



University of Groningen

Tocilizumab in Giant Cell Arteritis

Buttgereit, Frank; Palmowski, Andriko; Esen, Idil; Brouwer, Elisabeth

Published in: Arthritis and Rheumatology

DOI: 10.1002/art.42414

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2023

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Buttgereit, F., Palmowski, A., Esen, I., & Brouwer, E. (2023). Tocilizumab in Giant Cell Arteritis: Better Understanding the Benefits. Arthritis and Rheumatology, 75(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/art.42414

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

EDITORIAL

AMERICAN COLLEGE of RHEUMATOLOGY Empowering Rheumatology Professionals

Tocilizumab in Giant Cell Arteritis: Better Understanding the Benefits

Frank Buttgereit,¹ Andriko Palmowski,² Idil Esen,³ and Elisabeth Brouwer³

Glucocorticoids have been the treatment mainstay for giant cell arteritis (GCA) for several decades (1). Current guidelines recommend glucocorticoids as an induction therapy, and they also clearly outline tapering schemes and options for flare management. Methotrexate can be used as a glucocorticoid-sparing strategy, but this approach is not supported by strong evidence (2-5). In 2017, the Tocilizumab in Giant Cell Arteritis (GiACTA) trial provided strong evidence for a good risk/benefit ratio of tocilizumab in GCA (6). Based on the results of GiACTA, which included 251 patients, the US Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency approved this drug for the treatment of GCA. Several recent and ongoing trials with biologics or JAK inhibitors (JAKi) offer even more promise of further optimizing GCA therapy. These developments include the use of mavrilimumab (an IgG4 humanized monoclonal antibody blocking the granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor α), ustekinumab (an interleukin-12/23 inhibitor), and the JAKi baricitinib. A recently published proof-of-concept study demonstrated that baricitinib at 4 mg/day permitted discontinuation of glucocorticoids in most patients with relapsing GCA. Specifically, 13 of the 14 patients who completed 52 weeks not only achieved disease remission but also discontinued glucocorticoids (7).

Against this background arises the question of how to quantify the actual benefit of combining glucocorticoids with tocilizumab or possibly other biologics/JAKi. The GiACTA trial provided 2 obvious answers. First, clinical effectiveness is improved, i.e., sustained remission occurred in significantly more patients treated with subcutaneous tocilizumab as compared to those in the placebo group (P < 0.001 for the comparisons of either active treatment to placebo) (6). Second, glucocorticoids could be spared. The cumulative median prednisone dose over the 52-week period was

1,862 mg in each tocilizumab group (162 mg administered either weekly or every other week) as compared to 3,296 mg and 3,818 mg in both placebo groups (6). The favorable clinical effects achieved by reduction of the glucocorticoid dose, however, is currently only known in a very incomplete manner. Nevertheless, some publications recommend adjunctive therapy with tocilizumab as a first-line glucocorticoid-sparing agent for patients with a) new-onset GCA and increased risk for glucocorticoid-related adverse effects or complications, relapse, or prolonged therapy, or b) refractory or relapsing disease (3,5). Other recommendations, such as the recently published Pan American League of Associations for Rheumatology Guidelines, go even further and conditionally recommend that patients with newly diagnosed GCA receive treatment with glucocorticoids and tocilizumab over glucocorticoids alone (8). However, our assessment published in 2020 still stands, which states that it remains to be demonstrated which subgroups of GCA patients would benefit most from tocilizumab treatment in terms of reduced glucocorticoid toxicity, cost-effectiveness, and effect on treatment duration (3).

A study by Patel et al published in this issue of *Arthritis & Rheumatology* is beginning to close these gaps in our knowledge (9). For the first time, there is detailed information on the effects of prednisone and tocilizumab on hemoglobin A_{1c} (Hb A_{1c}) levels during the treatment of GCA. These findings reveal very valuable insight into the complicated interplay of disease- and treatment-specific factors as well as carbohydrate metabolism of patients with GCA. In fact, many aspects of the relationship between GCA, its treatments, and diabetes mellitus have been previously studied. In Figure 1A, we provide an overview of studies and describe identified influential factors regarding type 2 diabetes/glucose tolerance in GCA.

¹Frank Buttgereit, MD: Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Berlin, Germany; ²Andriko Palmowski, MD: Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Berlin, Germany, Section for Biostatistics and Evidence-Based Research, The Parker Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, and Division of Rheumatology, University of California San Francisco; ³Idil Esen, MSc, Elisabeth Brouwer, MD, PhD: Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology,

University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

Author disclosures are available at https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/action/ downloadSupplement?doi=10.1002%2Fart.42414&file=art42414-sup-0001-Disclosureform.pdf.

Address correspondence via email to Frank Buttgereit, MD, at frank. buttgereit@charite.de.

Submitted for publication November 9, 2022; accepted in revised form November 29, 2022.



