#### **Twin Research and Human Genetics**

Volume 16 ■ Number 5 ■ pp. 998–1007 ■ © The Authors 2013 ■ doi:10.1017/thg.2013.56

## A Study of Multiple Deliveries in Portugal: Indications of an Iberian Peninsula Pattern

Vicente Fuster,<sup>1</sup> Carlota Santos,<sup>2</sup> Jorge Román-Busto,<sup>1</sup> and Manuel Magalhaes<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology and Physical Anthropology, Faculty of Biology, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain <sup>2</sup>Group of History of Populations/CITCEM, Department of History-Institute of Social Sciences, Minho University, Campus de Gualtar, Braga, Portugal

<sup>3</sup>Group of History of Populations/CITCEM, Minho University, Campus de Gualtar, Braga, Portugal

Information on multiple deliveries with regard to Portugal is scarce. Based on data provided by the Portuguese Institute of Statistics (INE), the rates for double and triple deliveries were calculated since 1930. The results obtained show for twins a uniform temporal pattern up to the 1970s. At this time rates decreased, but later they gradually recovered, reaching their maximum level in 2010. For triplets, the highest rates occurred between 1999 and 2002. For the period 1988–2011, the rates of multiple deliveries were related to a set of variables recorded in the INE database on live births. Significant differences (p < .001) between simple and multiple deliveries were obtained for maternal age, parity and marital status. Considering the year when the delivery occurred, significant differences (p < .001) persisted for maternal age regardless of the year. For the type of mating, significance was consistently found since the year 2002 (either by using the marital or the cohabitation criteria), and for parity since 2003. With regard to territorial variation, throughout seven periods between 1930 and 2011, the rates among the 20 administrative Portuguese territories, including the two insular districts of Açores and Madeira, were mostly stable for twinning rates, with a minimum level in 1970–1989. Regarding triplets, the greatest inter-district variation was found after 1980. The results of the Portuguese study on multiple deliveries are interpreted in the context of the lberian Peninsula based on findings reported for Spain.

**Keywords:** twins, triplets, regional-temporal analysis

Information on multiple deliveries has been scarce for most Southern European countries (Parazzini et al., 1991). This applies particularly to the Iberian Peninsula (Spain plus Portugal), until the publication of Fuster et al. (2010), a study dealing with the temporal and territorial variation of multiple deliveries in Spain.

With regard to Portugal, Bulmer (1960) reported for the years 1955–1956 twinning rates of 10.1 for standardized maternal ages. This figure was considered low in comparison with other European countries, but higher than the value of 5.1 indicated by the same author for Spain (1951–1953). Other studies on Portugal refer to genetic or clinical questions affecting twins (Maia et al., 2013; Matias et al., 2000, 2001; Munar-Qués et al., 1999; Pinheiro et al., 2009), an approach far from the interest of the present research.

Studies that focused on European countries revealed a reduction of twinning (Hajn, 1997), which was especially evident in Western Europe throughout the 1960s and the 1970s (James, 1982). Continental regional differences in multiple delivery rates are mainly attributable to dizygotic twins

998

(James, 1986). Moreover, a geographic gradient was found, consisting of maximum rates for the Northern countries and minimum for the Southern Latin ones (Astolfi et al., 2003; Eriksson et al., 1995; Fellman & Eriksson, 2009b).

A set of factors has been analyzed as possibly influencing temporal and geographic variation in twinning rates. As examples of these factors, maternal age and birth order may be indicated (Fellman & Eriksson, 1987). However, according to Eriksson et al. (1995), Eriksson and Fellman (2004), and Fellman and Eriksson (2003), such variability cannot be explained satisfactorily. Other demographic variables,

RECEIVED 30 May 2013; ACCEPTED 10 July 2013. First published online 13 August 2013.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Vicente Fuster, Department of Zoology and Physical Anthropology, Faculty of Biology, Complutense University of Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain. E-mail: vfuster@ucm.es

including increasing population movements and urbanization processes, should be taken into account (Eriksson & Fellman, 2004; Fellman & Eriksson, 1990).

With the arrival of assisted reproductive treatments in the 1980s, the frequency of multiple deliveries reversed the previous declining tendency (Eriksson & Fellman, 2004), with patterns differing among countries (Imaizumi, 2003; Pison & d'Addato, 2006).

The objective of the present paper is to study for the first time the pattern of double and triple deliveries in Portugal throughout time and its spatial variation among its 20 administrative districts. Moreover, demographic factors explicative of such variation are considered. The results are compared and interpreted within an Iberian Peninsula context, based on the findings published for Spain.

## **Material and Methods**

The information analyzed comes from the Portuguese Institute of Statistics (INE), consisting of 13,915,101 deliveries occurring throughout the period 1930-2011. Between 1930-1989 and 2003-2010, data were aggregated yearly. Since 1988, information has also been available from individual registers of births filed annually (micro-data). Because these data files assigned one case to each birth corresponding to a multiple delivery (two cases for twins, three for triplets, and four for quadruplets), only one case per delivery was analyzed. The present study considered the temporal variation for the whole country. Data were then segregated by the 20 districts of Portugal (territorial variation). Because from 1990 to 2002, the geographic units were modified (Nuts II) to include several districts, cases were regrouped into the original districts according to the municipality code of mother's residence (1990-2011). This criterion is consistent with that applied by the Portuguese INE for the aggregated data (see Almeida Remoaldo, 1999). For 1988 to 2010, both sources of data (micro-data and aggregated) were compared: small differences in the annual absolute number of double and triple deliveries were observed, ranging between -26 and -7, and -5 and +1, respectively; but the variation in the corresponding rates of twins and triplets ( $\times$  1,000 deliveries) was negligible (*R*: 0.993, *p* < .001 for twins; *R*: 0.873, *p* < .001 for triplets). In order to compare the Portuguese and the Spanish patterns of multiple deliveries, data published in Fuster et al. (2010) were used. To include the year 2011, additional information was provided by the Spanish National Institute of Statistics.

