1 Nano- and micro-based systems for immunotolerance induction in Multiple Sclerosis 2 Liliana R Pires¹, Fernanda Margues^{2,3}, João Carlos Sousa^{2,3}, João Cergueira^{2,3} and Inês Mendes Pinto¹ 3 4 ¹International Iberian Nanotechnology Laboratory, Braga, Portugal 5 ²Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Health Sciences, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal 6 ³ICVS/3B's - PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Portugal 7 8 Correspondence to: liliana.pires@inl.int 9 Abstract 10 It is estimated that more than 2.5 million individuals worldwide have multiple sclerosis (MS). MS is an 11 12 autoimmune neurodegenerative disease resulting from the destruction of the myelin sheath that enwraps axons driven by an immune cell attack to the central nervous system. Current therapeutic programs for 13 14 MS focus in immunosuppression and more recently in the use of immunomodulatory molecules. These 15 therapeutic approaches provide significant improvements in the management of the disease, but are frequently associated with an increased susceptibility of opportunistic infection. In this commentary, we 16 highlight the application of nano and micro-technologies as emerging and innovative solutions for MS 17

therapy with the potential to restore immune homeostasis via antigen-specific interactions. Furthermore, we propose and discuss the usage of a minimally invasive approach, namely microneedle patches, as a new therapeutic route.

- 20 new therapeutic route.
- 21

22



Microneedle patches for the delivery of specific antigens to restore immunotolerance in the context of Multiple Sclerosis.

26

23

27

28 Keywords (5-10)

29 Multiple Sclerosis, Microneedles, Nanoparticles, Tolerance, immunomodulation

30

31 **1. Multiple Sclerosis: etiology and current therapeutics**

32 Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic immune mediated demyelinating disease of the central nervous 33 system (CNS) caused by a strong T cell attack directed towards proteins of the myelin sheath enwrapping 34 CNS axons; this ultimately culminates in demyelination and neuronal degeneration [1, 2]. In developed 35 countries it is the second cause of neurological disability in young adults, with high burden for the patient, the family and the resources of the health system [3]. It is a complex disease and its underlying 36 37 mechanisms are only partially understood. Most patients initially present with a clinically isolated syndrome (CIS). These CIS patients experience an acute episode, which typically affects one brain 38 region, being the clinical symptoms variable depending on the involvement of motor, sensory, visual or 39 40 autonomic systems [4]. Some CIS patients will evolve to definite MS disease, while others won't. 41 Nowadays, the diagnosis of definite MS is based on recognized clinical criteria, with the support of 42 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis [2], and can only be done

43 when there is dissemination of neurologic dysfunction in space and time [2, 4, 5], and after differential 44 diagnosis is excluded [5]. Concerning the MRI findings, the presence of multifocal demyelinating lesions 45 at different timepoints involving preferentially the periventricular white matter, the brain stem, the 46 cerebellum and the spinal cord are indicative of MS [2]. Furthermore, the presence of oligoclonal bands or 47 increased concentration of immunoglobulin (lg)G in the patients' CSF are widely used to support MS 48 diagnosis, but are not MS- specific [2, 6].

Patients with definite MS can develop different profiles of the disease, being classified as relapseremitting (RR)-MS, primary progressive (PP)-MS or secondary progressive (SP)-MS. RR-MS represents about 80-85% of MS cases [7] and is characterized by transient symptoms (relapse) that often improve within weeks (remission). However, the ability to fully recover from relapse episodes diminishes with time, and irreversible damage accumulates in the CNS, giving rise to SP-MS. The remaining 15-20% of patients has PP-MS, and does not show this relapse-remitting pattern; rather, their symptoms become gradually worst along the course of the disease.

56 MS is nowadays a treatable, although not curable, disease. The first proven MS treatments were approved in the nineties and consisted in different formulations of interferon-1 administered 57 intramuscularly or subcutaneously. Although a major breakthrough at the time and still an important part 58 59 of treatment options today, given their excellent safety, interferon-1 based treatments are only moderately efficacious, leading to full control of the disease in only a small percentage of patients [8]. Interferons 60 61 have pleiotropic effects, including a reduced T-cell entry into the CNS [9]. Glatiramer acetate is a mixture of oligopeptides designed to mimic the aminoacid composition of myelin that induces a skew towards a 62 regulatory response. Also administered subcutaneously, it has an efficacy similar to interferons and an 63 64 excellent safety record that make it still an important player in MS treatment options [8].

