







Specialised interviewing

HEADER

Who are they (elites v specialists)?

Persons who have a specialized information about, or who have involvement with, any social or political processes (Dexter, 2008)

- Elites are people in close proximity to power, deeply influential for the policymaking process (politicians, ministers, head of state, presidents, lobbyists, CEOs etc.)
- Specialists are experts in particular areas (lawyers, top civil servants, compliance officers, etc.)





Specialised interviewing: pros and cons

footer

1. Advantages

- Individual insights on the research context
 - Exploratory research and hypothesis testing
- In-depth information (useful to interpret documents)
- First-hand accounts (off-the-records)
- Network (access to other respondents)

2. Disadvantages (problems)

- Access
- (Unrepresentative) sampling *
- Bias
- Tape recording
- Coding and analysis

publications

IRCP Institute for International Research on Criminal Police Ghent University

consultancy

conferences



www.ircp.org

^{*} Not necessarily a problem, insofar as the researcher acknowledges it (Richards, 1996)

Before the interview: Access (1)

footer

1. Call them

- Introduce yourself
 - Affiliation with university or research institute, drop names if you can (gate-keepers) etc.
- Mention the research project and the content of the interview

2. Follow up with an email

- Make it official
 - Logo of the university and/or research institute
- Spell out the *basic* research content
- Explain why them
 - Research question(s)
 - Preferably, mention some (flattery) evidence (reports, statistics etc.)
- Place emphasis on *their* interest in the research
 - E.g., they can use the data you will collect
- (Cover the ground-rules)
 - E.g., use of tape recorder, how the information will be utilised etc.
- Anonymity and confidentiality
 - They might be requested by the respondent as a condition to accept the interview (e.g., confidentiality agreements)

research

publications

consultancy

conferences





www.ircp.org

Before the interview: Access (2)

footer

- 3. Call them again (schedule the interview)
- Ask for an intermediate length (e.g., 45 min-1 hour)
- 4. Follow up with an email (*check-list*)
- (General) list of main topics
- 5. Get well prepared (practice)
 - E.g., to avoid asking questions on information you can easily find elsewhere (websites, official documents etc.)





During the interview – best practices (1)

footer

1. Introduction

- Be ready to (once again) introduce yourself and the research project
- Be confident, professional and knowledgeable

2. Rapport

- Pay attention to the dress code
- Avoid academic (and technical) jargon
- Be an (emphatic) listener
- Encourage the respondent non verbally
- Give silence a chance





During the interview – best practices (2)

footer

3. Semi-structured interviews (conversation guide or *checklist*)

- List of main topics
- Ask open questions
 - Start with general questions and proceed with more specific ones
 - Ask probe and follow-up questions
 - Leave the most sensitive questions to the middle of the interview (trust)
 - Ensure that the respondent does not go too far off the subject
 - Pay attention to how questions are formulated (and not to steer answers)
- Take down some notes using keywords
- Pay attention to non verbal behaviour
- Keep it open
 - At the end of the interview, always ask if there are other areas you have not touched upon, that the
 interviewee may be willing to comment





After the interview

footer

- 1. Extend the notes *asap* (memory does not last that long!)
- 2. Thank them via email
- Send the transcripts of the interview (or a summary of it), if requested
- Ask further questions or clarifications
- Establish networks











