

# LQ optimal control for partially specified input noise

Alexander Erreygers   Jasper De Bock   Gert de Cooman  
Arthur Van Camp

Ghent University

28th European Conference on Operational Research

# Scalar linear systems

The *controller* is interested in the system

$$X_{k+1} = aX_k + bu_k + W_k, \quad (1)$$

for  $k \in N = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  
where

$X_{k+1}$  is the real-valued *state*,

$u_k$  is the real-valued *control input*,

$W_k$  is the real-valued **stochastic noise**.

In general, system parameters  $a$  and  $b$  can be time dependent.

# Scalar linear systems

The *controller* is interested in the system

$$X_{k+1} = aX_k + bu_k + W_k. \quad (1)$$

## Observation assumptions

- 1 Before applying  $u_k$ , the controller observes the actual value  $x_k$  of  $X_k$  (hence  $X_0 \equiv x_0$ ).
- 2 The controller has perfect recall.

# Scalar linear systems

The *controller* is interested in the system

$$X_{k+1} = aX_k + b\phi_k(X^k) + W_k. \quad (1)$$

## Observation assumptions

- 1 Before applying  $u_k$ , the controller observes the actual value  $x_k$  of  $X_k$  (hence  $X_0 \equiv x_0$ ).
- 2 The controller has perfect recall.

Controller determines  $u_k$  from state history  $x^k := (x_0, \dots, x_k)$ :

$$u_k = \phi_k(x^k).$$

$\phi_k : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a feedback function,

$\phi := (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_n)$  is a *control policy*,

$\Phi$  denotes the set of all control policies.

# Scalar linear systems

The *controller* is interested in the system

$$X_{k+1} = aX_k + b\phi_k(X^k) + W_k. \quad (1)$$

## Observation assumptions

- 1 Before applying  $u_k$ , the controller observes the actual value  $x_k$  of  $X_k$  (hence  $X_0 \equiv x_0$ ).
- 2 The controller has perfect recall.

Controller knows  $x^k$  and  $\phi \rightarrow$  can calculate  $w^{k-1}$ .

# Optimality of a control policy

For any control policy  $\phi \in \Phi$ , any  $k \in N$  and any state history  $x^k \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  we define the *quadratic cost functional* as

$$J[\phi|x^k] := \sum_{\ell=k}^n r\phi_{\ell}(x^k, X_{k+1:\ell})^2 + qX_{\ell+1}^2,$$

where  $q \geq 0$  and  $r > 0$  are real-valued coefficients.

# Precise noise model

## Definition (Precise noise model or PNM)

The controller's beliefs about the noise  $W_0, \dots, W_n$  are modelled using a **linear** expectation operator  $E$ .

# Optimality of a control policy

For any control policy  $\phi \in \Phi$ , any  $k \in N$  and any state history  $x^k \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  we define the *quadratic cost functional* as

$$J[\phi|x^k] := \sum_{\ell=k}^n r\phi_{\ell}(x^k, X_{k+1:\ell})^2 + qX_{\ell+1}^2,$$

where  $q \geq 0$  and  $r > 0$  are real-valued coefficients.

## Definition (Optimality)

A control policy  $\hat{\phi}$  is *optimal* if for all  $x_0$

$$\hat{\phi} \in \arg \min_{\phi \in \Phi} \mathbf{E}(J[\phi|x_0]).$$



# Optimality of a control policy

Assume that at time  $k$  the controller knows the state history  $x^k$  and noise history  $w^{k-1}$ .

We should only compare control policies  $\phi \in \Phi$  that could have resulted in  $x^k$  and  $w^{k-1}$ , i.e. such that  $x^k$ ,  $w^{k-1}$  and  $\phi$  are a solution of the system dynamics.

$$\Phi(x^k, w^{k-1}) := \left\{ \phi \in \Phi : \phi, x^k \text{ and } w^{k-1} \text{ are} \right. \\ \left. \text{a solution of the system dynamics.} \right\}$$

# Optimality of a control policy

Assume that at time  $k$  the controller knows the state history  $x^k$  and noise history  $w^{k-1}$ .

We should only compare control policies  $\phi \in \Phi$  that could have resulted in  $x^k$  and  $w^{k-1}$ , i.e. such that  $x^k$ ,  $w^{k-1}$  and  $\phi$  are a solution of the system dynamics.

$$\Phi(x^k, w^{k-1}) := \left\{ \phi \in \Phi : \phi, x^k \text{ and } w^{k-1} \text{ are} \right. \\ \left. \text{a solution of the system dynamics.} \right\}$$

## Definition (Optimality)

A control policy  $\hat{\phi}$  is *optimal* for the state history  $x^k$  and the noise history  $w^{k-1}$  if

$$\hat{\phi} \in \arg \min_{\phi \in \Phi(x^k, w^{k-1})} \mathbb{E}(J[\phi|x^k]|w^{k-1}).$$

# The principle of optimality

## Principle of optimality

A control policy that is “optimal” for the “current state” should also be optimal for the “remaining states” it can end up in.