2

1. Wilson JC et al. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2017 2. Faurschou M et al. Journal Rheumatol 2017

3. Wu J et et al. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care 2020* 4. Aiello PD et al. *Ophthalmology* 1993 5. Hayreh SS *Ophthalmology* 2003 6. Jover JA et al. *Ann Intern Med* 2001 7. Stone JH et al. *N Engl J Med* 2017 8. Mahr AD et al. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007 9. Patel N et al. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2022 10. Patel NJ et al. *Rheumatol Ther* 2022



Figure 1. The facets of giant cell arteritis (GCA) and carbohydrate metabolism. **A**, Evidence from studies on GCA regarding glucose tolerance or risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Numbers next to the arrows indicate the respective references. Arrow colors indicate the direction of influence, i.e., green arrows indicate a generally beneficial downstream influence with regard to the risk of glucose intolerance or type 2 diabetes, while red arrows indicate generally unfavorable effects. **B**, In the study by Patel et al (ref. 9), 72% of patients receiving glucocorticoids (GCs) alone and 77% of those receiving both GCs and tocilizumab (TCZ) had prediabetes or diabetes at baseline. After 52 weeks of follow-up, 64% in the glucocorticoid-only group and 33% in the tocilizumab/glucocorticoid group had prediabetes or diabetes. The categorization of HbA_{1c} values was as follows: <5.7% normal, 5.7% to <6.5% prediabetes, \geq 6.5% diabetes. GiACTA = Tocilizumab in Giant Cell Arteritis trial.

Patel and colleagues describe a strong relation between tocilizumab treatment and reduced HbA_{1c} levels, which are independent of daily glucocorticoid dose (Figure 1B). In addition, they show a positive association between HbA_{1c} levels and daily glucocorticoid dose. Therefore, this study is the first to provide new insights into the direct benefits of tocilizumab regarding HbA_{1c} levels. Furthermore, in contrast to previous studies, which have suggested a rather low diabetes prevalence rate at the time of GCA diagnosis (10,11), Patel et al observed a higher number of prediabetic and diabetic patients upon study entry. Prior epidemiologic studies on risk factors for GCA development have demonstrated that higher glucose and HbA_{1c} levels and a higher body mass index were protective against GCA development (10–13). Therefore, this new study by Patel and colleagues emphasizes the need for further investigation of these conflicting findings and the interrelation between inflammation, HbA_{1c} levels, and diabetes in GCA patients in both observational and interventional studies.

While this study undoubtedly provides novel and interesting findings, it also has 2 main limitations from our point of view. First, as is often the case with randomized controlled trials, the generalizability of the trial results is limited. For example, patients with chronic kidney disease (serum creatinine level of >1.4 mg/dl for women and >1.6 mg/dl for men) and patients who needed intravenous glucocorticoids were excluded from the GiACTA trial. Moreover, only a very small fraction of patients was non-White. Whether the results of the trial can be applied to patients not represented within is difficult to know. Second, the comparator for tocilizumab in this trial was placebo. Consequently, the effects of tocilizumab on HbA1c cannot be compared to other glucocorticoid-sparing agents such as methotrexate, which, according to the EULAR 2018 guideline (5), could be used as an alternative to tocilizumab in patients relapsing or in patients with a high risk of glucocorticoid-related adverse events.

GCA patients belong to a disease spectrum with differences in clinical picture, systemic inflammation, and vessel involvement. GCA patients with high levels of systemic inflammation have higher levels of plasma pyruvate kinase M2, a glycolytic enzyme important for cellular glucose metabolism in GCA (14). This association between systemic inflammation and cellular glucose metabolism supports the idea of a link between disturbed glucose metabolism and inflammation in GCA. However, there is no direct association of HbA1c and glucose levels with inflammatory markers in GCA patients, suggesting that additional factors play an important role (15). This is consistent with the study by Patel et al, in which tocilizumab seems to have a direct effect on HbA1c levels in GCA. The question is whether patients with a higher inflammatory burden will benefit more from tocilizumab than those with low levels of inflammation, and whether the additional benefit of tocilizumab on HbA1c levels will be the same in this group of patients. Whether drugs like methotrexate and the other upcoming glucocorticoid-sparing treatment options including baricitinib have the same effect on HbA_{1c} levels remains to be investigated. It is important to mention that despite the new treatment options, a large group of GCA patients continue to receive high-dose and long-term glucocorticoids (64%, 40%, and 34% after 2, 5, and 10 years following diagnosis, respectively) (16).

In the study by Patel et al, a high daily glucocorticoid dose was directly associated with high HbA_{1c} levels and the development of prediabetes and diabetes. These data highlight that a fast and short glucocorticoid taper in GCA should be used to prevent development of prediabetes and diabetes in GCA. Moreover, tocilizumab initiated immediately following GCA diagnosis together with a rapid tapering of glucocorticoids has additional value in normalizing HbA_{1c} levels in GCA.

