## microbial biotechnology

### Brief report

# Toxicity of long chain fatty acids towards acetate conversion by *Methanosaeta concilii* and *Methanosarcina mazei*

Sérgio A. Silva,<sup>1</sup> Andreia F. Salvador,<sup>1</sup> Ana J. Cavaleiro,<sup>1,\*</sup> M. Alcina Pereira,<sup>1</sup> Alfons J. M. Stams,<sup>1,2</sup> M. Madalena Alves<sup>1</sup> and Diana Z. Sousa<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Centre of Biological Engineering, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal.

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

#### Summary

Long-chain fatty acids (LCFA) can inhibit methane production by methanogenic archaea. The effect of oleate and palmitate on pure cultures of Methanosaeta concilii and Methanosarcina mazei was assessed by comparing methane production rates from acetate before and after LCFA addition. For both methanogens, a sharp decrease in methane production (> 50%) was observed at 0.5 mmol  $L^{-1}$ oleate, and no methane was formed at concentrations higher than 2 mmol  $L^{-1}$  oleate. Palmitate was less inhibitory than oleate, and M. concilii was more tolerant to palmitate than *M. mazei*, with 2 mmol  $L^{-1}$ palmitate causing 11% and 64% methanogenic inhibition respectively. This study indicates that M. concilii and M. mazei tolerate LCFA concentrations similar to those previously described for hydrogenotrophic methanogens. In particular, the robustness of M. concilii might contribute to the observed prevalence of Methanosaeta species in anaerobic bioreactors used to treat LCFA-rich wastewater.

Received 3 February, 2016; revised 30 March, 2016; accepted 8 April, 2016. \*For correspondence. E-mail: acavaleiro@deb.uminho.pt; Tel. +351253604423; Fax +351253604429.

Microbial Biotechnology (2016) 9(4), 514–518

doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12365

**Funding Information** The authors thank the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013)/ERC Grant Agreement no. 323009 and the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) under the scope of the strategic funding of UID/BIO/04469/2013 unit and COMPETE 2020 (POCI-01-0145-FEDER-006684), and Project RECI/BBBEBI/0179/2012 (FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-027462).

#### Introduction

Long-chain fatty acids (LCFA) are released during lipid hydrolysis, and hold the majority of the energy potential of these biomolecules (Alves *et al.*, 2009). LCFA are degraded by anaerobic bacteria through  $\beta$ -oxidation to form acetate and hydrogen, which are then used by acetoclastic and hydrogenotrophic methanogens to produce methane. In anaerobic bioreactors, approximately 70% of the methane produced from LCFA results from acetoclastic activity, whereas about 30% derives from hydrogenotrophic activity (Sousa *et al.*, 2009). Low methane production has been reported during continuous bioreactor operation with LCFA, which was associated with inhibition and toxicity of these compounds towards the methanogenic communities (Chen *et al.*, 2014; Dereli *et al.*, 2014).

Studies on the toxic effect of LCFA on the acetoclastic and hydrogenotrophic activities of anaerobic sludge indicate that acetoclastic methanogens are the most sensitive to LCFA (Alves et al., 2009; Palatsi et al., 2010). Nevertheless, results obtained in our research group showed a significant increase in the relative abundance of methanogens in anaerobic sludge exposed to continuous feeding of oleate  $(C_{18:1})$  and palmitate  $(C_{16:0})$ followed by batch incubation (Sousa et al., 2007). Endurance of acetoclastic methanogens in a continuous bioreactor treating LCFA-rich effluent, at organic loading rates up to 21 kg m<sup>-3</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>, has also been reported (Salvador et al., 2013). Additionally, activity of acetoclastic methanogens in sludge incubated with LCFA in batch assays has been shown, as more than 80% of the proteins assigned to the archaeal community were from Methanosaeta concilii (Salvador, 2013). The prevalence of acetoclastic methanogens belonging to Methanosaeta and Methanosarcina genera in LCFA-degrading environments has been reported in other studies (Shigematsu et al., 2006; Palatsi et al., 2010; Baserba et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2015), suggesting some controversy in the reported sensitivity of acetoclastic methanogens to LCFA.