Recently the portfolio of approved MS treatments was enriched by more options that encircle two major 65 therapeutic approaches targeting either T-cells or B-cells. Concerning T-cells modulation a collection of 66 drugs is currently being applied in the clinical setting, with moderate success, as next described. 67 Teriflunomide is an oral medication that interferes with the fast expansion of recently activated 68 69 lymphocytes, preserving the basal proliferation of memory cells. It has a moderate efficacy, similar to 70 interferons, but some safety concerns, including teratogenic potential [10]. Dymethylfumarate is an oral 71 treatment with a putative dual mechanism of action, including immunosuppression and neuroprotection. 72 In clinical trials it demonstrated a good efficacy in controlling the disease but concerns regarding its long-73 term safety, particularly the profound lymphopenia and the risk of a serious opportunistic CNS infection, 74 progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), might limit its use [11]. Fingolimod, a functional 75 antagonist of S1P receptors that blocks lymphocyte egress from lymph nodes [12], has also a good efficacy but similar concerns over lymphopenia and PML [8]. Natalizumab is a highly efficacious 76 77 monoclonal antibody that blocks lymphocyte entry into the CNS; however, it is associated with a high risk 78 of PML in patients which have antibodies against the causing organism, JC virus, which almost limits its 79 use to seronegative patients representing less than half of MS patients [13].

80 While all the above-mentioned drugs interfere with T-cell function, alternatively, ocrelizumab is a monoclonal antibody that destroys B-cells and, surprisingly, was shown to have a good efficacy in MS 81 82 patients [14]. Although not yet approved, it has forced a major revision of MS pathogenesis to take into account the role of B-cells, which are not only seen as antibody producers but also as antigen presenting 83 84 and cytokine releasing cells, able to activate Th1 and Th17 responses and induce pathology [15]. Although data are still preliminary, ocrelizumab may increase the risk of serious infections, including PML, 85 86 which might limit its use. Targeting both B and T cells, alemtuzumab is a monoclonal antibody that induces a severe depletion of circulating lymphocytes and is said to "reset" the immune system [16]. 87 88 Upon immune reconstitution, alemtuzumab-treated patients are able to stay disease free for periods of up 89 to 5 years (longer follow-ups are still scarce), in what represents a first step towards an effective cure [16]. 90 However, such efficacy comes at the cost of an increased risk of serious infections in the first weeks,

requiring antibiotic profilaxis and precautions, and a significantly higher risk of autoimmune disturbancesin the following years [16].

As can be gleaned from the above, MS treatments are in an exciting era, with several new options being approved, many more in the pipeline, and new drug targets and modes of action being available. More importantly, some treatments have been shown to allow a reset of the immune system, which might make a cure even more reachable than before. However, we weren't able, so far, of breaking the close ties between efficacy and risk. These severely limit the use of more efficacious medications in all patients and the extension of their benefits to all patients. Thus, the finding of a highly efficacious and safe therapeutic is still an unmet medical need. This paves the way for nanotechnology-based approaches.

100

2. Nanoengineered systems for MS therapeutics

102 The use of nanotechnology and in particular of nanoparticles has been actively investigated for the 103 development of new therapies for MS. Taking advantage of their size, nanoparticles are easily 104 internalized by the cells, being suitable carriers for drugs, immunomodulatory molecules or antigens. The 105 use of materials at the nanoscale is expected to provide unique opportunities to improve drug solubility and bioavailability, allowing targeted delivery, controlled release and consequently more effective routes 106 of administration and lower toxicity [17]. Interestingly, not only nanoparticles can serve as carriers of 107 108 relevant molecules as they can also trigger an immunomodulatory effect. In fact, variations in the 109 chemical composition, size and shape of nanoparticles differentially impact the immune response [18] [19], which might be even more significant in the context of autoimmune diseases [20]. 110

