or from our website

www.oxbowbooks.com

A CIP record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Urban landscape survey in Italy and the Mediterranean / edited by Frank Vermeulen ... [et al.]. p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references. ISBN 978-1-84217-486-9

Cities and towns, Ancient--Italy. 2. Cities and towns, Ancient--Mediterranean Region. 3. Land use surveys--Italy.
Land use surveys--Mediterranean Region. I. Vermeulen, Frank.

HT114.U727 2012 307.760937--dc23

2012008816

Cover image: Aerial photo of the site of L'Amastuola, southern Italy, with the courtesy of KIKAV S.R.L.

Printed in Great Britain by Short Run Press Exeter

Contents

List	t of contributors
	oductionix
	mk Vermeulen, Gert-Jan Burgers, Simon Keay, Cristina Corsi
	PART 1: INTRA-URBAN SURVEY
	Pre-Roman site-planning
1	Intensive On-site Artefact Survey and Proto-urbanization, Case Studies from Central and South Italy1 P. Attema and T. de Haas
2	Urban Landscape Surveys on the Salento Isthmus, Italy
	Towns in a 'transitional phase'
3	Amiternum and the Upper Aterno-valley: Approaching a Sabine-Roman Town and its Territory
4	Paestum romana. Problemi di interpretazione e strategie di intervento
5	Contemporary Issues in Surveying Complex Urban Sites in the Mediterranean Region: The example of the city of <i>Thespiai</i> (Boeotia, Central Greece)
6	Towards Integrated Non-invasive Research on Complex Urban Sites: Ljubljana Research in Tanagra and Beyond
7	Strategies and Results of the Urban Survey in the Upper City of <i>Ephesus</i>
8	Indagini multidisciplinari per la ricostruzione del paesaggio urbano: ricerche sulla topografia antica di <i>Hierapolis</i> di Frigia
9	The Integrated Urban Survey at Sagalassos 84 F. Martens, B. Mušič, J. Poblome and M. Waelkens 84
	The Roman approach to townscapes
10	Progetto ' <i>Ager Aquinas</i> '. Indagini aerotopografiche finalizzate allo studio della città romana di <i>Aquinum</i> (Lazio, Italia)
11	Teano (Teanum Sidicinum), Campania 105 S. Hay, S. Keay and M. Millett 105
12	Geophysical Prospection in the Vesuvian Cities

Contents
Contentis

Suasa (Marche): metodologie di ricerca integrate per la ricostruzione storica della città
Nuove indagini integrate a Classe (Ravenna). Stato attuale e prospettive di ricerca
Ground Penetrating Radar Survey of Urban Sites in North Coastal Etruria: Pisae,
Portus Pisanus, Vada Volaterrana
M. Pasquinucci, S. Ducci, S. Menchelli, A. Ribolini, A. Bianchi, M. Bini and S. Sartini
The Ammaia Project: Integrated Approaches for Studying Roman Towns in Lusitania
The <i>Burnum</i> Project: An Integrated Approach to the Study of a Roman <i>Castrum</i> in Croatia

PART 2: INTER-URBAN RELATIONSHIPS

18	Urban Archaeology, Urban Networks and Population Dynamics in Roman Italy
19	GIS and Intervisibility Analyses for the Study Of Archaeological Landscapes – Problems of Interpretation. Case Study: The Murge Plateau in the Archaic Period
20	Surveying an Adriatic Valley: A Wide Area View on Early Urbanization Processes in Northern <i>Picenum</i>
21	Setting Towns in their Landscape: Forms of Urbanism in the Ager Faliscus
	Concluding Notes

	Urban Landscape Surveys: A View from the End	232
23	A proposito di Urban Landscape Survey: qualche nota di método F. Coarelli	236

Contributors

P. ATTEMA Groningen Institute of Archaeology (GIA)

A. BIANCHI So.Ge.T., Lucca

M. Bini Università di Pisa, Dpt. di Scienze della Terra

J. BINTLIFF Leiden University, Department of Classical and Mediterranean Archaeology

F. BOSCHI Università di Bologna, Dipartimento di Archeologia

G.-J. BURGERS Koninklijk Nederlands Instituut Rome

D. CAMARDO Herculaneum Conservation Project

G. CERAUDO Università del Salento, Facoltà di Beni Culturali

N. CHRISTIE University of Leicester

M. CIPRIANI Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici delle Province di Salerno, Avellino, Benevento, Caserta – Museo Arch. Naz. di Paestum

F. COARELLI Universitá degli Studi di Perugia

C. CORSI University of Cassino, Department of Humanities

M. DESTRO Dipartimento di Archeologia, Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna

S. Ducci Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici della Toscana, Firenze

S. Ellis University of Cincinnati

E. GIORGI Dipartimento di Archeologia, Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna S. GROH Austrian Archaeological Institute, Vienna

T. DE HAAS Groningen Institute of Archaeology (GIA)

S. HAY APSS, University of Southampton

M. HEINZELMANN University of Cologne, Institute of Archaeology

D. JORDAN University of Mainz, Institute of Geoscience

S. KAY British School at Rome

S. KEAY University of Southampton/British School at Rome

L. DE LIGT Leiden University

S. MENCHELLI Università di Pisa, Dpt. Scienze Storiche del Mondo Antico

F. MARTENS Sagalassos Archaeologial Research Project, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven

M. MILLETT University of Cambridge

D. MLEKUZ Ghent University, Department of Archaeology

B. Mušič Ljubljana University, Department of Archaeology

J. OGDEN L – P: Archaeology, Ltd.

R. OPITZ University of Cambridge, Department of Archaeology

M. PASQUINUCCI Università di Pisa, Dpt. Scienze Storiche del Mondo Antico

J. POBLOME Sagalassos Archaeologial Research Project, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven A. RIBOLINI Università di Pisa, Dpt. di Scienze della Terra