In lipid-containing wastewaters, oleate (C<sub>18:1</sub>) is generally the most abundant LCFA, and palmitate (C<sub>16:0</sub>) tends to accumulate in anaerobic bioreactors treating

© 2016 The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.





these effluents (Pereira *et al.*, 2002; Dereli *et al.*, 2014). The effect of LCFA on anaerobic sludge has been studied before (Sousa *et al.*, 2007; Palatsi *et al.*, 2010; Silva *et al.*, 2014), and a few studies report the sensitivity of pure cultures of hydrogenotrophic methanogens (Sousa *et al.*, 2013; Zhou *et al.*, 2013). Information on the sensitivity of pure cultures of acetoclastic methanogens is lacking. *Methanosaeta concilii* and *Methanosarcina mazei* were the ones commonly found in mesophilic anaerobic bioreactors treating LCFA-based wastewaters (Table S1). In this work, the effect of saturated (C<sub>16:0</sub>, palmitate) and unsaturated (C<sub>18:1</sub>, oleate) LCFA on the acetoclastic methanogenesis of pure cultures of *M. concilii* and *M. mazei* was investigated.

#### **Results and discussion**

*Methanosaeta concilii* (DSM  $3671^{T}$ ) and *M. mazei* (DSM  $2053^{T}$ ) were grown on sodium acetate as substrate for methane production, which was quantified over time before and after LCFA addition (Figs S1 and S2). Differences in methane production rate before and after LCFA addition were used to determine the methanogenic inhibition at oleate or palmitate concentrations of 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> (see Fig. 1 as example).

Slope ratio ( $S_{ratio}$ ) was calculated for each incubation condition according to equation  $S_{ratio} = SlopeA/SlopeB$ , where Slope B and slope A represent the cumulative methane production slopes (mmol  $L^{-1}$  day<sup>-1</sup>) before and after the headspace flushing, second acetate addition and LCFA (oleate or palmitate) addition. Methane production rate of M. concilii was affected by oleate, as shown by the sharp decrease in the  $S_{ratio}$  with 0.5 mmol  $L^{-1}$  oleate, compared with the  $S_{ratio}$  obtained in the control (Table 1). This corresponds to 67% methanogenic inhibition and thus the  $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$  of oleate was below 0.5 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>. No methane was produced, nor was acetate consumed, after the addition of 2 and 4 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> oleate to *M. concilii* cultures (Table 1 and Table S2). which suggests complete methanogenic inhibition. Palmitate also affected methane production of M. concilii, although not as much as oleate, i.e. palmitate concentrations up to 2 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> resulted in a maximum inhibition of 11%, whereas in the presence of 4 mmol  $L^{-1}$ methanogenesis was inhibited by 94% (Table 1).

Similar results were obtained with *M. mazei* in the presence of oleate, which caused 64% inhibition at 0.5 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> and complete inhibition at 2 and 4 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1 and Table S2). However, although *Methanosarcina* spp. are reported as highly tolerant to others toxicants, such as ammonia and salts (De Vrieze *et al.*, 2012; Hao *et al.*, 2015), *M. mazei* was more vulnerable to palmitate than *M. concilii*. Palmitate concentrations of 2 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> caused a 64% decrease of methane production by



Fig. 1. Cumulative methane production from acetate by M. mazei before and after the addition of 0.5 mmol  $L^{-1}$  of oleate: (A) cumulative methane content measured in the bottles headspace; (B) cumulative methane production mathematically adjusted. Dashed lines represent the methane production rate (mmol  $L^{-1}$  day<sup>-1</sup>) before (Slope B) and after (Slope A) LCFA addition. Arrow points the moment of headspace flushing and second acetate addition (1) and LCFA addition (1). *M. mazei* (DSM 2053<sup>T</sup>) was acquired from the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ, Germany) and was grown under strict anaerobic conditions as described by Stams et al. (1993) with sodium acetate as substrate at a final concentration of 20 mmol  $L^{-1}$ . Methane production was quantified over time until the mid-exponential phase was achieved. At this point, the methane accumulated in the headspace was quantified by gas chromatography, and after was removed under sterile conditions by flushing with 80% N2 and 20% CO2 gas mixture. To avoid substrate limitation during the exposure to LCFA, 10 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of acetate was added at the moment of LCFA addition. Assays were performed in duplicate and bottles were incubated in the dark at 37°C, without agitation.

*M. mazei*, while for the same concentration the methanogenic inhibition of *M. concilii* was only 11% (Table 1).