In MS, the use of nanoparticles has been investigated within two major applications: 1) as drug delivery 111 systems, and 2) as vectors for antigen-specific immunomodulation. Nanoparticles can bring new solutions 112 for the delivery of drugs that specifically target the immune or the neurodegenerative aspects of MS. 113 Recent reports describe the encapsulation in liposomal formulations of immunomodulatory drugs 114 currently applied in MS therapeutics such as methylprednisolone [21] or fingolimod [22]. These nano-115 116 sized formulations showed a higher efficiency in a MS animal model due to improved pharmacokinetics and biodistribution when compared to the free drugs. Focusing in reducing neurodegeneration, polymeric 117 118 nanoparticles targeted to oligodendrocyte precursor cells were applied to deliver leukaemia inhibitory 119 factor (LIF) and to successfully promote remyelinization [23].

120 Importantly, nanoparticles have also been explored as vectors for antigen-specific immunomodulation. 121 The delivery of autoantigens related with the autoimmune response in MS is expected to allow the 122 specific blockade of the damaging effects of self-reactive immune-cell function while maintaining the 123 ability of the immune system to clear non-self antigens, thus restoring immunotolerance. This "tolerant 124 approach" was firstly tested by the administration of soluble autoantigens, but the massive amounts of 125 antigen required [24] along with some reported cases of anaphylactic response [25] prompt the need for new and safer solutions. The administration of peptides crosslinked to splenic leucocytes demonstrated 126 127 very promising results, inducing a robust antigen-specific tolerance [26, 27]. Nonetheless, in order to 128 circumvent the use of cellular components and the drawbacks associated, like cost and manipulation, 129 researchers have been focused in the development of alternative strategies based on nanoparticulate 130 systems. The premise is that nanoparticles crosslinked with disease-associated antigens and their 131 epitopes can target antigen-presenting cells (APCs) capable to regulate T cell function and 132 simultaneously support the induction and/or expansion of regulatory T cells (Tregs), restoring 133 immunological tolerance.

The intravenous administration of poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) microparticles crosslinked with proteolipid protein (PLP)₁₃₉₋₁₅₁ peptide (the immunodominant T cell myelin epitope in SJL mice from the

myelin most abundant protein - PLP) showed remarkable results, being able not only to reduce the 136 137 clinical score if administrated prophylactically, but also to treat ongoing disease [28]. Interestingly, PLP₁₃₉₋ 138 151 administrated in the form of colloidal hydrogel demonstrated to be effective only if administrated before the disease onset [29]. The use of poly(ethylene-co-maleic acid) (PEMA) as surfactant allowed the 139 140 preparation of PLGA nanoparticles and provided a reliable platform for different antigen crosslinking, as 141 demonstrated by the relevant results in the induction of immunological tolerance both in the context of 142 experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), the animal model for MS [30] and in a transplantation 143 model [31].

Alternatively to antigen crosslinking, nanoparticles can be loaded with the antigen of interest. This 144 145 concept can be extended to the development of multifunctional systems that combine the delivery of antigens with other molecules/drugs as a mean to turn the immune response more specific and/or more 146 effective. Loading gold nanoparticles with the T-cell epitope from myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein 147 (MOG₃₅₋₅₅) and ITE (2-(1'H-indole-3'-carbonyl)-thiazole-4-carboxylic acid methyl ester - a tolerogenic 148 molecule) showed to induce functional regulatory T cells in an EAE animal model more efficiently than 149 MOG-loaded particles [32]. PLGA nanoparticles containing MOG₃₅₋₅₅ and interleukin-10 (IL-10) mediate a 150 sustained release of the molecules [33] and, although the results in terms of regulatory T cells expansion 151 152 were not as impressive as the obtained for crosslinked nanoparticles, it was shown that the severity of the 153 disease can be reduced via subcutaneous administration of the particles. In the PLP-associated EAE 154 model, the use of rapamycin, an immunosuppressant molecule, loaded in PLGA nanoparticles along with 155 PLP₁₃₉₋₁₅₁ peptide promoted complete inhibition of disease relapses after both intravenous and 156 subcutaneous administration [34].

The results achieved so far using nanoparticles to induce immunotolerance are promising and should soon initiate clinical trials. A further step towards the use of nanoparticles to induce immunotolerance might be achieved when combined with alternative and minimally invasive routes of administration, which will next be explored.

