


Graphical Abstract

Leave this area blank for abstract info.

Synthesis and evaluation of fluorimetric and colorimetric chemosensors for anions based on (oligo)thienyl-thiosemicarbazones

Luis E. Santos-Figueroa, María E. Moragues, M. Manuela M. Raposo,* Rosa M. F. Batista, R. Cristina M. Ferreira, Susana P. G. Costa, Félix Sancenón, Ramón Martínez-Máñez,* Juan Soto, José Vicente Ros-Lis



Heteroaryl = thienyl, bithienyl, terthienyl



Synthesis and evaluation of fluorimetric and colorimetric chemosensors for anions based on (oligo)thienyl-thiosemicarbazones

Luis E. Santos-Figueroa,^{a,b} María E. Moragues,^{a,b} M. Manuela M. Raposo,^{*c} Rosa M. F. Batista,^c R. Cristina M. Ferreira,^c Susana P. G. Costa,^c Félix Sancenón,^{a,b} Ramón Martínez-Máñez,^{*a,b} Juan Soto^a, José Vicente Ros-Lis,^{a,b}

^a Centro de Reconocimiento Molecular y Desarrollo Tecnológico (IDM). Unidad Mixta Universidad Politécnica de Valencia-Universidad de Valencia. Universidad Politécnica de Valencia. Camino de Vera s/n, Valencia 46022, España

^b CIBER de Biotecnología, Biomateriales y Nanomedicina (CIBER-BBN)

^c Centro de Química, Universidade do Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received

Received in revised form

Accepted

Available online

Keywords:

Chromophoric and Fluorogenic sensor

Thienyl thiosemicarbazone receptors

Anion recognition

Hydrogen bond complexation

Deprotonation

Thiophene

ABSTRACT

A family of heterocyclic thiosemicarbazone dyes (**3a-d**) containing thienyl groups has been synthesized, characterized and their chromo-fluorogenic response in acetonitrile in the presence of selected anions studied. Acetonitrile solutions of **3a-d** show absorption bands in the 338-425 nm range which are modulated by the groups attached to the thiosemicarbazone moiety. The fluoride, chloride, bromide, iodide, dihydrogen phosphate, hydrogen sulfate, nitrate, acetate and anions were used in the recognition studies. Only sensing features were observed for fluoride, cyanide, acetate and dihydrogen phosphate anions. Two different chromogenic responses were found, (i) a small shift of the absorption band due to coordination of the anions with the thiourea protons and (ii) the appearance of a new red shifted band due to deprotonation of the receptor. For the latter process changes in the color solutions from pale-yellow to orange-red were observed. Fluorescence studies showed a different emission behavior according to the number of thienyl rings in the π -conjugated bridges. Stability constants for the two processes (complex formation + deprotonation) for receptors **3a-d** in the presence of fluoride and acetate anions were determined from spectrophotometric titrations using the HypSpec program. The interaction of **3d** with fluoride was also studied through ¹H NMR titrations. Semiempirical calculations to evaluate the hydrogen-donating ability of the receptors were also performed.

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The development of new molecular-based receptors able to detect anions, cations, or neutral molecules has recently gained importance due to the importance of detecting some target species in biological and environmental samples. In these systems the receptors are able to transform host-guest interactions into a measurable signal which allows analyte sensing via electrochemical or optical modulations.¹ In this field, apart of the interest in developing fluorescent probes, chromogenic sensing has gained attention due to the possible semi-quantitative detection to the “naked-eye” or using very simple instrumentation.² Optical chemosensors for metal cations have been developed for more than two decades,³ whereas in contrast anionic chromogenic probes have only recently been investigated.^{4,5} In particular, the supramolecular chemistry of anions has advanced a great deal in the last years and today a number of receptors for anion binding have been described. In most cases they are based on hydrogen bonding, electrostatic

interactions or coordination with suitable metal complexes.⁶ In this area hydrogen bonding ligands are attractive because show the advantage of being directional allowing discrimination between anions of different hydrogen-bonding requirements or geometries.⁷ Among neutral anion binding groups thioureas and thiourea-containing fragments have been widely used for the formation of complexes with anions.⁸ In particular thiourea derivatives have been intensively studied as anion receptors and a number of ligands containing different number of thiourea moieties and thioureido NH protons with different acidities have been described.⁹ A means of tuning the acidity of thioureido NH protons is to introduce electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents.¹⁰ On the other hand, among molecules containing thiourea fragments the use of thiosemicarbazones has gained interest recently as potential receptors. For instance Schiff base compounds containing thiosemicarbazone groups have also grown in the areas of biology and chemistry due to their fungicidal, bactericidal, antiviral¹¹ and antitumor properties.¹²


Recently, we have demonstrated that (oligo)thiophenes, electronically connected to recognition sites, are efficient π -conjugated bridges for the fluorimetric and/or colorimetric sensing of certain anions (e.g., F⁻, CN⁻) and cations (H⁺, Na⁺, Pd²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Hg²⁺, Ni²⁺).¹³ Moreover recently thiosemicarbazones have also gained attention as anion receptors. In fact we and others have recently demonstrated that π -conjugated heterocyclic derivatives, containing thiosemicarbazone moieties are suitable systems for the colorimetric and fluorimetric sensing of anions.¹⁴

Taking into account our interest in the development of probes for anions and inspired in this previous work on the use of thiosemicarbazones as binding sites we report herein the synthesis and characterization of new (oligo)thienyl-thiosemicarbazones. These derivatives contain heteroaromatic π -conjugated systems (instead of the more commonly used aryl groups) and have been tested as anion chemosensors.