The predominance of *Methanosaeta* spp. in anaerobic reactors containing high concentrations of palmitate (Shigematsu *et al.*, 2006; Salvador *et al.*, 2013) also indicates that *Methanosaeta* spp. might be more tolerant than *Methanosarcina* spp. In methanogenic bioreactors treating LCFA-based wastewater, the presence of both *Methanosaeta* and *Methanosarcina* species has been reported. *Methanosaeta* spp. are usually the dominant acetoclastic methanogens when acetate concentrations are low, due to their higher affinity for acetate compared with *Methanosarcina* spp., while *Methanosarcina* spp are generally more abundant at high acetate concentrations (De Vrieze *et al.*, 2012). Nevertheless, *Methanosaeta* 

© 2016 The Authors. Microbial Biotechnology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology, Microbial Biotechnology, 9, 514–518

	$LCFA/mmol L^{-1}$	Slope <i>B</i>	SlopeA	$S_{ m ratio}{}^{ m a,c}$	Inhibition/% <sup>b,c</sup>
M. concilii					
	Control	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$1.9\pm0.1$	$1.8\pm0.2$	-
Oleate	0.5	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.6\pm0.2$	$0.6\pm0.2$	$67 \pm 13$
	1	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$83 \pm 14$
	2	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$100\pm16$
	4	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$	0.0 ± 0.1	$100 \pm 16$
Palmitate	0.5	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	1.8 ± 0.2	0
	1	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$1.8\pm0.3$	$1.7 \pm 0.3$	$6\pm22$
	2	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$1.8 \pm 0.1$	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	$11 \pm 11$
	4	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$94 \pm 15$
M. mazei					
	Control	$2.2 \pm 0.2$	$2.4 \pm 0.1$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	_
Oleate	0.5	1.8 ± 0.1	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.0$	64 ± 11
	1	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	64 ± 19
	2	1.8 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.0	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	100 ± 14
	4	$1.7 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$	100 ± 14
Palmitate	0.5	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$1.7 \pm 0.2$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	0
	1	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	0
	2	2.1 ± 0.2	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$64 \pm 22$
	4	$2.0 \pm 0.6$	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.1	100 ± 14

Table 1. Slopes ratio (S<sub>ratio</sub>) calculated for *Methanosaeta concilii* and *Methanosarcina mazei* in the presence of different oleate and palmitate concentrations.

**a**. Differences in methane production rate before and after LCFA addition were expressed as a slope ratio ( $S_{ratio}$ ) that was calculated for each condition using the cumulative methane production slope, according to the equation ( $S_{ratio}$  = SlopeA/SlopeB). For control assays, in which no LCFA was added,  $S_{ratio}$  were equally calculated and *SlopeA* determined after the headspace flushing and second acetate addition (Figs S1 and S2).

**b**. The inhibitory effect of the different LCFA concentrations on methane production was expressed in percentage, by comparing the  $S_{ratio}$  obtained from the LCFA supplemented assays ( $S_{ratio_L}$ ) with the slopes ratio obtained from the control assays ( $S_{ratio_C}$ ), according to equation (Inhibition = (( $S_{ratio_C} - S_{ratio_L})/S_{ratio_C}$ )\*100).

c. Average  $\pm$  standard deviation of duplicate assays.

Table 2. Concentration (mmol  $L^{-1}$ ) of oleate and palmitate necessary to inhibit in 50% methanogenesis of pure cultures of acetoclastic and hydrogenotrophic methanogens.

	Acetoclastic methanogens	Acetoclastic methanogens		Hydrogenotrophic methanogens <sup>a</sup>		
LCFA	Methanosaeta concilii	Methanosarcina mazei	Methanospirillum hungatei	Methanobacterium formicicum		
Oleate Palmitate	< 0.5 ]2–4[	< 0.5 ]1–2]	< 0.5 ]1–2[	< 1 > 4		

a. Sousa et al. (2013).

was found to persist and dominate over *Methanosarcina* in unstable anaerobic bioreactors with acetate concentrations up to 44 mmol  $L^{-1}$  (Chen and He, 2015). The prevalence of these acetoclastic microorganisms in LCFA-degrading environments might also be influenced by their different sensitivity to these compounds.

A comparison between  $IC_{50}$  values obtained for oleate and palmitate towards acetoclastic methanogens in this study, and towards hydrogenotrophic methanogens (Sousa *et al.*, 2013) is presented in Table 2. Our results show that *M. concilii* and *M. mazei* are similarly affected by the presence of oleate as the hydrogenotroph *Methanospirillum hungatei*, and *M. concilii* seems to be even more tolerant to the presence of palmitate than *M. hungatei*. Previous studies on the toxicity of LCFA towards anaerobic sludge highlighted the higher sensitivity of acetoclasts compared with hydrogenotrophs. Since LCFA can absorb to the cells at variable amounts, its toxicity might be explained by a physical inhibition phenomenon rather than by direct metabolic inhibition (Pereira *et al.*, 2005). Mass transfer limitations exerted by LCFA are likely more pronounced for acetate than for hydrogen transport, since hydrogen is a smaller molecule (Pereira *et al.*, 2005).