A. SANTORIELLO Dipartimento di Beni Culturali, Università di Salerno

S. SARTINI So.Ge.T., Lucca

G. Scardozzi

CNR-IBAM, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche – Istituto per i Beni Archeologici e Monumentali, Lecce

G. SEMERARO University of Bari

B. SLAPŠAK University of Ljubljana S. STODDART University of Cambridge, Department of Archaeology

K. STRUTT APSS, University of Southampton

G. TUCKER British School at Rome

F. VERMEULEN Ghent University, Department of Archaeology

M. WAELKENS Sagalassos Archaeological Research Project, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven Field survey has been making a major contribution to our understanding of the rural landscapes of the Mediterranean for nearly forty years. During that time the techniques used to map ancient settlement patterns have grown in sophistication from being a process of simply identifying sites in the landscape, to one which provided nuanced understandings of their layouts, chronologies and contexts. One consequence of this was the growing realization in the 1980s and 1990s that these same techniques also held out the promise of making a major contribution to our understanding of urban sites, particularly the large towns, cities and ports of the Classical Mediterranean. This was spurred by seminal projects such as the study of Boeotian towns by Bintliff and Snodgrass, as well as by the refinement of geophysical techniques and aerial photography that could be used for the fine-grained analysis required to bring out details of urban layout, and the use of Geographical Information Systems.

The consequence of all these developments has been an upsurge in the non-destructive survey of urban sites, both in Italy and in other parts of the Mediterranean. Archaeologists have been quick to realize the potential offered by this technique. Large and complex urban sites which had hitherto been studied in a piecemeal approach that was largely predicated upon the monument-based interests of earlier scholars were able to use survey techniques to rapidly generate plans of partial, or in some cases, complete townscapes, most notably at such sites as Falerii Novi, Italica, Zeugma and Aphrodisias. This has led to a revolution in how archaeologists approach urban sites, with survey techniques being used increasingly often to generate a plan of a town site prior to excavation as a way of ensuring that the excavation can be used to address site-specific questions in a way that had not been possible before. Cultural heritage management authorities have also benefited from this approach, with urban surveys providing them with a very effective tool for gauging the degree of archaeological survival on major urban sites in their care and choosing appropriate conservation strategies. Most recently, research has begun to reveal the advantages of integrating a range of different non-destructive techniques on urban sites, choosing those suites that are most appropriate for the nature of the town in question. In combination with exciting new computer-based means of data visualization, all of this work means that it is now possible to virtually reconstruct a buried town within a relatively short space of time, as opposed to the old and destructive excavation-centered approach that could take generations. Unsurprisingly these advances are

starting to make a very important understanding to urbanism in general and the Roman Empire in particular.

This volume builds upon all these new developments and is indeed one of the first to focus exclusively upon the contribution of survey techniques to our understanding of ancient towns. It arises from two international workshops held in Rome at the British, Belgian and Dutch Schools in 2007 and 2009, whose focus was a methodology led enquiry into the nature of urban settlements primarily in Italy, but also in Greece, Turkey, Croatia, Portugal and Spain. The volume contains some 23 papers from leading specialists in the field, which focus upon two underlying themes. The first deals with the characterization of urban sites and draws upon a wide range of case studies. These range from key protohistoric centres in central and south Italy, to towns that epitomise the contradictions of cultural change under Rome, such as Paestum, Aquinum and Sagalassos, to Roman centers such as Teano, Suasa and Ammaia. The second theme focuses upon inter-urban relationships, with particular attention to wider urbanized landscapes in Italy.

The volume is not meant to represent the full geographical spread of survey based town research in the Classical world, but aims to present a good selection of recent and on-going projects where excellent integrated survey work significantly moves the limits of our current knowledge about ancient towns, most of which were partly or completely abandoned. Without prejudice to the conclusions reached by the authors of each contribution, or by two specialists who inserted their comments at the end of the volume, the editors would like to stress a few points common to many research projects presented here. One is that many authors propagate quite rightly that a good combination of urban surveys not only significantly enhances the knowledge of the scale, structure and chronology of specific buildings and town sections, but allows now to look at the wider phenomenon of urbanism in a valid and necessary comparative perspective. Furthermore, some contributions emphasise that since (proto-) urbanisation also entails the formation of a dependent countryside, research of rural settlement patterns is also crucial to come to an understanding of this process. This is a plea for wider area approaches and for not limiting the survey zone to just the urban centre. As expected, no any urban study can be considered complete if the town is not framed into its relationship with the whole suburban context and even the wider territory. For many teams ideally, the field surveys should really be part of a holistic approach also encompassing small scale ground-truthing operations, such as test-pitting and focused excavations. And in fact several projects aimed, from the beginning, at an integrated approach, combining field surveys with aerial archaeology, geophysical prospections and excavation. Some authors also warn that the results of the application of one or other technique, such as traditional field walking, proved even to be misleading, considering the very strong biases of post-depositional processes and in particular of soil disturbance. Certain approaches in city surveys are still in an experimental stage and much research still has to be done on methodological issues, e.g. on sampling strategies and on the study of the effects of post-deposition. However, instead of surrendering to these problems, some teams take such issues as the point of departure for further investigations and develop their site as a laboratory for experimentation in field survey methodology. This allows for further development of an adequate methodology and warns against drawing far reaching interpretations on urban development on the basis of the results of short-term urban survey projects.

The editors wish to express their gratitude to the peer reviewers of all the chapters in this book.

Frank Vermeulen, Gert-Jan Burgers, Simon Keay and Cristina Corsi