2. Results and Discussion.

2.1. Synthesis and characterization

The new compounds **3a-d** with thiophene, bithiophene and terthiophene π -conjugated bridges were synthesized in moderate to good yields (41-77%) through Schiff-base condensation of heterocyclic aldehydes **1a-d** with 4-phenyl-3-thiosemicarbazide **2** in methanol at room temperature (see Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Synthesis of the thienyl-thiosemicarbazone receptors **3a-d**.

Table 1. Yields, ¹H NMR, and IR data of the oligothieryl-thiosemicarbazone receptors **3a-d**.

Formyl thiophene	Product	Yield (%)	δ_{H} (ppm) ^a			IR ^b (cm ⁻¹)	
			(CH=N)	(C=N-NH)	(S=C-NH)	(=C-H)	(NH)
			1a	3a	66	8.14	10.29
1b	3b	77	8.02	9.60	9.07	3122	3341
1c	3c	50	8.07	10.49	9.09	3127	3329
1d	3d	41	7.97	9.35	9.09	---	3310

^a For the NH proton of the (oligo)thienyl-thiosemicarbazone receptors **3a-d** (300 MHz, CDCl₃);

^b IR was recorded in Nujol

Aldehyde **1a** was commercially available whereas aldehydes **1c** and **1d** were synthesized as reported elsewhere.¹⁵ The 2-((5-formylthien-2-yl)methylene)malononitrile **1b** was synthesized through reaction of thiophene-2,5-dicarbaldehyde with malononitrile in dry DMF with a catalytic amount of piperidine. Purification of the crude product by column chromatography on silica with increasing amounts of diethyl ether in light petroleum as eluent, gave the pure compound in 22% yield. All the compounds were completely characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR,

IR, MS, EA or HRMS and the data obtained were in full agreement with the proposed formulation (see Table 1).

The most characteristic signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum of this family of thiosemicarbazones were those corresponding to N-H and CH=N protons. ¹H NMR studies in deuterated chloroform showed CH=N protons in the 7.97-8.14 ppm range whereas thiourea-N-H protons were found in the 9.07-9.11 and 9.35-10.49 ppm interval for N-H adjacent to the phenyl ring and for the N-H adjacent to the CH=N moiety, respectively. When four compounds are considered the highest variation in δ were found for the N-H protons located in the vicinity of the CH=N moiety adjacent to thiophene ($\Delta\delta = 0.94$ ppm). Moreover, the N-H protons adjacent to the phenyl ring were the less affected ($\Delta\delta = 0.04$ ppm).

2.2. Spectroscopic behavior of **3a-d**.

Acetonitrile solutions ($C = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ mol dm⁻³ at 25 °C) of the thiosemicarbazone-functionalized receptors **3a-d** showed an intense absorption band ($\log \epsilon \approx 4.4$) in the 338-425 nm region (see Table 2). Compound **3a** containing thiosemicarbazone moiety surrounded by a phenyl and a thienyl ring, showed an absorption band at 338 nm. The change of a hydrogen at the thienyl ring by a better electron acceptor moiety such as a dicyanovinyl group (receptor **3b**) induced a red shift from 338 to 425 nm. The presence of one more thienyl group and an electron donor such as an ethoxy group (receptor **3c**) induced a small red shift when compared with **3a** from 338 to 381 nm. Moreover the presence of two additional thienyl rings on the framework of **3a** (receptor **3d**) induced a bathochromic shift of the band (from 338 to 396 nm) which is most likely a consequence of the extension of the conjugation.


Table 2. Spectroscopic Data for Compounds **3a-d**.

Receptor	λ_{ab} LH (nm)	λ_{em} L ⁻ (nm) ^a	Log ϵ (LH)	λ_{em} LH (nm)	$\Delta\lambda_{\text{em}}$ L ⁻ (nm) ^a	Φ	$\Delta\lambda_{\text{ab-em}}$ LH (nm)	$\Delta\nu_{\text{ab-em}}$ LH (cm ⁻¹)
3a	338	397	4.25	439	447	0.0064	101	6806
3b	425	626	4.35	560	539	0.0019	135	5672
3c	381	515	4.51	483	482	0.065	102	5542
3d	396	503	4.39	489	517	0.088	93	4802

^a Measured upon addition of 100 equivalents of fluoride anion.

2.3. UV-Vis Studies Involving Anions.

The UV-Vis behavior of receptors **3a-d** in acetonitrile solutions ($C = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ mol dm⁻³) was studied at 25 °C in the presence of the fluoride, chloride, bromide, iodide, cyanide, nitrate, acetate, perchlorate, hydrogen sulfate and dihydrogen phosphate anions. For all the receptors the presence (up to 100 equiv) of chloride, bromide, iodide, hydrogen sulfate and nitrate anions induced insignificant changes in the UV-Vis spectra strongly suggesting that no coordination takes place. This behavior contrasts with that observed in the presence of basic anions such as fluoride, cyanide, acetate, and dihydrogen



phosphate (see figure 1).

Figure 1. Color changes of **3c** (top) and **3d** (bottom) solution ($5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) seen in the presence of 10 equiv. of F^- , Cl^- , Br^- , I^- , CN^- , AcO^- , ClO_4^- , HSO_4^- and H_2PO_4^-

For instance UV-vis titrations of receptors **3a-d** with fluoride showed an intensity decrease and a small bathochromic shift of the absorption band together with a simultaneous growth of a new red-shifted band. Moreover both the position of the new band and the relative intensity of the absorption band of the receptor with respect to the band upon addition of fluoride were dependent on the receptor used. For instance, the behavior observed in the presence of F^- for receptors **3c** and **3d** is shown in Figures 2 and 3.