Differences in cell envelopes composition might also influence the sensitivity of microorganisms. For example, the cell wall of *Methanosarcina* contains methanochondroitin and the one of *Methanosaeta* contains a sheath surrounding the S-layer and the cytoplasmic membranes. The sheath might have a protective effect since

© 2016 The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology, *Microbial Biotechnology*, **9**, 514–518

it is reported to be resistant to detergents (Claus and König, 2010).

Although the studies with mixed communities degrading LCFA are important, information about the sensitivity of individual species growing in pure cultures show the unequivocal metabolic behaviour of each tested species in the presence of LCFA.

The tolerance to LCFA can be higher when methanogens are growing in complex microbial communities than in pure cultures, due to a structural protection provided by aggregation of different microbial species. IC<sub>50</sub> values between 0.1 and 1 mmol  $L^{-1}$  and approximately 3 mmol  $L^{-1}$  were reported for suspended and granular sludge respectively (Table S3). These values are, however, close to the ones obtained in this study for acetoclastic methanogens, and by Sousa et al. (2013) for pure cultures of hydrogenotrophic methanogens, and are indicative of LCFA concentrations that might cause operational problems due to direct inhibition of methanogens. These studies, all together, allow to discriminate between mass transport-related physical inhibition and metabolic inhibition, which impacts practical applications of anaerobic processes for treatment of LCFA-containing wastewater.

Because in continuous bioreactors oleate is for a large part converted to palmitate (Pereira *et al.*, 2002), the potential toxicity of palmitate is, in this context, most relevant. Palmitate concentrations between 1 and 2 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> can be tolerated by methanogenic communities, allowing to feed higher oleate concentrations than the IC<sub>50</sub> for oleate (<0.5 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>). The higher IC<sub>50</sub> exhibited by the acetoclastic *M. concilii* and the hydrogenotroph *Methanobacterium formicicum* (Table 2), particularly for palmitate, may explain why these species are commonly found in bioreactors and point to their importance in the conversion of LCFA to methane in anaerobic wastewater treatment systems.

#### Conclusions

In this work, two acetoclastic methanogens revealed different tolerance to LCFA. *Methanosaeta concilii* demonstrated a tolerance to palmitate similar to hydrogenotrophic methanogens, which generally are considered to be more resistant. These results are relevant in the context of lipid-rich wastewater treatment, where the presence and prevalence of *Methanosaeta* species could be a good indicator of the system potential to efficiently convert LCFA to methane.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Alves, M.M., Pereira, M.A., Sousa, D.Z., Cavaleiro, A.J., Picavet, M., Smidt, H., and Stams, A.J.M. (2009) Waste lipids to energy: how to optimize methane production from long-chain fatty acids. *Microb Biotechnol* 2: 538–550.
- Baserba, M.G., Angelidaki, I., and Karakashev, D. (2012) Effect of continuous oleate addition on microbial communities involved in anaerobic digestion process. *Bioresource Technol* **106**: 74–81.
- Chen, S., and He, Q. (2015) Persistence of *Methanosaeta* populations in anaerobic digestion during process instability. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* **42:** 1129–1137.
- Chen, J.L., Ortiz, R., Steele, T.W.J., and Stuckey, D.C. (2014) Toxicants inhibiting anaerobic digestion: a review. *Biotechnol Adv* **32:** 1523–1534.
- Claus, H. and König, H. (2010) Cell envelopes of methanogens. In *Prokaryotic Cell Wall Compounds: Structure and Biochemistry*. König, H., Claus, H. and Varma, A. (eds). Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany: pp. 231–251.
- De Vrieze, J., Hennebel, T., Boon, N., and Verstraete, W. (2012) *Methanosarcina*: the rediscovered methanogen for heavy duty biomethanation. *Bioresource Technol* **112**: 1–9.
- Dereli, R.K., van der Zee, F.P., Heffernan, B., Grelot, A., and van Lier, J.B. (2014) Effect of sludge retention time on the biological performance of anaerobic membrane bioreactors treating corn-to-ethanol thin stillage with high lipid content. *Water Res* **49**: 453–464.
- Hao, L., Lü, F., Mazéas, L., Quéméner, E.D., Madigou, C., Guenne, A., *et al.* (2015) Stable isotope probing of acetate fed anaerobic batch incubations shows a partial resistance of acetoclastic methanogenesis catalyzed by *Methanosarcina* to sudden increase of ammonia level. *Water Res* 69: 90–99.
- Ma, J., Zhao, Q.-B., Laurens, L.L.M., Jarvis, E.E., Nagle, N.J., Chen, S., and Frear, C.S. (2015) Mechanism, kinetics and microbiology of inhibition caused by long-chain fatty acids in anaerobic digestion of algal biomass. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 8: 141. doi:10.1186/s13068-015-0322-z.
- Palatsi, J., Illa, J., Prenafeta-Boldú, F.X., Laureni, M., Fernandez, B., Angelidaki, I., and Flotats, X. (2010) Longchain fatty acids inhibition and adaptation process in anaerobic thermophilic digestion: batch test, microbial community structure and mathematical modelling. *Bioresource Technol* **101**: 2243–2251.
- Pereira, M.A., Pires, O.C., Mota, M., and Alves, M.M. (2002) Anaerobic degradation of oleic acid by suspended and granular sludge: identification of palmitic acid as a key intermediate. *Water Sci Technol* **45**: 139–144.
- Pereira, M.A., Pires, O.C., Mota, M., and Alves, M.M. (2005) Anaerobic biodegradation of oleic and palmitic acids: evidence of mass transfer limitations caused by long chain fatty acid accumulation onto the anaerobic sludge. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **92:** 15–23.
- Salvador, A.F. (2013) Functional analysis of syntrophic LCFA-degrading microbial ecosystems. PhD Thesis, University of Minho. URL http://hdl.handle.net/1822/28641.
- Salvador, A.F., Cavaleiro, A.J., Sousa, D.Z., Alves, M.M., and Pereira, M.A. (2013) Endurance of methanogenic

