



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Canada modernizes its Copyright Act (beyond the Canadian Copyright Modernization Act)

Margoni, T.

**Publication date**

2012

**Document Version**

Final published version

[Link to publication](#)

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Margoni, T. (Author). (2012). Canada modernizes its Copyright Act (beyond the Canadian Copyright Modernization Act). Web publication or website, Kluwer Copyright Blog. <http://kluwercopyrightblog.com/2012/08/21/canada-modernizes-its-copyright-act/>

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Related Sites:

# Kluwer Copyright Blog



Browse Options

◀ **Previous Post**  
France: The Court of Cassation puts an end to the Notice and Stay Down Rule

**Next Post** ▶  
[Updated] As had long been suspected: The proposed Press Publishers Right is meant as a lex Google after all (Germany)

21 AUG 2012

## Canada modernizes its Copyright Act (beyond the Canadian Copyright Modernization Act)

By [Thomas Margoni](#), [Institute for Information Law \(IViR\)](#)



In less than a month Canadian Copyright law has been subjected to an unprecedented series of modifications that, without exaggerating, could be

defined as revolutionary.

First of all, on June 29th the long awaited bill C-11 (formerly [C-32](#), [C-61](#), and [C-60](#)) received royal assent becoming the [Copyright Modernization Act](#). Canadian Copyright Act looks now much different with entirely new sections introduced and pre-existing ones heavily

### CONTRIBUTORS

Bernt Hugenholtz  
Institute for Information Law (IViR)



Lucie Guibault  
Institute for Information Law (IViR)



Brad Spitz  
YS Avocats



Jeremy Blum  
Bristows



Pablo Hernández Elzaburu



Philippe Laurent Marx, Van Ranst, Vermeersch & Partners



Martin Husovec  
IMPRS-CI Doctoral Research Fellow at Max Planck Institute



### KLUWER COPYRIGHT CASES

The Netherlands: Photo on YouTube Channel, Court of Appeal of 's Hertogenbosch, ECLI:NL:GHSHE:2014:284, IEF 11605 and ECLI:NL:GHSHE:2014:2524, IEF 14082, 29 July 2014

Portugal: Tryptic Shop Deco, Supreme Court of Justice of Portugal, 3952/08.9TJVNF.P1.S1, 17 December 2014

UK: John Kaldor Fabricmaker v. Lee Ann Fashions, High Court of England and Wales, Chancery Division, [2014] EWHC 3779 (IPEC), 21 November 2014

Chesterton's work still alive in Spain

Bulgaria: Commercial case No. 3824 of 2014, Court of Appeal of Sofia, 478, 11 March 2015

[List All](#)

refurbished. The Copyright Modernization Act is the result of a stratification of many years of attempts to update the Act. Attempts that for one reasons or another have failed until now.

The main innovations introduced by the law reform can be summarized, in the words of the Canadian Parliament, as follows:

- “(a) update the rights and protections of copyright owners to better address the challenges and opportunities of the Internet, so as to be in line with international standards;
- (b) clarify Internet service providers’ liability and make the enabling of online copyright infringement itself an infringement of copyright;
- (c) permit businesses, educators and libraries to make greater use of copyright material in digital form;
- (d) allow educators and students to make greater use of copyright material;
- (e) permit certain uses of copyright material by consumers;
- (f) give photographers the same rights as other creators;
- (g) ensure that it remains technologically neutral.”

The amount of small and large modifications that the Act introduces is considerable. Particular attention should be paid to two aspects that do not emerge clearly from the above reported excerpt. The first is the introduction in Canadian copyright law of the legal protection of Technological Protection Measures in a way that is more consistent with the Digital Millennium Copyright Act than with the international requirements stemming from the WCT. The second is the expansion of the scope of fair dealing by the introduction of the purposes of education, parody, and satire (it must be recalled that

for Innovation and Competition

[Posts](#)

Tatiana Sinodinou  
Department of Law,  
University of Cyprus



[Posts](#)

Maria Fredenslund  
RettighedsAlliancen



[Posts](#)

Ana Ramalho  
University of  
Maastricht



[Posts](#)

## AFFILIATES

Members  
Kluwer Copyright  
Cases



[Posts](#)



**AIPPI 2015 Rio de Janeiro  
World Congress  
10 - 14 October 2015**

SEE ALL POSTS ON:

AP Austria  
Authorship Belgium  
Case law  
Collective  
management  
Communication  
(right of)  
Copyright Copyright  
Authority/Board Czech  
Republic Database right  
Denmark Distribution  
(right of) Duration  
Enforcement  
European  
Union Exhaustion  
France Germany  
Infringement  
Italy  
Jurisdiction  
Kluwer Copyright Cases  
Legislative  
process  
Liability  
Limitations  
Making  
available  
(right of) Moral  
rights Neighbouring  
rights Netherlands  
Originality  
Ownership Poland  
Private International Law  
Remedies  
Remuneration  
(equitable)  
Reproduction  
(right of) Software  
Spain Subject matter  
(copyrightable) Sweden  
Technological measures  
Transfer (of right)  
United Kingdom

Guide To  
Copyright in

fair dealing provisions are significantly narrower than those of fair use, and that in Canada, where the list of purposes is exhaustive and not illustrative as in the case of fair use, parody and satire were not statutorily listed).


Another addition that deserves some attention is the “clarify Internet service providers’ liability ...” in fact, as anticipated [see the last paragraphs of [this blog post](#)] Canada implements a very interesting system of Notice-and-Notice, where, in order to comply with the legislation, the obligation bearing upon the ISP is just that of forwarding the notice or explaining why this was not possible (and in any case its liability will be in the range of 5,000 to 10,000 CAD).

Just that would be enough for much more than a blog entry. However, only a few weeks later, the Supreme Court of Canada (SCC) delivered its decisions on 5 (!) copyright cases heard earlier in December 2011. These 5 decisions, each one deserving a deep analysis, have an extreme importance in redefining Canadian Copyright law, and, hopefully, in offering a set of persuasive arguments to other courts around the world that have demonstrated a sensitivity that is at odds with the one of the SCC. Many analyses of the cases and of their aggregate meaning have been done (some can be found [here](#), [here](#), [here](#), and [here](#)). For the purpose of this blog post, it suffices to point out the following:


- 1) The photocopies made by teachers of parts of textbooks for the use during the course by students represent fair dealing (which conclusion heavily influences the fierce debate about Access Copyright (e.g. [here](#)).
- 2) ‘Previews’ of songs on on-line music stores is considered fair dealing for the purpose of research (as said, differently from the US open ended fair use, Canadian fair dealing must conform with one of the 5, now 8, mandatory categories).
- 3) Technological neutrality: the “Copyright Act must be



France. Business, Internet and Litigation  
by *Brad Spitz*  
€ 125




Fundamentals of US Intellectual Property Law. Copyright, Patent and Trademark - Fifth Edition  
by *S. W. Halpern, S. B. Seymore, K. L. Port*  
€ 159



IP and Antitrust. The Competition Policies of Intellectual Property in Eighty Cases  
by *Nuno Pires de Carvalho*  
€ 185



US Patent Law for European Patent Professionals  
by *Audrey Nemeth*  
€ 125



Manual for the Handling of Applications for Patents, Designs and Trademarks throughout the World  
by *Arnold & Siedsma*



## RSS FEEDS

 [Summary Feed](#)




interpreted in a way that avoids imposing an additional layer of protections and fees based solely on the method of delivery of the work to the end user", therefore the act of downloading a song from the Internet does not amount to an act of communication via telecommunication, but just of reproduction. However, streaming of music, including in cases of on-demand services, amounts to communication to the public.

Many other aspects regarding the interpretation of the Act and of specific concepts within the Act deserve a lot of attention, and I have pointed out where to find some good analyses. Suffice here to state that the SCC has once more confirmed that the Copyright Act is a complex ecosystem where two opposing, complementary, and equally important rights cohabit: the rights of authors and the rights of users. This is what the SCC has been trying to tell us since a few years now, and hopefully it will be heard outside Canada as well.

CATEGORIES: [Legislative process](#) | Tagged [Canada](#), [legislation](#) |

 Tweet 8

 Share



**Previous Post**  
France: The Court of Cassation puts an end to the Notice and Stay Down Rule

**Next Post**  
[Updated] As had long been suspected: The proposed Press Publishers Right is meant as a lex Google after all (Germany)

**Comments** **Community**


**Login** ▾

**Recommend**

**Sort by Best** ▾

Start the discussion...

 **Article Feed**

 Follow us @WKLBP

Be the first to comment.



Subscribe

DISQUS



Add Disqus to your site



#### About Us

- [About Kluwer Copyright Blog](#)
- [Kluwer IP Law](#)
- [Kluwer Law International](#)
- [Other Online Products](#)

#### Legal Policy

- [Privacy and Cookie Statement](#)
- [User Agreement and Disclaimer](#)
- [Editorial Policy](#)

#### Contact

- [General Information](#)

© 2015 Kluwer Copyright Blog. All Rights Reserved.