To study temporal and territorial changes in the triple and double delivery rates, Fellman and Eriksson (2009a) report that statistical analysis based on Hellin's law was used. According to these authors, the Hellin ratio (HR) is a measure of the agreement with Hellin's law, with HR = triplet rate/(double rate)<sup>2</sup>. Values greater than 1 represent an excess of triplets, while HR <1, indicates a deficit of triplets.

## Results

#### Variation Throughout Time

Table 1 shows the yearly absolute frequencies of twinning and triple deliveries occurring in Portugal for the period 1930-2011 and their totals, as well as the corresponding number of deliveries (13,915,101). The rates for twins and triplets appearing in this table are displayed in Figure 1, where values for Spain are also represented for comparison. In Portugal, the observed yearly frequencies for twins had slight variation up to the 1970s. Since then, a rapid reduction is observed, followed by a recovery from 1990, reaching a maximum in 2010. For triplets, the highest rates occurred between 1999 and 2002. The Portuguese temporal pattern is close to the Spanish, although rates in Spain have always been slightly lower than the Portuguese, with the exception of the minimum level for twins at the end of the 1970s, which occurred earlier in Portugal than in Spain. The increase of rates occurring in the 1980s and the 1990s was more rapid in Spain than in Portugal for both types of multiple deliveries. For triplets, the decrease in the 2000s was initially more intense in Spain than in Portugal, but Spanish rates both for twins and triplets continued to be more elevated than the Portuguese.

Figure 2 shows the ratio (HR) between the rate for triplets and the square of the rate for twins, both with respect to total deliveries. The values of HR in Figure 2 illustrate how the proportion of triple to double deliveries varied differently in Portugal and Spain in the period where reproductive treatments began to be extensively applied, with triplets increasing in Spain considerably more than twins. In Portugal, HR nearly reached a value of 3, with a certain delay respecting Spain. Finally, since 2006, HR has practically returned to 1, the level existing before 1970.

# Demographic Factors Related to Multiple Deliveries in Portugal

Throughout the period 1988–2011, the rate of multiple deliveries (double, triple, and quadruplet) was related to a set of variables recorded at the Portuguese INE live births database. During these years, the mean maternal age at delivery increased from 26.35 to 30.35 years (115%), while mean parity reduced by 88% (1.86 to 1.63). The percentage of unmarried mothers increased from 13.7% to 42.9%, but only 10.9% of the latter reported not being in cohabitation in 2011. Children born from non-native Portuguese increased from 2.3% in 1995 to 10.4% in 2011.

These variables were related to the incidence of multiple deliveries, categorized as follows: mother's age at delivery (younger than 30 years vs. 30 and older), parity or number of children born including the delivery studied (1 and 2 or more), type of mating (1988–2011: married or unmarried; 1995–2011: in a couple or not in a couple). The mother's country of origin was not included in the analysis because

Year	N	Double	Triple	Double rate	Triple rate	Year	N	Double	Triple	Double rate	Triple rate
1930	202 529	2 263	26	11.17	1.28	1971	192 098	1 937	25	10.08	1.30
1931	204 120	2 391	16	11.71	0.78	1972	177 401	1 651	21	9.31	1.18
1932	208.062	2 380	28	11 44	1 35	1973	174 650	1 624	19	9 30	1 09
1933	200,002	2,000	24	10.26	1.00	1974	173 423	1 489	15	8 59	0.86
1934	203 158	2 190	27	10.20	1 33	1975	181 818	1 451	16	7 98	0.88
1935	203 943	2 028	19	9 94	0.93	1976	188 874	1 296	15	6.86	0.00
1936	205 615	2 157	12	10.49	0.58	1977	183 004	1 331	12	7 27	0.66
1937	195 932	2 179	16	11 12	0.82	1978	169,001	1 205	13	7.13	0.00
1938	197 237	2 211	19	11.21	0.96	1979	161 766	1 129	17	6.98	1.05
1939	205 953	1 861	22	9.04	1.07	1980	159 272	1 287	16	8.08	1.00
1940	194 539	2 152	24	11.06	1.07	1981	152 832	1 205	21	7.88	1.30
1941	191.060	2 024	28	10.59	1 47	1982	151 634	1 253	18	8 26	1.07
1942	194 163	2,021	28	11.26	1.17	1983	144 860	1 215	20	8 39	1 38
1943	204 892	2 3 3 4	36	11 39	1.76	1984	143 336	1 108	11	7 73	0.77
1944	208 291	2,331	23	11.07	1.70	1985	130 915	1,100	14	8.07	1.07
1945	215 639	2,331	32	10.74	1.10	1986	127 054	1,056	14	8 31	1.07
1946	212,659	2,017	28	10.71	1.10	1987	123 480	952	8	7 71	0.65
10/7	207 107	2,211	20	10.40	1.02	1088	120,400	950	1/	7.71	1 1/
1948	228 382	2,130	27	10.51	1.01	1989	118 641	1 042	15	8 78	1.14
10/0	218 032	2,720	20	10.02	1.10	1000	115 355	1,042	17	8 70	1.20
1950	210,752	2,270	21	10.41	0.99	1991	115 351	1,004	15	9.02	1.47
1051	211,003	2,173	20	10.27	0.77	1002	11/ 011	080	16	8.67	1.30
1952	217,277	2,304	32	10.75	1 47	1003	112 923	1 087	19	9.63	1.40
1053	208 202	2,224	30	10.21	1.47	100/	108 188	1,007	25	0.83	2 31
1054	200,202	2,150	30	10.50	1.44	1005	106,100	1,005	20	9.75	2.51
1055	205,427	2,137	26	10.00	1.47	1006	100,122	1,055	24	10.54	2.20
1056	208 331	2,240	17	10.09	0.82	1007	111 831	1,151	20	10.34	2.50
1057	217 168	2,102	32	10.07	1 /7	1008	112 247	1,133	30	10.55	3 47
1058	217,100	2,222	28	10.23	1.47	1000	112,247	1,100	37	11 7/	3.47
1050	210,133	2,200	20	11.00	1.20	2000	119 722	1,340	25	10.90	2.25
1939	210,271	2,401	30	10.78	1.37	2000	110,732	1,274	17	10.70	2.75
1061	217,104	2,303	32	11.05	1.40	2001	112 000	1,235	47	11.24	7.22
1062	222,734	2,402	34	10.66	1.44	2002	111 125	1,273	38	12.48	3.03
1062	223,331	2,403	22	10.00	1.51	2003	107.947	1,307	11	12.40	2.42
1703	217,210	2,273	25	10.30	1.00	2004	107,047	1,400	41	13.31	3.00
1904	221,730	2,322	25	10.47	1.50	2005	106,007	1,303	40 25	12.00	3.70
1066	214,024	2,213	20	10.30	1.10	2000	104,070	1,372	20	12.10	2.40
1960	211,432	2,122	30 10	10.04	0.92	2007	101,103	1,347	∠o 17	13.33	2.77
1069	200,202	2,100	17	0.00	0.72	2008	103,212	1,432	24	13.07	2.45
1700	170,000	1,703 2.02F	24	7.70	1.30	2009	70,003	1,402	24	14.71	2.40
1909	173,301	2,023	∠4 21	10.47	1.24	2010	77,70U	1,509	∠ I 20	13.10	2.10
1970	170,000	1,001	∠ I 1 00 4	10.25	1.17	2011	70,000	1,402	27	14.07	5.04