Figure 2. UV-Vis titration of receptors **3c** ($1.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) with fluoride (top) and acetate (bottom) anions (0-30 equiv) in acetonitrile.

Receptor **3c** in acetonitrile was yellow due to the band at 381 nm. Upon addition of increasing quantities of fluoride this band progressively decreased while a new absorption at 515 nm ($\Delta\lambda = 134 \text{ nm}$) increased in intensity (see top of Figure 2). This induced a colour modulation from pale yellow to orange. This was in agreement with the expectation that the coordination of an anion in a donor group in a push-pull system will induce a bathochromic shift. Receptor **3d** showed a similar behaviour with fluoride; i.e. the band at 396 nm suffered a small hypochromic effect and a new absorption band at 503 nm ($\Delta\lambda = 107 \text{ nm}$) grew in intensity (see top of Figure 3). As can be seen, it is apparent from the figure that the ratio between both bands is different for receptors **3c** and **3d**. Also it was clear that for a certain receptor the change observed depends on the anion used in the titration experiments. It was observed that fluoride and cyanide anions induced UV-Vis changes for all the receptors whereas

acetate and hydrogen phosphate displayed a poorer response and only gave noticeable changes with **3b** and **3c** (see for instance the bottom of Figures 2 and 3). When receptors **3a** and **3d** were compared it was found that **3a** was able to induce some changes with acetate, although to a much lesser extent than **3b**





and **3c**.

Figure 3. UV-Vis titration of receptors **3d** ($1.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) with fluoride (top) and acetate (bottom) anions (0-30 equiv) in acetonitrile.

The changes observed in the UV-Vis spectrum upon fluoride addition was attributed to the formation of hydrogen-bonding complexes with the thiosemicarbazone groups that eventually resulted in a deprotonation.^{16,17} The formation of hydrogen-bonding complexes was reflected in relatively small variations in the absorption band of the receptor whereas deprotonation processes was related with the appearance of a new absorption band at longer wavelengths.¹⁸ Moreover a close view of the results indicated that the final response of the receptors **3a-d** toward the tested anions is dependent on the functional groups attached to the thiosemicarbazone group that modulated the acidity of the N-H protons. In our case the UV-Vis studies suggested that the acidity of the receptors follows the order **3b** > **3c** > **3a** > **3d**. In fact, whereas **3b** and **3c** were able to display colour changes (deprotonation) with fluoride, cyanide, acetate and dihydrogen phosphate, receptors **3a** and **3d** only showed significant colour modulations in the presence of fluoride and cyanide.

2.4. Stability constants.

As stated above, in the interaction of basic anions with the semithiocarbazone-containing receptors **3a-d** two different behaviors were observed: (i) hydrogen bonding interactions and (ii) deprotonation (see equations 1 and 2). In order to complete the characterization of **3a-d** coordination and deprotonation processes were studied via the evaluation of the corresponding stability constants that were determined by UV-Vis spectroscopic titrations between receptors **3a-d** and the fluoride and acetate anions, using the software HypSpec V1.1.18. The obtained data were adjusted to two consecutive equilibriums corresponding to coordination and deprotonation (see equations 1 and 2) and the results are shown in Table 3.



From Table 3 it can be observed that, as a general trend, the logarithms of the stability constants measured for both equilibriums with fluoride were higher than those obtained for acetate when using receptors **3a**, **3b** and **3c**. This was in agreement with the results detailed above and with the more basic character of fluoride in acetonitrile when compared with acetate. It can be observed in Table 3 that the stability constants for the formation of the corresponding hydrogen-bonding complexes were, for fluoride, at least one order of magnitude larger than the stability constants for the deprotonation and about two orders of magnitude for acetate. Table 3 also shows that deprotonation constants were more important for fluoride than for acetate. The stability constants determined in this study are similar than those reported for other thiosemicarbazones receptors but lower than those found for other urea/thiourea receptors functionalised with benzene.^{19, 20, 21}

Table 3. Logarithms of the Stability Constants Measured for the Interaction of Receptors **3a-d** with Fluoride and Acetate

	F ⁻		AcO ⁻	
	LH + A ⁻ ⇌ LH ⋯ A ⁻	LH ⋯ A ⁻ + A ⁻ ⇌ L ⁻ + A ₂ H ⁻	LH + A ⁻ ⇌ LH ⋯ A ⁻	LH ⋯ A ⁻ + A ⁻ ⇌ L ⁻ + A ₂ H ⁻
3a	3.46(4)	2.75(3)	1.29(3)	^a
3b	5.39(8)	4.19(4)	3.46(2)	1.52(7)
3c	3.62(6)	2.06(8)	2.36(5)	0.48(2)
3d	3.55(6)	1.06(6)	^a	^a

^a No reliable results were obtained.

2.5. Fluorogenic studies involving anions.


Fluorescence studies in acetonitrile solutions of the receptors upon addition of increasing amounts of the corresponding anion were also carried out. Receptors were excited in the pseudo-isobestic points observed in the course of UV-vis titrations. All receptors display a broad and unstructured emission band. Quantum yields in acetonitrile (see Table 2) ranged from quite low (receptor **3a**, $\Phi = 0.0064$) to medium (compound **3d**, $\Phi = 0.088$). The addition of the anions chloride, bromide, iodide, hydrogen sulfate and nitrate to receptors **3a-d** resulted in negligible changes in the emission intensity profiles. In contrast, the fluorescence emission in presence of fluoride, cyanide, acetate and dihydrogen phosphate changed significantly.