161

162

163 **3. A microneedle-based immunotolerance approach for MS**

Microneedles have been extensively investigated in the recent years as mean to mediate the delivery of drugs and/or antigens to the epidermal and/or intradermal space, overcoming the skin stratum corneum barrier. These devices hold the potential of allowing self-administration and painless application. Moreover, microneedle devices can be designed to dissolve in the skin, eliminating the issue of microneedle remaining and removal from the skin and allowing a safe disposal without biohazardous waste [35].

Although microneedles show great promise for the delivery of drugs [36] and also as sensing devices [37], it is in the research area of vaccines that they showed, so far, more advances. It was demonstrated that vaccination using microneedles triggers stronger immune responses comparing to conventional injection procedures, allowing sparing of antigens [38]. Indeed, the use of microneedle-based devices for influenza vaccination is currently under clinical trials.

The improved antigen immunogenicity using microneedle devices is considered to be related to the delivery of antigens at the epidermal and intradermal layer of the skin. The skin is highly rich in immunologically active APCs, which deliver antigens to the proximal lymph nodes where T and B cells are activated, triggering the immune response [39]. Also, in the skin (particularly at the epidermis and the epithelium from the hair follicles) monocytes and Langerhans cells are abundant. Langerhans cells display intrinsic tolerogenic properties *in vivo* [40]. Moreover, a small trial enrolling 14 MS patients showed that the passive diffusion of myelin-related peptides through the skin induces some improvements in the disease, namely reducing the incidence of relapses and the area of lesion (assessed by MRI) [41]. These findings highlight the potential of this route of administration in immunotolerancebased therapies.

185

Overall, it is clear that therapeutics based on immunotolerance will take remarkable benefit from the application of micro and nano- technological knowledge. Nanoparticle design can assure antigen-specific response, targeted delivery and controlled dosing; whereas microneedle devices, as we propose, open the unique opportunity for sustained intradermal delivery, further contributing to an antigen-specific response and a tolerogenic effect in a minimally invasive therapeutic approach for MS.

191

192 Acknowledgements

193 This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for 194 research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no 600375.

- 195
- 196
- 197
- 198
- 199

200 **References**

1.Lassmann H,van Horssen J. The molecular basis of neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis. FEBS Lett
 2011; 585: 3715-23.

203 2.Noseworthy JH, Lucchinetti C, Rodriguez M,Weinshenker BG. Multiple sclerosis. New England Journal
 204 of Medicine 2000; 343: 938-52.

3.Borreani C, Bianchi E, Pietrolongo E, Rossi I, Cilia S, Giuntoli M, Giordano A, Confalonieri P, Lugaresi
A, Patti F, et al. Unmet needs of people with severe multiple sclerosis and their carers: qualitative findings
for a home-based intervention. PLoS ONE 2014; 9: e109679.

4.Compston A,Coles A. Multiple sclerosis. Lancet 2008; 372: 1502-17.

5.Polman CH, Reingold SC, Banwell B, Clanet M, Cohen JA, Filippi M, Fujihara K, Havrdová E,
Hutchinson M, Kappos L, et al. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2010 revisions to the McDonald
criteria. Ann Neurol 2011; 69: 292-302.

6.Fossey SC, Vnencak-Jones CL, Olsen NJ, Sriram S, Garrison G, Deng X, Crooke PS, Aune TM.
Identification of molecular biomarkers for multiple sclerosis. J Mol Diagn 2007; 9: 197-204.

214 7.Sospedra M,Martin R. Immunology of multiple sclerosis. Annu Rev Immunol 2005; 23: 683-747.

8.Oh J,O'Connor PW. Established disease-modifying treatments in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis.
Current opinion in neurology 2015; 28: 220-29.

9.Kieseier BC. The mechanism of action of interferon-β in relapsing multiple sclerosis. CNS Drugs 2011;
25: 491-502.

10.Miller AE. Teriflunomide: A Once-daily Oral Medication for the Treatment of Relapsing Forms of Multiple Sclerosis. Clin Ther 2015; 37: 2366-80.

