

Department of Sociology Faculty of Political and Social Sciences **Ghent University** 

### **Dimitri Van Maele** dimitri.vanmaele@ugent.be

**Mieke Van Houtte** mieke.vanhoutte@ugent.be

# Collegial Trust and the Organizational Context of the Teacher Workplace

## The Role of a Homogeneous Teachability Culture

### Intro

### Purpose

- > Adding to the knowledge of the social organization of schools
- > Attention to the individual teacher's trust in colleagues vs. faculty trust
- > Exploring contextual school conditions as facilitators of collegial trust
- > Does homogeneity of teachability culture mediate the impact of SES composition?

#### Relevance

> Collegial trust supports well functioning professional learning communities<sup>1,2,3</sup>

#### Academical embeddedness

- > Growing interest in trust within educational research vs. little large-scale research
- > Collegial trust as indicator of schools' organizational social capital4

# Collegial trust and the organizational school context

- Teacher trust in colleagues is a complex and multidimensional concept
- > A teacher's willingness to be vulnerable to the other teachers in school based on the confidence that the latter are benevolent, reliable, competent, honest, and open<sup>5</sup>
- > The importance of role expectations<sup>6</sup>
- The essence of organizational culture<sup>7</sup>
- > Organizational members' underlying basic assumptions
  - → e.g. teachers' teachability assumptions<sup>8</sup>
- From assumptions to trust formation<sup>7,9</sup>
- ➤ Equal assumptions → Analogous interpretation of the work environment Collegial trust development ← Expectations being met ← Similar behaviours

- Homogeneity of organizational culture<sup>10</sup>
  - > The degree to which members share assumptions, beliefs, norms, values,...
    - → e.g. Teachers holding similar teachability assumptions

Hypothesis 1: A homogeneous teachability culture fosters trust in colleagues.

- Schools as client-serving organizations<sup>11</sup>
  - $\triangleright$  Working class students: to ignore or to adjust?  $\rightarrow$  teachers experience tensions<sup>12</sup>
- → Less divergent ideas about the students' teachability will arise in elitist schools Hypothesis 2: The higher the social class background of the student body is, the more
- homogeneous the staff's teachability culture is. > Faculty trust is more fragile in socioeconomic disadvantaged schools<sup>13</sup>: but why? Hypothesis 3: A homogeneous teachability culture mediates the positive association

between trust in colleagues and a high SES composition.

# Methodology

### Data

- > Flemish Educational Assessment 2004-2005
- > 80 schools with > 5 teachers responding (cf. critical mass for aggregation)
- > 2.091 teachers & 11.872 students (third and/or fifth grade)

### Measures

- $\rightarrow$  Trust in colleagues (7 items)<sup>3</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Cronbach's alpha = 0.89
- Homogeneity of teachability culture:
  - Teachability assumptions (31 items)<sup>8</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Cronbach's alpha = 0.94
  - ICC  $[(BMS-WMS)/BMS]^{14}$  of teachability assumptions = 0.92
  - A staff's standard deviation on teachability assumptions \* (-1)<sup>15</sup>
- > SES school composition: highest occupational prestige of father and mother 16

### Data analysis

- > Control variables:
- School level: sector, size, ethnic composition, content of teachability culture
- Teacher level: gender, ses, experience, subject, teaching hours
- Multilevel analysis
- ➤ Meso-mediational model testing<sup>17</sup>

# Results

<u>Descriptive statistics of and correlations among the school-level variables</u>

Variables (N=80)	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5
1. Teachability culture (homogeneity)	-11.95	3.38					
2. Teachability culture (content)	100.26	10.36	.47**				
3. School sector <sup>a</sup>	-	-	20	23*			
4. School size	477.52	279.55	.24*	.32**	16		
5. Ethnic composition	13.40	18.04	07	61**	.31**	21	
6. SES composition	4.93	1.15	.41**	.85**	31**	.35**	74**
6. SES composition	4.93	1.15	.41**	.85**	31**	.35**	74**

\*p < .05; \*\*p < .01; \*\*\*p < .001.