A different general behaviour was found depending on the anion and the receptor used in the studies. Figure 4 shows the changes observed in the emission of **3a** in the presence of increasing amounts of fluoride or acetate. In presence of fluoride, an enhancement of the fluorescence intensity upon the addition of moderate amounts of fluoride followed by a quenching of the emission band at higher anion concentrations and the shift of the final band at longer wavelengths was observed. This behavior is in agreement with the changes observed in the absorption

titrations and the coordination plus deprotonation equilibriums. Thus enhancement of the fluorescence emission is attributed to the formation of the corresponding hydrogen-bonding complex (equation 1), whereas further quenching and shift of the band is related with the formation of the deprotonated species.

Figure 4 (bottom) also shows the emission behavior found for **3a** in the presence of acetate. In this case only an enhancement of the fluorescence was found in agreement with the formation of hydrogen-bonding complex (note that no clear deprotonation was found for **3a** with acetate). A similar emission behavior in the presence of fluoride and acetate was found when using receptors **3b**.

Figure 4. Emission changes in **3a** (1.2×10^{-5} mol dm⁻³) in the presence of




fluoride (top) and acetate (bottom) anions. Emission spectra of the receptor in the presence of 0, 1, 10, 30 and 100 eq. of the corresponding anion.

This behavior observed for **3a** and **3b** contrasts with the emission behavior found for **3c** and **3d**. For these latter receptors a quenching of the fluorescence was observed in the presence of increasing amounts of both fluoride and acetate. Taking into account that all four receptors show a similar UV-vis behavior with anions the strong difference in emission properties should most likely be attributed to the presence of two and three thienyl groups in **3c** and **3d** that would favor deactivations paths that were not active in compounds **3a** and **3b** which contain only one thiophene moiety.

2.6. ¹H NMR spectroscopic studies in the presence of anions.

UV-Vis and fluorescence studies of thiosemicarbazone receptors **3a-d** displayed a varied response in the presence of anions related with hydrogen bonding interactions and deprotonation of the receptors. In order to study in more detail

this dual coordination/deprotonation process the interaction of receptor **3d** with fluoride anion was investigated by means of ^1H NMR titration experiments in DMSO-d_6 .



Scheme 3. Proposed mode for the fluoride-induced deprotonation of receptor **3d**.

^1H NMR spectrum of **3d** showed resonances for the benzene ring at 7.20 (1H, broad triplet, H_p), 7.39-7.36 (2H, broad multiplet, H_m), 7.58 (2H, broad doublet, H_o) ppm, whereas protons of the two 2,5-disubstituted thiophene rings (H_d , H_e and H_f , H_g) appeared as doublets at 7.31 (H_f) and at 7.49 (H_g) ppm for the first ring and as a broad multiplet in the 7.39-7.36 ppm range (H_d and H_e) for the second. Protons of the third 2-monosubstituted thienyl ring appeared as a double doublet at 7.11 (H_b), a doublet at 7.54 (H_c) which overlapped with a doublet at 7.35 (H_a). Finally, the imine proton ($-\text{CH}=\text{N}$) appeared as a broad singlet at 8.30 (H_j) ppm and the N-H protons of the thiosemicarbazone group also were broad singlets at 11.88 (H_k) and 9.84 (H_l) ppm.

In the presence of fluoride (5 equivalents) the most remarkable observation was the disappearance of the H_k and H_l signals. Moreover the observed variation in the chemical shifts $\Delta\delta$ (ppm) over the course of the titration with fluoride for other protons in **3d** is shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5. ^1H NMR shifts for the protons of receptor **3d** in the presence of increasing quantities of fluoride anion (DMSO-d_6).

As could be seen, H_a - H_g protons showed minor changes, whereas, in contrast, remarkable shifts were observed for H_o and H_p suggesting that deprotonation occurs in the N-H group closer to the phenyl group. Fabbri *et al.* and ourselves have observed in closely related thioureas a similar behavior; i.e. the deprotonation apparently occurs in the protons of the nitrogen attached to the phenyl ring from NMR studies.²²

2.7. Quantum Mechanical Studies.

The hydrogen bond donating or accepting ability of a molecule at a particular site can be known by studying the deprotonation energy in gas-phase using quantum chemical calculations via the subtraction of the energy of the receptor alone from that of the deprotonated form. Following this concept,

calculations were carried out using a PM3 semiempirical model that was applied to all the receptors **3a-d**. As these thiosemicarbazone receptors contain two N-H groups, the deprotonation studies were performed assuming that both protons could be eliminated. The results of this study are shown in Table 4. Data strongly suggests that the most acidic proton is H_k (see Scheme 3). As it can be observed there is not an agreement between the data obtained from the theoretical calculations and ^1H -NMR titrations that suggested that deprotonation occurs at the H_l proton.

Despite this contradiction, theoretical calculations agree with the chromogenic behavior observed for the receptors. Quantum mechanical studies indicated that the dicyanovinyl derivative **3b**, is the most acidic followed by the receptor **3c**. In fact the theoretical studies indicated that the acidity of the receptors follow the order **3b** > **3c** > **3a** > **3d** (see Table 4). This is in agreement with the chromo-fluorogenic behavior of the receptors (*vide ante*) and with the expected basicity of anions in acetonitrile (i.e. $\text{F}^- > \text{CN}^- > \text{AcO}^- > \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$, Cl^- , HSO_4^- , SCN^- , NO_3^- , Br^- , I^-); i.e. the most acidic receptors **3b** and **3c** showed color changes in the presence of fluoride, cyanide, acetate and dihydrogen phosphate, whereas the less acidic **3a** and **3d** ligands are only able to show a remarkable color modulation in the presence of the most basic anions fluoride and cyanide.