The research area discussed here is narrow, but it has great depth, which arises from the following question: What are the net clinical benefits of using a biologic treatment (which itself is not without adverse effects) to reduce the glucocorticoid dose needed? Of course, it is not enough to look only at HbA_{1c} or carbohydrate metabolism in this regard. Rather, it will be necessary to also quantify effects on, e.g., blood pressure, bone health, lipid metabolism, infection risk, Cushingoid appearance, and the development of glaucoma and cataract. At the same time, full monitoring of the largest possible cohorts of patients with GCA treated with glucocorticoids and/or without tocilizumab should also include monitoring of residual inflammatory activity.

As erythrocyte sedimentations rate and C-reactive protein inflammatory markers no longer function reliably under treatment with tocilizumab, additional parameters such as thrombocytosis, anemia, and fibrinogen should be determined. Not only is this necessary to assess therapy success but also because increased inflammatory activity, per se, negatively influences glucose tolerance, metabolism, bone health, and infection risk. The breadth of the research question results from the degree to which the findings can be extrapolated. In the case of GCA, glucocorticoids naturally have a particularly dominant significance, but glucocorticoid-sparing is a key task in many other inflammatory rheumatic (and other) autoimmune diseases, as well (e.g., other vasculitides, systemic lupus erythematosus, myositis, and rheumatoid arthritis). Of course, this also relates to the question that remains incompletely answered of what can really be achieved as a clinical benefit (i.e., the quantified reduction of alucocorticoid-induced side effects), considering all relevant influencing factors. Here, the study by Patel et al is exemplary, even though the focus is very narrow compared to the wide range of known glucocorticoid side effects.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors were involved in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and all authors approved the final version to be published. Dr. Buttgereit had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Study conception and design. Buttgereit, Brouwer.

Acquisition of data. Buttgereit, Palmowski, Esen, Brouwer.

Analysis and interpretation of data. Buttgereit, Palmowski, Esen, Brouwer.

REFERENCES

- Buttgereit F. Views on glucocorticoid therapy in rheumatology: the age of convergence [review]. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2020;16:239–46.
- Buttgereit F, Dejaco C, Matteson EL, et al. Polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis: a systematic review. JAMA 2016;315:2442–58.
- Buttgereit F, Matteson EL, Dejaco C. Polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis. JAMA 2020;324:993–4.
- Mackie SL, Dejaco C, Appenzeller S, et al. British Society for Rheumatology guideline on diagnosis and treatment of giant cell arteritis: executive summary. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2020;59:487–94.
- Hellmich B, Agueda A, Monti S, et al. 2018 Update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of large vessel vasculitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:19–30.

4

- Stone JH, Tuckwell K, Dimonaco S, et al. Trial of tocilizumab in giantcell arteritis. N Engl J Med 2017;377:317–28.
- Koster MJ, Crowson CS, Giblon RE, et al. Baricitinib for relapsing giant cell arteritis: a prospective open-label 52-week pilot study. Ann Rheum Dis 2022;81:861–7.
- Scolnik M, Brance ML, Fernández-Ávila DG, et al. Pan American League of Associations for Rheumatology guidelines for the treatment of giant cell arteritis. Lancet Rheumatology 2022;4:E864–72.
- 9. Patel NJ, Tozzo V, Higgins JM, et al. The effects of daily prednisone and tocilizumab on hemoglobin A1c during the treatment of giant cell arteritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2023;75:xxx-xx.
- Mukhtyar C, Myers H, Jones C, et al. The relationship between glycated haemoglobin levels and the risk of giant cell arteritis - a casecontrol study. Rheumatol Adv Pract 2020;4:rkaa018.
- 11. Ungprasert P, Thongprayoon C, Warrington KJ, et al. Lower body mass index is associated with a higher risk of giant cell arteritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Transl Med 2015;3:232.

- Jakobsson K, Jacobsson L, Warrington K, et al. Body mass index and the risk of giant cell arteritis: results from a prospective study. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2015;54:433–40.
- Wadström K, Jacobsson L, Mohammad AJ, et al. Negative associations for fasting blood glucose, cholesterol and triglyceride levels with the development of giant cell arteritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2020; 59:3229–36.
- Esen I, et al. Plasma pyruvate kinase M2 as a marker of vascular inflammation in giant cell arteritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2022;61: 3060–70.
- Esen I, Arends S, Dalsgaard Nielsen B, et al. Metabolic features and glucocorticoid-induced comorbidities in patients with giant cell arteritis and polymyalgia rheumatica in a Dutch and Danish cohort. RMD Open 2023;9:e002640.
- Therkildsen P, De Thurah A, Hansen IT, et al. Giant cell arteritis: a nationwide, population-based cohort study on incidence, diagnostic imaging, and glucocorticoid treatment. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2021; 51:360–6.