



University of Groningen

Snowmass 2021 CMB-S4 White Paper

Abazajian, Kevork; Abdulghafour, Arwa; Addison, Graeme E.; Adshead, Peter; Ahmed, Zeeshan; Ajello, Marco; Akerib, Daniel; Allen, Steven W.; Alonso, David; Alvarez, Marcelo

10.48550/arXiv.2203.08024

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Early version, also known as pre-print

Publication date: 2022

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Abazajian, K., Abdulghafour, A., Addison, G. E., Adshead, P., Ahmed, Z., Ajello, M., Akerib, D., Allen, S. W., Alonso, D., Alvarez, M., Amin, M. A., Amiri, M., Anderson, A., Ansarinejad, B., Archipley, M., Arnold, K. S., Ashby, M., Aung, H., Baccigalupi, C., ... Zonca, A. (2022). *Snowmass 2021 CMB-S4 White Paper*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2203.08024

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Snowmass 2021 CMB-S4 White Paper

The CMB-S4 Collaboration: Kevork Abazajian,¹ Arwa Abdulghafour,² Graeme E. Addison,³ Peter Adshead,⁴ Zeeshan Ahmed,⁵ Marco Ajello,⁶ Daniel Akerib,⁵ Steven W. Allen,^{7,5} David Alonso,⁸ Marcelo Alvarez,^{9,10} Mustafa A. Amin,¹¹ Mandana Amiri,¹² Adam Anderson,¹³ Behzad Ansarinejad,² Melanie Archipley,⁴ Kam S. Arnold,¹⁴ Matt Ashby,¹⁵ Han Aung,¹⁶ Carlo Baccigalupi,^{17,18} Carina Baker,⁴ Abhishek Bakshi,¹³ Debbie Bard,¹⁰ Denis Barkats,^{15,19} Darcy Barron,²⁰ Peter S. Barry,^{21,22} James G. Bartlett,²³ Paul Barton,¹⁰ Ritoban Basu Thakur,²⁴ Nicholas Battaglia,²⁵ Jim Beall,²⁶ Rachel Bean,²⁵ Dominic Beck,⁷ Sebastian Belkner,²⁷ Karim Benabed,²⁸ Amy N. Bender,^{21,29} Bradford A. Benson,^{13,30} Bobby Besuner,¹⁰ Matthieu Bethermin,³¹ Sanah Bhimani,¹⁶ Federico Bianchini,^{7,5} Simon Biquard,^{23,32} Ian Birdwell,²⁰ Colin A. Bischoff,³³ Lindsey Bleem,^{21,29} Paulina Bocaz,³⁴ James J. Bock,^{24,35} Sebastian Bocquet,³⁶ Kimberly K. Boddy,³⁷ J. Richard Bond,³⁸ Julian Borrill,^{10,9} François R. Bouchet,²⁸ Thejs Brinckmann,^{39,40} Michael L. Brown,⁴¹ Sean Bryan,⁴² Victor Buza,^{30,29} Karen Byrum,²¹ Erminia Calabrese,²² Victoria Calafut,³⁸ Robert Caldwell,⁴³ John E. Carlstrom,^{30,21} Julien Carron,²⁷ Thomas Cecil,²¹ Anthony Challinor,⁴⁴ Victor Chan,⁴⁵ Clarence L. Chang,^{21,30} Scott Chapman,¹² Eric Charles,⁵ Eric Chauvin,⁴⁶ Cheng Cheng,⁴⁷ Grace Chesmore,³⁰ Kolen Cheung,^{9,10} Yuji Chinone,⁴⁸ Jens Chluba,⁴¹ Hsiao-Mei Sherry Cho,⁵ Steve Choi,²⁵ Justin Clancy,² Susan Clark,^{7,49} Asantha Cooray,¹ Gabriele Coppi,⁵⁰ John Corlett,¹⁰ Will Coulton,⁵¹ Thomas M. Crawford,^{30,29} Abigail Crites,^{25,24} Ari Cukierman,^{5,7} Francis-Yan Cyr-Racine,²⁰ Wei-Ming Dai,⁴⁷ Cail Daley,⁴ Eli Dart,¹⁰ Gregorg Daues,⁴ Tijmen de Haan,⁵² Cosmin Deaconu,^{30,29} Jacques Delabrouille,³² Greg Derylo,¹³ Mark Devlin,⁵³ Eleonora Di Valentino,⁵⁴ Marion Dierickx,¹⁹ Brad Dober,²⁶ Randy Doriese,²⁶ Shannon Duff,²⁶ Daniel Dutcher,⁵⁵ Cora Dvorkin,¹⁹ Rolando Dünner,⁵⁶ Tarraneh Eftekhari,⁵⁷ Joseph Eimer,³ Hamza El Bouhargani,¹⁰ Tucker Elleflot,¹⁰ Nick Emerson,⁵⁸ Josquin Errard,²³ Thomas Essinger-Hileman,⁵⁹ Giulio Fabbian,^{22,51} Valentina Fanfani,⁵⁰ Alessandro Fasano,³¹ Chang Feng,⁴ Simone Ferraro,¹⁰ Jeffrey P. Filippini,⁴ Raphael Flauger,¹⁴ Brenna Flaugher,¹³ Aurelien A. Fraisse,⁵⁵ Josef Frisch,⁵ Andrei Frolov,⁶⁰ Nicholas Galitzki,¹⁴ Patricio A. Gallardo,³⁰ Silvia Galli,²⁸ Ken Ganga,²³ Martina Gerbino,⁴⁰ Christos Giannakopoulos,³³ Murdock Gilchriese,¹⁰ Vera Gluscevic,⁶¹ Neil Goeckner-Wald,⁷ David Goldfinger,¹⁹ Daniel Green,¹⁴ Paul Grimes,¹⁵ Daniel Grin,⁶² Evan Grohs,⁶³ Riccardo Gualtieri,²¹ Vic Guarino,²¹ Jon E. Gudmundsson,⁶⁴ Ian Gullett,⁶⁵ Sam Guns,⁹ Salman Habib,²¹ Gunther Haller,⁵ Mark Halpern,¹² Nils W. Halverson,⁶⁶ Shaul Hanany,⁶⁷ Emma Hand,³³ Kathleen Harrington,³⁰ Masaya Hasegawa,⁵² Matthew Hasselfield,⁵¹ Masashi Hazumi,⁵² Katrin Heitmann,²¹ Shawn Henderson,⁵ Brandon Hensley,⁵⁵ Ryan Herbst,⁵ Carlos Hervias-Caimapo,⁶⁸ J. Colin Hill,^{69,51} Richard Hills,⁷⁰ Eric Hivon,^{28,71} Renée Hložek,^{45,72} Anna Ho,^{73,9} Gil Holder,⁴ Matt Hollister,¹³ William Holzapfel,⁹ John