Table 4. Stabilization Energies Calculated for the Deprotonation of the Receptors **3a-d**

Receptors	$E_{(L)} - E_{(LH)}$ (Kcal/mol)	
	R=N-NH-C(S)-N-Ph ^a	R=N-N-C(S)-NH-Ph ^b
3a	-4.81	-12.3
3b	-10.54	-19.85
3c	-6.39	-14.19
3d	-0.57	-3.46

^a Deprotonation at the H_l proton (see Scheme 3)

^b Deprotonation at the H_k proton (see Scheme 3)

3. Conclusions.

A family of novel heterocyclic thiosemicarbazone containing thiophene groups, derivatives **3a-b**, has been prepared, characterized and their interactions with anions was studied through UV-Vis, fluorescence, ^1H NMR and quantum chemical calculations. Two different chromo-fluorogenic behaviors in the presence of anions in acetonitrile were observed. The more basic anions fluoride and cyanide were able to induce dual coordination-deprotonation for all **3a-d** receptors studied, whereas acetate and dihydrogen phosphate showed poorer coordination ability and deprotonation was only observed on the more acidic receptors **3b** and **3c**. Hydrogen bonding interactions resulted in a small bathochromic shift, whereas deprotonation was indicated by the appearance of a new band at longer wavelengths. Color changes from pale-yellow to yellow-red were observed. In fluorescence studies it was apparent that hydrogen bonding interactions were visible through the enhancement or the decay of the emission band according to the number of thienyl rings at the π -conjugated bridges. Quantum mechanical studies suggested that the acidity of the receptors follows the order **3b** > **3c** > **3a** > **3d**, which was in agreement with the experimental observed behavior.

4. Experimental section

4.1. Materials and methods:

Thin layer chromatography was carried out on 0.25 mm thick precoated silica plates (Merck Fertigplatten Kieselgel 60F₂₅₄). All melting points were measured on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Unity Plus Spectrometer at an operating frequency of 300 MHz for ¹H and 75.4 MHz for ¹³C or a Bruker Avance III 400 at an operating frequency of 400 MHz for ¹H and 100.6 MHz for ¹³C, using the solvent peak as internal reference. The solvents are indicated in parenthesis before the chemical shift values (δ relative to TMS and given in parts per million). IR spectra were run on a FTIR Perkin-Elmer 1600 spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were carried out on a Leco CHNS 932 instrument. Mass spectrometry analyses were performed at the C.A.C.T.I. - Unidad de Espectrometría de Masas of the University of Vigo, Spain, on a Hewlett Packard 5989 A spectrometer for low resolution spectra and a VG Autospec M spectrometer for high resolution mass spectra. All the solvents were of spectrophotometrical grade. The aldehyde **1a** and 4-phenyl-3-thiosemicarbazide **2** were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich reagents and used without further purification. The synthesis of the aldehydes **1c** and **1d** was reported elsewhere.¹⁵

4.2. Synthesis of 2-((5-formylthiophen-2-yl)methylene)malononitrile **1b**

To a solution of malononitrile (0.094g, 1.4 mmol) and thiophene-2,5-dicarbaldehyde (0.2g, 1.4 mmol) in dry DMF (15 mL), was added piperidine (1 drop). The solution was heated at 120 °C during 2 h. After cooling the mixture the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 2-((5-formylthiophen-2-yl)methylene)malononitrile **1b** which was purified by column chromatography on silica with increasing amounts of diethyl ether in light petroleum as eluent.

2-((5-formylthiophen-2-yl)methylene)malononitrile **1b**. Orange solid (22%). Mp: 161.1-165.3 °C. IR (CHCl₃) ν 2221 (CN), 1663 (C=O), 1571, 1432, 1215, 1069, 795 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (br d, 1H, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 4-H), 7.80-7.90 (m, 2H, 3-H and =CH), 10.03 (s, 1H, CHO). MS (EI) *m/z* (%): 188 (M⁺, 60), 187 (100), 159 (11), 115 (9). HRMS: (EI) *m/z* (%) for C₉H₄N₂OS; calcd 188.0044; found 188.0049.

4.3. General procedure for the synthesis of heterocyclic phenylthiosemicarbazones **3a-d**:

Equal amounts (0.4 mmol) of the appropriate aldehyde and thiosemicarbazide were dissolved in MeOH (30 mL) at room temperature. A solution was obtained, which was stirred overnight. Compounds precipitated as microcrystalline solids, which were collected by suction filtration, washed with cold MeOH and diethyl ether and dried in vacuum. Further recrystallization steps using CHCl₃ - petroleum ether mixtures were performed if necessary.

4-phenyl-1-((thiophen-2-yl)methylene)thiosemicarbazone **3a**²³ was obtained as a yellow solid (66%). Mp: 185.6-186.9 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.07-7.10 (m, 1H, 4'-H), 7.25-7.29 (m, 1H, 4-H), 7.32 (dd, *J* = 3.9 and 0.9 Hz, 1H, 3'-H), 7.39-7.48 (m, 3H, 3- and 5- and 5'-H), 7.66 (br d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H, 2- and 6-H), 8.11 (s, 1H, -CH=N), 9.11 (s, 1H, S=C-NH), 10.29 (s, 1H, C=N-NH) ppm. IR (Nujol) ν 3297(NH), 3141(=C-H), 1588, 1547, 1521, 1505, 1445, 1386, 1311, 1268, 1220, 1204, 1068, 1041, 922, 855, 817, 784, 763, 742, 726, 712, 701, 687, 622, 541 cm⁻¹. MS (FAB): *m/z* (%) = 262 ([M+H]⁺, 100), 228 (3), 168 (17). FAB-HRMS: calcd. for C₁₂H₁₁N₃S₂ 262.0475; found 262.0467.