Vearly Frequency	of Double	Trinla a	and Total	Dolivorios	( N/\

TABLE 1

Note: Twins and triplet rates calculated per 1,000 and 10,000 deliveries, respectively. Since 1990, values come from micro-data files (individual inscriptions).



#### FIGURE 1

Yearly twinning (x 1,000) and triplets' (x 10,000) rates: Portugal versus Spain. Since 1990, Portuguese values come from micro-data files (individual inscriptions).



FIGURE 2

Yearly Hellin ratio (HR) in Portugal and Spain. Since 1990, Portuguese values come from micro-data files (individual inscriptions).

this item has only been available since 1995 (N = 112,431 cases).

Significant differences (p < .001) between simple and multiple deliveries were obtained for the mother's age, type of mating, and parity. The corresponding Pearson  $\chi^2$  (df = 1) decreased as follows: maternal age (1,590.175), mating (61.019); since 1995, marriages (128.373), cohabitation (88.687), and parity (27.232).

Significant differences (p < .001) persisted for the maternal age regardless of the year when the delivery occurred. For the type of mating, these differences were consistently found since the year 2002 (either for married or cohabitating couples) and for parity since 2003.

To find a possible association among the above variables, a logistic regression analysis was applied using the presence–absence of a multiple delivery as the dependent categorical variable and the remaining indicators as covariables (Table 2). Several trials were performed considering maternal age either as continuous or categorical (two or five categories) as well as the year of delivery (continuous; ordinal). Although no accurate prediction for multiple births was obtained, the values of the odds ratios (ORs) were consistent with those expected. The odds indicate that delayed maternity, a delivery in recent years, lack of previous reproduction, and marriage are conditions favoring multiple deliveries.

#### **Territorial Patterns**

The multiple birth pattern observed among the 20 Portuguese administrative districts (see Figure 3) was rather stable throughout time for twins, with minimum rates corresponding to 1970–1989 when total rates in Portugal were low (Table 3). For most districts, maximum values occurred in the last period (2000–2011), exceptions being Evora (code 7) and Portalegre (code 12). For triplets, however, (Table 4) the greatest variation occurred since 1990. For triplets, Braganza (code 4), Castelo Branco (5), and Portalegre (12) do not exhibit the generalized increase appreciable in the other districts in 2000–2011.

With regard to the inter-district comparison, Table 5 summarizes the pattern of differentiation by districts, showing the interval of values and the coefficient of variation for double and triple delivery rates. For twins, rates were most homogeneous between 1980 and 1999. For triplets, they were least similar among districts in the periods 1980–1989 and 2000–2011.

The HRs are shown by district in Figure 4. Elevated values in the last period (2000–2011) were found in Évora (district 7), Vila Real (17), Viseu (18), Madeira (20), Açores (19), Beja (2), and Coimbra (6). In the period 1980–1990, HR was far from Hellin's expectations in Portalegre (12).