<sup>© 2016</sup> The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology, *Microbial Biotechnology*, **9**, 514–518

#### 518 S. A. Silva et al.

archaea in anaerobic bioreactors treating oleatebased wastewater. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **97:** 2211– 2218.

- Shigematsu, T., Tang, Y., Mizuno, Y., Kawaguchi, H., Morimura, S., and Kida, K. (2006) Microbial diversity of mesophilic methanogenic consortium that can degrade longchain fatty acids in chemostat cultivation. *J Biosci Bioeng* **102:** 535–544.
- Silva, S.A., Cavaleiro, A.J., Pereira, M.A., Stams, A.J.M., Alves, M.M., and Sousa, D.Z. (2014) Long-term acclimation of anaerobic sludges for high-rate methanogenesis from LCFA. *Biomass Bioenerg* 67: 297–303.
- Sousa, D.Z., Pereira, M.A., Smidt, H., Stams, A.J.M., and Alves, M.M. (2007) Molecular assessment of complex microbial communities degrading long chain fatty acids in methanogenic bioreactors. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **60**: 252– 265.
- Sousa, D.Z., Smidt, H., Alves, M.M., and Stams, A.J.M. (2009) Ecophysiology of syntrophic communities that degrade saturated and unsaturated long-chain fatty acids. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 68: 257–272.
- Sousa, D.Z., Salvador, A.F., Ramos, J., Guedes, A.P., Barbosa, S., Stams, A.J.M., *et al.* (2013) Activity and viability of methanogens in anaerobic digestion of unsaturated and saturated long-chain fatty acids. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **79**: 4239–4245.
- Stams, A.J.M., van Dijk, J.B., Dijkema, C., and Plugge, C.M. (1993) Growth of syntrophic propionate-oxidizing

bacteria with fumarate in the absence of methanogenic bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **59:** 1114–1119.

Zhou, X., Meile, L., Kreuzer, M. and Zeitz, J.O. (2013) The effect of saturated fatty acids on methanogenesis and cell viability of *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium*. *Archaea*. vol. 2013, Article ID 106916, 9 pages, 2013. doi:10.1155/2013/106916.

#### Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:

Fig. S1. Cumulative methane production from acetate consumption by *Methanosaeta concilii* during exposure to oleate or palmitate.

**Fig. S2.** Cumulative methane production from acetate consumption by *Methanosarcina mazei* during exposure to oleate or palmitate.

 Table S1.
 Main
 acetoclastic
 methanogens
 detected
 in

 anaerobic sludges from LCFA-fed reactors.
 Image: CFA-fed reactors.</t

Table S2. Acetate concentration (mmol  $L^{-1}$ ) determined before LCFA was added (Ac<sub>init</sub>) and at the end of the assays (Ac<sub>end</sub>).

 Table S3. Inhibition of acetoclastic methanogenic activity by

 LCFA, in several sludges exposed to different wastewater

 compositions.