Hood,³⁰ Selim Hotinli,³ Alec Hryciuk,³⁰ Johannes Hubmayr,²⁶ Kevin M. Huffenberger,⁶⁸ Howard Hui,²⁴ Roberto Ibáñez,³⁴ Ayodeji Ibitoye,⁴⁷ Margaret Ikape,⁴⁵ Kent Irwin,⁷ Cooper Jacobus,⁹ Oliver Jeong,⁹ Bradley R. Johnson,⁷⁴ Doug Johnstone,^{75,76} William C. Jones,⁵⁵ John Joseph,¹⁰ Baptiste Jost,^{23,32} Jae Hwan Kang,²⁴ Ari Kaplan,⁷⁷ Kirit S. Karkare,^{30,13} Nobuhiko Katayama,⁷⁸ Reijo Keskitalo,^{10,9} Cesiley King,⁷⁹ Theodore Kisner,^{10,9} Matthias Klein,³⁶ Lloyd Knox,⁸⁰ Brian J. Koopman,¹⁶ Arthur Kosowsky,⁸¹ John Kovac,^{19,15} Ely D. Kovetz,⁸² Alex Krolewski,¹⁰ Donna Kubik,¹³ Steve Kuhlmann,²¹ Chao-Lin Kuo,^{7,5} Akito Kusaka,^{10,48} Anne Lähteenmäki,⁸³ Kenny Lau,⁶⁷ Charles R. Lawrence,³⁵ Adrian T. Lee,^{9,10} Louis Legrand,²⁷ Matthaeus Leitner,¹⁰ Clément Leloup,^{23,32} Antony Lewis,⁸⁴ Dale Li,⁵ Eric Linder,^{10,9} Ioannis Liodakis,⁸⁵ Jia Liu,⁷⁸ Kevin Long,¹⁰ Thibaut Louis,⁸⁶ Marilena Loverde,⁸⁷ Lindsay Lowry,⁹ Chunyu Lu,⁴ Phil Lubin,⁷⁷ Yin-Zhe Ma,⁴⁷ Thomas Maccarone,⁸⁸ Mathew S. Madhavacheril,⁸⁹ Felipe Maldonado,⁶⁸ Adam Mantz,⁷ Gabriela Marques,⁶⁸ Frederick Matsuda,⁹⁰ Philip Mauskopf,⁴² Jared May,⁷⁹ Heather McCarrick,⁵⁵ Ken McCracken,¹⁵ Jeffrey McMahon,^{30,13} P. Daniel Meerburg,⁹¹ Jean-Baptiste Melin,⁹² Felipe Menanteau,⁴ Joel Meyers,⁹³ Marius Millea,⁹ Vivian Miranda,⁹⁴ Don Mitchell,¹³ Joseph Mohr,³⁶ Lorenzo Moncelsi,²⁴ Maria Elena Monzani,^{5,7} Magdy Moshed,^{23,32} Tony Mroczkowski,⁹⁵ Suvodip Mukherjee,^{89,96} Moritz Münchmeyer,⁹⁷ Daisuke Nagai,¹⁶ Chandan Nagarajappa,⁴⁷ Johanna Nagy,⁷⁹ Toshiya Namikawa,⁷⁸ Federico Nati,⁵⁰ Tyler Natoli,^{30,29} Simran Nerval,⁴⁵ Laura Newburgh,¹⁶ Hogan Nguyen,¹³ Erik Nichols,^{30,98} Andrina Nicola,⁵⁵ Michael D. Niemack,²⁵ Brian Nord,¹³ Tim Norton,¹⁵ Valentine Novosad,²¹ Roger O'Brient,^{35,24} Yuuki Omori,³⁰ Giorgio Orlando ,⁹¹ Benjamin Osherson,⁴ Rachel Osten,^{99,3} Stephen Padin,²⁴ Scott Paine,¹⁵ Bruce Partridge,⁶² Sanjaykumar Patil,⁶¹ Don Petravick,⁴ Matthew Petroff,¹⁹ Elena Pierpaoli,⁶¹ Mauricio Pilleux,¹⁰⁰ Levon Pogosian,⁶⁰ Karthik Prabhu,⁸⁰ Clement Pryke,⁶⁷ Giuseppe Puglisi,^{101,10} Benjamin Racine,¹⁰² Srinivasan Raghunathan,⁴ Alexandra Rahlin,^{13,30} Marco Raveri,⁵³ Ben Reese,⁵ Christian L. Reichardt,² Mathieu Remazeilles,¹⁰³ Arianna Rizzieri,^{23,32} Graca Rocha,^{35,24} Natalie A. Roe,¹⁰ Kaja Rotermund,¹⁰ Anirban Roy,²⁵ John E. Ruhl,⁶⁵ Joe Saba,¹⁰ Noah Sailer,⁹ Maria Salatino,⁷ Benjamin Saliwanchik,¹⁰⁴ Leonid Sapozhnikov,⁵ Mayuri Sathyanarayana Rao,¹⁰⁵ Lauren Saunders,¹⁶ Emmanuel Schaan,¹⁰ Alessandro Schillaci,²⁴ Benjamin Schmitt,¹⁹ Douglas Scott,¹² Neelima Sehgal,⁹⁴ Sarah Shandera,¹⁰⁶ Blake D. Sherwin,⁴⁴ Erik Shirokoff,³⁰ Corwin Shiu,⁵⁵ Sara M. Simon,¹³ Baibhav Singari,⁶⁷ Anže Slosar,¹⁰⁴ David Spergel,⁵⁵ Tyler St. Germaine,¹⁹ Suzanne T. Staggs,⁵⁵ Antony A. Stark,¹⁵ Glenn D. Starkman,⁶⁵ Bryan Steinbach,²⁴ Radek Stompor,^{32,23} Chris Stoughton,¹³ Aritoki Suzuki,¹⁰ Osamu Tajima,¹⁰⁷ Chris Tandoi,⁴ Grant P. Teply,¹⁴ Gregg Thayer,^{5,7} Keith Thompson,⁷ Ben Thorne,⁸⁰ Peter Timbie,⁹⁷ Maurizio Tomasi,^{108,40} Cynthia Trendafilova,⁹³ Matthieu Tristram,⁸⁶ Carole Tucker,²² Gregory Tucker,¹⁰⁹ Caterina Umiltà,⁴ Alexander van Engelen,⁴² Joshiwa van Marrewijk,⁹⁵ Eve M. Vavagiakis,²⁵ Clara Vergès,¹⁹ Joaquin D. Vieira,⁴ Abigail G. Vieregg,³⁰ Kasey Wagoner,⁵⁵ Benjamin Wallisch,^{14,110} Gensheng Wang,²¹ Guo-Jian Wang,⁴⁷ Scott Watson,¹¹¹ Duncan Watts,¹¹² Chris Weaver,¹¹³ Lukas Wenzl,²⁵ Ben Westbrook,⁹ Martin White,^{10,9} Nathan Whitehorn,¹¹³ Andrew Wiedlea,¹⁰ Paul Williams,¹⁰ Robert Wilson,^{114,115} Harrison Winch,⁴⁵ Edward J. Wollack,⁵⁹ W. L. Kimmy Wu,^{5,49} Zhilei Xu,¹¹⁶ Volodymyr G. Yefremenko,²¹ Cyndia Yu,^{7,5} David Zegeye,³⁰ Jeff Zivick,³⁰ Andrea Zonca¹⁴