#### Multiple Deliveries of the Iberian Peninsula

In order to define a common territorial pattern for multiple deliveries of the Iberian Peninsula, the rates for twins and triplets in Portugal and Spain are compared for the decades between 1940 and 2011. For Spain, the years 1981–1982 were not considered because the values provided for multiple deliveries are erroneous for some provinces (see Fuster et al., 2008). For Spain, deliveries were assigned to provinces

TABLE 3		
Total Number (N) of Twins and T	winning Rate (x1.000 deliveries	s) by District and Period

Carla	N	1020 1020	1040 1040	1050 1050	10/0 10/0	1070 1070	1000 1000	1000 1000	2000 2011
Code	IN	1930-1939	1940-1949	1930-1939	1900-1909	1970-1979	1960-1969	1990-1999	2000-2011
1	9,280	10.947	10.915	10.201	10.757	9.463	8.292	9.489	11.613
2	3,793	13.382	12.862	11.974	11.189	7.667	8.179	8.698	11.970
3	12,657	11.368	10.384	10.571	11.085	8.748	8.432	9.763	12.396
4	3,323	10.353	10.653	9.265	9.625	8.150	7.951	9.110	12.297
5	3,574	9.712	9.302	9.026	9.132	7.220	8.016	9.656	12.697
6	6,660	11.228	12.801	12.902	11.308	8.535	7.662	10.516	13.706
7	2,858	11.657	11.926	11.869	10.239	8.702	7.647	9.735	10.674
8	4,215	11.442	9.002	9.322	9.688	7.365	7.514	9.221	12.331
9	3,398	8.764	7.993	8.651	9.959	6.955	7.552	9.684	13.351
10	6,220	11.277	11.654	10.716	9.910	8.276	8.057	10.250	11.832
11	19,065	8.990	8.534	9.035	8.619	7.112	7.459	10.499	14.493
12	2,248	11.469	11.407	10.571	10.175	7.454	8.149	11.101	9.005
13	23,086	9.897	10.274	10.102	11.063	8.815	8.368	9.621	13.421
14	5,561	10.897	10.112	9.222	8.749	6.932	7.868	10.562	11.994
15	6,248	12.007	10.491	8.574	8.881	7.014	7.682	10.023	13.119
16	4,574	11.073	13.290	11.808	11.897	9.418	8.308	8.402	11.857
17	4,922	9.793	10.865	9.239	9.733	8.447	9.136	9.180	13.363
18	8,004	11,117	11,730	11,172	11,010	9,757	8,290	8.658	11.689
19	6,148	12.160	11.872	12.167	12.449	11.006	9.049	10.042	12.004
20	6,100	11.389	14.723	14.048	13.278	11.503	9.144	9.805	11.108
	Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Code         N           1         9,280           2         3,793           3         12,657           4         3,323           5         3,574           6         6,660           7         2,858           8         4,215           9         3,398           10         6,220           11         19,065           12         2,248           13         23,086           14         5,561           15         6,248           16         4,574           17         4,922           18         8,004           19         6,148           20         6,100	Code         N         1930–1939           1         9,280         10.947           2         3,793         13.382           3         12,657         11.368           4         3,323         10.353           5         3,574         9.712           6         6,660         11.228           7         2,858         11.657           8         4,215         11.442           9         3,398         8.764           10         6,220         11.277           11         19,065         8.990           12         2,248         11.469           13         23,086         9.897           14         5,561         10.897           15         6,248         12.007           16         4,574         11.073           17         4,922         9.793           18         8,004         11,117           19         6,148         12.160           20         6,100         11.389	Code         N         1930–1939         1940–1949           1         9,280         10.947         10.915           2         3,793         13.382         12.862           3         12,657         11.368         10.384           4         3,323         10.353         10.653           5         3,574         9.712         9.302           6         6,660         11.228         12.801           7         2,858         11.657         11.926           8         4,215         11.442         9.002           9         3,398         8.764         7.993           10         6,220         11.277         11.654           11         19,065         8.990         8.534           12         2,248         11.469         11.407           13         23,086         9.897         10.274           14         5,561         10.897         10.112           15         6,248         12.007         10.491           16         4,574         11.073         13.290           17         4,922         9.793         10.865           18         8,004         11,117	Code         N         1930–1939         1940–1949         1950–1959           1         9,280         10.947         10.915         10.201           2         3,793         13.382         12.862         11.974           3         12,657         11.368         10.384         10.571           4         3,323         10.353         10.653         9.265           5         3,574         9.712         9.302         9.026           6         6,660         11.228         12.801         12.902           7         2,858         11.657         11.926         11.869           8         4,215         11.442         9.002         9.322           9         3,398         8.764         7.993         8.651           10         6,220         11.277         11.654         10.716           11         19,065         8.990         8.534         9.035           12         2,248         11.469         11.407         10.571           13         23,086         9.897         10.274         10.102           14         5,561         10.897         10.112         9.222           15         6,248<	Code         N         1930–1939         1940–1949         1950–1959         1960–1969           1         9,280         10.947         10.915         10.201         10.757           2         3,793         13.382         12.862         11.974         11.189           3         12,657         11.368         10.384         10.571         11.085           4         3,323         10.353         10.653         9.265         9.625           5         3,574         9.712         9.302         9.026         9.132           6         6,660         11.228         12.801         12.902         11.308           7         2,858         11.657         11.926         11.869         10.239           8         4,215         11.442         9.002         9.322         9.688           9         3,398         8.764         7.993         8.651         9.959           10         6,220         11.277         11.654         10.716         9.910           11         19,065         8.990         8.534         9.035         8.619           12         2,248         11.469         10.274         10.102         11.063      <	CodeN1930–19391940–19491950–19591960–19691970–197919,28010.94710.91510.20110.7579.46323,79313.38212.86211.97411.1897.667312,65711.36810.38410.57111.0858.74843,32310.35310.6539.2659.6258.15053,5749.7129.3029.0269.1327.22066,66011.22812.80112.90211.3088.53572,85811.65711.92611.86910.2398.70284,21511.4429.0029.3229.6887.36593,3988.7647.9938.6519.9596.955106,22011.27711.65410.7169.9108.2761119,0658.9908.5349.0358.6197.112122,24811.46911.40710.57110.1757.4541323,0869.89710.27410.10211.0638.815145,56110.89710.1129.2228.7496.932156,24812.00710.4918.5748.8817.014164,57411.07313.29011.80811.8979.418174,9229.79310.8659.2399.7338.447188,00411,11711,73011,17211,0109,757	CodeN1930–19391940–19491950–19591960–19691970–19791980–198919,28010.94710.91510.20110.7579.4638.29223,79313.38212.86211.97411.1897.6678.179312,65711.36810.38410.57111.0858.7488.43243,32310.35310.6539.2659.6258.1507.95153,5749.7129.3029.0269.1327.2208.01666,66011.22812.80112.90211.3088.5357.66272,85811.65711.92611.86910.2398.7027.64784,21511.4429.0029.3229.6887.3657.51493,3988.7647.9938.6519.9596.9557.552106,22011.27711.65410.7169.9108.2768.0571119,0658.9908.5349.0358.6197.1127.459122,24811.46911.40710.57110.1757.4548.1491323,0869.89710.27410.10211.0638.8158.368145,56110.89710.1129.2228.7496.9327.868156,24812.00710.4918.5748.8817.0147.682164,57411.07313.29011.80811.8979.41	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Note: Since 1990, values come from micro-data files (individual inscriptions).