# The principle of optimality

## Principle of optimality

A control policy that is “optimal” for the “current state” should also be optimal for the “remaining states” it can end up in.

Assume that  $\hat{\phi}$  is optimal for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The controller

- 1 observes  $x_0$ ,
- 2 applies  $u_0 = \phi_0(x_0)$ ,
- 3 observes  $x_1$  and computes  $w_0$ .

*Is  $\hat{\phi}$  optimal for  $(x_0, x_1)$  and  $w_0$ ?*

# The principle of optimality

## Principle of optimality

A control policy that is “optimal” for the “current state” should also be optimal for the “remaining states” it can end up in.

Assume that  $\hat{\phi}$  is optimal for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The controller

- 1 observes  $x_0$ ,
- 2 applies  $u_0 = \phi_0(x_0)$ ,
- 3 observes  $x_1$  and computes  $w_0$ .

*Is  $\hat{\phi}$  optimal for  $(x_0, x_1)$  and  $w_0$ ? Not necessarily!*

## Definition (Complete optimality)

If for all  $k \in N$  the control policy  $\phi \in \Phi$  is optimal for all  $x^k$  and  $w^{k-1}$  such that  $x^k, w^{k-1}$  and  $\phi$  are compatible, then it is *completely optimal*.

# Unique optimal control policy

## Theorem

The **unique** completely optimal control policy  $\hat{\phi}$  is given by

$$\hat{\phi}_k(x^k) := -\tilde{r}_k b \left( m_{k+1} a x_k + h_{k|w^{k-1}} \right).$$

$\tilde{r}_k$  and  $m_{k+1}$  are derived from backwards recursive relations.

Feedforward  $h_{k|w^{k-1}}$  is derived from  $h_{n+1|w^n} := 0$  and

$$h_{k|w^{k-1}} := a\tilde{r}_{k+1}r\mathbb{E}(h_{k+1|w^{k-1},W_k}|w^{k-1}) + m_{k+1}\mathbb{E}(W_k|w^{k-1}).$$

# Unique optimal control policy

## Theorem

The **unique** completely optimal control policy  $\hat{\phi}$  is given by

$$\hat{\phi}_k(x^k) := -\tilde{r}_k b \left( m_{k+1} a x_k + h_{k|w^{k-1}} \right).$$

$\tilde{r}_k$  and  $m_{k+1}$  are derived from backwards recursive relations.

Feedforward  $h_{k|w^{k-1}}$  is derived from  $h_{n+1|w^n} := 0$  and

$$h_{k|w^{k-1}} := a\tilde{r}_{k+1}r\mathbb{E}(h_{k+1|w^{k-1},W_k}|w^{k-1}) + m_{k+1}\mathbb{E}(W_k|w^{k-1}).$$

- ❌ Precise specification of noise model is necessary.
- ❌ Calculating the feedforward is intractable.
- ❌ Backwards recursive calculations
- ✅ Almost immediately generalisable to time-dependent  $a_k, b_k, r_k$  and  $q_{k+1}$  and/or multi-dimensional systems.

# Unique optimal control policy

## Disadvantages

- Calculating the feedforward is intractable.

Feedforward  $h_k|w^{k-1}$  is derived from  $h_{n+1}|w^n := 0$  and

$$h_k|w^{k-1} := a\tilde{r}_{k+1}r\mathbf{E}(h_{k+1}|w^{k-1}, W_k|w^{k-1}) + m_{k+1}\mathbf{E}(W_k|w^{k-1}).$$



# Unique optimal control policy

## Disadvantages

- Calculating the feedforward is intractable.
- s **White noise model:**  $W_0, \dots, W_n$  are mutually independent. Feedforward  $h_k$  is derived from  $h_{n+1} := 0$  and

$$h_k := a\tilde{r}_{k+1}rh_{k+1} + m_{k+1}\mathbf{E}(W_k).$$

# Unique optimal control policy

## Disadvantages

- Calculating the feedforward is intractable.
- s White noise model:  $W_0, \dots, W_n$  are mutually independent. Feedforward  $h_k$  is derived from  $h_{n+1} := 0$  and

$$h_k := a\tilde{r}_{k+1}rh_{k+1} + m_{k+1}\mathbf{E}(W_k).$$

- Backwards recursive calculations
- s White noise model & **stationarity** simplify these calculations. If  $\mathbf{E}(W_k) \equiv \mathbf{E}(W)$  for all  $k \in N$ , then

$$m_{k+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} m, \quad \tilde{r}_k \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{r}, \quad h_k \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} h.$$

# Partially specified noise model

- Precise specification of noise model is necessary.

# Partially specified noise model

- Precise specification of noise model is necessary.

## Definition (Partially specified noise model or PSNM)

The *partially specified noise model*  $\mathcal{E}$  is the largest subset of the set of all precise noise models such that for all  $\mathbb{E} \in \mathcal{E}$ , all  $k \in N$  and all  $w^{k-1}$

$$\underline{\mathbb{E}}(W_k) \leq \mathbb{E}(W_k | w^{k-1}) \leq \overline{\mathbb{E}}(W_k).$$

*Note:*  $\mathcal{E}$  does not assume independence!