1-((5-(2,2-dicyanovinyl)thiophen-2-yl)methylene)-4-phenylthiosemicarbazone **3b** was obtained as a red solid (77%). Mp: 185.0-186.0 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.22-7.28 (br t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, 4-H), 7.37 (br d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H, 3- and 5-H), 7.52 (br d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H, 2- and 6-H), 7.82 (br s, 1H, 4'-H), 7.87 (br s, 1H, 3'-H), 7.96 (s, 1H, -CH=N), 8.02 (s, 1H, (s, 1H, -CH=C(CN)₂), 9.08 (s, 1H, S=C-NH), 9.60 (s, 1H, C=N-NH) ppm. IR (Nujol) ν 3341 (NH), 3122 (=C-H), 2223 (CN), 1596, 1579, 1568, 1540, 1517, 1498, 1261, 1198, 1097, 1061, 915, 811, 748, 705, 690, 610 cm⁻¹. MS (FAB): *m/z* (%) = 338 ([M+H]⁺, 100), 306 (9). FAB-HRMS: calcd. for C₁₆H₁₁N₅S₂ 338.0529; found 338.0526.

1-((5-(5-ethoxythiophen-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl)methylene)-4-phenylthiosemicarbazone **3c** was obtained as a brown solid (50%). Mp: 171.3-171.6 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 1.44 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 4.14 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H, OCH₂CH₃), 6.15 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 4''-H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 3''-H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 3'-H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 4'-H), 7.25-7.32 (m, 1H, 4-H), 7.43 (br t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, 3- and 5-H), 7.67 (br d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, 2- and 6-H), 8.07 (s, 1H, -CH=N), 9.09 (s, 1H, S=C-NH), 10.50 (s, 1H, C=N-NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 14.6 (OCH₂CH₃), 69.6 (OCH₂CH₃), 105.7 (C4''), 122.2 (C3''), 122.9 (C3'), 123.0 (C2'), 124.7 (C2 and C6), 126.3 (C4), 128.8 (C3 and C5), 132.4 (C4'), 134.8 (C5'), 137.6 (CH=N), 137.8 (C1), 141.7 (C2''), 165.7 (C5''), 175.0 (C=S) ppm. IR (Nujol) ν 3329 (NH), 3127 (=C-H), 1588, 1550, 1509, 1483, 1465, 1384, 1322, 1274, 1245, 1206, 1079, 1039, 912, 873, 779, 764, 743, 729, 703, 692, 614 cm⁻¹. C₁₈H₁₇N₃OS₃ (387.54): calcd. C 55.79, H 4.42, N 10.84, S 24.82; found C: 56.21, H 4.51, N 10.51; S 25.09.

4-phenyl-1-((5-(5-(thiophen-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl)methylene)thiosemicarbazone **3d** was obtained as an orange solid (41%). Mp > 216 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.05-7.18 (m, 8H, 4-H and thienyl-H), 7.21 (t, 2H, 3- and 5-H), 7.70 (d, 2H, 2- and 6-H), 7.97 (s, 1H, -CH=N), 9.09 (s, 1H, S=C-NH), 9.35 (s, 1H, C=N-NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 124.6, 124.7, 125.2, 125.3, 125.6, 125.9, 126.1, 128.1, 128.6, 132.3, 134.8, 135.8, 136.3, 137.4, 137.6, 138.3, 139.0, 175.5 (C=S) ppm. IR (Nujol) ν 3310 (NH), 1742, 1586, 1546, 1463, 1456, 1377, 1307, 1270, 1202, 1169, 1156, 1076, 1059, 967, 922, 892, 783, 722, 703, 514 cm⁻¹. MS (FAB): *m/z* (%) = 426 ([M+H]⁺, 100), 424 (98), 394 (47), 338 (47), 288 (11). FAB-HRMS: calcd. for C₂₀H₁₅N₃S₄ 426.0221; found 426.0218.

4.4. Physical measurements:

Stock solutions of the anions (F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, NO₃⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, HSO₄⁻, AcO⁻, BzO⁻, CN⁻ as tetrabutylammonium salts) were prepared at 10⁻² and 10⁻³ mol dm⁻³ in acetonitrile. The concentrations of ligands used in these measurements were ca. 1.2 x 10⁻⁴ and 1.2 x 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³. ¹H-NMR experiments were carried out in DMSO-*d*₆. At high concentrations the receptors showed low solubility in acetonitrile.

In fluorimetric titrations, all receptors were excited in wavelength of the pseudo-isobestic points observed in the course of UV-Vis titrations with fluoride anion. The electronic absorption spectra were obtained on a Perkin Elmer Instruments Lambda 35 UV/visible spectrometer and fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Quanta Master 40 steady state fluorescence spectrofluorometer from Photon Technology Internation (PTI); all in quartz cuvettes (1 cm). ¹H-NMR titrations spectra were acquired with Varian 300 spectrometer.

4.5. Theoretical studies:

Quantum chemical calculations at semiempirical level (PM3, within restricted Hartree–Fock level) were carried out in vacuum with the aid of Hyperchem V6.03. The Polar–Ribiere algorithm was used for the optimization. The convergence limit and the rms gradient were set to 0.01 kcal mol⁻¹. The stability constants were estimated with the HypSpec Software V1.1.18 with data of titration of receptors with selected anions.