#### FIGURE 3

Map showing the administrative districts of Portugal (P) and Spanish provinces. Portuguese district codes are the same as in Table 3. The codes for the Spanish provinces, which are mentioned, appear in the text. For a complete list of codes, see Fuster et al. (2010), Table 2.

according to the mother's residence, as was done for Portugal. In Figures 5 and 6, only two periods representative of the years prior to and after the fertility treatments and the second demographic transition (Van de Kaa, 1987) are shown.

From 1940 to 1969, the rates of multiple deliveries show a north–south pattern of increase in Portuguese and Spanish administrative units that are geographically close. Figure 5 shows that for 1940–1949, the Spanish provinces located in the south east — Cáceres (code10), Huelva (21), and Badajoz (6) — display the same high rates as observed in the Portuguese districts of Beja, Évora, Santarem, Setubal, and Portalegre.

Intermediate rates are found in the Portuguese districts close to the border: Castelo Branco, and Guarda reveal values that correspond to the Spanish provinces of Murcia (30) and Alicante (3), and northeast and most of northern Spanish plateau, Salamanca (37), Palencia (34), Zamora

TABLE 4	
Total Number of Triplets (N) and Triplet Rate	(×10,000 deliveries) by District and Period

District	Code	N	1930–1939	1940–1949	1950–1959	1960–1969	1970–1979	1980–1989	1990–1999	2000–2011
Aveiro	1	137	1.086	1.649	1.149	1.611	1.482	1.260	2.298	2.029
Beja	2	58	1.381	2.440	1.649	1.576	1.381	0.473	2.829	3.201
Braga	3	167	0.534	1.323	1.561	1.557	1.101	0.922	2.471	1.688
Bragança	4	32	0.880	1.000	0.867	0.908	0.948	0.860	1.531	0.891
C. Branco	5	36	1.432	1.080	0.409	0.731	0.000	1.179	2.370	0.585
Coimbra	6	105	1.251	2.015	1.225	1.682	1.390	1.466	2.233	3.776
Évora	7	33	0.915	0.786	1.642	0.837	0.716	0.956	3.181	2.885
Faro	8	51	1.312	1.270	1.015	0.611	1.083	1.681	0.804	1.449
Guarda	9	50	1.134	0.671	1.609	1.657	0.980	0.816	3.953	1.492
Leiria	10	85	0.835	1.973	1.584	1.451	0.579	1.410	1.527	2.113
Lisboa	11	347	1.009	0.988	1.119	0.945	0.771	1.201	2.661	4.449
Portalegre	12	31	0.843	1.572	1.614	1.014	0.962	3.208	2.752	0.910
Porto	13	326	0.937	1.376	1.165	1.370	0.947	1.001	1.881	3.341
Santarém	14	75	1.377	1.017	1.599	0.928	0.872	0.771	2.582	1.757
Setúbal	15	81	1.297	1.337	0.609	1.025	0.674	1.021	1.017	2.705
Viana do C.	16	51	0.586	1.673	0.705	1.676	0.847	0.839	2.471	2.364
Vila Real	17	65	1.180	0.634	1.711	1.137	1.460	0.528	2.661	2.937
Viseu	18	96	1.130	0.867	1.000	1.367	1.067	0.797	2.675	3.787
Açores	19	73	1.188	1.963	1.300	1.637	1.138	1.491	1.683	3.159
Madeira	20	86	0.618	1.499	1.592	1.710	1.403	0.960	2.474	2.970

Note: Since 1990, values come from micro-data files (individual inscriptions).