¹University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

²School of Physics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

³Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

⁴Illinois Center for Advanced Studies of the Universe & Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

⁵SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

⁶Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA

⁷Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

⁸University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK

⁹University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

¹⁰Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

¹¹Department of Physics & Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA

¹²University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z1, Canada

¹³Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA ¹⁴University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

¹⁵Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

¹⁶Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

¹⁷SISSA - International School for Advanced Studies, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy

¹⁸IFPU - Institute for Fundamental Physics of the Universe, Via Beirut 2, 34014 Trieste, Italy

¹⁹Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

²⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA

²¹Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA

²²School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, The Parade, Cardiff, CF24 3AA, UK

²³Laboratoire Astroparticule et Cosmologie (APC), CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris Diderot, 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France

²⁴California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

²⁵Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

 $^{26}\mathrm{National}$ Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, CO 80305, USA

²⁷Département de Physique Théorique et CAP, Université de Genève, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland

²⁸Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris (IAP), CNRS & Sorbonne University, Paris, France

²⁹Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

³⁰University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

³¹Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, CNES, LAM, Marseille, France

³²Centre Pierre Binétruy, Berkeley, CA 94704, USA

³³University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA

³⁴Associated Universities Incorporated, Washington, DC 20036, USA

³⁵Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91011, USA

³⁶Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, 81679 Munich, Germany

 37 University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

³⁸Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 3H8, Canada ³⁹Dipartimento di Fisica e Scienze della Terra, Università di Ferrara, Polo Scientifico e Tecnologico - Edificio C Via Saragat 1, 44122 Ferrara, Italy

 $^{40}\mathrm{INFN}$ - National Institute for Nuclear Physics, Via Valerio 2, I-34127 Trieste, Italy

⁴¹Jodrell Bank Center for Astrophysics, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

⁴²Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA

⁴³Department of Physics & Astronomy, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA

⁴⁴Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0WA, UK

⁴⁵David A. Dunlap Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, ON, M5S 3H4, Canada

⁴⁶Eric Chauvin Consulting Engineer

⁴⁷Astrophysics and Cosmology Research Unit, School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4000, South Africa

⁴⁸University of Tokyo, 277-8583 Kashiwa, Japan

⁴⁹Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

⁵⁰Department of Physics, University of Milano - Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza 3, I-20126 Milano, Italy

⁵¹Center for Computational Astrophysics, Flatiron Institute, New York, NY 10010, USA

⁵²High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

⁵³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

⁵⁴University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, UK

⁵⁵Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

⁵⁶Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Región Metropolitana, Chile

⁵⁷Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

⁵⁸Department of Astronomy/Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

⁵⁹Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

 $^{60}\mbox{Department}$ of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada

⁶¹University of Southern California, CA 90089, USA

⁶²Haverford College, Haverford, PA 19041, USA

 63 Physics Department, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27607, USA

⁶⁴Oskar Klein Centre for Cosmoparticle Physics, Stockholm University, AlbaNova, Stockholm SE-106 91, Sweden

⁶⁵Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

⁶⁶Center for Astrophysics and Space Astronomy, Department of Astrophysical and Planetary Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

⁶⁷University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

⁶⁸Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA

⁶⁹Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

 $^{70}\mbox{Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK$

⁷¹Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, LPNHE, F-75252 Paris, France

⁷²Dunlap Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, ON M5S 3H4, Canada

⁷³Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science, 468 Donner Lab, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

⁷⁴ University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA	DE-85748 Garching b. Munchen, Germany						
⁷⁵ Herzberg Astronomuy and Astrophyiscs - NRC, Victoria, Canada	⁹⁶ Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy, University of Amsterdam, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands						
⁷⁶ University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8P 5C2, Canada ⁷⁷ University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA	⁹⁷ Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA						
⁷⁸ Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe.	⁹⁸ Remote Science Services, LLC						
University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Japan	⁹⁹ Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA						
⁷⁹ Washington University in St Louis, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA	¹⁰⁰ EONS SpA						
⁸⁰ University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA	¹⁰¹ University of Rome Tor Vergata, 00133 Roma RM, Italy						
⁸¹ University of Pittsburgh and PITT PACC, Pittsburgh, PA 15260,	¹⁰² Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France						
USA	¹⁰³ Instituto de Física de Cantabria, Cantabria, Spain						
⁸² Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University, Be'er Sheva	¹⁰⁴ Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA						
84105, Israel	¹⁰⁵ Raman Research Institute, Bengaluru, Karnataka 560080, India						
⁸³ Aalto University, FIN-00076, Aalto, Finland	¹⁰⁶ The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802,						
⁸⁴ Astronomy Centre, School of Mathematical and Physical Sci-	USA						
ences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH, UK	¹⁰⁷ Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502,						
⁸⁶ University of Turku, Turku, Finland	Japan						
[∞] Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France	¹⁰⁸ Dipartimento di Fisica "Aldo Pontremoli", Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy						
⁸⁷ University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA	¹⁰⁹ Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA						
⁸⁸ Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA	¹¹⁰ Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA						
⁸⁹ Perimeter Institute, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada	¹¹¹ Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA						
⁹⁰ ISAS JAXA, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 252-5210, Japan	¹¹² University of Oslo, Oslo, WPQC XM, Norway						
⁹¹ Van Swinderen Institute for Particle Physics and Gravity, Uni-	¹¹³ Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA						
versity of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands	¹¹⁴ Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, Cambridge, MA						
⁹² Département d'Astrophysique, CEA Saclay DSM/Irfu, 91191	02138, USA						
Gif-sur-Yvette, France	¹¹⁵ Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974, USA						
²² Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA	¹¹⁶ Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA						
*Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA							

95 European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2,

CMB-S4 Overview and Context

The cosmic microwave background 'Stage 4' CMB-S4 project is the next generation ground-based cosmic microwave background experiment, designed to cross critical thresholds in our understanding of the origin and evolution of the Universe, from the highest energies at the dawn of time through the growth of structure to the present day. The CMB-S4 science case is spectacular: the search for primordial gravitational waves as predicted from inflation and the imprint of relic particles including neutrinos, unique insights into dark energy and tests of gravity on large scales, elucidating the role of baryonic feedback on galaxy formation and evolution, opening up a window on the transient Universe at millimeter wavelengths, and even the exploration of the outer Solar System. The CMB-S4 sensitivity to primordial gravitational waves will probe physics at the highest energy scales and cross a major theoretically motivated threshold in constraints on inflation. The CMB-S4 search for new light relic particles will shed light on the early Universe 10,000 times farther back than current experiments can reach. Finally, the CMB-S4 Legacy Survey, covering 60% of the sky with unprecedented sensitivity and angular resolution from centimeter- to millimeter-wave observing bands, will have a profound and lasting impact on Astronomy and Astrophysics and provide a powerful complement to surveys at other wavelengths, such as the Vera Rubin Observatory (VRO) Legacy Survey of Space and Time and those conducted by the Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope, Euclid, and others yet to be imagined. We emphasize that these critical thresholds cannot be reached without the level of community and agency investment and commitment required by CMB-S4. In particular, the CMB-S4 science goals are out of the reach of any projected precursor experiment by a significant margin.