- 11.Bomprezzi R. Dimethyl fumarate in the treatment of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: an overview.
 Ther Adv Neurol Disord 2015; 8: 20-30.
- 12.Subei AM,Cohen JA. Sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor modulators in multiple sclerosis. CNS Drugs
 2015; 29: 565-75.
- 13.Derfuss T, Kuhle J, Lindberg R,Kappos L. Natalizumab therapy for multiple sclerosis. Semin Neurol
 2013; 33: 26-36.
- 14.Kappos L, Li D, Calabresi PA, O'Connor P,Bar-Or A. Ocrelizumab in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a phase 2, randomised, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. The Lancet 2011; 78: 1779-87.
- 15.Hauser SL. The Charcot Lecture | beating MS: a story of B cells, with twists and turns. Mult Scler
 2015; 21: 8-21.
- 16.Hartung H-P, Aktas O,Boyko AN. Alemtuzumab: a new therapy for active relapsing-remitting multiple
 sclerosis. Mult Scler 2015; 21: 22-34.
- 17.Zhang L, Gu FX, Chan JM, Wang AZ, Langer RS,Farokhzad OC. Nanoparticles in medicine:
 Therapeutic applications and developments. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008; 83: 761-69.
- 18.Cruz LJ, Tacken PJ, Fokkink R, Joosten B, Stuart MC, Albericio F, Torensma R, Figdor CG. Targeted
 PLGA nano- but not microparticles specifically deliver antigen to human dendritic cells via DC-SIGN in
 vitro. J Control Release 2010; 144: 118-26.
- 238 19.Beletskii A, Galloway A, Rele S, Stone M,Malinoski F. Engineered PRINT (R) nanoparticles for 239 controlled delivery of antigens and immunostimulants. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2014; 10: 1908-13.
- 240 20.Roberts RA, Eitas TK, Byrne JD, Johnson BM, Short PJ, McKinnon KP, Reisdorf S, Luft JC,
 241 DeSimone JM,Ting JP. Towards programming immune tolerance through geometric manipulation of
 242 phosphatidylserine. Biomaterials 2015; 72: 1-10.
- 243 21.Turjeman K, Bavli Y, Kizelsztein P, Schilt Y, Allon N, Katzir TB, Sasson E, Raviv U, Ovadia
 244 H,Barenholz Y. Nano-Drugs Based on Nano Sterically Stabilized Liposomes for the Treatment of
 245 Inflammatory Neurodegenerative Diseases. Plos One 2015; 10: e0130442.
- 246 22.Mao Y, Wang J, Zhao Y, Wu Y, Kwak KJ, Chen C-S, Byrd JC, Lee RJ, Phelps MA, Lee LJ, et al. A
 247 novel liposomal formulation of FTY720 (Fingolimod) for promising enhanced targeted delivery.
 248 Nanomedicine 2014; 10: 393-400.
- 249 23.Rittchen S, Boyd A, Burns A, Park J, Fahmy TM, Metcalfe S,Williams A. Myelin repair in vivo is
 increased by targeting oligodendrocyte precursor cells with nanoparticles encapsulating leukaemia
 inhibitory factor (LIF). Biomaterials 2015; 56: 78-85.
- 252 24.Karpus WJ, Kennedy KJ, Smith WS,Miller SD. Inhibition of relapsing experimental autoimmune
 253 encephalomyelitis in SJL mice by feeding the immunodominant PLP139-151 peptide. J Neurosci Res
 254 1996; 45: 410-23.
- 255 25.Smith CE, Eagar TN, Strominger JL, Miller SD. Differential induction of IgE-mediated anaphylaxis after
 256 soluble vs. cell-bound tolerogenic peptide therapy of autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Proc Natl Acad Sci
 257 USA 2005; 102: 9595-600.
- 258 26.Getts DR, Turley DM, Smith CE, Harp CT, McCarthy D, Feeney EM, Getts MT, Martin AJ, Luo X, Terry
 259 RL, et al. Tolerance Induced by Apoptotic Antigen-Coupled Leukocytes Is Induced by PD-L1(+) and IL260 10-Producing Splenic Macrophages and Maintained by T Regulatory Cells. J Immunol 2011; 187: 2405261 17.
- 262 27.Luo X, Pothoven KL, McCarthy D, DeGutes M, Martin A, Gettss DR, Xia G, He J, Zhang X, Kaufman
 263 DB, et al. ECDI-fixed allogeneic splenocytes induce donor-specific tolerance for long-term survival of islet
 264 transplants via two distinct mechanisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008; 105: 14527-32.
- 265 28.Getts DR, Martin AJ, McCarthy DP, Terry RL, Hunter ZN, Yap WT, Getts MT, Pleiss M, Luo X, King 266 NJC, et al. Microparticles bearing encephalitogenic peptides induce T-cell tolerance and ameliorate 267 experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Nat Biotech 2012; 30: 1217-24.