TABLE 5

Rate Intervals for Twins ( $\times$ 1,000) and Triplets ( $\times$ 10,000), Standard Deviation (*SD*), and Coefficient of Variation (*SD*/mean) by District and Period

Delivery	Period	Minimum	Maximum	Rate	SD	CV
Double	1930–1939	8.764	13.382	10.946	1.102	0.101
	1940–1949	7.993	14.723	11.039	1.664	0.151
	1950–1959	8.574	14.048	10.522	1.543	0.147
	1960–1969	8.619	13.278	10.437	1.244	0.119
	1970–1979	6.932	11.503	8.427	1.310	0.155
	1980–1989	7.459	9.144	8.138	0.516	0.063
	1990–1999	8.402	11.101	9.701	0.697	0.072
	2000-2011	9.005	14.493	12.246	1.204	0.098
Triple	1930–1939	0.534	1.432	1.046	0.272	0.260
	1940–1949	0.634	2.440	1.357	0.494	0.364
	1950–1959	0.409	1.711	1.256	0.394	0.314
	1960–1969	0.611	1.710	1.271	0.368	0.289
	1970–1979	0.000	1.482	0.990	0.356	0.359
	1980–1989	0.473	3.208	1.142	0.580	0.508
	1990–1999	0.804	3.953	2.303	0.733	0.318
	2000-2011	0.585	4.449	2.424	1.069	0.441



#### FIGURE 4

Yearly Hellin ratio (HR) by district and decade. 1: Aveiro; 2: Beja; 3: Braga; 4: Bragança; 5: Castelo Branco; 6: Coimbra; 7: Évora; 8: Faro; 9: Guarda; 10: Leiria; 11: Lisboa; 12: Portalegre; 13: Porto; 14: Santarém; 15: Setúbal; 16: Viana do Castelo; 17: Vila Real; 18: Viseu; 19: Açores; 20: Madeira.



#### FIGURE 5

Twinning rates in Portuguese districts and Spanish provinces: 1940–1949. The names of the districts and provinces are shown in Figure 3.



#### FIGURE 6

Twinning rates in Portuguese districts and Spanish provinces: 2000–2011. The names of the districts and provinces are shown in Figure 3.

(49), and Valladolid (47). The lowest rates appear in the northern Atlantic provinces of Spain: Guipúzcoa (20), Cantabria (39), Asturias (33), Orense (32), and Coruña (15). Exceptions for the common Portuguese–Spanish gradient are: Burgos (9), Coimbra, and Viana do Castelo. Regarding the islands, no common pattern is observed among the Spanish archipelagos (Balears and Canary Islands: codes 7, 35 and 38) and the Portuguese (Açores and Madeira), but a pattern is evident between the two latter islands, where values have always been very high.

In the most recent years (2000–2011), the Spanish rates tend to be more elevated than the Portuguese. Although some districts and provinces maintain the proportionality of the rates of the previous periods, a territorial pattern is not evident. In this period, a great reduction of rates is noticeable in the archipelagos of Açores and Madeira (Portugal).

The differences in the absolute value of distances in latitude among the capital of each Portuguese district and Spanish province were correlated by means of the Mantel test with the distances of the corresponding rates of multiple deliveries. In the period 1940–1949, the twinning rates had a significant correlation, thus confirming the existence of a north–south cline (R: 0.120; p = .011) as displayed in Figure 5. For the remaining periods, correlations were not significant.

With regard to triplets, no clear geographic patterns can be indicated. However, a similar proportionality among rates for twins and triplets existed. The Mantel test does not provide significant correlations among the matrices of distance (latitude-triple delivery rates) for any of the periods considered. However, the test between the rates of double and triple deliveries within each administrative unit provides significant correlations for the periods 1940–1949 (*R*: 0.309, p = .000) and 1960–1969 (*R*: 0.166, p = .017).

### Discussion

The results obtained for Portugal are consistent with those reported by Bulmer (1960) for the 1950s. A decreasing tendency took place until the 1970s, changing to an increasing tendency since the 1980s. The temporal evolution of the rates of multiple deliveries in Portugal and Spain was compared. This comparison confirms that from 1930 to 1989 the Portuguese twinning rates consistently surpassed those of Spain. Variations in age at maternity and in the distribution of parities or in the criteria applied for recoding data could explain the small differences observed between both countries throughout the years prior to the introduction of reproductive treatments.

In recent years, the Spanish rates became more elevated than the Portuguese. This pattern of evolution is similar to that described by D'Addato (2007) for 15 developed countries. The evolution of twinning rates is related to the calendar of maternity as compared to younger mothers, older women have twins more frequently. Moreover, the growing frequency of multiple births also depends on reproductive treatments, which are largely applied in developed countries (D'Addato, 2007; Pison & D'Addato, 2006). This increase is largely attributable to ovulation induction and in vitro fertilization, combined with delays in parenthood (Burt & Klump, 2012). According to Martin et al. (2012), older maternal age accounts for about one-third of the growth in the twinning rate over this period. The higher availability and use of reproductive treatments likely explains much of the remainder of the rise.

Based on the data from the total treatment cycles (Andersen et al., 2008a), the abundance of multiple deliveries in Spain in comparison with Portugal, as well as the higher proportion of triplets with respect to twins in Spain, is consistent with a more extensive use of assisted reproductive technology. Schenker (1997) reported at that time a lower number of units practicing assisted reproduction per million of inhabitants in Portugal than in Spain, as well as more limitations according to the marital status in Portugal. Other differences referred to the use of ICSI versus IFV: in the years 1997–2004, the Spanish percentages surpassed those of Portugal (Andersen et al., 2008b).

When comparing triple and double deliveries, according to Fellman and Eriksson (2009a), agreement with Hellin's law could be verified using the HR: values greater than 1 represent an excess of triplets, while HR < 1 indicates a deficit of triplets. Before 1980, the yearly HR values were similar in Portugal and Spain (Figure 2). Since 1980, Spanish HR surpassed that of Portugal, and only in the most recent years have both countries returned to the values existing before 1970. Data from England and Wales showed high HR values coinciding with the introduction of subfertility treatments (Fellman & Eriksson, 2009a). The changes described for Portugal and Spain can also be attributed, according to Fuster et al. (2008), to a more extensive use of reproductive treatments in Spain.