The formal CMB-S4 Collaboration was established in 2018 with the ratification of the bylaws and election of the various officers including the collaboration Executive Team and Governing Board. As of March 2022 the Collaboration has 320 members, 76 of whom hold positions within the organizational structure. These members represent 114 institutions in 19 countries on 6 continents, including 27 US states.

CMB-S4 is a joint NSF and DOE project, with the construction phase expected to be funded as an NSF MREFC project and a DOE HEP MIE project. DOE Critical Decision 0 (CD-0) was approved in July 2019. An integrated project office has been constituted and tasked with advancing the CMB-S4 project in the NSF MREFC Preliminary Design Phase and toward DOE Critical Decision CD-1. Support for the Integrated Project Office is being provided in part by DOE through their lead lab LBNL, and by NSF through an MSRI-R1 award to the University of Chicago, as the lead NSF institution.

CMB-S4 was recommended by the 2014 Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel (P5) report *Building for Discovery: Strategic Plan for U.S. Particle Physics in the Global Context* and by the 2015 National Academies report *A Strategic Vision for NSF Investments in Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research.* The community further developed the science case in the 2016 *CMB-S4 Science Book* [1] and surveyed the status of the technology in the 2017 *CMB-S4 Technology Book* [2]. This work formed the foundation for the joint NSF-DOE Concept Definition Task Force (CDT), a subpanel of the Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory

Committee (AAAC), a FACA committee advising DOE, NASA, and NSF. The CDT report was enthusiastically accepted by the AAAC in October 2017.

Building on the CDT report, the CMB-S4 Collaboration and the pre-Project Development Group, composed of experienced project leaders drawn primarily from the national laboratories, produced the comprehensive document, *The CMB-S4 Science Case, Reference Design, and Project Plan* [3], which we refer to here as the Decadal Survey Report (DSR). The DSR has been updated to reflect the advanced design in the *The CMB-S4 Preliminary Baseline Design Report*, hereafter the PBDR, which is scheduled to be posted soon. The material presented in this white paper has been extracted from these major overview reports, as well as many detailed project documents. These reports and numerous other reports, collaboration bylaws, workshop and working group wiki pages, email lists, and much more may be found at the website https://CMB-S4.org. We also refer the reader to the dedicated Snowmass White Paper on the CMB experimental program [4].

In November 2021, CMB-S4 was strongly recommended (with no caveats) by the 2020 Decadal Survey report *Pathways to Discovery in Astronomy and Astrophysics for the 2020s.* The Technical, Risk, and Cost Evaluation (TRACE) that the survey had performed by the Aerospace Corporation provided a cost estimate similar to ours and evaluated the project risk as medium-low. The Decadal Survey recommendation reads "The National Science Foundation and the Department of Energy should jointly pursue the design and implementation of the next generation ground-based cosmic microwave background experiment (CMB-S4)."

To achieve its transformational science goals, CMB-S4 requires an enormous increase in sensitivity over all current CMB experiments combined, and roughly an order-ofmagnitude increase over any projected precursor experiment. A significant and unique feature of CMB-S4 from the outset has been the use of multiple sites, specifically combining the two best currently developed sites on Earth for millimeter-wave observing: the high Atacama Plateau in Chile and the geographical South Pole. The design of CMB-S4 exploits key features of these two sites, namely the ability to drill deep on a single small patch of the sky through an extraordinarily stable atmosphere from the South Pole, and the ability to survey up to 80% of the sky from the exceptionally high and dry Atacama site.

Current experimental efforts at these two sites are already being consolidated into two major precursor observatories to CMB-S4, the Simons Observatory (SO) and the South Pole Observatory (SPO), members of whose teams also make up a large part of the CMB-S4 collaboration. The timing of both of these observatories is well-aligned with CMB-S4, enabling them to act as valuable pathfinders for CMB-S4 by providing technical and scientific data that have informed and will continue to inform our design and operations. To this end, both have also provided Letters of Intent to share their technical and cost data with CMB-S4. Nonetheless, while both will make significant advances in key CMB science goals, they will still fall well short of the thresholds targeted by CMB-S4. For example, to match the sensitivity to primordial gravitational waves provided by the ultradeep CMB-S4 survey, SPO would have to integrate for nearly 50 years; it would take SO

a similar amount of time to match the sensitivity to light relics provided by the CMB-S4 deep and wide survey.

From space, the Japanese LiteBIRD CMB satellite mission was selected by JAXA for launch late in the decade for a 3-year mission, concurrent with CMB-S4 operations. With its lower resolution but wider frequency coverage, LiteBIRD's science goals are distinct from but highly complementary to CMB-S4's, and we are already discussing the parameters of a possible Memorandum of Understanding to enable both experiments to enhance their reach using elements of the other's data.

In short, CMB-S4 will enable transformational science that cannot be achieved otherwise, the CMB-S4 concept has clear community and agency support, and the CMB-S4 collaboration and project are moving forward.

Key Science Goals and Objectives

The rich and diverse set of CMB-S4 scientific goals are organized into four themes:

- 1. primordial gravitational waves and inflation;
- 2. the dark Universe;
- 3. mapping matter in the cosmos;
- 4. the time-variable millimeter-wave sky.

The first two science themes relate to fundamental physics, and are of particular interest to the particle physics community. The other two themes relate to the broader scientific opportunities made possible by a millimeter-wave survey of unprecedented depth and breadth. Here we briefly review the key high-level goals and refer the reader to the more detailed science case in the DSR, PBDR, and in the Decadal Survey and Snowmas2021 science white papers referenced.

Primordial gravitational waves and inflation. We have a historic opportunity to open up a window to the primordial Universe [5]. If the predictions of some of the leading models for the origin of the hot big bang are borne out, CMB-S4 will detect the signature of primordial gravitational waves in the polarization pattern of the CMB [6]. This detection would provide the first evidence for the quantization of gravity, reveal new physics at the energy scale of grand unified theories, and yield insight into the symmetries of nature.

The current leading scenario for the origin of structure in our Universe is cosmic inflation, a period of accelerated expansion prior to the hot big bang, as discussed in the dedicated Snowmass 2021 White Papers [7, 8], also see [9, 10]. During this epoch, quantum fluctuations were imprinted on all spatial scales in the Universe. These fluctuations seeded the density perturbations that developed into all the structure in the Universe today. While there are still viable alternative models for the early history of the Universe, the simplest models of inflation are exceptionally successful in describing the data.