5. Acknowledgments

We thank the Spanish Government (project MAT2009-14564-C04-01) and the Generalitat Valencia (project PROMETEO/2009/016) for support. Thanks are due to the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (Portugal) and FEDER-COMPETE for financial support through the Centro de Química - Universidade do Minho, Project PEst-C/QUI/UI0686/2011 (F-COMP-01-0124-FEDER-022716) and a Post-doctoral grant to R.M.F. Batista (SFRH/BPD/79333/2011). The NMR spectrometer BrukerAvance III 400 is part of the National NMR Network and was purchased within the framework of the National Program for Scientific Re-equipment, with funds from FCT. The authors are also indebted to program “Acções Integradas Luso-Espanholas/CRUP”, for the bilateral agreement number E-144/10. Thanks also to Fundación Carolina and UPNFM-Honduras for a doctoral grant to L.E. Santos-Figueroa and the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación for an FPU grant to M.E. Moragues.

References

- (a) Fabrizzi, L.; Poggi, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1995**, 197-202; (b) Lloris, J.M.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Padilla-Tosta, M.E. Pardo, T.; Soto, J.; Beer, P.D.; Cadman, J.; Smith, D.K., *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1999**, 14, 2359-2369; (c) De Silva, A. P.; Fox, D. B.; Huxley, A. J. M.; Moody, T. S. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 205, 41-57; (d) Beer, P. D. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 689-696; (e) Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Biyikal, M.; Hecht, M.; Rurack, K. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, 21, 12588-12604; (f) Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Biyikal, M.; Hecht, M.; Rurack, K., *Anal. Bional. Chem. Rev.* **2011**, 399, 55-74.
- (a) Löhr, H. G.; Vögle, F. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1985**, 18, 65-72; (b) Inouye, M. *Color. Non-Text. Appl.* **2000**, 238-274; (c) Amendola, V.; Bonizzoni, M.; Estebán-Gómez, D.; Fabrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Sancenón, F.; Taglietti, A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2006**, 250, 1451-1470.
- (a) De Silva, A. P.; Gunaratne, H. Q. N.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Huxley, A. J. M.; McCoy, C. P.; Rademacher, J. T.; Rice, T. E. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 1515-1566; (b) Prodi, L.; Bolletta, F.; Montali, M.; Zaccheroni, N. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 205, 3-40; (d) Rurack, K. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* **2001**, 57, 2161-2195; (e) De Silva, A. P.; McCaughan, B.; McKinney, B. O. F.; Querol, M. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 1902-1913; (f) Callan, J. F.; De Silva, A. P.; Magri, D. C. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, 61, 8551-8588; (g) Formica, M.; Fusi, V.; Giorgi, L.; Micheloni, M. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2012**, 256, 170-192.
- (a) Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, 103, 4419-4476; (b) Moragues, M. E.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, 40, 2593-2643; (c) Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F. *J. Fluoresc.* **2005**, 15, 267-285; (d) Beer, P. D.; Gale, P. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, 40, 486-516; (e) Suksai, C.; Tuntulani, T. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2003**, 32, 192-202; (f) Xu, Z.; Chen, X.; Kim, H. N.; Yoon, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, 39, 127-137.
- (a) Schmidchen, F. P.; Berger, M. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 1609-1646; (b) Gale, P. A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, Ed. Special issue: 35 years of Synthetic Anion Receptor Chemistry **2003**, 240, 1-2; (c) Bondy, C. R.; Loeb, S. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2003**, 240, 77-99; (d) Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2006**, 250, 3081-3093.
- (a) Gale, P. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2006**, 39, 465-475; (b) Yoon, J.; Kim, S. K.; Singh, N. J.; Kim, K. S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, 35, 355-360; (c) Blondeau, P.; Segura, M.; Pérez-Femández, R.; De Mendoza, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, 36, 198-210; (d) Fitzmaurice, F. J.; Kyne, G. M.; Douheret, D.; Kilbum, J. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2001**, 841-864.
- (a) Li, F.; Carvalho, S.; Delgado, R.; Drew, M. G. B.; Félix, V. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, 39, 9579-9587; (b) Lin, Y. -S.; Tu, G. -M.; Lin, C. -Y.; Chang, Y. -T.; Yen, Y. -P. *New J. Chem.* **2009**, 33, 860-867.
- For papers of urea-based receptors see: (a) Makuc, D.; Hiscock, J. R.; Light, M. E.; Gale, P. A.; Plavec, J.; Beils. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 7, 1205-1214; (b) Lin, Y. -S.; Zheng, J. -X.; Tsui, Y. -K.; Yien, Y. -P.; *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* **2011**, 79, 1552-1558; (c) Odago, M. O.; Colabello, D. M.; Lees, A. J. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, 66, 7465-7471; (d) Coll, C.; Aznar, E.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Marcos, M.D.; Sancenón, F.; Soto, J.; Amorós, P.; Cano, J.; Ruiz, E. *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2010**, 16, 10048-10061; (e) Devaraj, S.; Saravanakumar, D.; Kandaswamy, M. *Sensors Act. B Chem.* **2009**, 136, 13-19; (f) Li, Z.; Wu, F. -Y.; Guo, L.; Li, A. -F.; Jiang, Y. B. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**, 112, 7071-7079; (g) Ros-Lis, J. V.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Soto, J.; Rurack, K.; Weißhoff, H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 17, 2449-2458; (h) Nie, L.; Li, Z.; Han, J.; Zhang, X.; Yang, R.; Liu, W. -X.; Wu, F. -Y.; Xie, J. -W.; Zhao, Y. -F.; Jiang, Y. -B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, 69, 6449-6454; (i) Jose, D. A.; Kumar, D. K.; Ganguly, B.; Das, A. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, 6, 3445-3448; (j) Jiménez, D.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Soto, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, 43, 2823-2825; (k) Agostini, A.; Mondragón, L.; Coll, C.; Aznar, E.; Marcos, M.D.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Sancenón, F.; Soto, J.; Pérez-Payá, E.; Amorós, P., *ChemistryOpen*, **2012**, 1, 17-20.