The results of the logistic regression (Table 2) prove that multiple deliveries are more frequent in cases of higher maternity age and the absence of previous reproduction, as well as the existence of a marital union. These factors have been reported to determine increased rates for twinning and triplets in Spain (Fuster et al., 2008, 2010) and in other European countries (Fellman & Eriksson, 2005a). These authors stated that maternal age is the most important non-genetic factor influencing the twinning rate. This age factor is enhanced by the influence of artificial reproduction technologies and particularly the use of fertilityenhancing drugs (Fellman & Eriksson, 2005a; Pison & D'Addato, 2006). However, according to Abel and Kruger (2012), maternal age effect is independent of factors also associated with twinning. With regard to Canada, Collins (2007) reported that older maternal age, associated with the social trend to delayed childbearing, accounts for 25-30% of the rise in multiple birth rates since 1970. Assisted reproduction technology and ovulation stimulation account for similar proportions of both twin births (20-30%) and triplet births (30–40%). In the present analysis, the marital status also revealed a relationship to multiple delivering, probably through better economic conditions in the case of stable couples than in single mothers.

Silva and Barros' (2012) study revealed inequalities in access to reproductive health: reproductive units are geographically concentrated and proliferate in the private sector, where policies on the total number of treatments are less restrictive. In the most recent period (2000–2011), the ratio between triplets and twins increased mainly in urban districts followed by the archipelagos of Açores and Madeira. Because of natural conditions, the proportion between triple deliveries and double is expected to remain stable, the changes throughout time shown in Figure 4 for districts may reflect, among other influences, that of regional differences in access to reproductive treatments (Khoshnood & Blondel, 2006). This increase can be explained by urban advantage over rural areas regarding access to health facilities. These results differ from those reported by Hur and Kwon (2005), who examined whether residing in industrial areas was associated with multiple births in South Korea. With regard to the archipelagos of Madeira and Açores, besides elevated marital and maternity ages, a high migratory exchange toward the United States, which may have produced cultural exchange with the families of origin, resulted in more receptivity for the reproductive treatments than in other rural areas of Portugal.

For the first two decades studied (1949 to 1969), the rates of multiple deliveries tended to increase from north to south in Portuguese and Spanish neighboring administrative units (Figure 5). At this time, effective contraception was not yet generalized and modern reproductive treatments did not exist; twinning depended on sociodemographic and reproductive behavior partly common for both countries. With regard to triplets, no clear geographic patterns can be indicated. The infrequent occurrence of this type of delivery in some administrative units may have obscured the observation of obvious territorial patterns. For these decades, a geographic correspondence also existed between double and triple deliveries. Areas in northern Portugal and Spain, where isolation and elevated inbreeding and endogamy were common (Fuster & Colantonio, 2002), had low rates for both types of deliveries.

In Europe, a progressive increase has occurred in the twinning rate from south to north (Fellman & Eriksson, 2009b). In the Fellman and Eriksson (2005b) study on Sweden, it is stated that the observed regional heterogeneity cannot be explained by differences in the distribution of maternal age and parity. The authors suggest that the convergence of twinning rates with time may be caused by increased urbanization and industrialization and by the increased interregional migration of citizens as a consequence of better communications, which lead to the breaking up of isolates and decreased endogamy.

It is concluded that the temporal evolution of double and triple deliveries in Portugal and its territorial distribution show affinities with Spain. Moreover, the factors determining multiple births are similar in both countries, indicating an Iberian Peninsula pattern.

### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Institute for Statistics of Portugal for the facilities given in order to obtain the data on which this article is based. This study has been partly supported by the project 'Urban Spaces: Demographic and Socialdynamics (XVII–XX centuries)', reference code PTDC/HIS-HIS/099228/2008, co-financed by the program COMPETE — Operational Program for Factors of Competitiveness, funded by FEDER, and by Portugal's state financed Foundation for Science and Technology as well by Project CGL2008-03737 (Spain). The authors also thank Erik Lundin for revising the article.