Tantalizingly, the observed scale dependence of the amplitude of density perturbations has quantitative implications for the amplitude of primordial gravitational waves, commonly parameterized by r, the ratio of fluctuation power in gravitational waves to that in density perturbations. All inflation models that naturally explain the observed deviation from scale invariance and that also have a characteristic scale equal to or larger than the Planck scale predict $r \gtrsim 0.001$. A well-motivated sub-class within this set of models is detectable by CMB-S4 at 5σ . The observed departure from scale invariance is a potentially important clue that strongly motivates exploring down to $r = 10^{-3}$. With an order of magnitude more detectors than precursor observations, and exquisite control of systematic errors, CMB-S4 will improve upon limits from pre-CMB-S4 observations by a factor of five to reach this target, allowing us to either detect primordial gravitational waves or rule out large classes of inflationary models and dramatically impact how we think about the theory.

The dark Universe. In the standard cosmological model, about 95% of the energy density of the Universe is in dark matter and dark energy. As discussed in the dedicated Snowmass 2021 White Papers [11, 12, 13], with CMB-S4 we can address numerous questions about these dark ingredients, such as: How is matter distributed on large scales? Does the dark matter have non-gravitational interactions with baryons? Are there additional unseen components beyond dark matter and dark energy?

Light relic particles are one very well-motivated possibility for additional energy density, as additional light particles appear frequently and numerously in extensions to the standard model of particle physics [14, 15]. For large regions of the unexplored parameter space in these models, the light particles are thermalized in the early Universe. The Planck satellite has sensitivity to light particles that fell out of thermal equilibrium after the first 50 micro-seconds of the Universe. With CMB-S4 we can push back this frontier by over a factor of 10,000, to the first fractions of a nanosecond.

The contribution of light relics to the energy density, often parameterized as the "effective number of neutrino species," $N_{\rm eff}$, leads to observable consequences in the CMB temperature and polarization anisotropy. Current data are only sensitive enough to detect additional relics that froze out after the quark-hadron transition, so CMB-S4's ability to probe times well before that transition is a major advance. Specifically CMB-S4 will constrain $\Delta N_{\rm eff} < 0.06$ at 95% C.L., achieving sensitivity to Weyl fermion and vector particles that froze out at temperatures a few hundred times higher than that of the QCD phase transition.

CMB-S4 will also enable a broader exploration of the dark Universe in combination with other probes, often significantly enhancing them by breaking their intrinsic degeneracies. It will improve or detect various possibilities for the properties of dark matter beyond the simplest cold dark matter models [16, 17]. It will add to dark energy constraints through precision measurements of the primordial power spectrum, and through precision measurements of the lensing convergence power spectrum, through the CMB-lensing-derived mass calibration of galaxy clusters [18], and through CMB lensing tomography [19].

Mapping matter in the cosmos. Observations indicate there is roughly five times more dark matter than baryonic matter and that most of the baryonic matter is in the form of hot ionized gas rather than cold gas or stars. CMB-S4 will be able to map out normal and dark matter separately by measuring the fluctuations in the total mass density (using gravitational lensing) and the ionized gas density (using Compton scattering).

Observations of gravitational lensing of the CMB are key to many CMB-S4 science goals. CMB-S4 lensing data will lead to a precise two-dimensional map of the total matter distribution. The statistical properties of this mass map will provide important constraints on dark energy [19], modified gravity [19], and the neutrino masses [20]. When combined with CMB-S4-derived or external catalogs of galaxies or galaxy clusters, this mass map can be used to "weigh" the galaxy or cluster samples. With galaxies, this can be done in a redshift-dependent or tomographic manner out to redshifts as high as $z \sim 5$, making possible new precision tests of cosmology and gravity. With robust CMB-lensing-based cluster masses at high redshift, the abundance of galaxy clusters can be used as an additional probe of dark energy and neutrino masses.

Most of the baryons in the late Universe are believed to be in a diffuse ionized plasma that is difficult to observe [21, 22, 23]. CMB-S4 will measure the effect of Compton scattering by this gas (the Sunyaev-Zeldovich or SZ effects), both the spectral distortion from hot electrons (thermal SZ or tSZ) and a general redshift or blueshift of the scattered photons due to coherent bulk flows along the line of sight (kinematic SZ or kSZ). The nature of this scattering makes the SZ effects independent of redshift. With a deep and wide survey covering a large amount of volume and an ultra-deep survey imaging lower-mass clusters, CMB-S4 will be an effective probe of the crucial regime of $z \gtrsim 2$, when galaxy clusters were vigorously accreting new hot gas while at the same time forming the bulk of their stars [24]. The CMB-S4 catalog will contain an order of magnitude more clusters at z > 2 than will be discovered with Stage 3 CMB experiments [18, 25]. CMB-S4 will also measure the diffuse tSZ signal on the sky and make a temperature-weighted map of ionized gas that can be used to measure the average thermal pressure profiles around galaxies and groups of galaxies. CMB-S4 will also make maps of the kSZ effect, which will be combined with data from other surveys to make maps of the projected electron density around samples of objects. Applications of these maps include measuring ionized gas as a function of radius, directly constraining the impact of feedback from active galactic nuclei and supernovae on the intergalactic medium [26] and constraining theories of modified gravity using the bulk flow amplitude as a function of separation. Even without overlapping galaxy catalogs, the kSZ signal can be used to probe the epoch of reionization, in ways that are highly complementary to the measurements of the neutral gas that can be obtained with redshifted Ly- α and 21-cm studies [27, 28, 29, 30].

The time-variable millimeter-wave sky. There have been relatively few studies of the variable sky at millimeter wavelengths, with systematic surveys using CMB data only very recently being undertaken [31, 32, 33, 34]). A deep, wide, millimeter-wave survey with time-domain capability will provide key insights into transient or burst events, moving sources such as Solar-System objects, and variable sources such as stars and active galactic nuclei (AGN).

Targeted follow-up observations of gamma-ray bursts, core-collapse supernovae, tidal disruption events, classical novae, X-ray binaries, and stellar flares have found that there are many transient events with measured fluxes that would make them detectable by CMB-S4. A systematic survey of the mm-wave sky with a cadence of a day or two over a large fraction of the sky, combined with an ultra-deep daily survey of a few percent of the sky, would be an excellent complement to other transient surveys, filling a gap between radio and optical searches [31]. Gamma-ray burst afterglows are particularly interesting targets as they peak at millimeter wavelengths and there is a possibility of capturing mm-wave afterglows that have no corresponding gamma-ray trigger, either from the geometry of relativistic beaming and/or from sources at very high redshift [31]. Both are predicted theoretically, but have never been detected.

CMB-S4 will play an active role in multi-messenger astronomy, providing a long baseline with high-cadence sampling in both intensity and linear polarization over a wide sky area. For example, the IceCube event IC170922A is believed to be associated with a flaring gamma-ray state of the blazar TXS 0506+056. In December 2014, however, the same source appears to have had a neutrino luminosity at least 10 times larger with no associated gamma emission—and no data existed at other wavelengths. Having highcadence wide-field non-gamma-ray data will be critical to understand sources like this one. Any similar source is likely to be included in CMB-S4's near-daily, high-signal-tonoise monitoring of the blazar population. The wide-area nature of the survey will also make it straightforward to search for gravitational wave sources, particularly for sources that happen to be poorly localized and are challenging for other instruments.