Results of stepwise multilevel analyses of organizational school characteristics, teacher characteristics, and teacher trust in colleagues

	Trust in colleagues								
Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5				
Intercept	27.378***	27.379***	27.170***	26.640***	27.173***				
Independent school variables									
School sector	-0.135**	-0.126**	-0.118**		-0.112**				
School size	0.054	0.009	0.001		-0.005				
Ethnic composition	0.077	0.199**	0.160**		0.115a				
SES composition		0.187**	0.136*		0.065b				
Mediators									
Teachability culture (homogeneity)				0.101*	0.090*				
Teachability culture (content)				0.057					
Independent teacher variables									
Gender			0.055*	0.059*	0.053*				
SES			0.018	0.019	0.019				
Experience			-0.018	-0.020	-0.018				
Teaching hours			-0.027	-0.024	-0.027				
Subject			-0.034	-0.028	-0.030				
Variance components									
Intercept U0	1.402***	1.155***	1.051	1.213	0.813				
Gender U1			0.535	0.611	0.566				
SES U2			0.110**	0.116**	0.108**				
Experience U3			0.0004	0.0004	0.0004				
Teaching hours U4			0.001	0.001	0.001				
Subject U5			0.692	0.710	0.712				

• The role of the **organizational school context** for collegial trust formation

about the teachability of the students in school in order to:

> Strenghten the level of social capital within the teaching staff

> Increase the successfulness of teachers' professional development

• **School leaders** should focus on creating similar conceptions among their teachers

# Discussion

### Contribution to educational research

- > School teachers holding similar assumptions about the students' teachability facilitates the development of a teacher's trust in colleagues
- > A homogeneous teachability culture is more likely to occur in elitist schools
- > A homogeneous teachability culture explains why trust in colleagues is stronger in schools where the student body is marked with a higher social class background
- $\triangleright$  Trust in colleagues is stronger in private schools: the role of sense of community 18?
- > Trust in colleagues is stronger when there is a mismatch in ethnic background between the student body and the teaching staff: category-based trust<sup>19</sup>?
- → The organizational context of the teacher workplace affects collegial trust development within the teaching staff
- → A contribution to the theory on how SES composition influences school outcomes
- The backside of too much collegial trust
  - > Autonomy is an important aspect of the teacher profession<sup>20</sup>
  - > A possible impediment to professional ties outside the own school organization<sup>21</sup>

### Limitations

Depth and Dilemmas.

- > A single approach of homogeneity of staff culture
- > A unidirectional measure of collegial trust

2. Hargreaves (2007) in Stoll & Louis (eds.), Professional Learning Communities: Divergence,

1. Bryk et al. (1999), Educational Administration Quarterly, 35(5).

3. Tschannen-Moran (2009), Educational Administration Quarterly, 45(2).

4. Leana & Van Buren (1999), Academy of Management Review, 24(3).

5. Hoy & Tschannen-Moran (1999), Journal of School Leadership, 9(3).

7. Schein (2004). Organizational Culture and Leadership.

- 8. Kornblau (1982), Psychology in the Schools, 19(2). 9. Zucker (1986) in Staw & Cummings (eds.), Research in Organizational Behavior.
- 10. Maslowski (2006), Journal of Educational Administration, 44(1). 11. Bidwell (1970) in Rosengren & Lefton (eds.), Organizations and clients: Essays in the sociology of service.
- 12. Thrupp & Lupton (2006), British Journal of Educational Studies, 54(3). 13. Van Maele & Van Houtte (2009), Educational Administration Quarterly, 45(4).
- 15. Harrison & Klein (2007), Academy of Management Review, 32(4).
- 16. Erikson et al. (1979), British Journal of Sociology, 30(4). 17. Mathieu & Taylor (2007), Journal of Organizational Behavior, 28(2). 18. Bryk et al. (1993). Catholic schools and the common good.
- 19. Kramer (1999), Annual Review of Psychology, 50(1). 20. Clement & Vandenberghe (2000), Teaching and Teacher Education, 16(1).

Conclusion

- 6. Bryk & Schneider (2002). Trust in Schools: A Core Resource for Improvement.
- 14. Glick (1985), Academy of Management Review, 10(3).
- 21. Stoll & Louis (2007) in Stoll & Louis (eds.), Professional Learning Communities: Divergence, Depth and Dilemmas.