## References

- Abel, E. L., & Kruger, M. L. (2012). Maternal and paternal age and twinning in the United States, 2004–2008. *Journal of Perinatal Medicine*, 40, 237–239.
- Almeida Remoaldo, C. (1999). A evolução dos procedimentos do registro civil e a qualidade dos registros dos nados-vivos no noroeste português [Evolution of Civil Records methodology and quality of registers on live births in northeast Portugal]. *Boletín de Demografía Histórica*, *17*, 13–47.
- Andersen, A. N., Goossens, V., Ferraretti, A. P., Bhattacharya, S., Felberbaum, R., de Mouzon, J., & Nygren, K. G., The European IVF-monitoring (EIM) Consortium, for the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHERE). (2008a). Assisted reproductive technology in Europe, 2004: Results generated from European registers by ESHERE. *Human Reproduction, 23*, 756–771.
- Andersen, A. N., Carlsen, E., & Loft, A. (2008b). Trends in the use of intracytoplasmatic sperm injection marked variability between countries. *Human Reproduction Update*, 14, 593–604.
- Astolfi, P., Ullizzi, L., & Zonta, L. A. (2003). Changes in twinning rate: Italy 1950–1996. *Human Reproduction*, *18*, 207– 211.
- Bulmer, M. G. (1960). The twinning rate in Europe and Africa. *Annals of Human Genetics*, *24*, 121–125.
- Burt, S. A., & Klump, K. L. (2012). How does the inclusion of twins conceived via fertility treatments influence the results of twin studies? *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 15, 746–752.
- Collins, J. (2007). Global epidemiology of multiple birth. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online*, 15, 45–52.
- D'Addato, A. V. (2007). Secular trends in twinning rates. *Journal of Biosocial Science*, *39*, 147–151.
- Eriksson, A. W., Abbot, C., Kostense, P. J., & Fellman, J. O. (1995). Secular changes of twinning rates in Nordic populations. *Acta Genetica Medica Gemelologica*, 44, 141–162.
- Eriksson, A. W., & Fellman, J. (2004). Demographic analysis of the variation in the rates of multiple maternities in Sweden since 1751. *Human Biology*, *76*, 343–359.
- Fellman, J. O., & Eriksson, A. W. (1987). Statistical models for the twinning rate. *Acta Genetica Medica Gemelologica*, 36, 297–312.
- Fellman, J. O., & Eriksson, A. W. (1990). Standardization of the twinning rate. *Human Biology*, 62, 803–816.
- Fellman, J. O., & Eriksson, A. W. (2003). Temporal differences in regional twinning rates in Sweden after 1975. *Twin Re*search and Human Genetics, 6, 183–191.
- Fellman, J., & Eriksson, A. W. (2005a). Variations in the maternal age effect on twinning rates: The Nordic experience. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 8, 515–523.
- Fellman, J., & Eriksson, A. W. (2005b). The convergence of the regional twinning rates in Sweden, 1751–1960. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 8, 163–172.
- Fellman, J., & Eriksson, A. W. (2009a). Statistical analysis of Hellin's law. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, *12*, 191– 200.

- Fellman, J., & Eriksson, A. W. (2009b). Spatial variation in the twinning rate in Sweden, 1751–1850. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 12, 583–590.
- Fuster, V., & Colantonio, S. (2002). Consanguinty in Spain: Socioeconomic, demographic and geographic influences. *Human Biology*, 74, 301–315.
- Fuster, V., Zuluaga, P., Colantonio, S., & De Blas, C. (2008). Factors associated with recent increase of multiple births in Spain. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 11, 70– 76.
- Fuster, V., Zuluaga, P., Román-Busto, J., & Colantonio, S. E. (2010). Temporal and territorial analysis of multiple deliveries in Spain (1900–2006). *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 13, 207–216.
- Hajn, V. (1997). Long-term trends in frequency of multiple births in 1643–1900 in the Deanery Sumperk (Schönberg). *Acta Universitatis Palackinae Olomucensis Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Biologica*, 335, 41–45.
- Hur, Y. M., & Kwon, J. S. (2005). Changes in twinning rates in South Korea: 1981–2002. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 8, 76–79.
- Imaizumi, Y. 2003. A comparative study of zygotic twinning and triplet rates in eight countries, 1972–1999. *Journal of Biosocial Science*, 35, 28–302.
- James, W. H. (1982). Second survey of secular trends in twinning rates. *Journal of Biosocial Science*, 14, 481– 497.
- James, W. H. (1986). Recent secular trends in dizygotic twinning rates in Europe. *Journal of Biosocial Science*, *18*, 497– 504.
- Khoshnood, B., & Blondel, B. (2006). Regional variations in trends for multiple births: A population-based evaluation in France, 1972–2003. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, *10*, 406–415.
- Maia, J. A., Santos, D., Freitas, D. L., & Thomis, M. (2013). Physical activity, physical fitness, gross motor coordination, and metabolic syndrome: Focus of twin research in Portugal. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 16, 296– 301.
- Martin, J. A., Hamilton, B. E., & Osterman, M. J. (2012). Three decades of twin births in the United States, 1980–2009. *NCHS Data Brief*, *80*, 1–8.
- Matias, A., Montenegro, N., & Areias, J. C. (2000). Anticipating twin-twin transfusion syndrome in monochorionic twin pregnancy. Is there a role for nuchal translucency and ductus venosus blood flow evaluation at 11–14 weeks? *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, *3*, 65–70.
- Matias, A., Montenegro, N., & Areias, J. C. (2001). Ductus venosus blood flow evaluation at 11–14 weeks in the anticipation of twin-twin transfusion syndrome in monochorionic twin pregnancies. *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *1*, 315–321.
- Munar-Qués, M., Pedrosa, J. L., Coelho, T., Gusmão, L., Seruca, R., Amorim, A., & Sequeiros, J. (1999). Two familial of proven monozygotic twins discordant for familial amyloid neuropathy (FAP) TTR Met 30. *Journal of Medical Genetics*, *36*, 629–632.

- Parazzini, F., Tozzi, L., Mezzanotte, G., Bocciolone, L., La Vecchia, C., Fedele, L., & Benzi, G. (1991). Trends in multiple births in Italy: 1955–1983. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 98, 535–539.
- Pinheiro, A. E., Nona, J., Cohen, A., Nascimento, O. M., & Valido, A. M. (2009). Selective intrauterine growth restriction and vascular abnormalities of monochorionic lacentation. [Restrição seletiva do crescimento intrauterine e patologia vascular das placentas monocoriônicas]. *Einstein*, 7, 211–214.
- Pison, G., & D'Addato, A. V. (2006). Frequency of twin births in developed countries. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 9, 250–259.
- Schenker, J. G. (1997). Assisted reproduction practice in Europe: Legal and ethical aspects. *Human Reproduction*, 3, 173–184.
- Silva, S., & Barros, H. (2012). Perspectives on access to in vitro fertilization in Portugal. *Revista Saúde Pública*, 46, 344–350.
- Van de Kaa, D. J. (1987). Europe's second demographic transition. *Population Bulletin*, 42, 1–59.