Technical Overview

The CMB-S4 Collaboration and Project have developed a Preliminary Baseline Design that meets the measurement requirements and therefore can deliver the CMB-S4 science goals. The main components of the Preliminary Baseline Design are described in detail in the PBDR and summarized here. The major components are as follows:

• An ultra-deep survey covering 3% of the sky (more if a gravitational wave signal is detected) to be conducted over seven years using: fourteen 0.55-m refractor small-aperture telescopes (SATs) at 155 GHz and below and four 0.44-m SATs at 230/280 GHz, with dichroic, horn-coupled superconducting transition-edge-sensor (TES) detectors in each SAT, measuring two of the eight targeted frequency bands between 25 and 280 GHz; and one 5-m class "delensing" large-aperture telescope (LAT), equipped with detectors distributed over seven bands from 20 to 280 GHz. Measurements at degree angular scales and larger made using refractor telescopes with roughly 0.5-m apertures have been demonstrated to deliver high-fidelity, low-contamination polarization measurements at these scales. The combination of the SATs with the 5-m LAT therefore provides low-resolution *B*-mode measurements with excellent control of systematic contamination, as well as the high-resolution measurements required for delensing. The ultra-deep survey SATs and 5-m LAT are to be located at the South Pole to allow targeted observations of the single small-

area field, with provisions to relocate a fraction of the SATs in Chile if, for example, a high level of r is detected.

The total detector count for the 18 SATs is 147,936, with the majority of the detectors allocated to the 85 to 155 GHz bands. The total number of science-grade 150-mm detector wafers required for 18 SATs is 216. The delensing LAT will have a total TES detector count of 129,024, with the majority of the detectors allocated to the 90 to 150 GHz bands. The total number of science-grade 150-mm diameter detector wafers required for this single LAT is 85.

• A deep and wide survey covering approximately 60% of the sky to be conducted over seven years using two 6-m LATs located in Chile, each equipped with 137,996 TES detectors distributed over eight frequency bands spanning 25 to 280 GHz. The total number of science-grade 150-mm diameter detector wafers required for these two LATs is 170.

In the context of their legacy value to the wider community, we refer to the deep/wide and ultra-deep high-resolution surveys together as the CMB-S4 Legacy Survey. The total detector count for CMB-S4 is 552,952, requiring 471 science grade wafers. This is an enormous increase over the detector count of all Stage-3 experiments combined. Such a dramatic increase in scale is required to meet the CMB-S4 science goals.

Technical Readiness

The CMB-S4 reference design uses existing, well-demonstrated technology that has been developed and demonstrated by the CMB experimental groups over the last decade, scaled up to unprecedented levels. The design and implementation plan addresses the considerable technical challenges presented by the required scaling up of the instrumentation and by the scope and complexity of the data analysis and interpretation. Features of the design and plan include: scaled-up superconducting TES detector arrays with well-understood and robust material properties and processing techniques; high-throughput mm-wave telescopes and optics with unprecedented precision and rejection of systematic contamination; full internal characterization of astronomical foreground emission; large cosmological simulations and improved theoretical modeling; and computational methods for extracting minute correlations in massive, multi-frequency data sets, which include noise and a host of known and unknown signals.

A CMB-S4 Risk and Opportunity Management Plan describes the continuous risk and opportunity management process implemented by the project, consistent with DOE O413.3B, "Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets," and the NSF 21-107, "Research Infrastructure Guide." The plan establishes the methods of assessing CMB-S4 project risk and opportunities for all subsystems as well as the system as a whole. The CMB-S4 risk register has 211 risks identified. There are 26 risks currently assessed as High. The project is working on mitigations to ensure that these risks are lowered to reasonable levels on a timescale consistent with the overall project schedule.

For example, a current identified High risk is meeting the scaled-up production throughput and testing timeline of the transition-edge-sensor detector arrays. This is a major focus of the R&D program supported by the DOE. The Integrated Project Office formed a CMB-S4 Detector Fabrication Group (CDFG) in January 2020 to facilitate the collaboration between multiple fabrication sites and to develop a single detector fabrication plan and produce prototype detectors that satisfy CMB-S4 acceptance criteria. The CDFG is envisioned to be active throughout the duration of CMB-S4 detector fabrication efforts.

Organization, Partnerships, and Current Status

CMB-S4 is both a scientific collaboration and a DOE/NSF project. While these are certainly tightly coupled, they do have different roles and responsibilities; the overall organization of CMB-S4 therefore decouples into the organization of the collaboration and the project.

The CMB-S4 Project has developed in tandem with the Collaboration, paced by the funding agencies. In 2019 DOE approved Critical Decision 0, identifying the project need, and NSF funded a project development proposal led by the University of Chicago. In 2020 DOE appointed Berkeley Lab as the DOE lead laboratory. Together Berkeley Lab and U. Chicago lead a single, integrated, joint project with 121 members, 53 of whom are also collaboration members. The project office has developed a wide range of project organization and documentation, including the Work Breakdown Structure, Risk and Opportunity Register, detailed Cost Book and Technically-Limited Schedule. The top level WBS Structure is summarized in Table 1.

The organizational chart of the Integrated Project Office is shown in Fig. 1. A key feature of the organization is the role of collaboration members in the project office, in particular as leaders of the Level 2 systems. The Level 2 managers are supported by engineering and project-management leaders. The NSF/DOE scope distribution promotes the engagement and participation of universities and national laboratories. Graduate students, postdocs, professional technicians and engineers are expected to be involved in all aspects of the project.

The Integrated Project Office is responsible for forming partnerships with key stakeholder institutions, including DOE National Laboratories, universities, and potential collaborating projects such as the Simons Observatory, South Pole Observatory, and the CCATprime project. Partnerships are also expected to include foreign institutions participating in the CMB-S4 Science Collaboration and contributing to the CMB-S4 Project.

The CMB-S4 project is expected to include significant contributions from collaborating institutions supported by funding agencies other than NSF and DOE. These "in-kind" contributions will be defined as deliverables to the project. Major contributions from partners will need to be negotiated and incorporated in the project design and Work Breakdown Structure within the next one to two years to avoid adding schedule and cost risk.