268 29.Bueyuektimkin B, Wang Q, Kiptoo P, Stewart JM, Berkland C,Siahaan TJ. Vaccine-like Controlled-269 Release Delivery of an Immunomodulating Peptide To Treat Experimental Autoimmune 270 Encephalomyelitis. Mol Pharm 2012; 9: 979-85.

30.Hunter Z, McCarthy DP, Yap WT, Harp CT, Getts DR, Shea LD,Miller SD. A Biodegradable
Nanoparticle Platform for the Induction of Antigen-Specific Immune Tolerance for Treatment of
Autoimmune Disease. ACS Nano 2014; 8: 2148-60.

- 31.Bryant J, Hlavaty KA, Zhang X, Yap W-T, Zhang L, Shea LD,Luo X. Nanoparticle delivery of donor
 antigens for transplant tolerance in allogeneic islet transplantation. Biomaterials 2014; 35: 8887-94.
- 32.Yeste A, Nadeau M, Burns EJ, Weiner HL, Quintana FJ. Nanoparticle-mediated codelivery of myelin
 antigen and a tolerogenic small molecule suppresses experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Proc
 Natl Acad Sci USA 2012; 109: 11270-75.
- 33.Cappellano G, Woldetsadik AD, Orilieri E, Shivakumar Y, Rizzi M, Carniato F, Gigliotti CL, Boggio E,
 Clemente N, Comi C, et al. Subcutaneous inverse vaccination with PLGA particles loaded with a MOG
 peptide and IL-10 decreases the severity of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Vaccine 2014;
 32: 5681-89.
- 34.Maldonado RA, LaMothe RA, Ferrari JD, Zhang A-H, Rossi RJ, Kolte PN, Griset AP, O'Neil C,
 Altreuter DH, Browning E, et al. Polymeric synthetic nanoparticles for the induction of antigen-specific
 immunological tolerance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015; 112: E156-E65.
- 35.Lee JW, Park J-H, Prausnitz MR. Dissolving microneedles for transdermal drug delivery. Biomaterials
 2008; 29: 2113-24.
- 288 36.Prausnitz MR. Microneedles for transdermal drug delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2004; 56: 581-87.
- 37.Yu J, Zhang Y, Ye Y, DiSanto R, Sun W, Ranson D, Ligler FS, Buse JB,Gu Z. Microneedle-array
 patches loaded with hypoxia-sensitive vesicles provide fast glucose-responsive insulin delivery. Proc Natl
 Acad Sci USA 2015; 112: 8260-65.
- 38.Koutsonanos DG, Vassilieva EV, Stavropoulou A, Zarnitsyn VG, Esser ES, Taherbhai MT, Prausnitz
 MR, Compans RW,Skountzou I. Delivery of subunit influenza vaccine to skin with microneedles improves
 immunogenicity and long-lived protection. Sci Rep 2012; 2: 357.
- 39.Koutsonanos DG, Martin MdP, Zarnitsyn VG, Sullivan SP, Compans RW, Prausnitz MR, Skountzou I.
 Transdermal Influenza Immunization with Vaccine-Coated Microneedle Arrays. Plos One 2009; 4: e4773.
- 40.Shklovskaya E, O'Sullivan BJ, Lai Guan N, Roediger B, Thomas R, Weninger W, de St Groth BF.
 Langerhans cells are precommitted to immune tolerance induction. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011; 108:
 18049-54.
- 41.Walczak A, Siger M, Ciach A, Szczepanik M,Selmaj K. Transdermal Application of Myelin Peptides in
 Multiple Sclerosis Treatment. JAMA Neurol 2013; 70: 1105-09.

302