# Partially specified noise model

- Precise specification of noise model is necessary.

## Definition (Partially specified noise model or PSNM)

The *partially specified noise model*  $\mathcal{E}$  is the largest subset of the set of all precise noise models such that for all  $\mathbb{E} \in \mathcal{E}$ , all  $k \in N$  and all  $w^{k-1}$

$$\underline{\mathbb{E}}(W_k) \leq \mathbb{E}(W_k | w^{k-1}) \leq \overline{\mathbb{E}}(W_k).$$

*Note:*  $\mathcal{E}$  does not assume independence!

## Definition (E-admissibility)

A control policy is *E-admissible* if it is completely optimal for at least one precise noise model in the partially specified noise model.

# E-admissible control policies

From the definition of E-admissibility, it follows immediately that any E-admissible control policy has the form

$$\phi_k(x^k) = -\tilde{r}_k b \left( m_{k+1} a x_k + h_{k|w^{k-1}} \right).$$

## Theorem

*For any E-admissible control policy, the feedforward term  $h_k|_{w^{k-1}}$  is bounded: for all  $k \in N$  and for all noise histories  $w^{k-1}$ ,*

$$\underline{h}_k \leq h_k|_{w^{k-1}} \leq \bar{h}_k.$$

*Moreover, any  $h_k|_{w^{k-1}} \in [\underline{h}_k, \bar{h}_k]$  is reached by some  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ .*

Strict bounds  $\underline{h}_k$  and  $\bar{h}_k$  are derived from  $[\underline{h}_{n+1}, \bar{h}_{n+1}] := 0$  and

$$[\underline{h}_k, \bar{h}_k] := a\tilde{r}_{k+1}r[\underline{h}_{k+1}, \bar{h}_{k+1}] + m_{k+1}[\underline{E}(W_k), \bar{E}(W_k)].$$

## Theorem

*For any E-admissible control policy, the feedforward term  $h_k|_{w^{k-1}}$  is bounded: for all  $k \in N$  and for all noise histories  $w^{k-1}$ ,*

$$\underline{h}_k \leq h_k|_{w^{k-1}} \leq \bar{h}_k.$$

*Moreover, any  $h_k|_{w^{k-1}} \in [\underline{h}_k, \bar{h}_k]$  is reached by some  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ .*

- + Imprecise specification
- + Computation of  $\underline{h}_k$  and  $\bar{h}_k$  is tractable.
- + Easily generalised to  $a_k, b_k, r_k$  and  $q_{k+1}$ .
- ? Which control policy to apply?
- Backwards recursive calculations
- ? Generalisation to multi-dimensional systems is not immediate.



# E-admissible control policies

## Stationarity and open questions

- Backwards recursive calculations
- **S** *Stationarity* of bounds on expectation simplifies these calculations.

If  $\underline{E}(W_k) \equiv \underline{E}(W)$  and  $\overline{E}(W_k) \equiv \overline{E}(W)$  for all  $k \in N$ , then

$$m_{k+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} m, \quad \tilde{r}_k \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{r}, \quad \underline{h}_k \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{h}, \quad \overline{h}_k \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{h}.$$

# E-admissible control policies

## Stationarity and open questions

- [-] Backwards recursive calculations
- [S] *Stationarity* of bounds on expectation simplifies these calculations.

If  $\underline{E}(W_k) \equiv \underline{E}(W)$  and  $\overline{E}(W_k) \equiv \overline{E}(W)$  for all  $k \in N$ , then

$$m_{k+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} m, \quad \tilde{r}_k \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{r}, \quad \underline{h}_k \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{h}, \quad \overline{h}_k \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{h}.$$

- [-] Which control policy to apply?
- [?] Possibility of using a secondary decision criterion.

# Summary

- The **partially specified** noise model only assumes bounds on the conditional expectation of the noise.

# Summary

- The *partially specified* noise model only assumes bounds on the conditional expectation of the noise.
- Every E-admissible control policy is a combination of the same *state feedback* and possibly different **noise feedforward**.

# Summary

- The *partially specified* noise model only assumes bounds on the conditional expectation of the noise.
- Every E-admissible control policy is a combination of the same *state feedback* and possibly different *noise feedforward*.
- **Tight bounds** on E-admissible noise feedforward can be easily calculated.

How to choose which element in the feedforward interval to apply remains an open question.

# Summary

- The *partially specified* noise model only assumes bounds on the conditional expectation of the noise.
- Every E-admissible control policy is a combination of the same *state feedback* and possibly different *noise feedforward*.
- *Tight bounds* on E-admissible noise feedforward can be easily calculated.  
How to choose which element in the feedforward interval to apply remains an open question.
- Unfortunately, these results are not immediately generalised to multi-dimensional systems.