Table 1: CMB-S4 WBS Structure

WBS	WBS Title	WBS Description
		Labor, travel, and materials necessary to plan, track, organize, manage,
		maintain communications, conduct reviews, and perform necessary safety,
		risk, and QA tasks during all phases of the project. Overall project Systems
1.01	Project Office	Engineering is a subsection of this WBS element. However, subsystem-related
		management and support activities for planning, estimating, tracking, and
		reporting as well as their specific EH&S and QA tasks are included in each of
		the subsystems.
	Detectors	Labor, materials, and equipment associated with the design, fabrication and
1.03		testing of the detector wafers. R&D activities to support development of a
		Conceptual Design pre CD-1 for DOE.
	Readout	Labor, materials, and equipment associated with the design, fabrication and
1.04		testing of the detector readout system. R&D activities to support
		development of a Conceptual Design pre CD-1 for DOE.
	Module	Labor, materials, and equipment associated with the design, parts fabrication,
1.05	Assembly	assembly and testing of the detector modules. R&D activities to support
	and Testing	development of a Conceptual Design pre CD-1 for DOE.
	Large	Labor, materials, and equipment associated with the design, prototyping,
1.06	Aperture	materials selection, construction and certification for the Large Aperture
	Telescopes	Telescope System. Integration and commissioning in North America.
	Small Aperture Telescopes	Labor, materials, and equipment associated with the design, prototyping,
1.07		materials selection, construction and certification for the Small Aperture
		Telescope System. R&D activities to support development of a Conceptual
		Design pre CD-1 for DOE. Integration and commissioning in North America.
	Data Acquisition and Control	Labor, materials, and equipment associated with the design, construction,
1.00		certification, and delivery of the control systems for the observatories and
1.08		data acquisition. R&D activities to support development of a Conceptual
		Design pre CD-1 for DOE.
	Data Management	Labor, materials, and equipment associated with the design, construction,
1.09		certification, and delivery of the data management system. R&D activities to
		support development of a Conceptual Design pre CD-1 for DOE.
1.10	Chile Infras-	Labor, travel, and materials necessary to plan, track, manage, maintain
	tructure,	communications, conduct reviews, and perform necessary safety monitoring
	Integration	on site including oversight of all shipping of CMB-S4 components to Chile
	and Commis-	and oversight of construction activities on site. On-site Integration and
	sioning	Commissioning of the CMB-S4 telescopes and infrastructure in Chile.
1.11	South Pole	Labor, travel, and materials necessary to plan, track, manage, maintain
	Infrastruc-	communications, conduct reviews, and perform necessary safety monitoring
	ture,	on site including oversight of all shipping of CMB-S4 components to the
	Integration	South Pole and oversight of construction activities on site. On-site Integration
	and Commis-	and Commissioning of the CMB-S4 telescopes and infrastructure at the South
	sioning	Pole.



Figure 1: Organizational Chart of the Integrated Project Office. The figure includes the expected distribution of project scope by funding agency (NSF = blue, DOE = green). We are actively pursuing partners who could make significant scope contributions in areas aligned with their expertise.

Schedule

The CMB-S4 Project schedule estimate is developed from the work scope defined in the WBS and decomposing the work packages into detailed activities, estimating the duration of each activity, sequencing the activities in time, and establishing necessary predecessors and successors. The data are captured in a formal Project Management Control System (PMCS), which encompasses the costs, schedules, work scope, and other activity attributes that define how the project will be executed. Throughout the life of the project, control and analysis of the schedule requires the use of progress reporting, schedule change control systems, such as the use of project baseline change requests, earned value performance management, and variance analysis to determine if additional action is required to get the schedule back in line with the plan.

The CMB-S4 project schedule has 8995 activities, 14,348 relationships, 21 Level 1/2, 110 Level 3, 179 Level 4, and 2179 Level 5 milestones. This preliminary schedule has been developed as a technically-limited schedule and will be updated once funding profile guidance from the DOE/NSF is available. Our current technically limited schedule is shown in Figure 2.

Seven years of operations are needed to achieve the CMB-S4 science goals.

Cost

The CMB-S4 Project cost estimate has been developed from applying resource allocations to specific activities captured in the WBS, establishing budgets at the start of the project

WBS	PY 1	PY 2	PY 3	PY 4	PY 5	PY 6	PY 7	PY 8	PY 9
1.03 Detectors	Wafer Prototy	vpes Wafe	PreProduction		Wafe	r Production			
1.04 Readout	Electronics Pro	Electror totypes	ics PreProductio	on Electror	nics Production				
	Prototypes		PreProduction		Declarities				
1.05 Module Assembly & Test		Prototype 1	est Cryostat		Pr				
			Fabricate Rema	ining Test Cryosta	ats				
	South Pole	LAT Engineerin	g Design		SP LAT Const	ruction			
1.06 Large Aperture	CH LA	Ts Engineering D	esign		CH LATs 1&2	Construction			
Telescope	LATR	Engineering De	sign		SP LATR Con	struction			
						CH LATR 1&2	Construction		
1 07 Small Aperture	SAT	Engineering De	sign		SA	Ts 1-6 Assembly	& Integration		
Telescope		Pro	totype Cyrostat	Cryostat & Moun	t Fabrication	,			
1.08 Data Acquisition	De	sign & Engineerir	ng		Prod	uction			
1.09 Data Management	★ Data Chai	enge 1A 🔰 Data Challenge 1B	Data Challenge 2	★ Data	Challenge 3		Data Ch	allenge 4 ★	
1.10 Chile Infrastructure,		esign Engineerir	g		Site Construction	1			
Integration, &						Chile LAT 1 Inte	gration & Commi	ssioning	
Commissioning					-	Chile LA	T 2 Integration &	Commissioning	
	D	esign Engineerin	g		Site Constr	uction & Integrat	ion		
1 11 South Pole						SP	LATR Integration	& Commissionin	g
Infrastructure, Integration, &							SAT 1-3 Mount (Onstruction	
Commissioning								SAT 1-3 Mount	Construction

Figure 2: Major activities by WBS for a technically-limited schedule for the CMB-S4 project. The timeline will depend on funding profile from DOE and NSF. To address the highest technical, cost, and schedule risks, the Detector, Readout, and Modules (DRM) R&D, prototyping, and pre-production are prioritized to allow production to begin as early as possible. In parallel, telescope design and fabrication are synchronized with DRM delivery for assembly and test in the US before shipping to observation sites. Integration and commissioning will be completed at the installed locations.

and to support budget status during the project. The Cost Estimating Plan (CEP) focuses on the methodology to develop the activity-based estimates and facilitates the financial management and control of the Project as described in the Project Controls System Management Plan.

For task-based estimating, formal procedures are used to define tasks and assign them to the lowest-level elements of the WBS. The ensemble of tasks represents all the required resources, activities, and components of the entire project. Each of the tasks is included in the Integrated Project Schedule (IPS) and estimated by the teams using accepted techniques. The estimates are documented by a Basis of Estimate (BOE).

The cost estimates and schedule have been prepared by the IPO Project Managers, project controls specialists and the L2 Subsystem Control Account Managers and Scientists experienced in the specialized fields required to accomplish the CMB-S4 Project. Vendor estimates and quotations, engineering calculations, drawings, and other pertinent data,

which are used to support the cost estimate, are collected and organized into a BOE. The details of the cost estimating process and assumptions are contained in the CMB-S4 Cost Estimating Plan.

The CMB-S4 point estimate project cost in January 2022 was \$636M for DOE Total Estimated Cost (TEC) funds plus NSF MREFC, fully loaded and escalated to the year of expenditure, and for a technically limited schedule without contingency. The Monte Carlo simulation contingency analysis of the CMB-S4 resource-loaded, risk-applied schedule yielded a budget contingency of \$266M. The cost are split roughly 50/50 between DOE and NSF scope. DOE Other Project Costs (OPC) and NSF pre-MREFC funds total approximately \$61M. The IPO is continuing to improve the quality of the cost, schedule and funding profile of the CMB-S4 project in preparation for agency reviews.