- For recent examples see: (a) Krishnamurthi, J.; Ono, T.; Amemori, S.; Komatsu, H.; Shinkai, S.; Sada, K. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 1571-1573; (b) Piątek, P. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 4745-4747; (c) He, X.; Herranz, F.; Cheng, E. C. -C.; Vilar, R.; Yam, V. W. -W. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, 16, 9123-9131; (d) Jun E. J.; Swamy, K. M. K.; Bang, H.; Kim, S.-J.; Yoon, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 3103-3106.
- (a) Camiolo, S.; Gale, P. A.; Hurschouse, M. B.; Light, M. E. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2003**, 1, 741-744; (b) Gunnlaugsson, T.; Kruger, P. E.; Jensen, P.; Pfeffer, F. M.; Hussey, G. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, 44, 8909-8913; (c) Esteban-Gómez, D.; Fabrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 70, 5717-5720; (d) Evans, L. S.; Gale, P. A.; Light, M. E.; Quesada, R. *New J. Chem.* **2006**, 30, 1019-1025.
- (a) Withnall, J.; Howard, J.; Ponka, P.; Richardson, D. R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, 103, 14901-14906; (b) Zhang, H. -J.; Qin, X.; Liu, K.; Zhu, D. -D.; Wang, X. -M.; Zhu, H. L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2011**, 19, 5708-5715.
- See for example: Tian, Y. -P.; Duan, C. -Y.; Zhao, C. -Y.; You, X. -Z. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, 36, 1247-1252.
- (a) Batista, R. M. F.; Oliveira, E.; Costa, S. P. G.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, 9, 3201-3204. (b) Costa, S. P. G.; Oliveira, E.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, 49, 5258-5261. (c) Batista, R. M. F.; Oliveira, E.; Costa, S. P. G.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, 49, 6575-6578. (d) Batista, R. M. F.; Oliveira, E.; Nuñez, C.; Costa, S. P. G.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 22, 362-366. (e) Batista, R. M. F.; Oliveira, E.; Costa, S. P. G.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, 67, 7106-7113. (f) Batista, R. M. F.; Oliveira, E.; Costa, S. P. G.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Talanta* **2011**, 85, 2470-2478.
- (a) Raposo, M. M. M.; García-Acosta, B.; Ábalos, T.; Calero, P.; Martínez-Mañez, R.; Ros-Lis, J. V.; Soto, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 75, 2922-2933; (b) Amendola, V.; Boiocchi, M.; Fabrizzi, L.; Mosca, L. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, 14, 9683-9696.
- (a) Raposo, M. M. M.; Kirsch, G. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, 59, 4891-4899. (b) Batista, R. M. F.; Costa, S. P. G.; Belsley, M.; Lodeiro, C.; Raposo, M. M. M. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, 64, 9230-9238.
- For recent examples see: (a) Aldrey, A.; Nuñez, C.; García, V.; Bastida, R.; Lodeiro, C.; Macías, A. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, 66, 9223-9230; (b) Atta, A. K.; Ahn, I. -H.; Hong, A. -Y.; Heo, J.; Kim, C. K.; Cho, D. -G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, 53, 575-578; (c) Amendola, V.; Fabrizzi, L.; Mosca, L.; Schmidchen, F. -P. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, 17, 5972-5981; (d) Amendola, V.; Bergamaschi, G.; Boiocchi, M.; Fabrizzi, L.; Milani, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, 16, 4368-4380.
- For recent examples see: (a) Kim, T. H.; Choi, M. S.; Sohn, B. -H.; Park, S. -Y.; Lyoo, W. S.; Lee, T. S. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 2364-2366; (b) Amendola, V.; Fabrizzi, L. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 513-531; (c) Caltagirone, C.; Mulas, A.; Isaia, F.; Lippolis, V.; Gale, P. A.; Light, M. A. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 6279-6281; (d) Pérez-Casas, C.; Yatsimirsky, A. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 73, 2275-2284; (e) Dos Santos, C. M. G.; McCabe, T.; Watson, G. W.; Kruger, P. E.; Gunnlaugsson, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 73, 9235-9244; (f) Dydio, P.; Zielinski, T.; Jurczak, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 74, 1525-1530; (g) Xu, Z.; Kim, S. K.; Han, S. J.; Lee, C.; Kociok-Kohn, G.; James, T. D.; Yoon, J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 3058-3065.
- Amendola, V.; Esteban-Gómez, D.; Fabrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2006**, 39, 343-353.

19. Boiocchi, M.; Del Boca, L.; Estebán-Gómez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Monzani, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 16507-16514.
20. Boiocchi, M.; Del Boca, L.; Estebán-Gómez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Monzani, E. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2005**, 11, 3097-3104.
21. Esteban-Gómez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Monzani, E. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, 3, 1495-1500.
22. Bonizzoni, M.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Taglietti, A.; Tiengo, F. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 3567-3574.
23. Mapathy, P.; Budhkar, A.P.; Dorai, C.S. *Ind. J. Chem.* **1986**, 63, 714-721.