In-kind contributions from private and international partners are being pursued and, if realized, will reduce the total cost to NSF and DOE.

Summary

The science case for CMB-S4 remains as exciting as ever, as evidenced by DOE CD-0 "Mission Need" approval and by the strong endorsement by the 2020 Decadal Survey of Astronomy and Astrophysics. In fact, the science case has become stronger as scientists spanning the particle physics and cosmology communities have explored the potential of the transformational measurements CMB-S4 will make to achieve its threshold-crossing science goals. The technology is well understood and field tested. The CMB-S4 Collaboration and Integrated Project Office are established and highly functioning. The project is well developed, has been extensively reviewed, and is on path to achieve DOE CD-1 and NSF PDR on the timescale of a year, depending on funding.

References

- [1] **CMB-S4** Collaboration, K. N. Abazajian *et al.*, "CMB-S4 Science Book, First Edition," arXiv:1610.02743 [astro-ph.CO].
- [2] CMB-S4 Collaboration, M. H. Abitbol *et al.*, "CMB-S4 Technology Book, First Edition," *arXiv e-prints* (Jun, 2017) arXiv:1706.02464, arXiv:1706.02464 [astro-ph.IM].
- [3] CMB-S4 Collaboration, K. N. Abazajian *et al.*, "CMB-S4 Science Case, Reference Design, and Project Plan," arXiv:1907.04473 [astro-ph.CO].
- [4] C. Chang, K. Huffenberger, *et al.*, "Cosmic Frontier Cosmic Microwave Background Measurements White Paper." *Snowmass* 2021 *White Paper*, 2022.
- [5] S. Shandera *et al.*, "Probing the origin of our universe through cosmic microwave background constraints on gravitational waves," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [6] CMB-S4 Collaboration, K. Abazajian *et al.*, "CMB-S4: Forecasting Constraints on Primordial Gravitational Waves," Ap. J. 926 no. 1, (Feb., 2022) 54, arXiv:2008.12619.
- [7] G. L. Pimentel, B. Wallisch, W. L. K. Wu, *et al.*, "Inflation: Theory and Observations." *Snowmass* 2021 *White Paper*, 2022.
- [8] F.-Y. Cyr-Racine, T. Eifler, R. Flauger, M. Ivanov, M. LoVerde, C. B. de S. Nascimento, A. Peter, M. Vogelsberger, and R. Wechsler, "Data-Driven Cosmology." *Snowmass* 2021 White Paper, 2022.
- [9] P. D. Meerburg, D. Green, R. Flauger, B. Wallisch, M. C. D. Marsh, E. Pajer, G. Goon, C. Dvorkin, A. M. Dizgah, D. Baumann, G. L. Pimentel, S. Foreman, E. Silverstein, E. Chisari, B. Wandelt, M. Loverde, and A. Slosar, "Primordial Non-Gaussianity," *BAAS* 51 no. 3, (May, 2019) 107, arXiv:1903.04409 [astro-ph.CO].
- [10] A. Slosar, X. Chen, C. Dvorkin, D. Meerburg, B. Wallisch, D. Green, and E. Silverstein, "Scratches from the Past: Inflationary Archaeology through Features in the Power Spectrum of Primordial Fluctuations," *BAAS* **51** no. 3, (May, 2019) 98, arXiv:1903.09883 [astro-ph.CO].
- [11] C. Dvorkin, R. Hložek, *et al.*, "Dark Matter Physics from the CMB-S4 Experiment." *Snowmass* 2021 White Paper, 2022.
- [12] M. Gerbino, E. Grohs, M. Lattanzi, *et al.*, "Synergy between cosmological and laboratory searches in neutrino physics: a white paper." *Snowmass 2021 White Paper*, 2022.
- [13] J. A. Newman *et al.*, "Enabling Flagship Dark Energy Experiments to Reach their Full Potential." *Snowmass* 2021 *White Paper*, 2022.

- [14] D. Green *et al.*, "Messengers from the early universe: Cosmic neutrinos and other light relics," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [15] C. Dvorkin, J. Meyers, *et al.*, "The Physics of Light Relics." *Snowmass* 2021 White *Paper*, 2022.
- [16] V. Gluscevic *et al.*, "Cosmological probes of dark matter interactions: The next decade," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [17] E. Baxter, C. Chang, A. Hearin, *et al.*, "Opportunities from Cross-Survey Analyses of Static Probes." *Snowmass 2021 White Paper*, 2022.
- [18] A. Mantz *et al.*, "The future landscape of high-redshift galaxy cluster science," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [19] A. Slosar *et al.,* "Dark energy and modified gravity," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [20] C. Dvorkin *et al.*, "Neutrino mass from cosmology: Probing physics beyond the standard model," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [21] C. Cicone *et al.*, "The hidden circumgalactic medium," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [22] B. Oppenheimer *et al.*, "Imprint of drivers of galaxy formation in the circumgalactic medium," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [23] Q. D. Wang *et al.*, "The panchromatic circumgalactic medium," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [24] R. Overzier *et al.*, "Tracing the formation history of galaxy clusters into the epoch of reionization," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [25] H. Dannerbauer *et al.*, "Mapping galaxy clusters in the distant universe," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [26] N. Battaglia *et al.*, "Probing feedback in galaxy formation with millimeter-wave observations," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [27] T.-C. Chang *et al.*, "Tomography of the cosmic dawn and reionization eras with multiple tracers," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [28] A. Cooray *et al.*, "Cosmic dawn and reionization: Astrophysics in the final frontier," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [29] A. Hutter *et al.*, "A proposal to exploit galaxy-21cm synergies to shed light on the epoch of reionization," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [30] P. L. Plante *et al.*, "Mapping cosmic dawn and reionization: Challenges and synergies," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.

- [31] G. Holder *et al.*, "Tracking the time-variable millimeter-wave sky with cmb experiments," Decadal Survey Science White Paper.
- [32] SPTpol Collaboration, N. Whitehorn *et al.*, "Millimeter Transient Point Sources in the SPTpol 100 Square Degree Survey," *Ap. J.* 830 (Oct., 2016) 143, arXiv:1604.03507 [astro-ph.HE].
- [33] S. Naess *et al.*, "The Atacama Cosmology Telescope: Detection of Millimeter-wave Transient Sources," Astrophys. J. 915 no. 1, (2021) 14, arXiv:2012.14347 [astro-ph.SR].
- [34] SPT-3G Collaboration, S. Guns *et al.*, "Detection of Galactic and Extragalactic Millimeter-wavelength Transient Sources with SPT-3G," *Astrophys. J.* 916 no. 2, (2021) 98, arXiv:2103.06166 [astro-ph.HE].